

Distributed Compression for Computation and Bounds on the Optimal Rate

Mohammad Reza Deylam Salehi and Derya Malak

Abstract

We address the problem of distributed computation of arbitrary functions of two correlated sources X_1 and X_2 , residing in two distributed source nodes, respectively. We exploit the structure of a computation task by coding source characteristic graphs (and multiple instances using the n -fold OR product of this graph with itself). For regular graphs and general graphs, we establish bounds on the optimal rate—characterized by the chromatic entropy for the n -fold graph products—that allows a receiver for asymptotically lossless computation of arbitrary functions over finite fields. For the special class of cycle graphs (i.e., 2-regular graphs), we establish an exact characterization of chromatic numbers and derive bounds on the required rates. Next, focusing on the more general class of d -regular graphs, we establish connections between d -regular graphs and expansion rates for n -fold graph powers using graph spectra. Finally, for general graphs, we leverage the Gershgorin Circle Theorem (GCT) to provide a characterization of the spectra, which allows us to build new bounds on the optimal rate. Our codes leverage the spectra of the computation and provide a graph expansion-based characterization to efficiently/succinctly capture the computation structure, providing new insights into the problem of distributed computation of arbitrary functions.

Index Terms

Distributed functional compression, characteristic graph, graph entropy, graph spectrum, chromatic number, graph expansion, Gershgorin circle.

I. INTRODUCTION

Data compression is the process of using fewer bits than the original size of the source, which is given by Shannon’s entropy of a source [2] in the case of a point-to-point communication model. In the setting of distributed sources, where the goal is to recover them jointly at a receiver, the Slepian-Wolf theorem gives the fundamental limits of rate for compression [3]. In the case when the receiver wants to compute a deterministic function of distributed sources, a further reduction in compression is possible via accounting for the structure of the function as well as the structure of the joint source distribution [4]. This approach is known as distributed functional compression, in which a function represents an abstraction of a particular task, the sources separately compress their data and send *color encodings* of their data to a common receiver, and the receiver, from the obtained colors, recovers the desired function of the sources. This approach differs from the conventional approach [3], where the receiver jointly decodes source sequences.

M. R. Deylam Salehi and D. Malak are with the Communication Systems Department, EURECOM, Biot Sophia Antipolis, FRANCE (Emails: {deylam, malak}@eurecom.fr). An early version of this work appeared in Proc., Allerton 2023 [1]. This work is partially supported by a Huawei France-funded Chair towards Future Wireless Networks.

Co-funded by the European Union (ERC, SENSIBILITÉ, 101077361). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the authors only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Research Council. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.

A. Motivation and Literature Review

Let us begin with an example. Consider a college student database with information including the rental records, demographics and health of individuals. The Ministry of Science wants to offer housing aid to a particular group of students by requiring information solely on the rental contracts and payslips of the students, and without disclosing their personal data, due to privacy and redundancy constraints. In data compression, storing and sending a stream of data that is not always required is not practical from the perspectives of storage and transmission. The scenario of student housing is an example of realizing functional compression, which avoids compressing and transmitting large volumes of all available data, and is instead tailored to the specifics of the function, i.e., a student's eligibility for getting housing aid or not.

In Shannon's breakthrough work in [2], the function to be recovered at the receiver is the identity function of the source variable, i.e., the source itself. Generalizing the noiseless coding of a discrete information source, given in [2], to distributed compression and joint decoding of two jointly distributed and finite alphabet X_1 and X_2 , Slepian-Wolf theorem gives a theoretical lower bound for the lossless coding rate of distributed coding of such sources [3], where the two data sequences of memoryless correlated sources with finite alphabets \mathbf{X}_1^n , and \mathbf{X}_2^n are obtained by n repeated independent drawings from a discrete bivariate distribution. Practical implementation schemes for Slepian-Wolf compression have been proposed, including [5]–[7]. In functional compression of distributed sources X_1 , and X_2 , however, the goal is to compress the sources separately while ensuring that a deterministic function $f(X_1, X_2)$ of these sources can be calculated by a user. Prior attempts on functional compression can be categorized into works focusing on lossless and zero error compression of functions, [2]–[4], [8]–[23], and those for which the compression schemes tolerate distortion for lossy reconstruction [24]–[30].

Several special cases of distributed compression have been studied. In [15], Ahlswede and Körner have determined the rate region for a distributed compression setting where separate encoders encode the n -th realizations of correlated sources X_1 and X_2 observed by sources one and two respectively, and a receiver aims to only recover \mathbf{X}_2^n . Körner and Marton, in [14], have dealt with the problem of distributed encoding of two binary sources X_1 and X_2 to compute their modulo-two sum, i.e., $f(X_1, X_2) = (X_1 + X_2) \bmod 2$, at the receiver. In [26], Yamamoto has extended the Wyner-Ziv model [24], which has addressed lossy source coding with side information at the decoder, to a setting where the decoder estimates a function $f(X_1, X_2)$ of the source X_1 given side information X_2 . In [17], Han and Kobayashi have established an achievable distributed functional reconstruction scheme, which depends on the structure of $f(X_1, X_2)$, and the joint distribution of (X_1, X_2) . Building on [26], optimal coding schemes and achievable rate regions have been derived for lossless and lossy compression of source X_1 for distributed computation of $f(X_1, X_2)$ given side information X_2 [10], [11], [31], [32], for distributed compression of sources X_1 and X_2 for the computation of $f(X_1, X_2)$ [12], [33]. More specifically, in [11], Orlitsky and Roche have provided a single letter characterization for general functions of two variables using the notion of *source characteristic graphs* (confusion graphs) introduced by Körner [34], where the vertices are the possible realizations of a source and the edges capture the function structure.

B. Overview and Contributions

In this work, we design a coding framework for the problem of distributed functional compression with two distributed sources having access to X_1 and X_2 , respectively, each with a finite alphabet, and a receiver that wants to reconstruct the function $f(X_1, X_2)$ in an asymptotically

lossless manner. To capture the structure of the function f in compression, we exploit the notion of *source characteristic graphs*. Given source variable X_1 , the limits of color reuse are determined by chromatic numbers $\chi(G)$ [23]¹, and the fundamental limits of compression rate are characterized by Körner’s graph entropy G_{X_1} [34]. To address different computation scenarios, we examine several characteristic graph topologies, including cyclic graphs, denoted by C_i where i is the number of vertices, their generalizations to d -regular graphs denoted by $G_{d,V}$, and general characteristic graphs denoted by G , as motivated next.

Cycle graphs (or cyclic graphs) appear in many practical scenarios, such as periodic functions, and mod functions, which are widely used in cryptography, computer algebra and science, and musical arts [35]. In cryptography, Caesar Ciphers, Rivest-Shamir-Adleman (RSA) algorithm [36], Diffie-Hellman [37], as well as Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) [38], International Data Encryption Algorithm (IDEA), are widely used for secure data transmission [39]. The calculation of checksums within serial numbers is another application of interest [40]. For example, ISBNs (International Standard Book Numbers) use mod 11 arithmetic for 10-digit ISBNs or mod 10 for 13-digit ISBNs to detect errors. In addition, International Bank Account Numbers (IBANs) use mod 97 arithmetic to identify mistakes in bank account numbers entered by users. Cyclic characteristic graphs allow for a more efficient reuse of colors compared to acyclic graphs with a higher average degree. Furthermore, cycles and their products — built to capture a source sequence \mathbf{X}_1^n — have good connectivity properties, enabling an exact characterization of their chromatic numbers (and bounding their graph entropies).

d -regular graphs have broad applications ranging from representing network topologies to modeling social networks, coding theory for constructing error-correcting codes [41], random walks and Markov chains in analyzing state transitions [42], spectral graph theory providing insights into graphs’ characteristics [43], [44], and fault-tolerant systems [41], [42], [45]–[48]. In general, d -regular graphs help model frameworks for structured data, such as graph neural networks [49], making them one of the main topics of investigation in this paper.

The main contributions of this paper can be summarized as follows:

- *Cyclic characteristic graphs*: We derive exact expressions for the degree of a vertex $x^n \in [V^n]$ in the n -fold OR product C_i^n (as detailed by Alon and Orlitsky in [4]) of cycles C_i (where $i = V$, see Proposition 2), denoted by $\deg(x)$, and for the chromatic number of even cycles C_{2k} , denoted by $\chi(C_{2k})$ where $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then, we devise a polynomial-time² achievable coloring scheme for odd cycles C_{2k+1} , leveraging the structure of C_i , and its OR products (see Proposition 3). Given C_i , we investigate the largest eigenvalue of its adjacency matrix, and using that, we present bounds on the chromatic number (see Proposition 7). We also provide bounds on Körner’s graph entropy of C_i (see Proposition 5).
- *d -regular characteristic graphs*: We characterize the exact degree of a vertex and the chromatic number of d -regular graphs, denoted by $G_{d,V}$, and their n -fold OR products $G_{d,V}^n$ (see Propositions 8 and 9). Additionally, given a d -regular graph, the concept of graph expansion helps determine how the corresponding OR products are related. Capturing the structure of the OR products graphs, we then present a lower bound on the expansion rate of $G_{d,V}^n$ (see Proposition 10).
- *General characteristic graphs*: Given a general graph, $G(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$, we calculate the degree of each vertex for its n -fold OR product (see Corollary 4). We present upper and lower bounds on the expansion rate (see Corollary 7). We then investigate the entropy of general

¹In [23], Witsenhausen has considered zero-error compression using characteristic graphs with side information.

²Finding a minimum entropy coloring in general graphs is an NP-hard problem [50].

characteristic graphs (see Proposition 11). We derive bounds on the largest eigenvalue (see Corollary 6), the chromatic number (see Corollary 5), using the adjacency matrix of the n -fold OR product graph and the famous *Gershgorin Circle Theorem* (GCT), which is a theorem that identifies the range of the eigenvalues for a given square matrix [51]. We use GCT to bound eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix of a given graph n -fold OR product, via exploiting the structure of OR products (see Theorem 2, and Corollary 9).

By leveraging chromatic numbers and graph entropy bounds, our results, as outlined above, illustrate the connection between graph structures and entropy-based communication cost and provide insights for functional compression in distributed settings.

C. Organization

The rest of this manuscript is organized as follows. In Section II, we review the literature and provide a technical preliminary on graphs, their valid colorings, and the n -fold OR products of characteristic graphs. In Section III, we present the main results of the paper, including the achievable coloring schemes, the bounds on the degrees, eigenvalues, and the chromatic numbers for cycles, d -regular graphs, and general graphs. We further derive upper and lower bounds on the graph entropy and expansion rate for the n -fold OR product of characteristic graphs. In Section V, we summarize our key results and outline potential exploration directions. Proofs of the main results are presented in the Appendix.

D. Notation

Letter X denotes a discrete random variable with distribution $p(x)$ over the finite alphabet \mathcal{X} , where x is a realization of X , and $\mathbf{X}^n = X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ is an independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) sequence where each element is distributed according to $p(x)$. We denote matrices and vectors by boldface letters, e.g., \mathbf{A} . The joint distribution of the source variables X_1 and X_2 is denoted by $p(x_1, x_2)$. We denote by G_{X_1} and G_{X_2} the characteristic graphs that sources X_1 and X_2 build for computing a given function $f(X_1, X_2)$, respectively. We use the boldface notation $\mathbf{x}_1^n = x_{11}, \dots, x_{1n}$, to represent the length n -th realization of X_1 , and similarly for X_2 . We let $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and $[a, b] = \{a, a+1, \dots, b\}$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Given a graph $G(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$, we denote by $\chi(G)$ and $\chi_f(G)$ its chromatic and fractional chromatic numbers, respectively. We denote by $\deg(x_k)$ the degree of the vertex $x_k \in [V]$, $d_{\max} = \max_{k \in [V]} \deg(x_k)$ is the maximum vertex degree of G , and $d_{\text{avg}}(x_k)$ denotes the average degree over $x_k \in [V]$ in G^1 . We denote by $C_i = G(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ a cycle graph with $i = V$ vertices, by $C_i^n = G(\mathcal{V}^n, \mathcal{E}^n)$ its n -fold OR product, and by $\mathcal{C}_i^j(l)$ the set of distinct colors in sub-graph $l \in [V]$ of the j -fold OR product of C_i . We denote the coloring distribution of G by \mathcal{C}_G , and the set of distinct colors of G by $\mathcal{C}(G)$. We denote a d -regular graph on V vertices by $G_{d,V}$. We denote a complete graph with $i = V$ vertices and its n -fold OR product by K_i and K_i^n , respectively. We denote \mathcal{C}_G as the PMF of a valid coloring of a graph G .

Given a graph $G(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$, we denote by \mathbf{J}_V and \mathbf{I}_V an all-one and identity matrices of size $V \times V$ each, by \mathbf{A}_f the adjacency matrix, where $\mathbf{A}_f = (a_{xx'})_{1 \leq x, x' \leq V}$ is a symmetric $(0, 1)$ -matrix with zeros on its diagonal, i.e., $a_{xx} = 0$, and $a_{xx'} = 1$ indicates that two distinct vertices $x, x' \in [V]$ are adjacent, and $a_{xx'} = 0$ when there is no edge between them. The trace of \mathbf{A}_f is denoted by $\text{trace}(\mathbf{A}_f)$. The largest and the smallest eigenvalues of \mathbf{A}_f are denoted by $\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_f)$, and $\lambda_V(\mathbf{A}_f)$, respectively, $\Xi(\mathbf{A}_f)$ is the set of all eigenvalues of \mathbf{A}_f , and $\vartheta(G^j)$ is the set of distinct eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix of G^j , i.e., \mathbf{A}_f^j . Exploiting GCT to characterize the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A}_f , the k -th interval that contains an eigenvalue is denoted by δ_k , $k \in [V]$. LHS and RHS represent the left and right-hand sides of an equation, respectively.

II. TECHNICAL PRELIMINARY

This section introduces the fundamental concepts related to graph theory, such as degrees, independent sets, paths, cycles, d -regular graphs, and the notion of graph expansion [34], [52]–[55]. Furthermore, it discusses the concepts of characteristic graphs, the n -fold OR products of characteristic graphs, and traditional and fractional coloring of graphs [55]–[57].

A. Source Characteristic Graphs and Their OR Products

A graph is represented by $G(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$, where $\mathcal{V} = [V]$ denotes the set of its vertices, with cardinality $|\mathcal{V}| = V$, and \mathcal{E} is the set of its edges, with cardinality $|\mathcal{E}| = E$.

Definition 1 (Degree of a vertex [52]). *Given $G(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$, the degree of a vertex $x_k \in [V]$ for $k \in [V]$, represented by $\deg(x_k)$, is the number of edges it is connected to, i.e., the number of neighbors of $x_k \in [V]$. The average degree across nodes in G is denoted by $d_{\text{avg}} = \frac{\sum_{x_k \in [V]} \deg(x_k)}{V}$.*

We next introduce the concept of an independent set, which plays a critical role in determining a valid coloring of characteristic graphs that we detail in Section II-B.

Definition 2 (Independent set, and maximal independent set [53]). *An independent set, IS_G , in $G(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ is a subset of vertices of \mathcal{V} , such that no two are adjacent. A maximal independent set, MIS_G , is an independent set in G that is not a subset of any other independent set of G .*

In distributed functional compression with M source nodes, each holding $X_k \in \mathcal{X}_k$, $k \in [M]$, a receiver aims to reconstruct $f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_M)$. To aid in distinguishing function outcomes, each source k builds a characteristic graph G_{X_k} with vertex set \mathcal{X}_k and edges determined by the function and the joint source PMF. Next, we define characteristic graphs for a bivariate setting.

Definition 3 (Source characteristic graphs [34]). *Let X_1 and X_2 be two distributed source variables with a joint distribution $p(x_1, x_2)$. Source one builds a characteristic graph $G_{X_1} = G(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ for distinguishing the outcomes of a function $f(X_1, X_2)$, where $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{X}_1$, and an edge $(x_1^1, x_1^2) \in \mathcal{E}$ if and only if there exists a $x_2^1 \in \mathcal{X}_2$ such that $p(x_1^1, x_2^1) \cdot p(x_1^2, x_2^1) > 0$ and $f(x_1^1, x_2^1) \neq f(x_1^2, x_2^1)$, i.e., these two vertices of G_{X_1} should be distinguished.*

Definition 4 (Entropy of a characteristic graph [34]). *Given a source random variable X_1 with characteristic graph $G_{X_1} = G(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$, the entropy of G_{X_1} is defined as*

$$H_{G_{X_1}}(X_1) = \min_{X_1 \in U_1 \in MIS_{G_{X_1}}} I(X_1; U_1), \quad (1)$$

where $MIS_{G_{X_1}}$ represents the set of all MISs of G_{X_1} [4]. The notation $X_1 \in U_1 \in MIS_{G_{X_1}}$ indicates that the minimization is performed over all distributions $p(u_1, x_1)$ such that $p(u_1, x_1) > 0$ implies $x_1 \in u_1$, where U_1 is an MIS of G_{X_1} .

From (1), it follows that $H_{G_{X_1}}(X_1) \leq H(X_1)$, yielding savings over [2]. Next, we introduce a path, which refers to a sequence of edges connecting a subset of vertices within a graph.

Definition 5 (Path and Hamiltonian path [58]). *Given an undirected graph $G(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$, a path is a sequence of vertices starting and ending with distinct vertices, where each pair of consecutive vertices is connected by an edge, and no vertex is repeated. A path that includes every vertex of a graph exactly once is called a Hamiltonian path.*

We next define the class of d -regular graphs that embeds the special case of cycles.

Definition 6 (d -regular graphs [54], [55]). A d -regular graph $G_{d,V}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ is a graph where each vertex has the same degree d , i.e., $d = \deg(x_k)$ for all $x_k \in [V]$. A d -regular graph $G_{d,V}$, where $d, V \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, satisfies $V \geq d + 1$. Furthermore, if d is odd, the total number of vertices V must be even [59]. Cyclic graphs are 2-regular graphs with a Hamiltonian path.

We next define the expansion rate of graphs.

Definition 7 (Expansion rate [60]). An undirected expander graph G is a graph having relatively few edges in comparison to its number of vertices while maintaining strong connectivity properties, which ensures that each vertex is reachable by paths from at least 2 directions [60]. The expansion of G with respect to a subset of its vertices $Y \subseteq [V]$ is determined as follows:

$$E_\theta(G) = \frac{|N_G(Y)|}{|Y|}, \quad (2)$$

where $N_G(Y) = \{u \in [V], u \notin Y : \exists v \in Y, (v, u) \in \mathcal{E}\}$ denotes the set of neighbors of Y .

We next illustrate the concept of characteristic graphs with an example.

Example 1. Consider the problem of distributed functional compression of $f(X_1, X_2) = (X_1 + X_2) \bmod 2$, with two source variables X_1 and X_2 and one receiver, where X_1 is uniform over the alphabet $\mathcal{X}_1 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, and X_2 is uniform over $\mathcal{X}_2 = \{0, 1\}$.

For even-valued, i.e., $X_1 \in \{0, 2\}$, the output is $f(X_1, X_2) = X_2$, and for odd values, i.e., when $X_1 \in \{1, 3\}$, we have $f(X_1, X_2) = (X_2 + 1) \bmod 2$. In the characteristic graph built for X_1 , namely G_{X_1} , we do not need to distinguish the elements of $\{0, 2\}$ from each other, and similarly for the elements of $\{1, 3\}$. However, these two sets must be distinguished, which is possible via using two distinct colors B and O . To that end, we assign the elements of $\{0, 2\}$ and $\{1, 3\}$ colors B and O , respectively. Similarly, the outcome $X_2 = 1$ is assigned R , and $X_2 = 0$ is assigned Y . We illustrate the coloring of G_{X_1} and G_{X_2} in Figure 1. Transmission of an assigned color pair from distributed sources to a common receiver according to the described rule is sufficient for the receiver to determine the corresponding outcome of f via a look-up table [12]. The scheme satisfies the necessary condition since both G_{X_1} and G_{X_2} require at least 2 colors (1 bit per source). Using fewer colors by assigning the same color to adjacent vertices violates the condition $f(x_1^1, x_2^1) \neq f(x_1^2, x_2^1)$ when $p(x_1^1, x_2^1) \cdot p(x_1^2, x_2^1) > 0$.




Fig. 1: Distributed functional compression with two sources and a receiver, where G_{X_1} is cyclic.

To determine the fundamental limits of lossless compression of a source sequence [4], we exploit the notion of n -fold OR products of graphs³, as introduced next.

³Here, we exclusively focus on the OR product graphs for realizing lossless compression of multi-letter schemes [61]. If the goal is instead to perform zero-error source compression, one needs to employ the n -fold AND products of graphs [62], [63].

Given a source variable X , we next detail the construction for the n -fold OR products graphs, by generalizing the rule in Definition 3 for building G_X to multiple source instances \mathbf{X}^n .

Definition 8 (n -fold OR product graph [55]–[57]). *For $n > 1$, the n -fold OR product of $G_X = G(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ is represented as $G_X^n = G(\mathcal{V}^n, \mathcal{E}^n)$, where $\mathcal{V}^n = \mathcal{X}^n$, and given two distinct vertices $\mathbf{x}_1^n = x_{11}^1, \dots, x_{1n}^1 \in [V^n]$, and $\mathbf{x}_2^n = x_{21}^1, \dots, x_{2n}^1 \in [V^n]$, it holds that $(\mathbf{x}_1^n, \mathbf{x}_2^n) \in \mathcal{E}^n$, when \exists at least one $k \in [n]$ such that $(x_{1k}^1, x_{2k}^1) \in \mathcal{E}$.*

n -fold OR products have been extensively used in asymptotically lossless compression of distributed sources for computing functions, see e.g., [11]–[13]. Building on [34], the authors in [11] have demonstrated that given two distributed source variables X_1 and X_2 , the lowest sum rate needed for distributed computing of $f(X_1, X_2)$ could be achieved by encoding the n -fold OR product graphs $G_{X_1}^n$ and $G_{X_2}^n$, in the limit as n goes to infinity.

We next describe how to determine the n -fold OR product G_X^n of a characteristic graph iteratively, from the $(n - 1)$ -fold OR product graph G_X^{n-1} .

Definition 9 (Sub-graphs of G_X^n). *Given an n -fold OR product graph G_X^n , we denote the collection of graphs $\{G_X^n(l)\}_{l \in [V]}$ in G_X^n as the sub-graphs of G_X^n , where each of $G_X^n(l)$ represents $(n - 1)$ -fold OR product of G_X with itself.*

We next define ‘full connection’ that refers to links between sub-graphs in an OR product.

Definition 10 (Full connection between two graphs). *Given $G_1(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{E}_1)$ and $G_2(\mathcal{V}_2, \mathcal{E}_2)$, if for each $x_{1k} \in [V_1]$, and each $x_{2t} \in [V_2]$, there exists an edge (x_{1k}, x_{2t}) , i.e., the bipartite graph formed between $[V_1]$ and $[V_2]$ is complete, we describe the two graphs as having a full connection.*

With these principles established, we next detail their application to functional compression.

B. Coloring of Characteristic Graphs

A valid (proper) vertex coloring of G_{X_1} assigns a color to each vertex such that adjacent vertices receive distinct colors, indicating which source realizations need different codes (colors). Non-adjacent vertices may share the same color, which is known as *traditional graph coloring*. A valid coloring that achieves the minimum entropy among all valid colorings gives a lower bound to the compression rate for the lossless reconstruction of the desired function. The minimum number of colors required to achieve a valid coloring of G_{X_1} is called the chromatic number⁴, $\chi(G_{X_1})$. *Fractional graph coloring* generalizes the concept of traditional coloring by assigning a fixed number of distinct colors from a set of available colors to each $x_k \in [V]$ such that adjacent vertices have non-overlapping sets of colors [13], [64]. Moreover, given the connection between the coloring distribution and the minimum entropy of graphs [34], the fractional chromatic number of G , which is a lower bound on the chromatic number of G , is important to investigate.

We next detail how to obtain a valid b -fold coloring out of a available colors.

Definition 11 (The fractional chromatic number). *A valid b -fold coloring assigns sets of distinct colors with cardinality b to each vertex such that adjacent vertices receive disjoint sets of b colors. A valid $a : b$ coloring is a valid b -fold coloring that uses a total of a available colors.*

⁴In general, the problem of determining $\chi(G_{X_1})$ is NP-complete [50].

The notation $\chi_b(G)$ represents the b -fold chromatic number of G , with the smallest a number of colors, such that an $a : b$ coloring exists. The fractional chromatic number of G is given as

$$\chi_f(G) = \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\chi_b(G)}{b} = \inf_b \frac{\chi_b(G)}{b}, \quad (3)$$

where $\chi_b(G) \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and the second equality follows from the subadditivity of $\chi_b(G)$ [64].

Given a graph G , the fractional chromatic number of its n -fold product is computed as [13]:

$$\chi_f(G^n) = (\chi_f(G))^n. \quad (4)$$

Traditional coloring is a special case of the valid $a : b$ coloring of G^n where $b = 1$ and $a = \chi(G^n)$.

To investigate a general characteristic graph G and its chromatic number and entropy, we aim to leverage the eigenvalue relationships of its adjacency matrix. Therefore, we define the GCT for square and block matrix representations to address coloring in general characteristic graphs.

C. Gershgorin Circle Theorem (GCT)

We next introduce the GCT for the eigenvalues of square matrices and later establish their connection to the chromatic number in Section III-C.

Definition 12 (GCT for square matrices [51]). *Given a square matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{V \times V}$ with elements a_{kt} , where $k, t \in [V]$, we define D_k as a circle that contains the eigenvalue $\lambda_k(\mathbf{A})$ as follows:*

$$D_k = \{\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}) \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}) - a_{kk}| \leq \sum_{t \neq k} |a_{kt}|\}, \quad (5)$$

where $\sum_{t \neq k} |a_{kt}|$ is the sum of the absolute values of the non-diagonal entries in the k -th row of \mathbf{A} , and the set of all eigenvalues $\Xi(\mathbf{A}) = \{\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}) : k \in [V]\}$ satisfies $\Xi(\mathbf{A}) \in D_1 \cup D_2 \cup \dots \cup D_V$.

Given that \mathbf{A}_f^n is a block matrix, we next define GCT for block matrices.

Definition 13 (GCT for block matrices [51], [65]). *Consider a symmetric matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{mn \times mn}$, composed of n block matrices, where each block matrix is denoted by $\mathbf{A}_{kt} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ for $k, t \in [n]$. Let $\Xi(\mathbf{A})$ represent the set of all eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} . The circle corresponding to eigenvalue λ_k of the block matrix \mathbf{A} is then defined as follows:*

$$D_k^b = \{\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}) \in \Xi(\mathbf{A}) : |\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}) - \lambda_l(\mathbf{A}_{kk})| \leq \sum_{t=1, t \neq k}^n |\mathbf{A}_{kt}|\}, \quad (6)$$

where $l \in [n]$, and the set of eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} , i.e., $\Xi(\mathbf{A})$, satisfy

$$\Xi(\mathbf{A}) \in \cup_{k=1}^n D_k^b. \quad (7)$$

From (6), we deduce that the regions (circles) covering the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} are centered at eigenvalues of \mathbf{A}_{kk} , and circles' radius are enlarged by the size of the non-diagonal matrices \mathbf{A}_{kt} . Given an n -fold OR product graph with an adjacency matrix \mathbf{A}_f^n , where \mathbf{A}_f^n is a $V^n \times V^n$ binary and symmetric matrix, the circles D_k^b in (6) can be simplified to block intervals δ_k^b .

III. BOUNDS ON CYCLIC AND REGULAR GRAPHS

We here detail characteristic graphs that are d -regular and derive lower and upper bounds on their chromatic numbers and graph entropies. Our novel contributions include the characterization

of chromatic numbers for n -fold OR products of cycles, as well as a novel coloring scheme for OR products of odd cycles, as detailed in Section III-A. In Section III-B, we bound the entropy of characteristic graphs for cycles. Given a cyclic graph, in Section III-C, we analyze its key properties using the eigenvalues of its adjacency matrix, and in Section III-D, we bound its chromatic number. In Section III-E, we characterize the degrees and chromatic numbers for regular graphs and their OR products. Section III-F details the expansion rates of OR products of regular graphs, with implications on the fundamental limits of compressibility of such graphs.

A. Coloring Cyclic Graphs

Let C_i be a cycle graph with i vertices, that represents the characteristic graph that source X_1 builds for computing f (similarly for source X_2). For an even cycle $i = 2k$, and for an odd cycle, $i = 2k + 1$, for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. We seek to compress G_{X_1} and G_{X_2} to recover the desired function outcome at a receiver in an asymptotically lossless manner. To that end, we will determine the minimum entropy coloring for the n -fold OR product of C_i , denoted by C_i^n (and similarly for G_{X_2}), for the receiver to decode f from the received colors.

We start by determining the degree of each vertex in C_i^j for $j \in [n]$.

Proposition 1 (Degree of vertices in C_i^n). *The degrees⁵ in the n -fold OR product of a cycle graph, C_i^n for $n \geq 2$, are calculated as follows:*

$$\deg(x^n) = 2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} 2(V^j) = 2 \cdot \frac{V^n - 1}{V - 1}, \quad \forall x^n \in [V^n]. \quad (8)$$

Proof. See Appendix A. □

From Proposition 1, we infer that for a given pair of vertices $x_t, x_k \in [V]$ where $t \neq k$, if $\deg(x_t) = \deg(x_k)$, then for the n -fold OR product, $\deg(x_t^n) = \deg(x_k^n)$, for $x_t^n, x_k^n \in [V^n]$, i.e., taking the n -fold OR products does not alter the equality of degrees. Therefore, for any d -regular graph (including cycles), we derive the following result about the regularity of its OR products.

Corollary 1. *Given a d -regular graph $G_{d,V}$, where $d = \deg(x)$, its n -fold OR product with itself for $n \geq 1$, i.e., $G_{d,V}^n$, is also a regular graph, with degree $\deg(x^n)$, and total number of edges $E^n = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{V^n} \deg(x^n) \right) / 2$.*

1) *Even Cycles:* Here, we consider even cycles, denoted by C_{2k} , $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Vertices of C_{2k} are sequentially numbered clockwise from 0 to $2k - 1$ (e.g., see G_{X_1} in Figure 1), and alternately colored. Vertices with even indices are assigned one color, while those with odd indices receive another. We next determine the chromatic number of C_{2k}^n , denoted as $\chi(C_{2k}^n)$.

Proposition 2 (Chromatic number of C_{2k}^n). *The chromatic number of C_{2k}^n is given as*

$$\chi(C_{2k}^n) = 2^n, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (9)$$

Proof. Given C_{2k} , with $\chi(C_{2k}) = 2$, its 2-fold OR product C_{2k}^2 consists of $(2k)^2$ vertices and $2k$ sub-graphs, $\{C_{2k}^2(1), C_{2k}^2(2), \dots, C_{2k}^2(2k)\}$, each containing $2k$ vertices. Since each sub-graph is two-colorable and fully connected to its neighbors, adjacent sub-graphs must use different colors.

⁵In regular graphs, where all vertices have the same degree, we omit the index k of x_k in Propositions 1 and 8.

For instance, $\{C_{2k}^2(1), C_{2k}^2(2)\}$ requires 4 colors in total. However, due to the cyclic structure of OR products, alternating colors from $C_{2k}^2(1)$ can cover $C_{2k}^2(3)$, and similarly for odd-indexed sub-graphs, meaning that $\chi(C_{2k}^2) = 4$. This method can also calculate $\chi(C_{2k}^n)$ from $(n-1)$ -fold to n -fold OR products. Figure 2 shows a valid coloring for C_4^3 , where $\chi(C_4^3) = 8$. Similarly, by induction, $\chi(C_{2k}^n)$ satisfies (9). \square




Fig. 2: A valid coloring of C_4^3 with 8 colors.

2) *Odd Cycles*: We here focus on odd cycles, namely C_{2k+1} , $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and their n -fold OR products. In the special case with 3 vertices, C_3 is a complete graph, and a valid coloring requires 3 distinct colors for a receiver to successfully recover the function. Furthermore, for a valid coloring of C_3^n , $\chi(C_3^n) = 3^n$ for $n \geq 1$. For coloring of an odd cycle with the length $i = 2k + 1$, for $k \geq 2$, one could reuse the colors. For instance, given C_5 , we have $\chi(C_5) = 3$. We next present an achievable scheme for valid colorings of general odd cycles.

Proposition 3 (Chromatic numbers of odd cycles). *The chromatic number of C_i^{m+1} , denoted as $\chi(C_i^{m+1})$, can be recursively computed from $\chi(C_i^n)$ as follows:*

$$\chi(C_i^{m+1}) = 2\chi(C_i^n) + \left\lceil \frac{\chi(C_i^n)}{2} \right\rceil, \quad i = 2k + 1 \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 2}. \quad (10)$$

Proof. See Appendix B. \square

For even cycles, from Proposition 2, $\chi(C_i^n) = 2^n$. For odd cycles, in Section III-D, we will establish upper and lower bounds on $\chi(C_i^n)$ using the adjacency matrix of C_i^n , denoted as \mathbf{A}_f^n .




Fig. 3: The 2-fold OR product of C_5 , i.e., C_5^2 , and its valid coloring.

We next demonstrate the gain in terms of the required number of colors of our approach in Proposition 3 over a greedy algorithm that does not leverage the structure of C_{2k+1}^n in coloring.

Proposition 4 (The multiplicative gain of our approach over a greedy coloring algorithm). *The gain of the recursive coloring approach in Proposition 3 for C_{2k+1}^n , $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 2}$, over the greedy algorithm, which calculates $\chi(C_{2k+1})$ and uses $(\chi(C_{2k+1}))^n$ colors for coloring C_{2k+1}^n , is*

$$\eta_n = \frac{(\chi(C_{2k+1}))^n}{\chi(C_{2k+1}^n)} \geq 1.2^n, \quad (11)$$

which is exponential and unbounded as $n \rightarrow \infty$, i.e., $\eta = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_n = \infty$.

Proof. See Appendix C. □




Fig. 4: (Left) $(\chi(C_{2k+1}))^n$ (dashed (blue) curve), and $\chi(C_{2k+1}^n)$ (solid (orange) curve) for any $k \geq 2$. (Right) The gain, i.e., η_n , of the coloring approach in Proposition 3 compared to the Greedy algorithm.

B. Bounding the Chromatic Entropy of Cycles

Here, we establish an upper bound on the chromatic entropy of C_i^n , for $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

1) *Entropy of an even cycle:* From Proposition 2, $\chi(C_{2k}^n) = 2^n$. We recall that the chromatic entropy $H_{C_{2k}^n}^{\chi}(\mathbf{X}_1)$, which is the minimum achievable entropy of a valid coloring of C_{2k}^n [4], [34], and the characteristic graph entropy $H_{C_{2k}}(X_1)$ are related as follows [4, Theorem 5]:

$$H_{C_{2k}}(X_1) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H_{C_{2k}^n}^{\chi}(\mathbf{X}_1), \quad (12)$$

where

$$H_{C_{2k}^n}^{\chi}(\mathbf{X}_1) = \min_{\mathcal{C}_{C_{2k}^n}} H(\mathcal{C}_{C_{2k}^n}). \quad (13)$$

If the distribution of C_{2k}^n is uniform, then $H_{C_{2k}}(X_1)$ is given as follows:

$$H_{C_{2k}}(X_1) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log_2 2^n = 1 \text{ bits}. \quad (14)$$

2) *Entropy of an odd cycle:* We next examine the chromatic and graph entropies of C_{2k+1} . Unlike C_{2k} , the coloring PMF for odd cycles, $p(\mathcal{C}_{C_{2k+1}^n})$, is non-uniform (i.e., $H_{C_{2k+1}^n}^{\chi}(\mathbf{X}_1) < \log_2 \chi(C_{2k+1}^n)$), as demonstrated through the examples below.

Example 2. Given that X_1 follows a uniform distribution over an alphabet \mathcal{X}_1 such that $|\mathcal{X}_1| = 5$ and with a characteristic graph $G_{X_1} = C_5$, the coloring PMF satisfies, $p(C_{C_5}) = \{\frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{5}\}$ with $\chi(C_5) = 3$, and using (13) for chromatic entropy, yields $H_{C_5}^X(X_1) = \min_{C_{C_5}} H(C_{C_5}) = 1.52$. For the 2-fold OR product graph C_5^2 , where the PMF that satisfies the minimum coloring entropy is $p(C_{C_5^2}) = \{\frac{4}{25}, \frac{4}{25}, \frac{4}{25}, \frac{4}{25}, \frac{4}{25}, \frac{2}{25}, \frac{2}{25}, \frac{1}{25}\}$ with $\chi(C_5^2) = 8$, similarly using (13) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}H(C_{C_5^2}) = 1.37 < H(C_{C_5}) = 1.52 \quad \text{bits} . \quad (15)$$

While from Example 2, we can determine $H_{C_5}^X(X_1)$ and $H_{C_5^2}^X(X_1)$, determining $H_{C_5^n}^X(\mathbf{X}_1)$, which corresponds to the minimum entropy among all possible valid colorings, becomes complex for large n . Next, given a characteristic graph $G_{X_1} = C_{2k+1}$, we establish an upper bound on $H_{C_{2k+1}}^X(X_1)$ by employing (12) and (13) and devising valid colorings for the MISs of C_{2k+1}^n .

Proposition 5 (An upper bound on $H_{C_{2k+1}}^X(X_1)$). *The entropy is upper bounded as follows:*

$$H_{C_{2k+1}}^X(X_1) \leq \frac{1}{n}H\left(\alpha_n \cdot \left(\frac{k^n}{(2k+1)^n}\right), \alpha_{n-1} \cdot \left(\frac{k^{(n-1)}}{(2k+1)^n}\right), \dots, \alpha_0 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{(2k+1)^n}\right)\right), \quad (16)$$

where α_t , $t \in [n] \cup \{0\}$ represents the number of MISs with $|MIS_{C_{2k+1}^t}|$, and α_n satisfies

$$\frac{(2k+1)^n \cdot (k^2 - 1)}{k^{2(n+1)} - 1} \cdot k^n < \alpha_n < \frac{(k^{2(n+1)} - 1) \cdot (2k+1)^n \cdot k^{(n-1)}}{(2k+1)^{2n} \cdot (k^2 - 1) \cdot k^{(n-1)} - (k^{2(n+1)} - 1)}. \quad (17)$$

Proof. See Appendix D. □

In (16), as color reuse increases (i.e., independent sets with high cardinality and high α_n), $H_{C_{2k+1}}^X(X_1)$ decreases. We later generalize Proposition 5 to general graphs in Section IV-C.

Next, we apply Proposition 5 to C_5^3 to derive an upper bound to $H(C_{C_5^3})$.

Example 3. The cardinalities of the MISs for different graph products C_5^j , where $j \in [3] \cup \{0\}$, are given as $|MIS_{C_5^0}| = 2^0$, $|MIS_{C_5^1}| = 2^1$, $|MIS_{C_5^2}| = 2^2$, and $|MIS_{C_5^3}| = 2^3$, respectively, where $\chi(C_5^3) = 20$, which can be recursively computed using (10). Employing Proposition 5 yields

$$\alpha_3 \cdot \left(\frac{8}{125}\right) + \alpha_2 \cdot \left(\frac{4}{125}\right) + \alpha_1 \cdot \left(\frac{2}{125}\right) + \alpha_0 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{125}\right) = 1. \quad (18)$$

Employing the ordering $\alpha_3 > \alpha_2 > \alpha_1 > \alpha_0$ in (18) leads to the simplification, $64\alpha_0 + 16\alpha_1 + 4\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \leq 125$. Hence, $\alpha_0 \leq \frac{25}{17}$. Because $\alpha_t \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $t \in [3] \cup \{0\}$, we have $\alpha_0 = 1$. Employing the same ordering in (18) also yields the simplification $8\alpha_3 + 4(\frac{\alpha_3}{2}) + 2(\frac{\alpha_3}{4}) + \frac{\alpha_3}{8} \geq 125$, which yields the condition $\alpha_3 \geq \frac{200}{17}$. Because $\alpha_t \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, (18) yields the upper bound $\alpha_3 \leq 15$. Employing these lower and upper bounds to (16) leads to $1.37 \leq \frac{1}{3}H_{C_5^3}^X(\mathbf{X}_1) \leq 1.41$.

Next, we consider another example for C_5^3 , where we employ Proposition 5 to determine α_t 's and $p(C_{C_5^3})$ (similar to Examples 2-3). We then apply Huffman coding [66] to the coloring random variable to optimize the compression rate of C_5^3 .

Example 4 (Huffman coding for a given characteristic graph coloring). *Consider the setting of Example 2, where $\chi(C_5) = 3$. MISs are represented by the colors Y , B , and R with PMF $p(C_{C_5}) = \{\frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{5}\}$. To achieve $H_{C_5}^X(X_1)$ approximately, a binary Huffman tree is constructed for each color. The assigned codes are $Y : 1$, $R : 00$, and $B : 01$. Similarly, for C_5^2 , from (10), $\chi(C_5^2) = 8$. The color set is denoted as $\mathcal{C}(C_5^3) = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_8\}$, with the corresponding*

Huffman codes: $c_1 : 11$, $c_2 : 000$, $c_3 : 001$, $c_4 : 010$, $c_5 : 011$, $c_6 : 101$, $c_7 : 1000$, and $c_8 : 1001$. For C_5^3 , $\chi(C_5^3) = 20$, we have the following coloring PMF:

$$p(C_{C_5^3}) = \left\{ \frac{8}{125}, \dots, \frac{8}{125}, \frac{4}{125}, \dots, \frac{4}{125}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{1}{5} \right\}, \quad (19)$$

where there are $\alpha_3 = 13$ colors with probability $\frac{8}{125}$, $\alpha_2 = 4$ colors with probability $\frac{4}{125}$, $\alpha_1 = 2$ colors with probability $\frac{2}{125}$, and $\alpha_0 = 1$ color with probability $\frac{1}{125}$, where the set of α_t 's are uniquely determined employing $\chi(C_5^3) = \sum_{t=0}^3 \alpha_t = 20$ and $\alpha_t \geq \alpha_{t-1}$. Building the binary Huffman encoding tree using $p(C_{C_5^3})$ in (19), helps assign codes for encoding $C_{C_5^3}$, that achieves the minimum average code length.

Next, we examine the relationship between the chromatic numbers of cycles and the eigenvalues of their adjacency matrices.

C. Eigenvalues of the Adjacency Matrices of C_i

Let $\mathbf{A}_f \in \{0, 1\}^{V \times V}$ be the adjacency matrix of $G(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$, where $\mathbf{A}_f(l_1, l_2) = 1$ if distinct vertices $l_1, l_2 \in [V]$ must be distinguished, and 0 otherwise. Since there are no self-loops, $\mathbf{A}_f(l_1, l_1) = 0$. The adjacency matrix of the 2-fold OR product, \mathbf{A}_f^2 , is composed of diagonal blocks of \mathbf{A}_f , where entries equal to 1 are replaced with all-one matrices \mathbf{J}_V , and zeros with all-zero matrices \mathbf{Z}_V , representing full or no connectivity between sub-graphs $C_i^2(l)$ for $l \in [V]$.

Similarly, we can construct \mathbf{A}_f^n for the n -fold OR product using induction. Given the n -fold OR product of C_i with itself, the adjacency matrix of C_i^n , has the following block structure:

$$\mathbf{A}_f^n = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_f^{n-1} & \mathbf{J}_{V^{n-1}} & \mathbf{Z}_{V^{n-1}} & \dots & \mathbf{Z}_{V^{n-1}} & \mathbf{J}_{V^{n-1}} \\ \mathbf{J}_{V^{n-1}} & \mathbf{A}_f^{n-1} & \mathbf{J}_{V^{n-1}} & \mathbf{Z}_{V^{n-1}} & \dots & \mathbf{Z}_{V^{n-1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{J}_{V^{n-1}} & \mathbf{Z}_{V^{n-1}} & \mathbf{Z}_{V^{n-1}} & \dots & \mathbf{J}_{V^{n-1}} & \mathbf{A}_f^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (20)$$

consisting of V row-block matrix partitions of size V^{n-1} each. In every block row of \mathbf{A}_f^n , there are exactly two $\mathbf{J}_{V^{n-1}}$ matrices and one \mathbf{A}_f^{n-1} , i.e., the adjacency matrix of the $(n-1)$ -fold OR product of C_i . We next characterize the eigenvalues of the all-ones matrix \mathbf{J}_V of size $V \times V$, which represents full connectivity between adjacent sub-graphs in the 2-fold OR product (see Definition 8). The proof of the following lemma is given in [1, Lemma 1].

Lemma 1. *The eigenvalues of the all-ones matrix $\mathbf{J}_V \in 1^{V \times V}$ are 0 and V , with algebraic multiplicities $V-1$ and 1, respectively.*

From Lemma 1, we have $\lambda_1(\mathbf{J}_V) = V$. To calculate the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A}_f^n , one needs to solve $|\mathbf{A}_f^n - \lambda(\mathbf{A}_f^n)\mathbf{I}_{V^n}| = 0$. Let $\{\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f), k \in [V]\}$ be the set of eigenvalues of \mathbf{A}_f , and $\{\nu_k(\mathbf{A}_f^2), k \in [V^2]\}$ be the set of eigenvalues of the $V^2 \times V^2$ matrix \mathbf{A}_f^2 . We also let $\{\mathbf{u}_k, k \in [V]\}$ and $\{\mathbf{v}_k, k \in [V^2]\}$ be the sets of eigenvectors of \mathbf{A}_f and \mathbf{A}_f^2 , respectively. Solving $\mathbf{A}_f \mathbf{u} = \lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f) \mathbf{u}$ determines $\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f)$ for $k \in [V]$, associated with \mathbf{u} . Similarly, for the 2-fold OR product graph, the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A}_f^2 are determined by solving

$$\mathbf{A}_f^2 \mathbf{v} = \nu(\mathbf{A}_f^2) \mathbf{v}, \quad (21)$$

where $\mathbf{v} = [\mathbf{v}_1^\top, \mathbf{v}_2^\top, \dots, \mathbf{v}_V^\top]^\top$, and \mathbf{v}_k is a $V \times 1$ vector, for each $k \in [V]$. In other words, for each $\nu(\mathbf{A}_f^2)$, we have a set of V block row equations, each containing V scalar equations. More specifically, $\nu(\mathbf{A}_f^2)$ in (21) satisfies the following V block equations:

$$\mathbf{A}_f \mathbf{v}_k + \mathbf{J}_V \mathbf{v}_{k+1} + \mathbf{J}_V \mathbf{v}_{k-1} = \nu(\mathbf{A}_f^2) \mathbf{v}_k, \quad k \in [V]. \quad (22)$$

Using (22), we next derive the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A}_f^n .

Theorem 1 (Distinct eigenvalues of C_i^n). *The adjacency matrix \mathbf{A}_f^n for C_i^n where $n \geq 2$, has the same distinct eigenvalues of \mathbf{A}_f^{n-1} as well as two new distinct eigenvalues.*

Proof. See Appendix E. □

Next, in Section III-D, we will examine the relation between the eigenvalues and the chromatic number of C_i^n to establish lower and upper bounds on $\chi(C_i^n)$.

D. Bounding the Chromatic Number of C_i Using the Eigenvalues of \mathbf{A}_f

Given a cycle C_i with an adjacency matrix \mathbf{A}_f , where we denote by $\vartheta(C_i)$ the set of its distinct eigenvalues, $\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_f)$ its largest, and $\lambda_V(\mathbf{A}_f)$ its smallest eigenvalue [67]. Exploiting these, we can derive the following lower and upper bounds on $\chi(C_i)$ as follows [68], [69]:

$$1 - \frac{\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_f)}{\lambda_V(\mathbf{A}_f)} \leq \chi(C_i) \leq \lfloor \lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_f) \rfloor + 1, \quad (23)$$

where two lower bounds on λ_V have been derived in [70] and [71], respectively:

$$\lambda_V(\mathbf{A}_f) \geq -\sqrt{2E \cdot ((V-1)/2)}, \quad (24)$$

$$\lambda_V(\mathbf{A}_f) \geq -\sqrt{V/2 \cdot ((V+1)/2)}. \quad (25)$$

We next apply the bound in (23) to $\chi(C_i^j)$ corresponding to \mathbf{A}_f^j , $j \in [n]$. To that end, let us consider an example where $i = 5$ and $j = 2$. Given C_5 , the set of distinct eigenvalues of \mathbf{A}_f , using numerical evaluation, are given as $\vartheta(C_5) = \{-1.618, 0.618, 2\}$. Note also that $\vartheta(C_5^2) = \{-6.09, -1.61803, 0.61803, 5.09016, 12\}$ for \mathbf{A}_f^2 . Consider the set $\vartheta(C_5^2)$, where $\lambda_{V^2}(\mathbf{A}_f^2) = -6.09$, for the 2-fold OR product graph C_5^2 , an application of (24) yields $\lambda_{V^2}(\mathbf{A}_f^2) \geq -60$. Similarly, an application of (25) yields that $\lambda_{V^2}(\mathbf{A}_f^2) \geq -12.748$. Hence, for the 2-fold OR product, one can find that the bound in [71] is tighter for cycles and their n -fold OR products.

We do not have an exact characterization for $\lambda_{V^n}(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$. However, using the lower bounds in (24) and (25) can help derive a lower bound on $\chi(C_i^n)$. On the other hand, for $\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$, recalling from Proposition 1 that all vertices of C_i^n for $n \geq 2$ have equal degrees, and exploiting this feature, we next derive an exact characterization of $\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$, for $n \geq 2$.

Proposition 6 (The largest eigenvalue for the adjacency matrix of C_i^n). *The largest eigenvalue of C_i is $\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_f) = 2$, and the largest eigenvalue of the n -fold OR product C_i^n is determined as*

$$\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_f^n) = 2 + \sum_{j \in [n-1]} (2V^j), \quad n \geq 2. \quad (26)$$

Proof. We prove it using Definition 1, (23), and the proof of Theorem 1—which leverages the fact that the eigenvalues of the sum of adjacency matrices equal the sum of their individual eigenvalues. The calculation of eigenvalues for the adjacency matrix of C_i^j is given in (22),

which links the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A}_f^j with the two \mathbf{J}_V matrices of size $V^{j-1} \times V^{j-1}$ in each block row. From (61) and (62), the largest eigenvalue for a power graph C_i^n is obtained by adding $\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_f^{n-1})$ for a sub-graph C_i^{n-1} and twice the largest eigenvalue of $\mathbf{J}_{V^{n-1}}$, which is V^{n-1} (see Lemma 1). Thus, $\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_f) = 2$, and for $n \geq 2$, we achieve (26). \square

Next, we present new bounds on $\chi(C_i^j)$ by exploiting (23), (24) and (25) which lower bound $\lambda_{V^j}(\mathbf{A}_f^j)$, and Proposition 6, which gives the exact value of $\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_f^j)$.

Proposition 7 (Bounding $\chi(C_i^n)$ using eigenvalues of \mathbf{A}_f^n). *The chromatic number $\chi(C_i^n)$ is lower and upper bounded as*

$$1 - \frac{2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (2V^j)}{\max \left(-\sqrt{\frac{V^n}{2} \cdot \frac{(V^n+1)}{2}}, -\sqrt{2E^n \cdot \frac{(V^n-1)}{2}} \right)} \leq \chi(C_i^n) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (2V^j) + 3. \quad (27)$$

Proof. Combining (23), which bounds λ_1 and λ_V of \mathbf{A}_f , with Proposition 6, as well as (25) that lower bounds λ_V [71], we have $1 - \frac{2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (2V^j)}{\lambda_{V^n}} \leq \chi(C_i^n) \leq \lfloor 2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (2V^j) \rfloor + 1$. We further simplify $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$, because λ_1 is a positive integer (see Proposition 6). Finally, substituting λ_{V^n} with the maximum of the lower bounds in (24) and (25) leads to (27). \square

To complement Proposition 7, we next derive another bound that depicts the relation between the degree of each node in C_i^n and $\chi(C_i^n)$.

Corollary 2 (Bounding $\chi(C_i^n)$ using degrees of C_i^n). *$\chi(C_i^n)$ satisfies the following relation:*

$$1 + \frac{\deg(x^n)}{\sqrt{2E^n - (V^n - 1) \cdot (\deg(x^n)) + (1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 2V^i) \cdot (\deg(x^n))}} \leq \chi(C_i^n) \leq \lfloor \deg(x^n) \rfloor + 1. \quad (28)$$

Proof. To characterize $\deg(x^n)$, we apply (8) from Proposition 1, and to bound $\chi(C_i^n)$, we use (23). Then, we apply the lower bound on λ_{V^n} given in [72], which yields

$$\lambda_V(\mathbf{A}_f) \geq -\sqrt{2E - (V - 1) \cdot \left(\min_{x \in [V]} \deg(x) \right) + \left(\min_{x \in [V]} \deg(x) - 1 \right) \cdot \left(\max_{x \in [V]} \deg(x) \right)}, \quad (29)$$

giving the lower bound in (28). For the upper bound, we use (26), where $\deg(x^n) = \lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$. \square

Next, we consider d -regular graphs, and characterize the vertex degrees and chromatic numbers for the n -fold OR products of d -regular graphs.

E. From Cycles to d -Regular Graphs

Building on our analysis for C_i^n in Sections III-A-III-C, we now focus on d -regular source characteristic graphs. Next, we derive a closed-form expression for the degree of $G_{d,V}^n$.

Proposition 8 (Degrees of vertices in $G_{d,V}^n$). *Given a d -regular graph $G_{d,V} = G(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$, the degree of each vertex in the n -fold OR product, denoted by $G_{d,V}^n$, for $n \geq 2$, is expressed as:*

$$\deg(x^n) = d \cdot \frac{V^n - 1}{V - 1}, \quad \forall x^n \in [V^n]. \quad (30)$$

Proof. The proof follows from employing Definition 6 for $G_{d,V}$. For details, see Appendix F. \square

Using (30), for the n -fold OR product of $G_{d,V}$ where V is even, we next determine $\chi(G_{d,V}^n)$.

Proposition 9 (The chromatic number of $G_{d,V}^n$). *The chromatic number of the n -fold OR product of $G_{d,V}$ with an even number of vertices, i.e., $V = 2k$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, is determined as:*

$$\chi(G_{d,V}^n) = d^n, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (31)$$

Proof. See Appendix G. □

For example, the 3-regular graph $G_{3,6}$ (see Figure 5) has $\chi(G_{3,6}) = 3$. For $n = 2$, there are 6 sub-graphs, namely $\{G_{3,6}^2(l)\}_{l \in [6]}$, where $\chi(G_{3,6}^2(l)) = 3$ for all $l \in [6]$. Let us choose a set of vertices in $G_{3,6}^2$ belonging to $\{G_{3,6}^2(5), G_{3,6}^2(6), G_{3,6}^2(1)\}$. We observe that this chosen subset is a complete graph, indicating that $\chi(G_{3,6}^2) \geq 9$. Reusing the same colors for the vertices of the remaining sub-graphs ($G_{3,6}^2(2), G_{3,6}^2(3), G_{3,6}^2(4)$), we deduce that $\chi(G_{3,6}^2) = 3^2 = 9$.




Fig. 5: A 3-regular graph, $G_{3,6}$, is distinguished by a dashed square and $\chi(G_{3,6}^2) = 9$.

Next, given n -fold OR products of d -regular graphs, $G_{d,V}^n$, we examine their expansion properties and present bounds on their *expansion rates*.

F. d -Regular Graphs and Graph Expansion

Graph expansion quantifies how well-connected a graph is by measuring how easily subsets of vertices are connected to the remaining vertices of the graph. Graph expansion has applications in fields such as parallel computation [73], [74], complexity theory, and cryptography [75], [76] due to its strong vertex connectivity and robustness properties [44]. In expander graphs, for any pair of distinct vertices $u, v \in [V]$, a path from u to v exists (see Definition 5). We next examine expansion rates for several classes of expander graphs, including $G_{d,V}$, C_i , and K_i .

Given $G_{d,V}$, the second largest eigenvalue of its adjacency matrix \mathbf{A}_f , namely $\lambda_2(\mathbf{A}_f)$, contributes to the linear expansion of $G_{d,V}$, where the number of edges grows linearly with the total number of vertices. Since the n -fold OR product of $G_{d,V}$ yields a $\deg(x^n)$ -regular graph, it exhibits the connectivity properties of expander graphs.

Proposition 10 (A lower bound on expansion rates of $G_{d,V}^n$). *The expansion rate of $G_{d,V}^n$, given its adjacency matrix \mathbf{A}_f^n , is lower bounded as follows:*

$$E_\theta(G_{d,V}^n) \geq \frac{(d \cdot \frac{V^n-1}{V-1})^2}{\Lambda^2(G_{d,V}^n) + ((d \cdot \frac{V^n-1}{V-1})^2 - \Lambda^2(G_{d,V}^n)) \cdot \frac{|Y|}{V^n}}, \quad (32)$$

where

$$\Lambda(G_{d,V}^n) = \max(\lambda_2(\mathbf{A}_f^n), |\lambda_{V^n}(\mathbf{A}_f^n)|), \quad (33)$$

with $\lambda_2(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$ and $\lambda_{V^n}(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$ being the second and the smallest eigenvalues of \mathbf{A}_f^n , respectively.

Proof. As noted in Section II, for a given $G_{d,V}$ with \mathbf{A}_f , $\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_f) = d$, and the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A}_f^n for any connected $G_{d,V}^n$ are ordered as $\{d \geq \lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_{V^n}\}$. For any subset Y of $G_{d,V}$, [77] shows that the size of $|N_G(Y)|$ satisfies the following:

$$|N_G(Y)| \geq \frac{d^2 \cdot |Y|}{\Lambda^2(G) + (d^2 - \Lambda^2(G)) \cdot \frac{|Y|}{V}}. \quad (34)$$

In (34), $\Lambda(G) = \max(\lambda_2, |\lambda_{V^n}|) \leq d$, equality holds if and only if G is bipartite, or is disconnected (composed of singleton vertices) [44]. Dividing both sides of (34) by $|Y|$, letting $E_\theta(G^n) = |N_{G^n}(Y)|/|Y|$, and taking in the total number of vertices, V^n , in (34) yields (32). \square

In Proposition 10, given a graph $G_{d,V}$, $\Lambda(G_{d,V})$ captures its connectivity and structural balance. We infer that as $|Y|$ increases, the expansion rate is small, indicating less connectivity between vertices. Given a connected $G_{d,V}$, as evident from (2), the maximum expansion rate, denoted by E_θ^{ub} , is achieved when $G_{d,V}^n$ is a complete graph, K_i^n , where $i \in [V^n]$, as follows:

$$E_\theta^{ub} \geq \frac{(V^n - 1)^2}{1 + ((V^n - 1)^2 - 1) \cdot \frac{|Y|}{V^n}}. \quad (35)$$

The minimum expansion rate, denoted by E_θ^{lb} , is achieved when $G_{d,V}^n = C_i^n$ because C_i^n has the minimum number of edges to ensure a Hamiltonian path for each $x \in [V]$. This yields

$$E_\theta^{lb} \geq \frac{(2 \cdot \frac{V^n-1}{V-1})^2}{\lambda_{V^n}^2(C_i^n) + ((2 \cdot \frac{V^n-1}{V-1})^2 - \lambda_{V^n}^2(C_i^n)) \cdot \frac{|Y|}{V^n}}. \quad (36)$$

We next examine the relationship between $\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_f)$ and $\lambda_2(\mathbf{A}_f)$ for sub-graphs of an expander graph, such as $G_{d,V}$. To this end, we apply [43, Lemma 3], which states that for any subset of vertices, $Y \subseteq [V]$ in $G_{d,V}$, the induced sub-graph⁶ S satisfies the following property:

$$\lambda_1(S) \leq \lambda_2(\mathbf{A}_f) + (d - \lambda_2(\mathbf{A}_f)) \cdot (|Y|/V). \quad (37)$$

For a connected 2-regular graph (C_i), adapting (37) and selecting vertex sets S and Y such that $Y \cup S = C_i^n$, we establish a simplified relation between $\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_f^{n-1})$ and $\lambda_2(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$, as follows.

Corollary 3 ($\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_f^{n-1})$ versus $\lambda_2(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$ in 2-regular graphs). *The relationship between $\lambda_2(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$ of C_i^n and $\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_f^{n-1})$ of C_i^{n-1} is detailed as follows:*

$$\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_f^{n-1}) \leq \lambda_2(\mathbf{A}_f^n) + \left(2 \cdot \frac{V^n - 1}{V - 1} - \lambda_2(\mathbf{A}_f^n)\right) \cdot \frac{1}{V}. \quad (38)$$

Proof. Given the relation between $\lambda_1(S)$ and $\lambda_2(\mathbf{A}_f)$ for $G_{d,V}$ in (37), we consider that $G_{d,V}^n = C_i^n$, and we choose sub-graphs Y and S accordingly, as sub-graphs of C_i^n , where

$$Y \subseteq \bigcup_{t \neq l, t \in [V]} C_i^n(t) = \{C_i^n(1), C_i^n(2), \dots, C_i^n(V)\} \setminus \{C_i^n(l)\}. \quad (39)$$

⁶An induced sub-graph is formed by selecting a subset of vertices $S \subseteq [V]$ includes all edges in \mathcal{E} whose endpoints lie in S .

Using (37), by selecting $S \subset \{C_i^n(1), C_i^n(2), \dots, C_i^n(V)\}$, namely $C_i^n(l)$, for the LHS of (37), in which each sub-graph is isomorphic to C_i^{n-1} , i.e., $S = (\bigcup_t C_i^n(t))^c$. Consequently, using (39), S , and substituting them in (37), we reach the statement in (38). \square

Next, we investigate general functions with arbitrary characteristic graphs. Building on Section III, we present bounds on the chromatic number of their n -fold OR products.

IV. BOUNDS FOR GENERAL CHARACTERISTIC GRAPHS

Here, we focus on general characteristic graphs $G(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$, their n -fold OR products, G^n . Given a graph G with an adjacency matrix \mathbf{A}_f , we first evaluate $\deg(x_k)$ for $x_k \in [V]$, and derive bounds on $\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_f)$ and $\chi(G)$ in Section IV-A. We also present bounds on expansion rates and establish lower and upper bounds on entropies of G^n in Sections IV-B and IV-C, respectively. Finally, for the n -fold OR product G^n , we introduce an approach that decomposes \mathbf{A}_f^n to two symmetric block matrices and leverages GCT to investigate the spectrum of G^n in Section IV-D.

A. Degrees and Chromatic Numbers of General Graphs

Given a general graph G , we next derive a recursive relation for $\deg(x_k^n)$ for $x_k^n \in [V^n]$, where $\deg(x_k^n)$ may vary across vertices, providing a generalization of Propositions 1 and 8.

Corollary 4 (Degrees of vertices in G^n). *Given a general graph $G(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$, the degrees of vertices of G^n are calculated as follows:*

$$\deg(x_k^n) = \deg(x_k) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \deg(x_k) \cdot V^j, \quad \forall x_k \in [V^n]. \quad (40)$$

Proof. Similarly to Propositions 1 and 8, we can compute $\deg(x_k)$ for $x_k \in [V]$, with the distinction that each x_k may have a different degree. For the 2-fold OR product, each vertex, $x_k^2(l)$ for $l \in V$, connects to $\deg(x_k)$ adjacent sub-graphs. Since neighboring nodes differ across x_k , we iteratively compute the degrees of x_k^n separately for each x_k . \square

Corollary 4 immediately implies that if vertices $x_t, x_k \in [V]$ for $t \neq k$ have equal degrees in G^1 , i.e., $\deg(x_t) = \deg(x_k)$, then $\deg(x_t^n) = \deg(x_k^n)$ in the n -fold OR product, G^n , $n \geq 2$.

Given a general graph G , we next devise lower and upper bounds on $\chi(G^n)$. To that end, we exploit the block matrix representation of \mathbf{A}_f^n (see (20)) and use the maximum number of sub-matrices $\mathbf{J}_{V^{n-1}}$ in the rows of \mathbf{A}_f^n .

Corollary 5 (Bounds on $\chi(G^n)$ for a general G). *Given a general characteristic graph $G(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$, the chromatic number of G^n , $\chi(G^n)$, is bounded as follows:*

$$1 - \frac{\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_f) + d_{\max} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} V^j}{\lambda_{V^n}(\mathbf{A}_f^n)} \leq \chi(G^n) \leq \left\lceil \lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_f) + d_{\max} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} V^j \right\rceil, \quad (41)$$

Proof. The non-sparsity of an adjacency matrix, i.e., more 1s in its entries, is directly related to its largest eigenvalue. The full connection between sub-graphs in the OR product is represented by \mathbf{J}_V , with $\lambda_1(\mathbf{J}_V) = V$. Thus, the row with the most \mathbf{J}_V matrices provides an upper bound for the largest eigenvalue. Additionally, the average number of $\mathbf{J}_{V^{n-1}}$ matrices across block rows of \mathbf{A}_f^n , multiplied by V^{n-1} (which represents $\lambda_1(\mathbf{J}_{V^{n-1}})$), approximates $\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$. By modifying (23) and using d_{\max} of G to approximate $\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$, we can establish a bound for $\chi(G^n)$. \square

Corollary 5 refines the bounds in (23), Proposition 7 and Corollary 2 by leveraging the exact value of $\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$ and accounting for the specific structure of G . Given Corollary 5, let us investigate the computational complexity for determining the eigenvalues $\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$ and contrast it with the QR method⁷ for calculating $\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$, with a computation complexity of $O(V^{3n})$. However, using Corollary 5, the overall complexity remains at $O(V^3)$. This is because eigenvalue computation for G has a complexity of $O(V^3)$, the summations in RHS and LHS of (41) has $O(n)$, and the maximization step (determining d_{\max}) has $O(V)$. Hence, the dominant term, i.e., $O(V^3)$, dominates the final complexity.

Next, given a general $G(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ with an adjacency matrix \mathbf{A}_f , we derive lower and upper bounds on $\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$ using Lemma 1, where the lower and upper bounds are functions of the minimum and maximum values of $\deg(x_k)$ for $x_k \in [V]$.

Corollary 6 (Bounds on $\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$ for a general G). *Given a general graph G , the largest eigenvalue for the adjacency matrix of G^n , denoted by $\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$, is bounded as follows:*

$$\min_{k \in [V]} (\deg(x_k)) \cdot \lambda_1(\mathbf{J}_{V^{n-1}}) \leq \lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_f^n) \leq \max_{k \in [V]} (\deg(x_k)) \cdot \lambda_1(\mathbf{J}_{V^{n-1}}) , \quad (42)$$

where we infer that

$$\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_f^n) \approx d_{\text{avg}}(x_k) \cdot \lambda_1(\mathbf{J}_{V^{n-1}}) . \quad (43)$$

B. Bounds on Expansion Rates of General Graphs

Here, given a general graph G , we investigate the expansion of G^n . We exploit (35), derived from the characteristics of the n -fold OR products of complete graphs, to obtain the upper bound, E_{θ}^{ub} , and (36), derived from the n -fold OR products of cycles, to obtain the lower bound, i.e., E_{θ}^{lb} . We next establish lower and upper bounds on $E_{\theta}(G^n)$.

Corollary 7 (Bounds on $E_{\theta}(G^n)$). *The expansion rate for the n -fold OR product of a general characteristic graph $G(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ is lower and upper bounded as follows:*

$$E_{\theta}^{lb} \leq E_{\theta}(G^n) \leq E_{\theta}^{ub} , \quad (44)$$

where E_{θ}^{ub} , derived from K_i^n (representing a fully connected characteristic graph), and E_{θ}^{lb} , from C_i^n (representing a connected graph with the minimum number of edges), for $i = V^n$.

Proof. See Appendix H. □

Recall that the lower bound for $E_{\theta}(G_{d,V}^n)$ in (32) is given in terms of $\Lambda(G^n) = \max(\lambda_2(\mathbf{A}_f^n), |\lambda_{V^n}(\mathbf{A}_f^n)|)$. Whereas in Corollary 7, we use exact values of $\Lambda(K_i^n)$ and $\Lambda(C_i^n)$ for upper and lower bounds, respectively, with \mathbf{A}_f^n denoting each graph's adjacency matrix. Given G^n , $E_{\theta}(G^n)$ reflects its connectivity, with higher values leading to limited savings in source compression.

C. Bounds on Entropies of General Graphs

Here, we derive upper and lower bounds on the graph entropies for general characteristic graphs. For the upper bound, we use a similar achievability approach as in the case of C_i^n (see

⁷The QR transformation iteratively decomposes a matrix $\mathbf{A}_{(t)}$ where $t \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ denotes the iteration index, into an orthogonal matrix $\mathbf{Q}_{(t)}$ and an upper triangular matrix $\mathbf{R}_{(t)}$, satisfying $\mathbf{A}_{(t)} = \mathbf{Q}_{(t)}\mathbf{R}_{(t)}$. Then, the next iteration is given by $\mathbf{A}_{(t+1)} = \mathbf{R}_{(t)}\mathbf{Q}_{(t)}$. Under typical conditions (e.g., \mathbf{A} is diagonalizable with distinct eigenvalues), it converges to an upper triangular matrix whose diagonal approximates $\lambda_k(\mathbf{A})$. For an $m \times m$ matrix, QR requires a computational complexity of $O(m^3)$ [78].

Proposition 5), which relies on coloring the MISs of sub-graphs of G^n , i.e. G^j for $j \in [n]$. For the lower bound, we employ fractional coloring applied to the n -fold OR products of general graphs to establish a bound on $H_G(X_1)$. We next derive an upper bound on $H_G(X_1)$.

Proposition 11 (An upper bound on $H_G(X_1)$). *Given a characteristic graph $G(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$, the entropy of G^n is upper bounded as follows:*

$$H_G(X_1) \leq \frac{1}{n} H\left(\alpha_n \cdot \left(\frac{|MIS_{G^n}|}{V^n}\right), \alpha_{n-1} \cdot \left(\frac{|MIS_{G^{(n-1)}}|}{V^n}\right), \dots, \alpha_0 \cdot \frac{1}{V^n}\right), \quad (45)$$

where α_t , $t \in [n] \cup \{0\}$ represents the number of MISs of G^t with a cardinality of $|MIS_{G^t}|$.

Proof. See Appendix I. □

Despite the upper bound in Proposition 11, to the best of our knowledge, with *traditional coloring schemes* for $G(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ where the total number of vertices is odd, i.e., $V = 2k + 1$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 2}$, there is no established lower bound for $H_G(X_1)$. To that end, in Corollary 8, we derive a lower bound on $H_G(X_1)$ by employing the concept of *fractional coloring* (see Definition 11).

Corollary 8 (A lower bound on $H_G(X_1)$). *The entropy of $H_G(X_1)$ for a general connected characteristic graph $G(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ with $V = 2k + 1$ and Hamiltonian path, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 2}$, and under uniform distribution of X_1 , is lower bounded by*

$$\log_2 \left(\frac{2k + 1}{k} \right) \leq H_G(X_1). \quad (46)$$

Proof. See Appendix J. □

From Corollary 8, we infer that employing fractional coloring, and (4), yields a lower bound on $H_G(X_1)$. For $k = 2$, the lower bound using C_5 for the graph $G(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ with $V = 5$ is given by $1.32 \leq H_G(X_1)$, which matches the Shannon capacity of the pentagon [8].

D. Spectra of General Graphs

Given a general graph G , we analyze the spectrum of \mathbf{A}_f using the concept of GCT, as detailed in Definition 12. We then exploit this spectrum to establish bounds on $\chi(G^n)$. For G^n , using the symmetry of $\mathbf{A}_f^n = (\mathbf{A}_f^{n-1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_V + \mathbf{J}_V \otimes \mathbf{A}_f^{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{V^n \times V^n}$, where \otimes denotes the Kronecker product, we infer that circle D_k , in which an eigenvalue $\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$ is contained, simplifies to an interval:

$$\delta_k = \{\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f^n) \in \mathbb{R} : |\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f^n) - a_{kk}^n| \leq \sum_{t \neq k} |a_{kt}^n|\}, \quad k, t \in [V^n], \quad (47)$$

a_{kk}^n and $\sum_{t \neq k} |a_{kt}^n|$ denote the diagonal elements and the sums of non-diagonal elements in the k -th row of \mathbf{A}_f^n , respectively, then for $k = Vk' + i'$, and $t = Vt' + j'$, the elements are defined as $a_{kt}^n = (a_{k',t'}^{n-1} \cdot \Delta_{i',j'} + a_{i',j'}^{n-1} \bmod 2)$ where $\Delta_{i',j'}$ denotes the Kronecker delta function (i.e., $\Delta_{i',j'} = 1$ if $i' = j'$, and $\Delta_{i',j'} = 0$ otherwise).

We next refine δ_k by exploiting the concept of block GCT, where using Definition 13 helps enclose each given $\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$ within an interval denoted by δ_k^b . Interval k is characterized by the diagonal sub-matrices \mathbf{A}_{kk} , corresponding to \mathbf{A}_f^{n-1} , and the non-diagonal sub-matrices \mathbf{A}_{kt} , consisting of $\mathbf{Z}_{V^{n-1}}$ and $\mathbf{J}_{V^{n-1}}$, which represent disconnected and connected components of G^n , respectively. However, the block GCT representation leads to loose bounds on $\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$ and

subsequently on $\chi(G^n)$ via (23). To tighten these bounds, in Theorem 2 and Corollary 9, we derive $\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$ by leveraging GCT intervals from (5) and (7), and using a decomposition-based approach that splits \mathbf{A}_f^n into two symmetric matrices.

Theorem 2 ($\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$ via splitting \mathbf{A}_f^n into two symmetric matrices). *The eigenvalues of \mathbf{A}_f^n , denoted by $\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$, are given as follows:*

$$\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f^n) = \lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_{Gr}^n) + \lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_{fc}^n), \quad k \in [V^n], \quad (48)$$

where \mathbf{A}_{Gr}^n is a block diagonal matrix with diagonal blocks formed from \mathbf{A}_f^{n-1} , and $\mathbf{A}_{fc}^n = \mathbf{A}_f^n - \mathbf{A}_{Gr}^n$ captures the non-diagonal elements of \mathbf{A}_{Gr}^n .

Proof. See Appendix K. □

Theorem 2 demonstrates that by decomposing \mathbf{A}_f^n into \mathbf{A}_{Gr}^n and \mathbf{A}_{fc}^n , we can capture the connections between $\mathbf{A}_f^{n-1}(l)$, $l \in [V]$, corresponding to the sub-graphs of G^n .

Next, we describe an iterative technique to determine $\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$ from $\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f)$.

Corollary 9. *The eigenvalues of \mathbf{A}_f^n can be iteratively calculated as follows:*

$$\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f^n) = \lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f) + \sum_{j=2}^n \lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_{fc}^j), \quad k \in [V^n]. \quad (49)$$

Proof. See Appendix L. □

Theorem 2 and Corollary 9 illustrate that leveraging the block structure of \mathbf{A}_f^n reduces complexity compared to [79]. In Proposition 12, we use Theorem 2 to derive a tighter bound on $\chi(G^n)$ than those from the block GCT representation intervals in (7).

Proposition 12 (Bounds on $\chi(G^n)$ using GCT). *Given a general graph $G(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$, the chromatic number $\chi(G^n)$ is bounded as follows:*

$$1 - \frac{\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_{Gr}^n) + \lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_{fc}^n)}{-\sqrt{(V^n/2) \cdot [(V^n + 1)/2]}} \leq \chi(G^n) \leq \lfloor \lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_{Gr}^n) + \lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_{fc}^n) \rfloor + 1. \quad (50)$$

Proof. To prove this result, we use the bounds for $\chi(G^n)$ in (23) using the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A}_f^n , adjust $\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$ and $\lambda_{V^n}(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$, and by utilizing (48) from Theorem 2, and (25), respectively. □

For the RHS and LHS in (50), (43) provides a tighter approximation on $\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$ compared to (42), which stems from employing [80, Lemma 5], and $d_{\text{avg}} \cdot V^{n-1} \leq |\delta^b(\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_f^n))|/2$.

Example 5. Consider a characteristic graph G_1 with an adjacency matrix

$$\mathbf{A}_{f_1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (51)$$

with a set of distinct eigenvalues $\vartheta(G_1) = \{2.4812, 0.6889, 0, -1.1701, -2\}$. Using GCT, we derive five intervals $\{\delta_k\}_{k \in [5]} = \{[-2, 2], [-2, 2], [-2, 2], [-3, 3], [-3, 3]\}$ for \mathbf{A}_{f_1} , one for each eigenvalue, where each δ_k is centered at 0 since $\text{trace}(\mathbf{A}_{f_1}^2) = 0$. Two unique intervals with the largest lengths are $\delta_1 = [-2, 2]$ and $\delta_2 = [-3, 3]$, which are used to determine $\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_{f_1})$.

From Theorem 2 and Corollary 9, we have $\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_{f_1}^2) \in [12, 18]$. Applying the GCT for block matrices (see (7) in Section II-C), we obtain $\Xi(\mathbf{A}_{f_1}^2) \in \cup_{k=1}^5 \delta_k^b$, where $\delta^b = [-18, 18]$ includes all possible eigenvalues but provides a less precise estimate than (48). Refining the bounds for $\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_{f_1}^2)$ using the $d_{\text{avg}}(x_k)$ in (42) and the upper bound in (50) gives a more precise interval of $[12, 15]$. This range shows the upper bound is 3 units tighter than the maximum degree method.

$$\mathbf{A}_{f_1}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} \boxed{A_f} & \boxed{z} & \boxed{z} & \boxed{z} & \boxed{z} \\ \boxed{z} & \boxed{A_f} & \boxed{z} & \boxed{z} & \boxed{z} \\ \boxed{z} & \boxed{z} & \boxed{A_f} & \boxed{z} & \boxed{z} \\ \boxed{z} & \boxed{z} & \boxed{z} & \boxed{A_f} & \boxed{z} \\ \boxed{z} & \boxed{z} & \boxed{z} & \boxed{z} & \boxed{A_f} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \boxed{z} & \boxed{J} & \boxed{z} & \boxed{z} & \boxed{J} \\ \boxed{J} & \boxed{z} & \boxed{J} & \boxed{J} & \boxed{z} \\ \boxed{z} & \boxed{J} & \boxed{z} & \boxed{J} & \boxed{z} \\ \boxed{z} & \boxed{J} & \boxed{J} & \boxed{z} & \boxed{J} \\ \boxed{J} & \boxed{z} & \boxed{z} & \boxed{J} & \boxed{z} \end{bmatrix}$$

Fig. 6: Splitting the adjacency matrix $\mathbf{A}_{f_1}^2$ into two symmetric matrices \mathbf{A}_{Gr}^2 and $\mathbf{A}_{f_1}^2$.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we addressed the problem of distributed functional compression by introducing novel coloring-based encoding schemes for source characteristic graphs. We analyzed various graph topologies—cycles (C_i), d -regular graphs ($G_{d,V}$), and general graphs (G)—and their n -fold OR product realizations (C_i^n , $G_{d,V}^n$, and G^n), exploring the interplay between adjacency matrix eigenvalues and chromatic numbers to develop low-entropy colorings schemes and derive bounds on the compression rate for asymptotically lossless function compression.

For cycles, we derived bounds on the degrees of C_i^n and proposed a recursive coloring scheme for C_{2k+1}^n , which computes valid colorings in polynomial time by leveraging their structural properties. We also investigated the relationship between the spectra of C_i^n and the chromatic numbers $\chi(C_i^n)$ to establish bounds on the minimum entropy colorings.

For d -regular graphs, we analyzed the degrees and eigenvalues for $G_{d,V}^n$. We also investigated the connection between the OR products of $G_{d,V}$ and graph expansion described by the spectral properties of $G_{d,V}$. This enabled us to derive upper and lower bounds on the expansion rates of general characteristic graphs, where the expansion rate reflects the connectivity of sub-graphs, where higher connectivity implies an increase in $H_{G^n}^\chi(\mathbf{X}_1)$.

For general characteristic graph topologies G , we derived bounds on the degrees and eigenvalues of G^n using the block matrix representation of the adjacency matrix of G^n , denoted by \mathbf{A}_f^n , in order to achieve a reduced computational complexity for deriving the eigenvalues $\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$ for $k \in [V^n]$ compared to the QR method [78], [81]. By leveraging the GCT approach, we provided upper and lower bounds on $\lambda(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$ and compared these with the iterative \mathbf{A}_f^n decomposition method (see Theorem 2 and Corollary 9), which exploits the properties of eigenvalues of symmetric matrices to produce tighter bounds for $\lambda(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$.

Future directions include examining connections between functional compression and other graph properties, such as diameter, graph decomposition, and graph complement, and devising lossy compression schemes. Our compression approach captures various characteristic graph topologies, which can be exploited to represent unions of source characteristic graphs and user

demands in multicast and broadcast computation settings to establish fundamental lower bounds on communication costs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors acknowledge the constructive comments of Dr. Kizhakke and Mr. Tanha.

APPENDIX

A. Proof of Proposition 1

Consider $C_i = G(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$, where for each $x \in [V]$, $\deg(x) = 2$ (see Definition 6). The 2-fold OR product is denoted by C_i^2 , and it has V sub-graphs $\{C_i^2(1), C_i^2(2), \dots, C_i^2(V)\}$. For a given sub-graph, say $C_i^2(l)$, $l \in [V]$, any $x^2(l) \in C_i^2(l)$, where $x^2(l)$ denotes a vertex in the l -th replica of C_i^2 , is connected to any vertex of the adjacent sub-graphs, namely $\in \{C_i^2((l-1) \bmod (V)), C_i^2((l+1) \bmod (V))\}$. Therefore, each vertex in $C_i^2(l)$ has V edges to $C_i^2((l-1) \bmod (V))$ and $C_i^2((l+1) \bmod (V))$ each. Accounting for the set of edges between adjacent sub-graphs, and each vertex's degree in the sub-graph itself, the degree of each vertex in C_i^2 is $\deg(x^2) = 2 + 2V$. For $n = 3$, this results in $\deg(x^3) = 2 + 2V + 2V^2$.

For a given $C_i^{n-1}(l)$, each vertex $x^{n-1}(l)$ is connected to all vertices in the adjacent sub-graphs, $C_i^{n-1}((l-1) \bmod (V))$ and $C_i^{n-1}((l+1) \bmod (V))$. Similarly, the degree for the $(n-1)$ -fold OR product, by considering the previous OR product's degree and using induction, is going to be $\deg(x^{n-1}) = 2 + 2V + 2V^2 + \dots + 2V^{n-2} = 2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} 2(V^j)$. Therefore, for building C_i^n , using the same procedure, there are V sub-graphs $\{C_i^n(1), C_i^n(2), \dots, C_i^n(V)\}$. Like C_i^2 , the sub-graph $C_i^n(l)$, $l \in [V]$, is fully connected to the adjacent sub-graphs $\{C_i^n((l-1) \bmod (V)), C_i^n((l+1) \bmod (V))\}$. Therefore, for C_i^n , $\deg(x^n)$ is calculated using (8).

B. Proof of Proposition 3

Given a cycle C_5 with $\chi(C_5) = 3$, for coloring C_5^2 , $\chi(C_5^2) = 8$, as shown in Figure 3, saving one color compared to the greedy algorithm's $(\chi(C_5))^2 = 9$ colors. Instead of coloring the entire graph, our approach assigns coloring sets to sub-graphs, for finding an optimal coloring. The coloring sets for valid coloring of sub-graphs, namely $C_i(l)$ for sub-graph l , ensure that no two neighboring sets share colors. To achieve valid colorings, vertices are divided into sub-graphs, each assigned a set of colors. For C_5 , a valid coloring requires three distinct colors $\{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$.

The cardinality of the coloring set $C_i^j(l)$ changes based on the chromatic number of the previous OR product $\chi(C_i^{j-1})$. However, the number of coloring sets is always constant and equal to the number of vertices in C_i , for $i = V$. Given C_5 , 5 coloring sets are assigned to the sub-graphs. To cover the sub-graphs assigned to the first two color sets, the adjacent coloring sets cannot share the same colors. Thus, $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_6\}$ are required in the first two coloring sets, namely $C_5^2(1)$ and $C_5^2(2)$. Consequently, we can reuse the colors from the first set, since there is no edge between sub-graphs $C_5^2(1)$ and $C_5^2(3)$. Hence, by adding only 2 colors to the third color set and using them in a cyclic manner, all vertices are colored with only 8 colors, allowing us to express $C_5^2(l)$ for $l \in [5]$ as: $C_5^2(1) = \{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$, $C_5^2(2) = \{c_4, c_5, c_6\}$, $C_5^2(3) = \{c_7, c_8, c_1\}$, $C_5^2(4) = \{c_2, c_3, c_4\}$, $C_5^2(5) = \{c_5, c_6, c_7\}$. For C_5^3 , we have $|C_5^3(l)| = 8$, and applying the same method yields $\chi(C_5^3) = 20$. Thus, $C_5^3(l)$ for $l \in [5]$ can be expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned} C_5^3(1) &= \{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_6, c_7, c_8\}, & C_5^3(2) &= \{c_9, c_{10}, c_{11}, c_{12}, c_{13}, c_{14}, c_{15}, c_{16}\}, \\ C_5^3(3) &= \{c_{17}, c_{18}, c_{19}, c_{20}, c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\}, & C_5^3(4) &= \{c_5, c_6, c_7, c_8, c_9, c_{10}, c_{11}, c_{12}\}, \\ C_5^3(5) &= \{c_{13}, c_{14}, c_{15}, c_{16}, c_{17}, c_{18}, c_{19}, c_{20}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using induction we show that $\chi(C_5^1) = 3$, $\chi(C_5^2) = 8$, $\chi(C_5^3) = 20$, $\chi(C_5^4) = 50$, $\chi(C_5^5) = 125$, $\chi(C_5^6) = 313$, and so on. Following this pattern for $n+1$, we reach $\chi(C_i^{n+1}) = 2\chi(C_i^n) + \left\lceil \frac{\chi(C_i^n)}{2} \right\rceil$.

C. Proof of Proposition 4

From (10) in Proposition 3, for C_{2k+1}^n with $k \geq 2$, we infer that

$$2\chi(C_{2k+1}^{n-1}) + \frac{\chi(C_{2k+1}^{n-1})}{2} \leq \chi(C_{2k+1}^n) \leq 2\chi(C_{2k+1}^{n-1}) + \frac{\chi(C_{2k+1}^{n-1})}{2} + 1. \quad (52)$$

Exploiting the number of colors needed for coloring C_{2k+1}^n using greedy algorithm, which is given as $(\chi(C_{2k+1}^n))^n = 3^n$, and (52), the gain, η_n , lies in the following interval:

$$\frac{3^n}{2\chi(C_{2k+1}^{n-1}) + \frac{\chi(C_{2k+1}^{n-1})}{2} + 1} \leq \eta_n \leq \frac{3^n}{2\chi(C_{2k+1}^{n-1}) + \frac{\chi(C_{2k+1}^{n-1})}{2}}. \quad (53)$$

For $n = 1$, we have $\chi(C_{2k+1}) = \chi_{\text{Greedy}}(C_{2k+1}) = 3 = \chi_1$. For $n \geq 2$, $\chi_{\text{Greedy}}(C_{2k+1}^n) = 3^{n-1}\chi_1$, whereas our cyclic approach yields

$$\chi(C_{2k+1}^n) \geq \left(\frac{5}{2}\right)^{n-1} \chi_1, \quad n \geq 2. \quad (54)$$

Substituting $\chi(C_{2k+1}^{n-1}) \geq \left(\frac{5}{2}\right)^{n-2} \chi_1$ in the denominator of the upper bound in (53), where the ratio of the nominator to the denominator leads to $\eta_n \leq \frac{3^{n-1}}{\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)^{n-1}}$. Furthermore, using (54), the denominator of the lower bound in (53) is lower bounded as:

$$2\chi(C_{2k+1}^{n-1}) + \frac{\chi(C_{2k+1}^{n-1})}{2} + 1 \geq 2\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)^{n-2} \chi_1 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)^{n-2} \chi_1 + 1 = \left(\frac{5}{2}\right)^{n-1} \chi_1 + 1, \quad (55)$$

and from (55), $\eta_n \geq \frac{3^{n-1}\chi_1}{\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)^{n-1}\chi_1 + 1}$, and as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the lower and upper bounds match.

D. Proof of Proposition 5

By using the recursive coloring approach for $\chi(C_{2k+1}^n)$ in (10) and calculating the coloring PMFs assigned to MISs, we derive the upper bound for $H_{C_{2k+1}}(X_1)$. The cardinality of MISs for C_{2k+1} is $|\text{MIS}_{C_{2k+1}}| = k$. Given the full connection of sub-graphs in the n -fold OR product, we deduce that in C_{2k+1}^n , $|\text{MIS}_{C_{2k+1}^n}| = k^n$. To derive the upper bound, we apply constraints on α_t , representing the coefficients for coloring MISs and calculating $\min_{C_{2k+1}^n} H(C_{2k+1}^n)$. The chromatic entropy is bounded by determining $|\text{MIS}_{C_{2k+1}^n}|$ for each sub-graph in $C_{2k+1}^j(l)$, where $j \in [n]$ and $l \in [V]$, while satisfying the valid coloring constraints described as follows.

$$(a) \quad \left(\sum_{t=1}^n \alpha_t \cdot \frac{k^t}{V^n} \right) + \alpha_0 \cdot \frac{1}{V^n} = 1, \quad (b) \quad \alpha_t \geq k \cdot \alpha_{t-1}, \quad (c) \quad \alpha_0 = 1, \quad (56)$$

(a) illustrates the PMF of colors assigned to MISs. In each sub-graph, $|\text{MIS}_{C_{2k+1}^j}|$ represents the maximum independent set size of $C_{2k+1}^j(l)$ for $l \in [V]$. (b) ensures larger MISs are assigned more colors to minimize the total number of colors used. (c) indicates $|\text{MIS}_{C_{2k+1}^0}|$ refers to the MIS of a singleton, representing a color assigned to a single node. Since, when $V = 2k + 1$

is odd, the sum of cardinalities of independent sets in C_{2k+1}^j requires an odd object. Then, by incorporating constraints described in (56)-(a), and (b), we have

$$\alpha_n \cdot \left(\frac{k^n}{(2k+1)^n} \right) + \alpha_{n-1} \cdot \left(\frac{k^{(n-1)}}{(2k+1)^n} \right) + \cdots + \alpha_0 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{(2k+1)^n} \right) \geq 1. \quad (57)$$

Using (57), and properties of geometric series, we obtain

$$1 = \sum_{t=0}^n \frac{\alpha_t k^t}{(2k+1)^n} < \frac{\alpha_n}{(2k+1)^n} \cdot \sum_{t=0}^n \frac{k^t}{k^{n-t}} = \frac{\alpha_n}{(2k+1)^n} \cdot \frac{1}{k^n} \cdot \frac{(k^2)^{(n+1)} - 1}{k^2 - 1}, \quad (58)$$

where the final equality follows from computing the summation, which leads to $\alpha_n > \frac{(2k+1)^n k^n (k^2-1)}{k^{2(n+1)} - 1}$. Next, to derive the upper bound on α_n , we assign $\alpha_1 = 0$ and maximize α_n . From (58), we have

$$1 = \sum_{t=0}^n \frac{\alpha_t k^t}{(2k+1)^n} > \frac{\alpha_n}{(2k+1)^n} \cdot \sum_{t=0}^n \frac{k^t}{k^{n-t}}, \quad (59)$$

where reordering (59), we have the following upper bound on α_n :

$$\alpha_n < \frac{(k^{2(n+1)} - 1) \cdot (2k+1)^n \cdot k^{(n-1)}}{(2k+1)^{2n} \cdot (k^2 - 1) \cdot k^{(n-1)} - (k^{2(n+1)} - 1)}. \quad (60)$$

Similarly, using (60) we can bound α_t where $t < n$, which concludes our proof.

E. Proof of Theorem 1

Assume \mathbf{A}_f has V eigenvalues. To find the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A}_f^2 , one must solve (22), derived from evaluating (21), yielding V equations per block row and V^2 equations in total. Hence, there are V^2 equations, from which the remaining $(V-1) \times V$ equations are just replicas of the eigenvalues of any given block row, due to cyclic symmetry. In (22), the terms with indices $k+1$ and $k-1$ are in modulo V . Two additional equations are necessary to calculate $\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f^j)$ where $k \in [V^j]$, as the power j increases from $n-1$ to n . Both \mathbf{A}_f and \mathbf{J}_V are diagonalizable, i.e., there exists an invertible matrix \mathbf{P} such that $\mathbf{A}_f = \mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{A}_f} \mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{J}_V = \mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{J}_V} \mathbf{P}$, where $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{A}_f}$ and $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{J}_V}$ are diagonal matrices. Hence, the sum $\mathbf{A}_f + \mathbf{J}_V$ satisfies

$$\mathbf{A}_f + \mathbf{J}_V = \mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{A}_f} \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{J}_V} \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}^{-1} (\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{A}_f} + \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{J}_V}) \mathbf{P}.$$

The eigenvalues of $\mathbf{A}_f + \mathbf{J}_V$ can now be computed using the diagonal of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{A}_f} + \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{J}_V}$, where $\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f)$ and $\lambda_k(\mathbf{J}_V)$ represent the eigenvalue of \mathbf{A}_f and \mathbf{J}_V matrices for $k \in [V]$, respectively.

$$\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f + \mathbf{J}_V) = \lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f) + \lambda_k(\mathbf{J}_V), \quad k \in [V]. \quad (61)$$

From Lemma 1, the number of distinct eigenvalues of \mathbf{A}_f^2 differs from the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A}_f at most by two, and similarly for the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A}_f^j , $j \in \mathbb{Z}^{+\geq 2}$ derived from \mathbf{A}_f^{j-1} of the $(j-1)$ -fold OR product graph. From (20), the sub-matrices \mathbf{A}_f^{j-1} in \mathbf{A}_f^j take the following form

$$\mathbf{A}_f^{j-1} \mathbf{v}_k + \mathbf{J}_{V^{j-1}} \mathbf{v}_{k+1} + \mathbf{J}_{V^{j-1}} \mathbf{v}_{k-1} = \nu \mathbf{v}_k, \quad k \in [V], \quad (62)$$

where $j \in \mathbb{Z}^{+\geq 2}$, and the column vector \mathbf{v}_k has dimensions $V^{j-1} \times 1$. For the n -fold OR product, \mathbf{A}_f^n , is constructed by combining \mathbf{A}_f^{n-1} , $\mathbf{J}_{V^{n-1}}$ from the $(n-1)$ -fold OR product, and $\mathbf{Z}_{V^{n-1}}$ matrices, as in (20). The first block row of \mathbf{A}_f^n includes \mathbf{A}_f^{n-1} , two $\mathbf{J}_{V^{n-1}}$ matrices representing full connections to adjacent sub-graphs, and $\mathbf{Z}_{V^{n-1}}$ matrices indicating no connections, all of which affect eigenvalue computation. Thus, C_i^n has two more distinct eigenvalues than C_i^{n-1} .

F. Proof of Proposition 8

Given $G_{d,V} = G(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$, its 2-fold OR product is denoted by $G_{d,V}^2$, consisting of V sub-graphs, $G_{d,V}^2 = \{G_{d,V}^2(1), G_{d,V}^2(2), \dots, G_{d,V}^2(V)\}$. For a given sub-graph $G_{d,V}^2(l)$, where $l \in [V]$, any vertex $x^2(l)$ is connected to vertices in d adjacent sub-graphs between following indices $(l - \lceil \frac{d}{2} \rceil, l + \lceil \frac{d}{2} \rceil)$, i.e., $\in \{G_{d,V}^2((l - \lceil \frac{d}{2} \rceil) \bmod (V)), \dots, G_{d,V}^2((l - 1) \bmod (V)), G_{d,V}^2((l + 1) \bmod (V)), \dots, G_{d,V}^2((l + \lceil \frac{d}{2} \rceil) \bmod (V))\}$. Thus, each vertex in $G_{d,V}^2(l)$ has $d \times V$ edges to these adjacent sub-graphs. For $n = 2$, $\deg(x^2) = d + dV$, accounting for both edges within sub-graphs and edges to adjacent sub-graphs. For $n = 3$, accounting for edges to adjacent sub-graphs and those within each sub-graph (i.e., $\deg(x^2)$) yields $\deg(x^3) = d + dV + dV^2$. For a given $G_{d,V}^{n-1}(l)$, each vertex $x^{n-1}(l)$ is connected to all vertices in the adjacent sub-graphs $\{G_{d,V}^{n-1}((l - \lceil \frac{d}{2} \rceil) \bmod (V)), \dots, G_{d,V}^{n-1}((l - 1) \bmod (V)), G_{d,V}^{n-1}((l + 1) \bmod (V)), \dots, G_{d,V}^{n-1}((l + \lceil \frac{d}{2} \rceil) \bmod (V))\}$. Similarly, for the $(n-1)$ -fold OR product, $\deg(x^{n-1})$ is calculated by induction, starting from the degree in the previous product, which yields $\deg(x^{n-1}) = d + dV + dV^2 + \dots + dV^{n-2} = d \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} (V^j)$. Therefore, for $G_{d,V}^n$, there are V sub-graphs, where $G_{d,V}^n(l)$, $l \in [V]$, is fully connected to the adjacent sub-graphs, and for $x^n \in [V^n]$, $\deg(x^n) = d + d \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} V^j$. Thus, $\deg(x^n)$ is given in closed form by (30).

G. Proof of Proposition 9

For any graph $G(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ where $\max_{k \in [V]} \deg(x_k) \geq 3$ and no complete sub-graph exists of size $\max_{k \in [V]} \deg(x_k) + 1$, G can be colored with at most $\max_{k \in [V]} \deg(x_k)$ colors, making it $\max_{k \in [V]} \deg(x_k)$ -colorable [82]. For any $G_{d,V}$, $\chi(G_{d,V}) \geq \omega(G_{d,V})$, where $\omega(G_{d,V})$ represents the clique number, i.e., the size of the largest complete sub-graph (clique) in $G_{d,V}$ [83].

Upper and lower bounds on $\chi(G_{d,V})$ hold for $d, V \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $V \geq d + 1$. From Proposition 8, $G_{d,V}^n$ is a regular graph. In $G_{d,V}^2$, a clique of size d exists among d adjacent sub-graphs, leading to $\chi(G_{d,V}^2) = d^2$, as at least d colors are needed per sub-graph. Similarly, in the j -fold OR product, each sub-graph connects to d others, forming a d^j clique, resulting in $\chi(G_{d,V}^n) = d^n$.

H. Proof of Corollary 7

For the upper bound in (44), note that for K_i where $i = V$, the largest eigenvalue is $V - 1$ with multiplicity 1, and -1 has multiplicity $V - 1$ [84]. Thus, $\Lambda(K_i^n) = \max(\lambda_2(\mathbf{A}_f^n), |\lambda_{V^n}(\mathbf{A}_f^n)|) = 1$, and using (32), we obtain the RHS of (44). Subsequently, for the lower bound in (44), we adjust (32) for C_i^n , and determine $E_\theta(C_i^n)$. For computing $\Lambda(C_i^n)$, we note that C_i^n is a d -regular graph as specified in Proposition 1. Therefore, the eigenvalues satisfy $\{\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_{V^n}\}$. Noting that the diagonal entries of \mathbf{A}_f^n are zero allows us to deduce that $\text{trace}(\mathbf{A}_f^n) = 0$. Given that for C_i^n , $\lambda_1(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$ is positive and has the largest cardinality, $\lambda_{V^n}(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$ must be approximately equal in magnitude (negative value) and greater in value than $\lambda_2(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$ so that the trace becomes zero, as numerically demonstrated for C_i^n in Section III-D, which indicates the cardinality of λ_k follows the order $\{d \geq |\lambda_1| > |\lambda_{V^n}| > |\lambda_2| > \dots\}$. Therefore, by replacing $\Lambda(C_i^n)$ where $\max(\lambda_2(\mathbf{A}_f^n), |\lambda_{V^n}(\mathbf{A}_f^n)|) = |\lambda_{V^n}(\mathbf{A}_f^n)|$, in the denominator of (32), we reach (44).

I. Proof of Proposition 11

We define the cardinality of MISs for the n -fold OR product graph G^n , $|\text{MIS}_{G^n}|$, as follows:

$$|\text{MIS}_{G^n}| = (|\text{MIS}_G|)^n. \quad (63)$$

(63) follows from, given G with MISs of size $|\text{MIS}_G|$, the 2-fold OR product contains ISs of size $|\text{MIS}_G|$ in each sub-graph, giving $|\text{MIS}_G|^2$ in total, and similarly for higher-order products. Using (63) and (1), we derive an upper bound on $H_G(X_1)$ given V^n vertices. As in Proposition 11, we apply constraints on α_t for coloring MISs and compute $H(\mathcal{C}_{G^n})$, which is bounded by evaluating $|\text{MIS}_{G^j}|$ for each j -fold OR product, $j \in [n]$, under valid coloring constraints.

$$(a) \left(\sum_{t=1}^n \alpha_t \cdot \frac{|\text{MIS}_G|^t}{V^n} \right) + \alpha_0 \cdot \frac{|\text{MIS}_{G^0}|}{V^n} = 1, \quad (b) \alpha_t \geq |\text{MIS}_G| \cdot \alpha_{t-1}, \quad (c) \alpha_0 = 1, \quad (64)$$

(a) illustrates the coloring PMFs assigned to MISs, where $|\text{MIS}_{G^n}| = |\text{MIS}_G|^n$ denotes the size of MISs within sub-graphs of G^n . In each sub-graph, $|\text{MIS}_{G^j}|$ represents the maximum independent set size of $G^j(l)$ for $l \in [V]$. Constraints (b) and (c) are identical to those of Proposition 11. By assigning colors to MISs and covering all nodes using α_t , we derive a coloring PMF for MISs with cardinality $|\text{MIS}_{G^n}|$, yielding an achievable chromatic entropy bound for any G . As G^j changes with the power j , the MIS size also changes. For the upper bound, we identify a set of α_t satisfying the minimum entropy coloring [14] under the constraint $\alpha_t > \alpha_{t-1}$,

$$H\left(\alpha_n \cdot \left(\frac{|\text{MIS}_{G^n}|}{V^n}\right), \alpha_{n-1} \cdot \left(\frac{|\text{MIS}_{G^{(n-1)}}|}{V^n}\right), \dots, \alpha_0 \cdot \frac{1}{V^n}\right). \quad (65)$$

Subsequently, we use (13) and normalize the entropy calculated in (65) for the n -fold OR product by a factor of $\frac{1}{n}$, and use (63), which proves the statement of the proposition.

J. Proof of Corollary 8

For any connected $G(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ with $|\mathcal{V}| = 2k + 1$, we have $\chi(C_{2k+1}) \leq \chi(G)$, so $\chi(C_{2k+1}^n)$ gives a lower bound on $\chi(G^n)$. Therefore, we first calculate $\chi_f(C_{2k+1}^n)$, then use it to derive a lower bound on $H_{C_{2k+1}^n}(X_1)$ and subsequently on $H_G(X_1)$. Using $a : b$ coloring for C_{2k+1} , we show that at least $2b + 1$ colors are needed and claim that the infimum of $\chi_f(C_{2k+1}^n)$ occurs at $b = k$. We prove $\chi_b(C_{2k+1}) = 2b + 1$, by contradiction: Assume that $\chi_b(C_{2k+1}) \leq 2b$ and assign each vertex $i \in [2k + 1]$ a coloring set \mathcal{C}_i of size b . For $i \in [2k]$, the coloring sets are defined as $\mathcal{C}_1 = [b]$, $\mathcal{C}_2 = [b + 1, 2b]$, \dots , and $\mathcal{C}_{2k} = [b]$. Using $2b$ colors recursively over the vertices, disjoint color sets are assigned to each node up to the last node x_{2k+1} . However, reusing the previous $2b$ colors for \mathcal{C}_{2k+1} is impossible, leading to a contradiction of the initial assumption. Next from (3), we show that $\frac{2k+1}{k} \leq \chi_f(C_{2k+1})$ holds. From [83], the lower bound on $\chi_f(G)$ is given by $\chi_f(G) \geq \frac{V}{|\text{MIS}_G|}$, which by applying $G = C_{2k+1}$, proves the assumption that $b = k$.

To compute $H_{C_{2k+1}^n}^{\chi}(\mathbf{X}_1)$, we use the bound $H_G^f(X_1) \leq H_G(X_1)$ from [13, Lemma 1], and leveraging their coloring PMF in [13, Proposition 2], yielding as $n \rightarrow \infty$:

$$H_G^f(X_1) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \inf_b \frac{1}{b} \min_{\mathcal{C}_i^f} H(\mathcal{C}_i^f). \quad (66)$$

Given a uniform C_i^n , the entropy is simplified and calculated as $H_{C_{2k+1}^n}^{\chi_f}(\mathbf{X}_1) = \log_2 |\chi_f(C_{2k+1}^n)|$. From (3), the graph entropy of C_{2k+1}^n , incorporating $\frac{1}{n}$ normalization for the n -th realization, is:

$$\frac{1}{n} H_{C_{2k+1}^n}^{\chi}(\mathbf{X}_1) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \log_2 \left(\frac{2b + 1}{b} \right)^n = \log_2 \left(\frac{2b + 1}{b} \right), \quad (67)$$

where the infimum of b is k in (67), this captures $H_{C_{2k+1}}(X_1)$ and validating (46) for any G .

K. Proof of Theorem 2

By Lemma 1, the eigenvalues of the sum of two symmetric matrices equal the sums of their respective eigenvalues. To calculate $\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$ for $k \in [V^n]$, we express \mathbf{A}_f^n as the sum of two symmetric matrices and determine the eigenvalues by summing those of the individual matrices. For $n = 1$, the eigenvalues $\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f)$ can be calculated using GCT or QR decomposition methods. For $n = 2$, the adjacency matrix \mathbf{A}_f^2 is partitioned into $\mathbf{A}_f^2 = \mathbf{A}_{Gr}^2 + \mathbf{A}_{fc}^2$, where \mathbf{A}_{Gr}^2 is a diagonal block with \mathbf{A}_f on the diagonals and \mathbf{Z}_V elsewhere. The term $\mathbf{A}_{fc}^2 = \mathbf{A}_f^2 - \mathbf{A}_{Gr}^2$ is constructed with all-zero matrices \mathbf{Z}_V on the diagonal and \mathbf{J}_V or \mathbf{Z}_V on the off-diagonal blocks based on the sub-graphs connections. This decomposition allows:

$$\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f^2) = \lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_{Gr}^2) + \lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_{fc}^2), \quad k \in [V^2]. \quad (68)$$

Assume that the decomposition holds for $n = j$, $\mathbf{A}_f^j = \mathbf{A}_{Gr}^j + \mathbf{A}_{fc}^j$ and $\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f^j) = \lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_{Gr}^j) + \lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_{fc}^j)$. For $n = j+1$, decompose \mathbf{A}_f^{j+1} as: $\mathbf{A}_f^{j+1} = \mathbf{A}_{Gr}^{j+1} + \mathbf{A}_{fc}^{j+1}$. Here, \mathbf{A}_{Gr}^{j+1} is block diagonal with \mathbf{A}_f^j on the diagonal blocks, and \mathbf{A}_{fc}^{j+1} contains off-diagonal terms derived from $\mathbf{J}_{V^{j+1}}$ and $\mathbf{Z}_{V^{j+1}}$. Thus, by induction, $\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f^{n-1})$ is computed using δ_k for using GCT according to (47), and the decomposition and eigenvalue sum hold for all $n \geq 2$ as shown in (48).

L. Proof of Corollary 9

Consider a block diagonal matrix (e.g., $\mathbf{A}_{Gr}^j \in \mathbb{F}_2^{V^j \times V^j}$, $j \in [n]$), where each diagonal block is identical to $\mathbf{A}_f^{j-1} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{V^{j-1} \times V^{j-1}}$, and all off-diagonal entries are zero. The eigenvalues of \mathbf{A}_{Gr}^j , $j \in [n]$ is equal to $\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f^{j-1})$, $k \in [V^{j-1}]$, but the algebraic multiplicity of each eigenvalue is scaled by the number of times the block \mathbf{A}_f^{j-1} appears on the diagonal. Thus, for the 2-fold OR product, we have $\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f^2) = \lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_{Gr}^2) + \lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_{fc}^2)$. Furthermore, for $n = 3$, we can substitute $\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_{Gr}^3)$ with $\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_{Gr}^2) + \lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_{fc}^2)$, as follows $\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f^3) = \lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_{Gr}^2) + \lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_{fc}^2) + \lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_{fc}^3)$. Similarly, for j -fold OR product, $\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f^j) = \lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_{Gr}^2) + \lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_{fc}^2) + \dots + \lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_{fc}^{j-1}) + \lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_{fc}^j)$, and for $n = j+1$, the relation is $\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f^{j+1}) = \lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_{Gr}^2) + \dots + \lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_{fc}^{j+1})$. Similarly, for the n -fold OR product $\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f^n)$ is calculated as follows:

$$\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f^n) = \lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_{Gr}^2) + \lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_{fc}^2) + \dots + \lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_{fc}^{n-1}) + \lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_{fc}^n), \quad k \in [V^n]. \quad (69)$$

By substituting $\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_{Gr}^2)$ in (69) with $\lambda_k(\mathbf{A}_f)$, we reach the statement of the corollary in (49).

REFERENCES

- [1] M. R. Deylam Salehi and D. Malak, "An achievable low complexity encoding scheme for coloring cyclic graphs," in *Proc., Allerton Conf. Communication, Control, and Computing*, Monticello, IL, Sep. 2023, pp. 1–8.
- [2] C. E. Shannon, "A mathematical theory of communication," *The Bell Sys. Tech. J.*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 379–423, Jul. 1948.
- [3] D. Slepian and J. Wolf, "Noiseless coding of correlated information sources," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 471–480, Jul. 1973.
- [4] N. Alon and A. Orlitsky, "Source coding and graph entropies," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 1329–1339, Sep. 1996.
- [5] M. Pawlak *et al.*, "Postfiltering versus prefiltering for signal recovery from noisy samples," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 49, no. 12, pp. 3195–3212, Dec. 2003.
- [6] T. Ho *et al.*, "A random linear network coding approach to multicast," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 52, no. 10, pp. 4413–4430, Sep. 2006.
- [7] R. Ahlswede *et al.*, "Network information flow," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 1204–1216, Jul. 2000.
- [8] C. Shannon, "The zero error capacity of a noisy channel," *IRE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 8–19, Sep. 1956.
- [9] V. Doshi *et al.*, "Distributed functional compression through graph coloring," in *Proc., IEEE Data Compr. Conf., Snowbird, UT, Mar. 2007*, pp. 93–102.
- [10] —, "Functional compression through graph coloring," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 56, no. 8, pp. 3901–3917, Jul. 2010.

- [11] A. Orlitsky and J. R. Roche, "Coding for computing," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 47, no. 3, p. 903–917, Mar. 2001.
- [12] S. Feizi and M. Médard, "On network functional compression," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 60, no. 9, pp. 5387–5401, Jun. 2014.
- [13] D. Malak, "Fractional graph coloring for functional compression with side information," in *Proc., IEEE Inf. Theory Wksh.*, Mumbai, India, Nov. 2022.
- [14] J. Körner and K. Marton, "How to encode the modulo-two sum of binary sources (corresp.)," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 219–221, Mar. 1979.
- [15] R. Ahlswede and J. Körner, "Source coding with side information and a converse for degraded broadcast channels," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 21, no. 6, pp. 629–637, Nov. 1975.
- [16] T. P. Coleman *et al.*, "Low-complexity approaches to slepian–wolf near-lossless distributed data compression," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 52, no. 8, pp. 3546–3561, Jul. 2006.
- [17] T. Han and K. Kobayashi, "A dichotomy of functions $F(X, Y)$ of correlated sources (X, Y) ," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 69–76, Jan. 1987.
- [18] S. S. Pradhan and K. Ramchandran, "Distributed source coding using syndromes (discus): Design and construction," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 49, no. 3, pp. 626–643, Mar. 2003.
- [19] D. Malak *et al.*, "Multi-server multi-function distributed computation," *Entropy*, vol. 26, no. 6, p. 448, Jun. 2024.
- [20] —, "Multi-functional distributed computation," in *Proc., Allerton Conf. Communication, Control, and Computing*, Urbana, IL, Sep. 2024, pp. 1–8.
- [21] A. Tanha and D. Malak, "The influence of placement on transmission in distributed computing of boolean functions," in *Proc., IEEE Int. Wksh. Signal Proces. Advances in Wireless Commun.*, Lucca, Italy, Sep. 2024.
- [22] A. Khalesi and P. Elia, "Perfect multi-user distributed computing," in *Proc., IEEE Int. Symp. Inf. Theory (ISIT)*, IEEE, Jul. 2024, pp. 1349–1354.
- [23] H. Witsenhausen, "The zero-error side information problem and chromatic numbers (corresp.)," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 592–593, Sep. 1976.
- [24] A. Wyner and J. Ziv, "The rate-distortion function for source coding with side information at the decoder," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 1–10, Jan 1976.
- [25] H. Feng, M. Effros, and S. Savari, "Functional source coding for networks with receiver side information," in *Proc., Annu. Allerton Conf. Commun. Control Comput.*, Monticello, IL, Sep. 2004, pp. 1419–1427.
- [26] H. Yamamoto, "Wyner-Ziv theory for a general function of the correlated sources (corresp.)," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 803–807, Sep. 1982.
- [27] T. Berger and R. W. Yeung, "Multiterminal source encoding with one distortion criterion," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 228–236, Mar. 1989.
- [28] J. Barros and S. D. Servetto, "On the rate-distortion region for separate encoding of correlated sources," in *Proc., IEEE Int. Symp. Inf. Theory*, Yokohama, Japon, Jun. 2003, p. 171.
- [29] A. B. Wagner, S. Tavildar, and P. Viswanath, "Rate region of the quadratic gaussian two-encoder source-coding problem," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 54, no. 5, pp. 1938–1961, Apr. 2008.
- [30] D. Rebollo-Monedero *et al.*, "From t-closeness-like privacy to postrandomization via information theory," *IEEE Trans. Know. and Data Eng.*, vol. 22, no. 11, pp. 1623–1636, 2009.
- [31] F. Shirani and S. S. Pradhan, "A new achievable rate-distortion region for distributed source coding," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 67, no. 7, pp. 4485–4503, May. 2021.
- [32] D. Yuan *et al.*, "Lossy computing with side information via multi-hypergraphs," in *Proc. IEEE ITW*, Nov. 2022, pp. 344–349.
- [33] M. Sefidgaran and A. Tchamkerten, "Computing a function of correlated sources: A rate region," in *Proc., Int. Symp. Inf. Theory*, St. Petersburg, Russia, Jul. 2011, pp. 1856–1860.
- [34] J. Körner, "Coding of an information source having ambiguous alphabet and the entropy of graphs," in *Proc., Prague Conf. Inf. Theory*, Prague, Hungary, Sep. 1973, pp. 411–425.
- [35] A. J. Pettofrezzo and D. R. Byrkit, *Elements of number theory*. Prentice-Hall, 1970.
- [36] C. Paar and J. Pelzl, *Understanding cryptography: textbook for students*. Springer Sci. & Busi. Media, 2009.
- [37] W. Diffie and M. E. Hellman, "Multiuser cryptographic techniques," in *Proc., Nat. Comp. Conf. and Expo.*, New York, NY, Jun 1976, pp. 109–112.
- [38] D. Selent, "Advanced encryption standard," *Rivier Academic Journal*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 1–14, Sep. 2010.
- [39] S. Basu, "International data encryption algorithm (idea)—a typical illustration," *J. Glob. Research Comp. Sci.*, vol. 2, no. 7, pp. 116–118, Aug. 2011.
- [40] G. Knill, "Applications: International standard book numbers," *The Math. Teach.*, vol. 74, no. 1, pp. 47–48, Jan. 1981.
- [41] J. Friedman and J.-P. Tillich, "Generalized alon–boppana theorems and error-correcting codes," *SIAM J. Disc. Mathematics*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 700–718, May 2005.
- [42] N. Berestycki *et al.*, "Random walks on the random graph," *The Annals of Prob.*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 456–490, Oct. 2018.
- [43] N. Kahale, "Better expansion for ramanujan graphs," in *Proc. IEEE Symp. of Found. Comp. Sci.*, Oct. 1991, pp. 398–404.
- [44] —, "On the second eigenvalue and linear expansion of regular graphs," in *Annu. Symp. Found. of Comp. Sci.*, vol. 33, 1992, pp. 296–296.
- [45] P. Felber *et al.*, "Survey on load balancing in peer-to-peer distributed hash tables," *IEEE Com. Surv. & Tutorials*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 473–492, 2013.

- [46] A. Ganesh, L. Massoulié, and D. Towsley, “The effect of network topology on the spread of epidemics,” in *Proc. IEEE Annu. Joint Conf. Comput. Commun. Soc.*, vol. 2, Miami, FL, Mar. 2005, pp. 1455–1466.
- [47] A. Tentes, “Expander graphs, randomness extractors and error correcting codes,” Ph.D. dissertation, Uni. of Patras, 2009.
- [48] G. Szabó and G. Fath, “Evolutionary games on graphs,” *Physics Reports*, vol. 446, no. 4, pp. 97–216, 2007.
- [49] J. You *et al.*, “Identity-aware graph neural networks,” in *Proc. the AAAI on AI*, vol. 35, no. 12, May. 2021, pp. 737–745.
- [50] J. Cardinal, S. Fiorini, and G. Joret, “Tight results on minimum entropy set cover,” *Algorithmica*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 49–60, May. 2008.
- [51] C. Tretter, *Spectral theory of block operator matrices and applications*. World Scientific, Oct. 2008.
- [52] V. Havel, “A remark on the existence of finite graphs,” *Casopis Pro Pestovani Matematiky*, vol. 80, pp. 477–480, 1955.
- [53] R. Beigel, “Finding maximum independent sets in sparse and general graphs,” in *Proc., SODA*, vol. 99, Baltimore, MD, Jan. 1999, pp. 856–857.
- [54] W.-K. Chen, *Graph theory and its engineering applications*. World Scientific, 1997, vol. 5.
- [55] J. F. Nagle, “On ordering and identifying undirected linear graphs,” *J. Math. Phys.*, vol. 7, no. 9, pp. 1588–1592, Sep. 1966.
- [56] A. Nilli, “On the second eigenvalue of a graph,” *Discrete Math.*, vol. 91, no. 2, pp. 207–210, Aug. 1991.
- [57] N. Alon, “Graph powers,” *Contemporary Combinatorics*, vol. 10, pp. 11–28, 2002.
- [58] J.-C. Bermond, “Hamiltonian graphs,” *Sel. topics in graph Theo.*, pp. 127–167, 1979.
- [59] M. Axenovich, “Lecture notes graph theory,” *Karlsruher Institut für Technologie*, 2014.
- [60] J. Bang-Jensen and G. Z. Gutin, *Digraphs: theory, algorithms and applications*. Springer Sci. & Bus. Media, Dec. 2008.
- [61] J. Körner and A. Orlitsky, “Zero-error information theory,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 44, no. 6, pp. 2207–2229, Oct. 1998.
- [62] P. Koulgi *et al.*, “On zero-error source coding with decoder side information,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 99–111, Jan. 2003.
- [63] E. Tuncel, “Kraft inequality and zero-error source coding with decoder side information,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 53, no. 12, pp. 4810–4816, Dec. 2007.
- [64] E. R. Scheinerman and D. H. Ullman, *Fractional graph theory: a rational approach to the theory of graphs*. Courier Corporation, Sep. 2011.
- [65] H. N. Salas, “Gershgorin’s theorem for matrices of operators,” *Lin. Alg. & Apps.*, vol. 291, no. 1-3, pp. 15–36, Apr. 1999.
- [66] D. A. Huffman, “A method for the construction of minimum-redundancy codes,” *Proc., IRE*, vol. 40, no. 9, pp. 1098–1101, 1952.
- [67] B. Aspövall and J. R. Gilbert, “Graph coloring using eigenvalue decomposition,” *J. SIAM Alg. Disc. Meth.*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 526–538, Dec. 1984.
- [68] A. J. Hoffman and L. Howes, “On eigenvalues and colorings of graphs, ii,” *Annals NY Acad. Sciences*, vol. 175, no. 1, pp. 238–242, Jul. 1970.
- [69] H. S. Wilf, “The eigenvalues of a graph and its chromatic number,” *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 330–332, Jan. 1967.
- [70] R. C. Brigham and R. D. Dutton, “Bounds on graph spectra,” *J. Comb. Theo. Ser.*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 228–234, Dec. 1984.
- [71] Y. Hong, “Bounds of eigenvalues of a graph,” *Acta Math. App. Sinica*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 165–168, May. 1988.
- [72] K. C. Das and P. Kumar, “Some new bounds on the spectral radius of graphs,” *Disc. Math.*, vol. 281, no. 1-3, pp. 149–161, Apr. 2004.
- [73] M. Ajtai, J. Komlós, and E. Szemerédi, “Sorting in $c \log n$ parallel steps,” *Combinatorica*, vol. 3, pp. 1–19, 1983.
- [74] S. Arora, T. Leighton, and B. Maggs, “On-line algorithms for path selection in a nonblocking network,” in *Proc., ACM Symp. Theory of Comput.*, 1990, pp. 149–158.
- [75] M. Bellare, O. Goldreich, and S. Goldwasser, “Randomness in interactive proofs,” *Com. Comp.*, vol. 3, pp. 319–354, 1993.
- [76] M. Ajtai, J. Komlós, and E. Szemerédi, “Deterministic simulation in logspace,” in *Proc., ACM Symp. Theory of Comput.*, 1987, pp. 132–140.
- [77] R. M. Tanner, “Explicit concentrators from generalized n -gons,” *SIAM J. Alg. Disc. Meth.*, no. 3, pp. 287–293, 1984.
- [78] D. S. Watkins, “Understanding the QR algorithm,” *SIAM review*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 427–440, 1982.
- [79] C. Echeverría, J. Liesen, and R. Nabben, “Block diagonal dominance of matrices revisited: bounds for the norms of inverses and eigenvalue inclusion sets,” *Lin. Alg. and Apps.*, vol. 553, pp. 365–383, Sept. 2018.
- [80] K. Mehlhorn *et al.*, *Great Ideas in Theoretical Computer Science*. Max Planck inst., 2014.
- [81] J. G. Francis, “The QR transformation a unitary analogue to the LR transformation—part 1,” *The Comput. J.*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 265–271, Jan. 1961.
- [82] L. Lovász, “Three short proofs in graph theory,” *J. Combin. Theo., Series B*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 269–271, 1975.
- [83] —, “On the ratio of optimal integral and fractional covers,” *Disc. Math.*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 383–390, Jan. 1975.
- [84] L. Qi *et al.*, “Characterization of graphs with an eigenvalue of large multiplicity,” *Adv. Math. Phys.*, no. 1, p. 672, 2020.