

THE LEVI-CIVITA CONNECTION AND CHERN CONNECTIONS FOR COCYCLE DEFORMATIONS OF KÄHLER MANIFOLDS

JYOTISHMAN BHOWMICK AND BAPPA GHOSH

ABSTRACT. We consider unitary cocycle deformations of covariant $*$ -differential calculi. We prove that complex structures, holomorphic bimodules and Chern connections can be deformed to their noncommutative counterparts under such deformations. If we start with a Kähler manifold, then the Levi-Civita connection on the space of one forms of the deformed calculus can be expressed as a direct sum of the Chern connections on the twisted holomorphic and the anti-holomorphic bimodules. Our class of examples include toric deformations considered in [MR25] as well as cocycle deformations of the Heckenberger-Kolb calculi.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the fundamental results in Kähler geometry states that if M is a Kähler manifold, then the Levi-Civita connection on the real tangent bundle on M can be identified with the Chern connection on the holomorphic tangent bundle via an isomorphism of vector bundles. Equivalently, the Levi-Civita connection ∇_{Ω^1} on the complexified space of one-forms $\Omega^1 = \Omega^{(1,0)} \oplus \Omega^{(0,1)}$ can be expressed as the direct sum of Chern connections for the associated Hermitian metrics on $\Omega^{(1,0)}$ and $\Omega^{(0,1)}$. The goal of this article is to prove a noncommutative analogue of this theorem for cocycle deformations of classical manifolds.

Indeed, we prove the following theorem, where, for a smooth real algebraic variety X , $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ is the algebra of coordinate functions on the variety X . while the symbol $\mathcal{O}(X)$ will stand for the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose M is a smooth real algebraic variety equipped with an algebraic action of a real algebraic group G , a G -invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric $(g, (\cdot, \cdot))$ and a G -invariant Kähler structure. Consider the usual differential calculus $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ on $B := \mathcal{O}(M)$.*

If γ is a unitary 2-cocycle on G , then the unique covariant Levi-Civita connection $\nabla_{\Omega_\gamma^1}$ for the γ -twisted metric $(g_\gamma, (\cdot, \cdot)_\gamma)$ on the twisted differential calculus $(\Omega_\gamma^\bullet, \wedge_\gamma, d_\gamma)$ satisfies the identity

$$\nabla_{\Omega_\gamma^1} = \nabla_{\text{Ch}, \Omega_\gamma^{(1,0)}} \oplus \nabla_{\text{Ch}, \Omega_\gamma^{(0,1)}} \quad (1.1)$$

with respect to the decomposition $\Omega_\gamma^1 = \Omega_\gamma^{(1,0)} \oplus \Omega_\gamma^{(0,1)}$.

Here, $\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \Omega_\gamma^{(1,0)}}$ and $\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \Omega_\gamma^{(0,1)}}$ are the Chern connections on the holomorphic bimodules $\Omega_\gamma^{(1,0)}$ and $\Omega_\gamma^{(0,1)}$ for some certain Hermitian metrics.

Let us explain this in a few words. Since the metric $(g, (\cdot, \cdot))$ in Theorem 1.1 is real (see Definition 2.7), it follows that the twisted metric $(g_\gamma, (\cdot, \cdot)_\gamma)$ is also real and we prove the existence of a covariant Hermitian metric $\mathcal{H}_{g_\gamma} = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$ on Ω_γ^1 , where \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 are covariant Hermitian metrics on $\Omega_\gamma^{(1,0)}$ and $\Omega_\gamma^{(0,1)}$ respectively. The symbols $\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \Omega_\gamma^{(1,0)}}$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L87, 81R60, 81R50, 17B37, 16T05.

Key words and phrases. quantum groups, noncommutative geometry, complex geometry.

B.G. is supported by Shyama Prasad Mukherjee fellowship from CSIR, India.

and $\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \Omega_\gamma^{(0,1)}}$ denote the Chern connections for the pairs $(\Omega_\gamma^{(1,0)}, \mathcal{H}_1)$ and $(\Omega_\gamma^{(0,1)}, \mathcal{H}_2)$. We should mention that we actually prove a stronger result (Theorem 6.8) applicable for genuine noncommutative manifolds and deduce Theorem 1.1 as a corollary.

A similar result was recently proved in the context of the Heckenberger-Kolb calculi on the quantized irreducible flag manifolds (see [BGKOB24, Theorem 6.14]). The class of examples tackled in [BGKOB24] are a class of quantum homogeneous spaces and a key role in the proof of the main theorem in that article was played by Takeuchi's monoidal equivalence between certain categories of relative Hopf modules. In this article, we do not restrict ourselves to quantum homogeneous spaces and hence Takeuchi's equivalence is not at our disposal. Instead, we appeal to the well-known monoidal equivalence $\Gamma : {}^A_B\text{Mod}_B \rightarrow {}^{A_\gamma}_{B_\gamma}\text{Mod}_{B_\gamma}$ of relative Hopf-modules (see (3.2)) and cocycle identities.

We work in the set up of the monograph [BM20] by Beggs and Majid. Thus, the noncommutative manifold structure on an algebra is given by a differential calculus and a metric $(g, (\cdot, \cdot))$ on this calculus is a choice of a self-dual structure on the space of one-forms Ω^1 . Moreover, in order to make sense of metric-compatibility of a connection on Ω^1 , we work with bimodule connections. Then a result of Beggs and Majid (Theorem 6.1) guarantees the existence of the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla_{\Omega^1_\gamma}$ of (1.1). However, we need to prove the existence of the two connections on the right hand side of that equation as well as the equality (1.1). Let us explain the main steps in the proof of Theorem 1.1 and on the way, we will explain the terminologies appearing in Theorem 1.1. Throughout the introduction, the symbols M, B, G, A are as in Theorem 1.1 while A_γ (B_γ) will denote the γ -twisted Hopf algebra (resp. algebra) obtained from A (resp. B). Moreover, if \mathcal{E} is an object in ${}^A_B\text{Mod}_B$, then $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ will stand for the corresponding object in ${}^{A_\gamma}_{B_\gamma}\text{Mod}_{B_\gamma}$.

Step 1: The right hand side of (1.1) involves the notion of Hermitian metrics. Since Hermitian metrics are defined on bimodules over a $*$ -algebra, from Section 4 onward, we work in the set up of $*$ -differential calculi (see Definition 2.3) over $*$ -algebras. Moreover, in order to prove our results, we need a compatibility between the $*$ -structure and the cocycle γ and hence restrict ourselves to unitary cocycles. The classical differential calculus $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ is actually a $*$ -calculus and in Section 3, we observe that the γ -twisted calculus $(\Omega^\bullet_\gamma, \wedge_\gamma, d_\gamma)$ is again an A_γ -covariant $*$ -differential calculus.

The main result of Section 4 shows that an A -covariant Hermitian metric \mathcal{H} on a B -bimodule \mathcal{E} in ${}^A_B\text{Mod}_B$ can be deformed to an A_γ -covariant Hermitian metric \mathcal{H}_γ on the B_γ -bimodule $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$.

Step 2: Next, we need to focus on complex structures, holomorphic bimodules and Chern connections in the context of differential calculi. We refer to Section 5 for the definitions. Now suppose B_1 is a $*$ -algebra (possibly noncommutative) equipped with a complex structure. If \mathcal{H} is an Hermitian metric on a B_1 -holomorphic bimodule \mathcal{E} , then Beggs and Majid ([BM17]) proved the existence of a unique connection on \mathcal{E} which generalizes the classical existence theorem of Chern connections.

With this background information and the results of Section 4, we prove that covariant complex structures and holomorphic bimodules can be twisted under unitary cocycle deformations. Moreover, if $\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \mathcal{E}}$ is the Chern connection for a pair $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{H})$, then the Chern connection for the pair $(\Gamma(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{H}_\gamma)$ is given by the cocycle deformation of $\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \mathcal{E}}$.

In the context of Theorem 1.1, $\Omega^{(1,0)}$ and $\Omega^{(0,1)}$ are covariant holomorphic B -bimodules and hence we can apply the results of this section to get Chern connections $\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \Omega_\gamma^{(1,0)}}$ and $\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \Omega_\gamma^{(0,1)}}$ for the pairs $(\Omega_\gamma^{(1,0)}, \mathcal{H}_1)$ and $(\Omega_\gamma^{(0,1)}, \mathcal{H}_2)$, where \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 are Hermitian metrics introduced in the paragraphs following the statement of Theorem 1.1.

Step 3: Finally, in Section 6, we combine all the results obtained so far to prove Theorem 6.8 and then the equality (1.1) is derived as a corollary applied to the classical example.

Technically, the main challenge was to prove the results mentioned in **Step 1** and **Step 2**. This has been achieved by careful applications of several cocycle related equations. For the ease of readability, we derived all these equations in the first subsection of Appendix A. From Section 4 onward, we are working with \ast -algebras and Hopf \ast -algebras and hence we need to use the language of bar categories developed by Beggs and Majid. We have collected the definitions and properties of bar categories that we need in Appendix B.

Now, let us come to the range of applications. Firstly, our result Theorem 6.8 is applicable to cocycle deformations of genuine noncommutative manifolds too. Indeed, using the main result of [BGKOB24], we show (see Theorem 6.11) that Theorem 1.1 holds if we take $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ to be the Heckenberger-Kolb calculus on quantized irreducible flag manifolds.

Secondly, since Theorem 6.8 holds for coactions of Hopf \ast -algebras and unitary 2-cocycles on them, our results can be applied to a variety of situations including the case of toric deformations (see Example A.9) as well as actions of finite groups. In the appendix (Subsection A.1), we have collected many examples of cocycles coming from compact quantum group algebras. For more examples of cocycles, we refer to [NT13, Chapter 3] and references therein.

Apart from the formulation of Beggs and Majid, there have been many other approaches to understand the notion of Levi-Civita connections and their properties in noncommutative geometry. In the set up of spectral triples ([Con94]) and slightly different definitions of metric-compatibility and torsion, the authors of [MR25] proved the existence and uniqueness of Levi-Civita connections for toric deformations of classical manifolds. The same authors also proved the existence of Levi-Civita connections for a spectral triple on the Podleś sphere in [MR24]. Classically, the existence of the Levi-Civita connection is derived by a Koszul type formula and a similar approach was taken in [BGL20]. For existence results in the context of tame differential calculi, quantum groups and θ -deformed even spheres, we refer to [BGJ21], [AW25], [ALP25] and references therein.

Let us begin by collecting most of the necessary definitions, notations and relevant results in the first two sections of the article. In Section 2, we recall the notions of differential calculi, metrics and connections, while Section 3 deals with cocycle deformations of relative Hopf modules and differential calculi. All the results quoted in Section 3 are well-known except the possible exception of the second assertion of Proposition 3.9.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank Shahn Majid for pointing out the results in [BM10] and [BM20, Subsection 9.6.3] and comments which led to the improvement of the article. It is a pleasure to thank Debashish Goswami for posing a question which eventually led to this article and for fruitful discussions. B.G. would also like to thank Kiran Maity and Aritra Mandal for useful inputs.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this article, our ground field will be \mathbb{C} . All algebras are assumed to be unital. All unadorned tensor products are over \mathbb{C} .

Let (\mathcal{C}, \otimes) be a monoidal category with unit object $1_{\mathcal{C}}$. An object M in \mathcal{C} is said to have a right dual if there exists an object ${}^{\ast}M$ in \mathcal{C} and morphisms $\text{ev} : M \otimes {}^{\ast}M \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{C}}$, $\text{coev} : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow {}^{\ast}M \otimes M$ such that the equations

$$(\text{ev} \otimes \text{id}_M)(\text{id}_M \otimes \text{coev}) = \text{id}_M, \quad (\text{id}_{{}^{\ast}M} \otimes \text{ev})(\text{coev} \otimes \text{id}_{{}^{\ast}M}) = \text{id}_{{}^{\ast}M} \quad (2.1)$$

are satisfied.

If B be an algebra, then category of all B -bimodules will be denoted by ${}_B\mathbf{Mod}_B$. For objects M, N in the category ${}_B\mathbf{Mod}_B$, we define

$${}_B\mathrm{Hom}(M, N) := \{f : M \rightarrow N : f \text{ is left } B\text{-linear}\}.$$

Let A be a Hopf-algebra with coproduct $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$, counit $\epsilon : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and antipode $S : A \rightarrow A$. The antipode is always assumed to be bijective and moreover, we will use Sweedler notation to write

$$\Delta(a) = a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)}.$$

For a Hopf algebra A and a left A -comodule V , the coaction on an element $v \in V$ is written in Sweedler notation as

$${}^V\delta(v) = v_{(-1)} \otimes v_{(0)}.$$

An element $v \in V$ is called coinvariant if ${}^V\delta(v) = 1 \otimes v$. We denote by ${}^A\mathbf{Mod}$ the monoidal category of left A -comodules.

For a Hopf algebra A and a left A -comodule algebra B , the symbol ${}_B^A\mathbf{Mod}_B$ is reserved for the category of relative Hopf-modules. Thus an object $(\mathcal{E}, {}^\mathcal{E}\delta)$ of ${}_B^A\mathbf{Mod}_B$ is a B -bimodule and left A -comodule such that

$${}^\mathcal{E}\delta(aeb) = {}^B\delta(a){}^\mathcal{E}\delta(e){}^B\delta(b) = a_{(-1)}e_{(-1)}b_{(-1)} \otimes a_{(0)}e_{(0)}b_{(0)} \quad \text{for all } e \in \mathcal{E}, a, b \in B.$$

A morphism $f : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ of the category ${}_B^A\mathbf{Mod}_B$ is a B -bilinear map which is also A -covariant, i.e., ${}^\mathcal{F}\delta(f(e)) = (\mathrm{id} \otimes f){}^\mathcal{E}\delta(e)$.

If \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} are two objects of the category ${}_B^A\mathbf{Mod}_B$, then ${}_B\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ is again a B -bimodule with structure maps defined as:

$$(b \cdot f)(e) = f(e \cdot b); \quad (f \cdot b)(e) = f(e)b, \quad (2.2)$$

where $f \in {}_B\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$, $e \in \mathcal{E}$ and $b \in B$. Following [Ul90, Section 2], we have a left A -coaction ${}^{{}_B\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})}\delta$ on ${}_B\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$. For $f \in {}_B\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$, ${}^{{}_B\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})}\delta(f) := f_{(-1)} \otimes f_{(0)}$, where for all $e \in \mathcal{E}$, we have

$$f_{(-1)} \otimes f_{(0)}(e) = S(e_{(-1)})[f(e_{(0)})]_{(-1)} \otimes [f(e_{(0)})]_{(0)}. \quad (2.3)$$

Then a routine computation yields the following well-known result (see [BGKOB24, Proposition 2.4], for example):

Proposition 2.1. *Let B be a left A -comodule algebra. If \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} are objects in ${}_B^A\mathbf{Mod}_B$, then so is ${}_B\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$. Moreover, if \mathcal{E} is finitely generated and projective as a left B -module, then ${}_B\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, B)$ is a right dual of \mathcal{E} in ${}_B^A\mathbf{Mod}_B$.*

Let us also recall the definition of a Hopf $*$ -algebra. A Hopf algebra A is called a Hopf $*$ -algebra if A is a $*$ -algebra and Δ is a $*$ -homomorphism. Then it follows that the antipode S is invertible and satisfies the following identity (see [KS97, Subsection 1.2.7]):

$$S(S(a^*)^*) = a. \quad (2.4)$$

2.1. Differential calculi and metrics.

Definition 2.2. *Let $(B, {}^B\delta)$ be a left A -comodule algebra for a Hopf-algebra A . An A -covariant differential calculus over B is a differential graded algebra $(\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \Omega^k(B), \wedge, d)$ such that $\Omega^0(B) = B$, $\Omega^\bullet(B) := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \Omega^k(B)$ is generated as an algebra by B and dB and moreover, the coaction ${}^B\delta$ extends to a (necessarily unique) comodule algebra map $\Omega^\bullet\delta : \Omega^\bullet(B) \rightarrow A \otimes \Omega^\bullet(B)$ making $\Omega^k(B)$ into an object of ${}_B^A\mathbf{Mod}_B$ for each $k \geq 0$ and the map d A -covariant.*

If A is taken to be the trivial one dimensional Hopf-algebra, then we recover the definition of a differential calculus. We note that if $(\Omega^\bullet(B), \wedge, d)$ is any left A -covariant calculus, then clearly, the canonical projection map $\Omega^\bullet(B) \rightarrow \Omega^k(B)$ as well as $\wedge : \Omega^k(B) \otimes_B \Omega^l(B) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+l}(B)$ are left A -covariant.

When B is a comodule $*$ -algebra, then one is led to consider the following subclass of differential calculi.

Definition 2.3. *If A is a Hopf $*$ -algebra and B is a comodule $*$ -algebra, then an A -covariant differential calculus $(\Omega^\bullet(B), \wedge, d)$ on B is called an A -covariant $*$ -differential calculus if there exists a conjugate linear involution $*$: $\Omega^\bullet(B) \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet(B)$ which extends the map $*$: $B \rightarrow B$ such that*

$$*(\Omega^k(B)) \subseteq \Omega^k(B), \quad (d\omega)^* = d(\omega^*), \quad (\omega \wedge \nu)^* = (-1)^{kl} \nu^* \wedge \omega^*$$

for all $\omega \in \Omega^k(B), \nu \in \Omega^l(B)$ and moreover, if $\Omega^\bullet(B)$ is a comodule $*$ -algebra.

The main examples of this article concern cocycle deformations (see Section 3) of differential calculi on real algebraic varieties. This is what we explain in the next example. In what follows, for a smooth real algebraic variety X , the symbol $\mathcal{O}(X)$ will denote the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$, where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ is the algebra of coordinate functions on the variety X . Thus, $\mathcal{O}(X)$ is a $*$ -subalgebra of $C^\infty(X)$.

Example 2.4. *Let M be a smooth real algebraic variety equipped with an algebraic action of a real algebraic group G . Then $B := \mathcal{O}(M)$ is an $\mathcal{O}(G)$ -comodule $*$ -algebra. If d denotes the classical de-Rham differential, \wedge the classical wedge map and*

$$\Omega^k(B) = \text{Span}\{b_0 db_1 \wedge db_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge db_k : b_i \in B\},$$

then $(\Omega^\bullet(B), \wedge, d)$ is an $\mathcal{O}(G)$ -covariant $*$ -differential calculus with the $*$ -structure given by

$$(b_0 db_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge db_k)^* = \overline{b_0} d(\overline{b_1}) \wedge \cdots \wedge d(\overline{b_k}).$$

Moreover, $\Omega^1(B)$ is finitely generated and projective as a B -module.

More generally, if there exists a Hopf $*$ -algebra A and a surjective Hopf $*$ -algebra morphism $\mathcal{O}(G) \rightarrow A$, then $(\Omega^\bullet(B), \wedge, d)$ is an A -covariant $*$ -differential calculus.

From now on, while referring to a differential calculus on an algebra B , we will often use the notations Ω^k and Ω^\bullet to denote $\Omega^k(B)$ and $\Omega^\bullet(B)$ respectively.

Definition 2.5. ([BM20]) *A metric on a differential calculus $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ on an algebra B is a pair $(g, (\cdot, \cdot))$ where g is an element of $\Omega^1 \otimes_B \Omega^1$ and $(\cdot, \cdot) : \Omega^1 \otimes_B \Omega^1 \rightarrow B$ is a B -bilinear map such that the following conditions hold:*

$$((\omega, \cdot) \otimes_B \text{id})g = \omega = (\text{id} \otimes_B (\cdot, \omega))g. \quad (2.5)$$

If the differential calculus is A -covariant, then we will say that the metric is covariant if (\cdot, \cdot) and the map

$$\text{coev}_g : B \rightarrow \Omega^1 \otimes_B \Omega^1 \text{ defined by } \text{coev}_g(b) = bg \quad (2.6)$$

are A -covariant.

If $(g, (\cdot, \cdot))$ is a metric on $\Omega^1(B)$, then by [BM20, Lemma 1.16], g is central, i.e. $bg = gb$ for all $b \in B$. This implies that the map coev_g is actually B -bilinear. If $(g, (\cdot, \cdot))$ is a covariant metric, then the covariance of the map coev_g implies that

$$\Omega^1 \otimes_B \Omega^1 \delta(g) = 1 \otimes g. \quad (2.7)$$

The following well-known characterization (see page 311 of [BM20]) of metrics will be useful for us.

Remark 2.6. If $(g, (\cdot, \cdot))$ is a covariant metric on Ω^1 and coev_g is the map defined in (2.6), then $(\Omega^1, (\cdot, \cdot), \text{coev}_g)$ is a right dual of Ω^1 in the category ${}^A\mathbf{Mod}_B$.

Conversely, if a triplet $(\Omega^1, \text{ev}, \text{coev})$ is a right dual of Ω^1 in ${}^A\mathbf{Mod}_B$, then the pair $(\text{coev}(1), \text{ev})$ is a covariant metric on Ω^1 .

In particular, if $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ is a differential calculus such that Ω^1 admits a metric $(g, (\cdot, \cdot))$, then Ω^1 is finitely generated and projective as a left B -module (see [EGNO15], for example).

If $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ is a $*$ -differential calculus, then we can make sense of the following definition:

Definition 2.7. ([BM20, Chapter 8]) A metric $(g, (\cdot, \cdot))$ on a $*$ -differential calculus $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ is said to be real if $g = \text{flip}(* \otimes_B *)g$.

We end this subsection by explaining how a pseudo-Riemannian metric on a smooth real algebraic variety M fits into the framework of Definition 2.5. This is well-known but since we will need this result later, we spell out the details here.

Example 2.8. Let M, B , and G be as in Example 2.4 and $\tilde{g} : \Omega^1(B) \otimes_B \Omega^1(B) \rightarrow B$ be a pseudo-Riemannian G -invariant metric on M . Then \tilde{g} satisfies the equation

$$\tilde{g}(\omega \otimes_B \eta)^* = \tilde{g}(\eta^* \otimes_B \omega^*) \quad (2.8)$$

for all $\omega, \eta \in \Omega^1(B)$ and moreover, the map

$$V_{\tilde{g}} : \Omega^1(B) \rightarrow {}_B\text{Hom}(\Omega^1(B), B) \text{ defined by } V_{\tilde{g}}(\omega)(\eta) = \tilde{g}(\omega \otimes_B \eta)$$

is a B -linear $\mathcal{O}(G)$ -covariant isomorphism. Here, ${}_B\text{Hom}(\Omega^1(B), B)$ is a right dual of $\Omega^1(B)$ in the category ${}^{\mathcal{O}(G)}{}_B\mathbf{Mod}_B$ since the latter is finitely generated and projective as a B -module.

Since $\Omega^1(B)$ is finitely generated and projective, there exists a natural number n and elements $e^i \in \Omega^1(B)$, $e_i \in {}_B\text{Hom}(\Omega^1(B), B)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, such that:

$$\omega = \sum_i e_i(\omega) e^i \text{ and } f = \sum_i e_i f(e^i)$$

for all $\omega \in \Omega^1(B)$ and for all $f \in {}_B\text{Hom}(\Omega^1(B), B)$. We define

$$(\cdot, \cdot) : \Omega^1(B) \otimes_B \Omega^1(B) \rightarrow B \text{ as } (\omega, \eta) = \text{ev}(\omega \otimes_B V_{\tilde{g}}(\eta)) = V_{\tilde{g}}(\eta)(\omega) \text{ and}$$

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^n V_{\tilde{g}}^{-1}(e_i) \otimes_B e^i.$$

Then $(g, (\cdot, \cdot))$ is an $\mathcal{O}(G)$ -covariant metric on $\Omega^1(B)$. Moreover, by virtue of (2.8), it is easy to see that $(g, (\cdot, \cdot))$ is a real metric in the sense of Definition 2.7.

2.2. Connections. If $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ is a differential calculus over an algebra B , then a left connection on a B -bimodule \mathcal{E} is a \mathbb{C} -linear map $\nabla : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Omega^1 \otimes_B \mathcal{E}$ such that

$$\nabla(be) = b\nabla(e) + db \otimes_B e$$

for all $e \in \mathcal{E}$ and for all $b \in B$. A left connection ∇ on an object \mathcal{E} of ${}^A\mathbf{Mod}_B$ is said to be covariant if ∇ is left A -covariant.

A left connection ∇ on a B -bimodule \mathcal{E} is called a left σ -bimodule connection if there exists a B -bimodule map $\sigma : \mathcal{E} \otimes_B \Omega^1 \rightarrow \Omega^1 \otimes_B \mathcal{E}$ such that

$$\nabla(eb) = \nabla(e)b + \sigma(e \otimes_B db) \quad (2.9)$$

for all $e \in \mathcal{E}$ and for all $b \in B$.

If (2.9) is satisfied, then we will sometimes say that (∇, σ) is a left bimodule connection. It is well-known that if (∇, σ_1) and (∇, σ_2) are bimodule connections on \mathcal{E} , then $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$.

Suppose $(\nabla_{\mathcal{E}}, \sigma_{\mathcal{E}})$ is a bimodule connection and $\nabla_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a connection on B -bimodules \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} respectively. Then by [BM11, Proposition 2.3], we have a connection $\nabla_{\mathcal{E} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}}$ on $\mathcal{E} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}$ defined as

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{E} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}} := \nabla_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes_B \text{id} + (\sigma_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes_B \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes_B \nabla_{\mathcal{F}}). \quad (2.10)$$

Now we introduce the definition of the Levi-Civita connection for a metric.

Definition 2.9. ([BM20]) *Suppose B is an algebra and $(\Omega^{\bullet}, \wedge, d)$ is a differential calculus on B . Let $(g, (\cdot, \cdot))$ be a metric on the space one forms $\Omega^1(B)$. A left bimodule connection (∇, σ) is said to be a Levi-Civita connection for the metric $(g, (\cdot, \cdot))$ if the following two conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) ∇ is torsionless, i.e., the map $\wedge \circ \nabla - d : \Omega^1 \rightarrow \Omega^2$ is the zero map,
- (2) ∇ is compatible with $(g, (\cdot, \cdot))$, i.e., $\nabla_{\Omega^1 \otimes_B \Omega^1} g = 0$.

Thus, whenever we say that ∇ is a Levi-Civita connection for a metric $(g, (\cdot, \cdot))$, it will be assumed that ∇ is already a bimodule connection.

For examples of differential calculi and metrics which admit Levi-Civita connection in the sense of Definition 2.9, we refer to the monograph [BM20] and references therein. More recently, Matassa proved the existence uniqueness theorem for an analogue of the Fubini-Study metric on quantum projective spaces (see [Mat21]) and this was extended to the case of covariant real metrics on all quantized irreducible flag manifolds in [BGKOB24].

3. COCYCLE DEFORMATION OF RELATIVE HOPF MODULES AND DIFFERENTIAL CALCULI

Let (A, Δ, S, ϵ) be a Hopf algebra. We recall that $A \otimes A$ is canonically a coalgebra with coproduct $\Delta_{A \otimes A}(h \otimes k) = h_{(1)} \otimes k_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)} \otimes k_{(2)}$ and counit $\epsilon_{A \otimes A}(h \otimes k) = \epsilon(h)\epsilon(k)$ for all $h, k \in A$. If ϕ, ψ are linear maps from $A \otimes A$ to \mathbb{C} , the convolution $\phi * \psi$ is defined as

$$(\phi * \psi)(a \otimes b) = \phi(a_{(1)} \otimes b_{(1)})\psi(a_{(2)} \otimes b_{(2)})$$

A linear functional ϕ on $A \otimes A$ is said to be convolution invertible if there exists a linear functional ψ on $A \otimes A$ such that $\phi * \psi = \epsilon_{A \otimes A} = \psi * \phi$.

Definition 3.1. *A convolution invertible map $\gamma : A \otimes A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a 2-cocycle if*

$$\gamma(g_{(1)} \otimes h_{(1)})\gamma(g_{(2)}h_{(2)} \otimes k) = \gamma(h_{(1)} \otimes k_{(1)})\gamma(g \otimes h_{(2)}k_{(2)}), \quad (3.1)$$

for all $g, h, k \in A$ and it is said to be unital if $\gamma(h \otimes 1) = \epsilon(h) = \gamma(1 \otimes h)$ for all $h \in A$.

Remark 3.2. *All cocycles in this article will be assumed to be unital unless otherwise mentioned.*

Throughout this article, $\bar{\gamma}$ will denote the convolution inverse of a 2-cocycle γ . The following proposition recalls the deformation of Hopf algebras under a 2-cocycle.

Proposition 3.3. ([Maj95, Subsection 2.3]) *Suppose $(A, \cdot, \Delta, \epsilon, S)$ is a Hopf algebra and γ a 2-cocycle, then we can define a Hopf algebra $(A_{\gamma}, \cdot_{\gamma}, \Delta, \epsilon_{\gamma}, S_{\gamma})$ such that*

- (1) A_{γ} is isomorphic to A as a coalgebra,
- (2) $h \cdot_{\gamma} k := \gamma(h_{(1)} \otimes k_{(1)})h_{(2)}k_{(2)}\bar{\gamma}(h_{(3)} \otimes k_{(3)})$,
- (3) $S_{\gamma}(h) := U(h_{(1)})S(h_{(2)})\bar{U}(h_{(3)})$, where $U, \bar{U} : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are defined as $U(k) := \gamma(k_{(1)} \otimes S(k_{(2)}))$, $\bar{U}(k) = \bar{\gamma}(S(k_{(1)}) \otimes k_{(2)})$,
- (4) $\epsilon_{\gamma} = \epsilon$

for all $h, k \in A$.

The Hopf algebra A_{γ} is called the 2-cocycle twist of the Hopf algebra A .

3.1. Cocycle deformation of relative Hopf modules. If γ is a 2-cocycle on a Hopf algebra A , then the cocycle deformation of an object $(M, {}^M\delta)$ of ${}^A_B\mathbf{Mod}_B$ is the pair $(\Gamma(M), {}^{M_\gamma}\delta)$ where $\Gamma(M) = M$ as a vector space equipped with B_γ -bimodule structures given by

$$b \cdot_\gamma m = \gamma(b_{(-1)} \otimes m_{(-1)})b_{(0)} \cdot m_{(0)}, \quad m \cdot_\gamma b = \gamma(m_{(-1)} \otimes b_{(-1)})m_{(0)} \cdot b_{(0)}$$

and ${}^{M_\gamma}\delta = {}^M\delta$. Then ${}^{A_\gamma}_{B_\gamma}\mathbf{Mod}_{B_\gamma}$ is a monoidal category with the monoidal structure to be denoted by \otimes_{B_γ} .

In this case, it is well-known that the canonical functor

$$\Gamma : {}^A_B\mathbf{Mod}_B \rightarrow {}^{A_\gamma}_{B_\gamma}\mathbf{Mod}_{B_\gamma} \quad (3.2)$$

defines a monoidal equivalence between the categories $({}^A_B\mathbf{Mod}_B, \otimes_B)$ and $({}^{A_\gamma}_{B_\gamma}\mathbf{Mod}_{B_\gamma}, \otimes_{B_\gamma})$. The associated natural isomorphism φ between the functors $\otimes_{B_\gamma} \circ (\Gamma \times \Gamma)$ and $\Gamma \circ \otimes_B$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{V,W} : \Gamma(V) \otimes_{B_\gamma} \Gamma(W) &\longrightarrow \Gamma(V \otimes_B W), \\ v \otimes_{B_\gamma} w &\longmapsto \gamma(v_{(-1)} \otimes w_{(-1)})v_{(0)} \otimes_B w_{(0)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

for objects V, W in ${}^A_B\mathbf{Mod}_B$. The inverse $\varphi_{V,W}^{-1}$ is given by

$$\varphi_{V,W}^{-1}(v \otimes_B w) = \bar{\gamma}(v_{(-1)} \otimes w_{(-1)})v_{(0)} \otimes_{B_\gamma} w_{(0)}. \quad (3.4)$$

Let us also note that since φ is a natural isomorphism, we have the equality

$$(\Gamma(f) \otimes_{B_\gamma} \Gamma(g)) \circ \varphi_{V_1, W_1}^{-1} = \varphi_{V_2, W_2}^{-1} \circ \Gamma(f \otimes_B g) \quad (3.5)$$

for morphisms $f \in \text{Hom}(V_1, V_2), g \in \text{Hom}(W_1, W_2)$ in the category ${}^A_B\mathbf{Mod}_B$.

If B is a left A -comodule algebra, then we will denote the A_γ -comodule $\Gamma(B)$ by the symbol B_γ . Then from [Maj95, Subsection 2.3], we know that B_γ is an algebra via the formula

$$a \cdot_\gamma b = \gamma(a_{(-1)} \otimes b_{(-1)})a_{(0)}b_{(0)},$$

making $(B_\gamma, {}^{B_\gamma}\delta)$ a left A_γ -comodule algebra.

Let us note that if we take $B = \mathbb{C}$ in (3.2), we have a monoidal equivalence

$$\Gamma : {}^A\mathbf{Mod} \rightarrow {}^{A_\gamma}\mathbf{Mod}. \quad (3.6)$$

Let us also recall the well-known definition of cocycle deformation of morphisms in the category ${}^A_B\mathbf{Mod}_B$. If $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ are objects of the category ${}^A_B\mathbf{Mod}_B$ and $T : \mathcal{E}_1 \otimes_B \mathcal{E}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes_B \mathcal{F}_2$ and $S : \mathcal{E}_1 \otimes_B \mathcal{E}_2 \rightarrow B$ are morphisms, then we define

$$T_\gamma : \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_1) \otimes_{B_\gamma} \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_2) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{F}_1) \otimes_{B_\gamma} \Gamma(\mathcal{F}_2) \text{ and } S_\gamma : \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_1) \otimes_{B_\gamma} \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_2) \rightarrow B_\gamma$$

as

$$T_\gamma := \varphi_{\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2}^{-1} \circ \Gamma(T) \circ \varphi_{\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2} \text{ and } S_\gamma := \Gamma(S) \circ \varphi_{\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2}. \quad (3.7)$$

It follows that T_γ and S_γ are morphisms of ${}^{A_\gamma}_{B_\gamma}\mathbf{Mod}_{B_\gamma}$.

3.2. Cocycle deformation of Hopf *-algebras. For the purpose of dealing with *-differential calculi, we will need a compatibility between the *-structure and the cocycle. Beggs and Majid considered reality conditions $\overline{\gamma(a \otimes b)} = \gamma(S^2(b)^* \otimes S^2(a)^*)$ ([Maj95, Subsection 2.3]) as well as $\gamma(a \otimes b) = \gamma(a^* \otimes b^*)$ ([BM10, equation (8)]). However, we will need a unitarity condition on the cocycle (instead of a reality condition) which has been studied in the operator algebra literature and in fact coincides with the definition of dual unitary 2-cocycles on compact quantum groups (see Remark A.8).

Definition 3.4. ([DS17, Definition 4.4]) *A 2-cocycle γ on a Hopf $*$ -algebra is said to be unitary if*

$$\overline{\gamma(a \otimes b)} = \bar{\gamma}(S(a)^* \otimes S(b)^*). \quad (3.8)$$

In this case we also have

$$\overline{\bar{\gamma}(a \otimes b)} = \gamma(S(a)^* \otimes S(b)^*) \quad (3.9)$$

due to the identity $S(S(a^*)^*) = a$ (see (2.4)).

Then we have the following result in which U and \bar{U} are as in Proposition 3.3:

Proposition 3.5. ([DS17, Subsection 4.2]) *If $(A, \cdot, \Delta, \epsilon, S, *)$ is a Hopf $*$ -algebra and γ a unitary 2-cocycle on A , consider the maps $V, \bar{V} : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as*

$$V(k) := U(S^{-1}(k)) = \gamma(S^{-1}(k_{(2)}) \otimes k_{(1)}), \quad \bar{V}(k) := \bar{U}(S^{-1}(k)) = \bar{\gamma}(k_{(2)} \otimes S^{-1}(k_{(1)})).$$

Then $*_\gamma : A \rightarrow A$ defined as

$$h^{*\gamma} := \bar{V}(h_{(1)}^*) h_{(2)}^* V(h_{(3)}^*)$$

is an antilinear involution on A and moreover $(A_\gamma, \cdot_\gamma, \Delta, \epsilon_\gamma, S_\gamma, *_\gamma)$ is a Hopf $*$ -algebra.

If B is a left A -comodule $*$ -algebra, then the algebra B_γ is equipped with a $*$ -algebra structure given by

$$b^{*\gamma} = \bar{V}(b_{(-1)}^*) b_{(0)}^* \quad (3.10)$$

making $(B_\gamma, {}^{B_\gamma}\delta)$ a left A_γ -comodule $*$ -algebra.

We should mention that [DS17, Definition 4.7] is for right comodule $*$ -algebras while we are working with left comodule structures.

Remark 3.6. *If B is a left A -comodule $*$ -algebra and γ a unitary 2-cocycle, then Theorem B.6 proves that the monoidal equivalence Γ of (3.2) is actually a bar functor. We refer to Definition B.5 for the definition.*

The following observation was made in [DS17, Subsection 4.2]:

Remark 3.7. *If γ is any cocycle, then the maps \bar{U} and \bar{V} are (respectively) the convolution inverses of U and V . This can be checked by using the equations (3) and (4) of Lemma A.1.*

We record the following observation which will be used in the sequel:

Lemma 3.8. *Suppose A is a Hopf $*$ -algebra and γ is a unitary 2-cocycle then $\overline{\bar{V}(h^*)} = V(h)$.*

Proof. We apply (2.4) and (3.9) to compute

$$\overline{\bar{V}(h^*)} = \overline{\bar{\gamma}(h_{(2)}^* \otimes S^{-1}(h_{(1)}^*))} = \gamma(S(h_{(2)}^*)^* \otimes (h_{(1)}^*)^*) = \gamma(S^{-1}(h_{(2)}) \otimes h_{(1)}) = V(h). \quad \blacksquare$$

3.3. Twisting Covariant Differential Calculi. Following [BM10], we recall the cocycle deformation of an A -covariant differential calculus $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ over an algebra B .

In this case, $\Omega^k(B)$ is an object of ${}^A_B\text{Mod}$ and $d : \Omega^k(B) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(B)$ is a morphism of ${}^A_B\text{Mod}$, and therefore, $\Gamma(\Omega^k(B))$ is an object of ${}^{A_\gamma}_{B_\gamma}\text{Mod}$ and $d_\gamma := \Gamma(d) : \Gamma(\Omega^k(B)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Omega^{k+1}(B))$ is an A_γ -covariant map. Let us define

$$\Omega^k(B_\gamma) := \Gamma(\Omega^k(B)), \quad \Omega^\bullet(B_\gamma) := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \Omega^k(B_\gamma).$$

Moreover, we define

$$\wedge_\gamma : \Omega^k(B_\gamma) \otimes_{B_\gamma} \Omega^l(B_\gamma) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+l}(B_\gamma)$$

by (3.7). It follows that for all $\omega \in \Omega^k(B_\gamma), \eta \in \Omega^l(B_\gamma)$,

$$\omega \wedge_\gamma \eta = \gamma(\omega_{(-1)} \otimes \eta_{(-1)}) \omega_{(0)} \wedge \eta_{(0)}. \quad (3.11)$$

From now on, we will use a shorthand notation to denote $\Omega^k(B_\gamma)$ and $\Omega^\bullet(B_\gamma)$ by the symbols Ω_γ^k and Ω_γ^\bullet respectively.

Since (Ω^\bullet, \wedge) is a left A -comodule $*$ -algebra, we have a left A_γ -comodule $*$ -algebra $(\Omega_\gamma^\bullet, \wedge_\gamma)$. Then we have the following result:

Proposition 3.9. ([BM10, Section 5]) *Let A be a Hopf-algebra and $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ an A -covariant differential calculus on an A -comodule B . If γ is a 2-cocycle on A , then $(\Omega_\gamma^\bullet, \wedge_\gamma, d_\gamma)$ is an A_γ -covariant differential calculus on B_γ .*

Moreover, if A is a Hopf $$ -algebra, B an A -covariant $*$ -algebra, $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ a $*$ -calculus and γ unitary, then $(\Omega_\gamma^\bullet, \wedge_\gamma, d_\gamma)$ is an A_γ -covariant $*$ -differential calculus.*

Proof. Since the first statement is proved in [BM10], we are left to prove the second assertion for which we assume that $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ is a $*$ -differential calculus and γ is a unitary 2-cocycle.

The containment $*_\gamma(\Omega_\gamma^k(B_\gamma)) \subseteq \Omega_\gamma^k(B_\gamma)$ follows from (3.10). Next, for $\omega \in \Omega_\gamma^k$, we have

$$d_\gamma(\omega^{*\gamma}) = \bar{V}(\omega_{(-1)}^*) d(\omega_{(0)}^*) = \bar{V}(\omega_{(-1)}^*) (d\omega_{(0)})^* = (d_\gamma \omega)^{*\gamma}$$

by using A -covariance of d . Finally, if $\omega \in \Omega_\gamma^k, \eta \in \Omega_\gamma^l$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega \wedge_\gamma \eta)^{*\gamma} &= \overline{\gamma(\omega_{(-1)} \otimes \eta_{(-1)})} (\omega_{(0)} \wedge \eta_{(0)})^{*\gamma} \\ &= \bar{\gamma}(S(\omega_{(-2)})^* \otimes S(\eta_{(-2)})^*) \bar{V}(\eta_{(-1)}^* \omega_{(-1)}^*) (\omega_{(0)} \wedge \eta_{(0)})^* \quad (\text{by (3.8)}) \\ &= \bar{V}(\omega_{(-2)}^*) \bar{V}(\eta_{(-2)}^*) \gamma(\eta_{(-1)}^* \otimes \omega_{(-1)}^*) (-1)^{kl} \eta_{(0)}^* \wedge \omega_{(0)}^* \quad (\text{by using (A.2)}) \\ &= \bar{V}(\omega_{(-1)}^*) \bar{V}(\eta_{(-1)}^*) (-1)^{kl} \eta_{(0)}^* \wedge_\gamma \omega_{(0)}^* \\ &= (-1)^{kl} \eta^{*\gamma} \wedge_\gamma \omega^{*\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(\Omega_\gamma^\bullet, \wedge_\gamma, d_\gamma)$ is a covariant $*$ -differential calculus. ■

It is well-known that if γ is a cocycle on A , then its convolution inverse $\bar{\gamma}$ is a cocycle on A_γ . Moreover, if M is an object of ${}^A_B \mathbf{Mod}$, then the $\bar{\gamma}$ -deformation of $\Gamma(M)$ is equal to M . In fact, more is true:

Remark 3.10. *If $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ is an A -covariant differential calculus on B , then the $\bar{\gamma}$ -deformation of $(\Omega_\gamma^\bullet, \wedge_\gamma, d_\gamma)$ is $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$.*

We end this section by recalling the cocycle twisting of connections. If $\nabla_\mathcal{E}$ is an A -covariant left connection on an object \mathcal{E} in ${}^A_B \mathbf{Mod}$, then we define a \mathbb{C} -linear map $\nabla_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} : \Gamma(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \Omega_\gamma^1 \otimes_{B_\gamma} \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ as

$$\nabla_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} := \varphi_{\Omega_\gamma^1, \mathcal{E}}^{-1} \circ \Gamma(\nabla_\mathcal{E}), \quad (3.12)$$

where $\Gamma(\nabla_\mathcal{E})$ is as in (3.6). Then we have the following proposition:

Proposition 3.11. ([BM20, Proposition 9.28]) *Suppose $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ is an A -covariant differential calculus on a left A -comodule algebra B and $\nabla_\mathcal{E}$ an A -covariant connection on an object \mathcal{E} in ${}^A_B \mathbf{Mod}$. Then $\nabla_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}$ is an A_γ -covariant left connection on $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$.*

Furthermore, if $\sigma : \mathcal{E} \otimes_B \Omega^1 \rightarrow \Omega^1 \otimes_B \mathcal{E}$ is a morphism in ${}^A_B \mathbf{Mod}$ such that $(\nabla_\mathcal{E}, \sigma)$ is a left A -covariant bimodule connection on \mathcal{E} , then $(\nabla_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}, \sigma_\gamma)$ is a left A_γ -covariant bimodule connection on $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$, where σ_γ is the morphism in ${}^{A_\gamma}_{B_\gamma} \mathbf{Mod}$ defined in (3.7).

4. HERMITIAN METRICS AND THEIR COCYCLE DEFORMATIONS

In this section, we prove that covariant Hermitian metrics on an object \mathcal{E} in ${}^A_B\mathbf{Mod}_B$ can be deformed to Hermitian metrics on the object $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ in ${}^{A_\gamma}_{B_\gamma}\mathbf{Mod}_{B_\gamma}$. In the next section, we will apply this fact to obtain Chern connections on the twisted holomorphic bimodules $\Omega_\gamma^{(1,0)}$ and $\Omega_\gamma^{(0,1)}$. Hermitian metrics are defined for bimodules over a $*$ -algebra. In order to deform these, we will require a compatibility between the $*$ -structure and the cocycles and therefore, we will restrict ourselves to unitary cocycles (see Definition 3.4).

For the rest of this article, we will need the language of bar-categories which was developed in [BM09]. We have collected the definitions and other necessary details in Appendix B. In particular, for a vector space M , the symbol \overline{M} will denote the vector space defined as

$$\overline{M} := \{\overline{m} : m \in M\}.$$

Throughout this section, A will denote a Hopf $*$ -algebra and B a left A -comodule $*$ -algebra. Then the monoidal category ${}^A_B\mathbf{Mod}_B$ is a bar category (see Example B.2). In particular, if M is an object of ${}^A_B\mathbf{Mod}_B$, then \overline{M} is a B -bimodule by (B.1) and a left A -comodule by (B.2).

We start with the definition of an Hermitian metric, where,

$$\mathrm{ev} : \mathcal{E} \otimes_B {}_B\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, B) \rightarrow B \text{ is defined as } \mathrm{ev}(e \otimes_B f) = f(e)$$

for all $e \in \mathcal{E}$ and for all $f \in {}_B\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, B)$.

Definition 4.1. ([BM11, Definition 4.1]) *An A -covariant Hermitian metric on an object \mathcal{E} in ${}^A_B\mathbf{Mod}_B$ is an isomorphism $\mathcal{H} : \overline{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow {}_B\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, B)$ in the category ${}^A_B\mathbf{Mod}_B$ such that*

$$\langle y, \overline{x} \rangle^* = \langle x, \overline{y} \rangle \quad \text{for all } y, x \in \mathcal{E}, \quad (4.1)$$

where the map

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{E} \otimes_B \overline{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow B \quad \text{is defined as } \langle x, \overline{y} \rangle := \mathrm{ev}(x \otimes_B \mathcal{H}(\overline{y})). \quad (4.2)$$

We note that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a morphism in the category ${}^A_B\mathbf{Mod}_B$ as \mathcal{H} and ev are morphisms in ${}^A_B\mathbf{Mod}_B$.

4.1. Cocycle twists of Hermitian metric. Let \mathcal{H} be an Hermitian metric on an object \mathcal{E} in the category ${}^A_B\mathbf{Mod}_B$ so that it is an isomorphism from $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ to ${}_B\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, B)$ satisfying (4.1). In the presence of a unitary 2-cocycle γ on A , we want to construct an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}_\gamma : \overline{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} \rightarrow {}_{B_\gamma}\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma(\mathcal{E}), B_\gamma).$$

To this end, we will need to introduce two isomorphisms.

If \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} are objects of ${}^A_B\mathbf{Mod}_B$ and γ is a 2-cocycle on A , then by Proposition 2.1, ${}_{B_\gamma}\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma(\mathcal{E}), \Gamma(\mathcal{F}))$ is an object of the category ${}^{A_\gamma}_{B_\gamma}\mathbf{Mod}_{B_\gamma}$. The authors of [ABPS17] defined the map

$$\mathfrak{S} : \Gamma({}_B\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})) \rightarrow {}_{B_\gamma}\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma(\mathcal{E}), \Gamma(\mathcal{F})) \text{ as}$$

$$\mathfrak{S}(f)(v) = \gamma(v_{(-2)} \otimes S(v_{(-1)})[f(v_{(0)})]_{(-1)})[f(v_{(0)})]_{(0)} \quad (4.3)$$

$$= U(v_{(-2)})\bar{\gamma}(S(v_{(-1)}) \otimes [f(v_{(0)})]_{(-1)})[f(v_{(0)})]_{(0)}. \quad (4.4)$$

The equality of (4.3) and (4.4) was observed in the proof of [ABPS17, Proposition 3.17] and moreover, the same result shows that \mathfrak{S} is a vector space isomorphism. In fact, we have that \mathfrak{S} is actually an isomorphism in ${}^{A_\gamma}_{B_\gamma}\mathbf{Mod}_{B_\gamma}$.

Lemma 4.2. *The map $\mathfrak{S} : \Gamma({}_B\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})) \rightarrow {}_{B_\gamma}\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma(\mathcal{E}), \Gamma(\mathcal{F}))$ defined in (4.3) is an isomorphism in ${}^{A_\gamma}_{B_\gamma}\mathbf{Mod}_{B_\gamma}$.*

Proof. See Lemma A.5. ■

Now, if A is a Hopf $*$ -algebra, B a left A comodule $*$ -algebra and γ a unitary 2-cocycle, we define

$$\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}} : \overline{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} \rightarrow \Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{E}}), \quad \mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}}(\overline{e}) = \bar{V}(e^*_{(-1)})\overline{e_{(0)}}. \quad (4.5)$$

Then we have the following result which has been proved in the appendix (see Lemma B.3).

Lemma 4.3. $\mathfrak{N} : \text{bar} \circ \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma \circ \text{bar}$ is a natural isomorphism.

Now we are in a position to prove the main theorem of this section:

Theorem 4.4. Suppose A is a Hopf $*$ -algebra, B an A -comodule $*$ -algebra and \mathcal{E} an object in the category ${}^A_B\text{Mod}_B$. If $\mathcal{H} : \overline{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow {}_B\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, B)$ is an A -covariant Hermitian metric on \mathcal{E} , then for a unitary 2-cocycle γ on A , the map

$$\mathcal{H}_{\gamma} : \overline{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} \rightarrow {}_{B_{\gamma}}\text{Hom}(\Gamma(\mathcal{E}), B_{\gamma}) \text{ defined by } \mathcal{H}_{\gamma} = \mathfrak{S} \circ \Gamma(\mathcal{H}) \circ \mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}}$$

is an A_{γ} -covariant Hermitian metric on $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$.

Proof. Since \mathfrak{S} and $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}}$ are isomorphisms in ${}^{A_{\gamma}}_B\text{Mod}_{B_{\gamma}}$, it follows that \mathcal{H}_{γ} is an isomorphism. Consider the map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\gamma} : \Gamma(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{B_{\gamma}} \overline{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} \rightarrow B_{\gamma}$, defined by

$$\langle x, \overline{y} \rangle_{\gamma} = \text{ev}(x \otimes_{B_{\gamma}} \mathcal{H}_{\gamma}(\overline{y})).$$

Then for $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, \overline{y} \rangle_{\gamma} &= \text{ev}(x \otimes_{B_{\gamma}} \bar{V}(y^*_{(-1)})\mathfrak{S} \circ \Gamma(\mathcal{H})(\overline{y_{(0)}})) \\ &= \bar{V}(y^*_{(-1)})\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}(\overline{y_{(0)}}))(x) \\ &= \bar{V}(y^*_{(-1)})\gamma(x_{(-2)} \otimes S(x_{(-1)})(\mathcal{H}(\overline{y_{(0)}})(x_{(0)}))_{(-1)})(\mathcal{H}(\overline{y_{(0)}})(x_{(0)}))_{(0)} \text{ (by (4.3))} \\ &= \bar{V}(y^*_{(-1)})\bar{\gamma}(x_{(-2)} \otimes S(x_{(-1)})\langle x_{(0)}, \overline{y_{(0)}} \rangle_{(-1)})\langle x_{(0)}, \overline{y_{(0)}} \rangle_{(0)} \\ &= \bar{V}(y^*_{(-2)})\gamma(x_{(-3)} \otimes S(x_{(-2)})x_{(-1)}y^*_{(-1)})\langle x_{(0)}, \overline{y_{(0)}} \rangle \text{ (as } \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \text{ is covariant)} \\ &= \bar{V}(y^*_{(-2)})\gamma(x_{(-1)} \otimes y^*_{(-1)})\langle x_{(0)}, \overline{y_{(0)}} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have that

$$\langle x, \overline{y} \rangle_{\gamma} = \bar{V}(y^*_{(-2)})\gamma(x_{(-1)} \otimes y^*_{(-1)})\langle x_{(0)}, \overline{y_{(0)}} \rangle. \quad (4.6)$$

So, for $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, \overline{y} \rangle_{\gamma}^{*\gamma} &= (\bar{V}(y^*_{(-2)})\gamma(x_{(-1)} \otimes y^*_{(-1)})\langle x_{(0)}, \overline{y_{(0)}} \rangle)^{*\gamma} \text{ (by (4.6))} \\ &= \overline{\bar{V}(y^*_{(-3)})\gamma(x_{(-2)} \otimes y^*_{(-2)})} \bar{V}((x_{(-1)}y^*_{(-1)})^*)\langle x_{(0)}, \overline{y_{(0)}} \rangle^* \text{ (as } \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \text{ is covariant and by (3.10))} \\ &= V(y_{(-3)})\bar{\gamma}(S(x_{(-2)})^* \otimes S(y^*_{(-2)})^*)\bar{V}(y_{(-1)}x^*_{(-1)})\langle y_{(0)}, \overline{x_{(0)}} \rangle \text{ (by Lemma 3.8, (3.8) and (4.1))} \\ &= V(y_{(-3)})\bar{V}(y_{(-2)})\bar{V}(x^*_{(-2)})\gamma(y_{(-1)} \otimes x^*_{(-1)})\langle y_{(0)}, \overline{x_{(0)}} \rangle \text{ (by (A.2))} \\ &= \bar{V}(x^*_{(-2)})\gamma(y_{(-1)} \otimes x^*_{(-1)})\langle y_{(0)}, \overline{x_{(0)}} \rangle \text{ (by Remark 3.7)} \\ &= \langle y, \overline{x} \rangle_{\gamma} \text{ (by (4.6)).} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, \mathcal{H}_{γ} satisfies (4.1) which completes the proof. ■

Remark 4.5. (1) We will call \mathcal{H}_{γ} the γ -deformation of the Hermitian metric \mathcal{H} .

(2) The equation (4.6) implies the following relation:

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\gamma = \Gamma(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) \varphi_{\mathcal{E}, \bar{\mathcal{E}}}(\text{id} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}}). \quad (4.7)$$

4.2. Hermitian metrics on the bimodule of one-forms. In this subsection, we deal with the deformation of covariant Hermitian metrics on the bimodule of one-forms of a $*$ -differential calculus by relating it with the deformation of covariant real metrics (see Definition 2.7) on one-forms. The following result follows from [BM20, Section 8.4] (also see [BGKOB24, Proposition 4.3]):

Proposition 4.6. *Let $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ be an A -covariant $*$ -differential calculus on a left A -comodule $*$ -algebra B . Given a real A -covariant metric $(g, (\cdot, \cdot))$ on Ω^1 , define*

$$\mathcal{H}_g : \overline{\Omega^1} \rightarrow {}_B\text{Hom}(\Omega^1, B) \text{ as } \mathcal{H}_g(\overline{\omega})(\eta) = (\eta, \omega^*).$$

Then \mathcal{H}_g is an A -covariant Hermitian metric on Ω^1 . In fact, $(g, (\cdot, \cdot)) \mapsto \mathcal{H}_g$ is a bijective correspondence between real A -covariant metrics and A -covariant Hermitian metrics on Ω^1 .

In fact, we have that

$$\langle \omega, \overline{\eta} \rangle = (\omega, \eta^*) \text{ for all } \omega, \eta \in \Omega^1. \quad (4.8)$$

We will need to recall the cocycle deformation of covariant metrics from [BM20]. Let $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ be an A -covariant differential calculus on a left A -comodule algebra B and $(g, (\cdot, \cdot))$ an A -covariant metric. In particular, by virtue of Remark 2.6, $g = \text{coev}_g(1)$ for a morphism $\text{coev}_g : B \rightarrow \Omega^1 \otimes_B \Omega^1$ in ${}^A_B\text{Mod}_B$ such that $(\Omega^1, (\cdot, \cdot), \text{coev}_g)$ is a right dual of Ω^1 in ${}^A_B\text{Mod}_B$. Now if γ is a 2-cocycle on A , consider the maps

$$(\cdot, \cdot)_\gamma : \Omega_\gamma^1 \otimes_{B_\gamma} \Omega_\gamma^1 \rightarrow B_\gamma, (\text{coev}_g)_\gamma : B_\gamma \rightarrow \Omega_\gamma^1 \otimes_{B_\gamma} \Omega_\gamma^1 \quad (4.9)$$

defined by (3.7) and $g_\gamma = (\text{coev}_g)_\gamma(1)$.

Since g_γ is an A_γ -coinvariant central element of $\Omega_\gamma^1 \otimes_{B_\gamma} \Omega_\gamma^1$, it follows that there is a morphism

$$\text{coev}_{g_\gamma} : B_\gamma \rightarrow \Omega_\gamma^1 \otimes_{B_\gamma} \Omega_\gamma^1 \text{ in } {}^{A_\gamma}_{B_\gamma}\text{Mod}_{B_\gamma} \text{ defined by } \text{coev}_{g_\gamma}(b) = bg_\gamma.$$

Proposition 4.7. ([BM20, Proposition 9.28]) *If $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ is an A -covariant differential calculus on a left A -comodule algebra B and $(g, (\cdot, \cdot))$ an A -covariant metric on $\Omega^1(B)$, then $(g_\gamma, (\cdot, \cdot)_\gamma)$ is an A_γ -covariant metric on $\Omega^1(B_\gamma)$.*

Moreover, the $\overline{\gamma}$ -deformation of $(g_\gamma, (\cdot, \cdot)_\gamma)$ is $(g, (\cdot, \cdot))$ and hence $(g, (\cdot, \cdot)) \mapsto (g_\gamma, (\cdot, \cdot)_\gamma)$ defines a one to one correspondence between A -covariant metrics on Ω^1 and A_γ -covariant metrics on Ω_γ^1 .

In order to state our results, we make the following observation:

Proposition 4.8. *If $(g, (\cdot, \cdot))$ is a real A -covariant metric on $\Omega^1(B)$ and γ a unitary 2-cocycle on A , then the cocycle-deformed metric $(g_\gamma, (\cdot, \cdot)_\gamma)$ of Proposition 4.7 for the differential calculus $(\Omega_\gamma^\bullet, \wedge_\gamma, d_\gamma)$ is also real.*

Proof. We will use the notation \dagger_γ to denote the antilinear map $\text{flip}(*_\gamma \otimes_{B_\gamma} *_\gamma) : \Omega_\gamma^1 \otimes_{B_\gamma} \Omega_\gamma^1 \rightarrow \Omega_\gamma^1 \otimes_{B_\gamma} \Omega_\gamma^1$. Thus, we need to prove that $g_\gamma^{\dagger_\gamma} = g_\gamma$.

Moreover, we will write Sweedler's notation to write $g = g^{[1]} \otimes_B g^{[2]}$. Applying the antilinear map $* \otimes \dagger$ to the equation $\Omega^1 \otimes_B \Omega^1 \delta(g) = 1 \otimes g$ (see (2.7)) we get

$$g_{(-1)}^{[2]*} g_{(-1)}^{[1]*} \otimes (g_{(0)}^{[2]*} \otimes_B g_{(0)}^{[1]*}) = 1 \otimes g^\dagger. \quad (4.10)$$

We claim that the following equation holds for all $\omega, \eta \in \Omega^1$:

$$\dagger_\gamma(\varphi_{\Omega^1, \Omega^1}^{-1}(\omega \otimes_B \eta)) = \varphi_{\Omega^1, \Omega^1}^{-1}(\eta_{(0)}^* \otimes_B \omega_{(0)}^*) \bar{V}(\eta_{(-1)}^* \omega_{(-1)}^*). \quad (4.11)$$

The proposition follows from (4.11) and (4.10). Indeed, it is easy to see that $g_\gamma = \varphi_{\Omega^1, \Omega^1}^{-1}(g)$ and hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
g_\gamma^\dagger &= \dagger_\gamma(\varphi_{\Omega^1, \Omega^1}^{-1}(g)) \\
&= \varphi_{\Omega^1, \Omega^1}^{-1}(g_{(0)}^{[2]*} \otimes_B g_{(0)}^{[1]*} \bar{V}((g_{(-1)}^{[1]} g_{(-1)}^{[2]})^*)) \text{ (by (4.11))} \\
&= \varphi_{\Omega^1, \Omega^1}^{-1}(g^\dagger) \text{ (by (4.10))} \\
&= \varphi_{\Omega^1, \Omega^1}^{-1}(g) \\
&= g_\gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we are left to prove (4.11). To this end, we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
\dagger_\gamma(\varphi_{\Omega^1, \Omega^1}^{-1}(\omega \otimes_B \eta)) &= \dagger_\gamma(\bar{\gamma}(\omega_{(-1)} \otimes \eta_{(-1)}) \omega_{(0)} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \eta_{(0)}) \text{ (by (3.4))} \\
&= \gamma(S(\omega_{(-1)})^* \otimes S(\eta_{(-1)})^*) \eta_{(0)}^* \otimes_{B_\gamma} \omega_{(0)}^* \text{ (by (3.9))} \\
&= \gamma(S(\omega_{(-2)})^* \otimes S(\eta_{(-2)})^*) \bar{V}(\omega_{(-1)}^*) \bar{V}(\eta_{(-1)}^*) \eta_{(0)}^* \otimes_{B_\gamma} \omega_{(0)}^* \text{ (by (3.10))} \\
&= \bar{\gamma}(\eta_{(-1)}^* \otimes \omega_{(-1)}^*) \bar{V}(\eta_{(-2)}^* \omega_{(-2)}^*) \eta_{(0)}^* \otimes_{B_\gamma} \omega_{(0)}^* \text{ (by (A.3))} \\
&= \varphi_{\Omega^1, \Omega^1}^{-1}(\eta_{(0)}^* \otimes_B \omega_{(0)}^*) \bar{V}(\eta_{(-1)}^* \omega_{(-1)}^*).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (4.11) and hence the proposition. \blacksquare

We will continue to denote the γ -deformation of a Hermitian metric \mathcal{H} introduced in Theorem 4.4 by the symbol \mathcal{H}_γ .

By a combination of Proposition 4.6 and Proposition 4.8, we can construct an A_γ -covariant Hermitian metric on $\Omega^1(B_\gamma)$ from a Hermitian metric on $\Omega^1(B)$. Indeed, Proposition 4.6 implies that any covariant Hermitian metric on $\Omega^1(B)$ is of the form \mathcal{H}_g for a real A -covariant metric $(g, (,))$ on $\Omega^1(B)$. By Proposition 4.8, we know that $(g_\gamma, (,))_\gamma$ is a real A_γ -covariant metric on $\Omega^1(B_\gamma)$. Therefore, Proposition 4.6 applied to $(g_\gamma, (,))_\gamma$ yields an A_γ -covariant Hermitian metric \mathcal{H}_{g_γ} on $\Omega^1(B_\gamma)$. Our next result states that \mathcal{H}_{g_γ} is precisely the γ -deformation of \mathcal{H}_g for which we will use the fact that $\star_{\Omega^1} : \Omega^1 \rightarrow \overline{\Omega^1}$ defined in (B.3) is an isomorphism in ${}^A_B\text{Mod}_B$.

Theorem 4.9. *If $(g, (,))$ is a real A -covariant metric on $\Omega^1(B)$ and γ a unitary 2-cocycle, then $\mathcal{H}_{g_\gamma} = (\mathcal{H}_g)_\gamma$.*

Proof. Let $\langle , \rangle, \langle , \rangle'$ and \langle , \rangle_γ be the morphisms associated to the Hermitian metrics $\mathcal{H}_g, \mathcal{H}_{g_\gamma}$ and $(\mathcal{H}_g)_\gamma$ respectively. Then by (4.7), we have that,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle , \rangle_\gamma &= \Gamma(\langle , \rangle) \varphi_{\Omega^1, \overline{\Omega^1}}(\text{id} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \mathfrak{N}_{\Omega^1}) \\
&= \Gamma((,)) \Gamma((\text{id} \otimes_B \star_{\Omega^1}^{-1})) \varphi_{\Omega^1, \overline{\Omega^1}}(\text{id} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \mathfrak{N}_{\Omega^1}) \text{ (by (4.8))} \\
&= \Gamma((,)) \varphi_{\Omega^1, \Omega^1}(\Gamma(\text{id}) \otimes_{B_\gamma} \Gamma(\star_{\Omega^1}^{-1})) (\text{id} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \mathfrak{N}_{\Omega^1}) \text{ (by (3.5))} \\
&= (,)_\gamma (\text{id} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \Gamma(\star_{\Omega^1}^{-1}) \mathfrak{N}_{\Omega^1}) \text{ (by the definition of } (,)_\gamma \text{ in (4.9))} \\
&= (,)_\gamma (\text{id} \otimes_{B_\gamma} (\star_{\Omega^1}^{-1})^{-1}) \text{ (by (B.6))} \\
&= \langle , \rangle'
\end{aligned}$$

by (4.8). Then by (4.2), it can be easily checked that $\mathcal{H}_{g_\gamma} = (\mathcal{H}_g)_\gamma$. \blacksquare

We end this section with the following corollary:

Corollary 4.10. *The following sets are in one to one correspondence:*

- (1) A -covariant real metrics on Ω^1 ,
- (2) A -covariant Hermitian metrics on Ω^1 ,

- (3) A_γ -covariant real metrics on Ω_γ^1 ,
- (4) A_γ -covariant Hermitian metrics on Ω_γ^1 .

Proof. The bijection between the sets in (1) and (2) (and similarly, between (3) and (4)) follow from Proposition 4.6. On the other hand, a combination of the second statement of Proposition 4.7 with Proposition 4.8 yields a bijection between the sets in (1) and (3). \blacksquare

5. CHERN CONNECTIONS ON TWISTED HOLOMORPHIC BIMODULES

This section relates Chern connections on holomorphic bimodules with cocycle deformations. In order to prove the main result of this section (Theorem 5.10), we have to go through two steps. Firstly, in Subsection 5.2, we quickly observe that under unitary cocycle deformations, complex structures get deformed to complex structures. Then in Subsection 5.3, we prove a similar result about holomorphic bimodules. Thus, if \mathcal{E} is a covariant holomorphic B -bimodule equipped with an A -covariant Hermitian metric \mathcal{H} (in the sense of Definition 4.1) and γ an unitary 2-cocycle on A , then by a result of Beggs and Majid (Theorem 5.8), there exists a unique Chern connection for the pair $(\Gamma(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{H}_\gamma)$, where \mathcal{H}_γ is the twisted Hermitian metric of Theorem 4.4. We prove that this connection is nothing but the cocycle deformation of the Chern connection for the pair $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{H})$.

We will recall the definitions of complex structures, holomorphic bimodules and Chern connections in the relevant subsections. As in the previous section, we will repeatedly need the language of bar categories.

5.1. Complex structures. We begin by recalling the definition of a complex structure for a $*$ -differential calculus. The symbol \mathbb{N}_0 will denote the set $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Definition 5.1. [OB16] A complex structure $\Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}$ for a $*$ -differential calculus $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ on a $*$ -algebra B is an \mathbb{N}_0^2 -algebra grading $\bigoplus_{(p,q) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2} \Omega^{(p,q)}$ for Ω^\bullet such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $(p, q) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$, we have

$$\Omega^k = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \Omega^{(p,q)}, \quad \left(\Omega^{(p,q)}\right)^* = \Omega^{(q,p)}, \quad d(\Omega^{(p,q)}) \subseteq \Omega^{(p+1,q)} \oplus \Omega^{(p,q+1)}.$$

Here, $\Omega^{(0,0)} = \Omega^0 = B$.

The notion of complex structures in noncommutative geometry has been studied by many mathematicians for which we refer to [PS03], [KLvS11], [BS13], and references therein. By a combination of [OB16, Lemma 2.15 and Remark 2.16], the above definition is equivalent to those in [BS13] and [KLvS11].

In the set up of Definition 5.1, it is clear that $\Omega^{(p,q)}$ is a B -bimodule. Moreover, for $p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\pi^{p,q} : \Omega^{p+q} \rightarrow \Omega^{(p,q)}$ will denote the canonical projections associated to the decomposition $\Omega^k = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \Omega^{(p,q)}$, while $\partial, \bar{\partial}$ will denote the maps

$$\partial := \pi^{(p+1,q)} \circ d : \Omega^{(p,q)} \rightarrow \Omega^{(p+1,q)} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\partial} := \pi^{(p,q+1)} \circ d : \Omega^{(p,q)} \rightarrow \Omega^{(p,q+1)}. \quad (5.1)$$

In the sequel, we will denote a complex structure on a differential calculus $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ by the quadruple $(\Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \wedge, \partial, \bar{\partial})$.

Definition 5.2. A complex structure $(\Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \wedge, \partial, \bar{\partial})$ on a left A -comodule $*$ -algebra B is said to be left A -covariant if $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ is a covariant differential $*$ -calculus in the sense of Definition 2.3 and moreover, if $\Omega^{(p,q)}$ is a left A -comodule for all $(p, q) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$.

In this case, the covariance of $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ implies that the projections $\pi^{p,q}$ and d are A -covariant and hence the bimodules $\Omega^{(p,q)}$ are objects in ${}^A_B\text{Mod}_B$ and the maps ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$ are also A -covariant.

As a consequence of Remark 2.6, we make the following observation:

Remark 5.3. If $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, \wedge, \partial, \bar{\partial})$ is a complex structure on B such that Ω^1 admits a metric $(g, (\cdot, \cdot))$, then $\Omega^{(1,0)}$ and $\Omega^{(0,1)}$ are finitely generated and projective as left B -modules.

5.2. Twisting a Covariant Complex Structure. Let $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, \wedge, \partial, \bar{\partial})$ be an A -covariant complex structure on a left A -comodule $*$ -algebra B and γ a unitary 2-cocycle on A . Consider the cocycle twisted A_γ -covariant $*$ -differential calculus $(\Omega_\gamma^\bullet, \wedge_\gamma, d_\gamma)$ of Proposition 3.9. Since $\Omega^{(p,q)}$ is an object of ${}^A_B\mathbf{Mod}$ and $\partial, \bar{\partial}$ are morphisms of the category ${}^A\mathbf{Mod}$, we can make the following definitions:

$$\Omega_\gamma^{(p,q)} := \Gamma(\Omega^{(p,q)}), \quad \partial_\gamma := \Gamma(\partial), \quad (\bar{\partial})_\gamma := \Gamma(\bar{\partial}),$$

where ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$ are the maps defined in (5.1). Then we have the following result:

Proposition 5.4. Let $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, \wedge, \partial, \bar{\partial})$ be an A -covariant complex structure on a left A -comodule $*$ -algebra B and γ a unitary 2-cocycle on A . Then $(\Omega_\gamma^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, \wedge_\gamma, \partial_\gamma, (\bar{\partial})_\gamma)$ is an A_γ -covariant complex structure for the $*$ -differential calculus $(\Omega_\gamma^\bullet, \wedge_\gamma, d_\gamma)$.

Proof. Since γ is unitary, we have that Ω_γ^\bullet is an A_γ -covariant differential $*$ -algebra on B_γ . Moreover, since the functor $\Gamma : {}^A_B\mathbf{Mod} \rightarrow {}^{A_\gamma}_{B_\gamma}\mathbf{Mod}$ is a categorical equivalence, we have $\Omega_\gamma^k = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \Gamma(\Omega^{(p,q)}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \Omega_\gamma^{(p,q)}$. Then $d_\gamma(\Omega_\gamma^{(p,q)}) \subseteq \Omega_\gamma^{(p+1,q)} \oplus \Omega_\gamma^{(p,q+1)}$.

Next, we verify that $(\Omega_\gamma^{(p,q)})^{*\gamma} = \Omega_\gamma^{(q,p)}$. If $\omega \in \Omega_\gamma^{(p,q)}$, then by (3.10),

$$\omega^{*\gamma} = \bar{V}(\omega_{(-1)}^*) \omega_{(0)}^* \in \Omega_\gamma^{(q,p)}.$$

Conversely, for $\eta \in \Omega_\gamma^{(q,p)}$, we have $(\bar{V}(\eta_{(-1)}^*) \eta_{(0)}^*)^{*\gamma} = \eta$ by virtue of Remark 3.7 and Lemma 3.8. Since $\bar{V}(\eta_{(-1)}^*) \eta_{(0)}^* \in \Omega_\gamma^{(p,q)}$, we conclude that $\Omega_\gamma^{(q,p)} \subseteq (\Omega_\gamma^{(p,q)})^{*\gamma}$. The rest of the properties of a complex structure can be checked easily. \blacksquare

5.3. Deformation of holomorphic bimodules. Now we are in a position to define and twist holomorphic bimodules.

Definition 5.5. Suppose $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, \wedge, \partial, \bar{\partial})$ is an A -covariant complex structure on an A -comodule $*$ -algebra B . A covariant holomorphic structure on an object \mathcal{E} of ${}^A_B\mathbf{Mod}$ is the choice of a covariant left $\bar{\partial}$ -connection $\bar{\partial}_\mathcal{E}$ on \mathcal{E} whose holomorphic curvature vanishes. Concretely, this means that we have an A -covariant \mathbb{C} -linear map

$$\bar{\partial}_\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Omega^{(0,1)} \otimes_B \mathcal{E} \text{ such that } \bar{\partial}_\mathcal{E}(be) = b\bar{\partial}_\mathcal{E}(e) + \bar{\partial}(b) \otimes_B e \quad (5.2)$$

for all $b \in B, e \in \mathcal{E}$, and moreover, the map

$$R_\mathcal{E}^{\text{Hol}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Omega^{(0,2)} \otimes_B \mathcal{E}, \quad R_\mathcal{E}^{\text{Hol}}(e) = (\bar{\partial} \otimes_B \text{id} - \text{id} \wedge \bar{\partial}_\mathcal{E})\bar{\partial}_\mathcal{E}(e)$$

is identically zero.

In this case, we say that the pair $(\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_\mathcal{E})$ is a covariant holomorphic B -bimodule.

With the set up and notations of Definition 5.5, we have the following result:

Theorem 5.6. Suppose $(\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_\mathcal{E})$ is an A -covariant holomorphic bimodule in ${}^A_B\mathbf{Mod}$ and γ a unitary 2-cocycle on A . We define

$$\bar{\partial}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} := \varphi_{\Omega^{(0,1)}, \mathcal{E}}^{-1} \Gamma(\bar{\partial}_\mathcal{E}) : \Gamma(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \Omega_\gamma^{(0,1)} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \Gamma(\mathcal{E}). \quad (5.3)$$

Then the pair $(\Gamma(\mathcal{E}), \bar{\partial}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})})$ is an A_γ -covariant holomorphic bimodule in ${}^{A_\gamma}_{B_\gamma}\mathbf{Mod}$.

Proof. By a verbatim adaptation of the proof of Proposition 3.11, it follows that $\bar{\partial}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}$ is a left $\bar{\partial}$ -connection on $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$, i.e., (5.2) is satisfied. So, we are left to show that

$$R_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}^{\text{Hol}} = ((\bar{\partial})_\gamma \otimes_{B_\gamma} \text{id} - \text{id} \wedge_\gamma \bar{\partial}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}) \bar{\partial}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} = 0.$$

We begin by observing that the map $\bar{\partial} \otimes_B \text{id} - \text{id} \wedge \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}} : \Omega^{(0,1)} \otimes_B \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Omega^{(0,1)} \otimes_B \mathcal{E}$ is a morphism in the category ${}^A\mathbf{Mod}$. Hence, $\Gamma(\bar{\partial} \otimes_B \text{id} - \text{id} \wedge \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}})$ is a morphism in the category ${}^{A\gamma}\mathbf{Mod}$.

We claim that for all $e \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\omega \in \Omega^{(0,1)}$, the following equation is satisfied:

$$\varphi_{\Omega^{(0,2)}, \mathcal{E}} \left((\bar{\partial})_{\gamma} \otimes_{B_{\gamma}} \text{id} - \text{id} \wedge_{\gamma} \bar{\partial}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} \right) \varphi_{\Omega^{(0,1)}, \mathcal{E}}^{-1}(\omega \otimes_B e) = \Gamma(\bar{\partial} \otimes_B \text{id} - \text{id} \wedge \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}})(\omega \otimes_B e). \quad (5.4)$$

The theorem follows easily from this equation. Indeed, for all $e \in \mathcal{E}$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\Omega^{(0,2)}, \mathcal{E}} R_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}^{\text{Hol}}(e) &= \varphi_{\Omega^{(0,2)}, \mathcal{E}} \left((\bar{\partial})_{\gamma} \otimes_{B_{\gamma}} \text{id} - (\text{id} \wedge_{\gamma} \bar{\partial}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}) \right) \bar{\partial}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}(e) \\ &= \Gamma(\bar{\partial} \otimes_B \text{id} - \text{id} \wedge \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}) \varphi_{\Omega^{(0,1)}, \mathcal{E}} \bar{\partial}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}(e) \quad (\text{by (5.4)}) \\ &= \Gamma(\bar{\partial} \otimes_B \text{id} - \text{id} \wedge \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}) \Gamma(\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}})(e) \quad (\text{by (5.3)}) \\ &= \Gamma(R_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{Hol}})(e) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now we prove (5.4). For $e \in \mathcal{E}$, let us use the notation

$$e_{(-1)} \otimes \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}(e_{(0)}) = e_{(-1)} \otimes \sum_i \omega_i \otimes_B e_i. \quad (5.5)$$

Since $\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is A -covariant we have $e_{(-1)} \otimes \Omega^{1 \otimes B \mathcal{E}} \delta(\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}(e_{(0)})) = e_{(-1)} \otimes (\text{id} \otimes \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}})^{\mathcal{E}} \delta(e_{(0)})$, i.e.

$$e_{(-1)} \otimes \sum_i \omega_{i(-1)} e_{i(-1)} \otimes \omega_{i(0)} \otimes_B e_{i(0)} = e_{(-2)} \otimes e_{(-1)} \otimes \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}(e_{(0)}). \quad (5.6)$$

Now, for $e \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\omega \in \Omega^{(0,1)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi_{\Omega^{(0,2)}, \mathcal{E}} \left((\bar{\partial})_{\gamma} \otimes_{B_{\gamma}} \text{id} - (\text{id} \wedge_{\gamma} \bar{\partial}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}) \right) \varphi_{\Omega^{(1,0)}, \mathcal{E}}^{-1}(\omega \otimes_B e) \\ &= \bar{\gamma}(\omega_{(-1)} \otimes e_{(-1)}) \varphi_{\Omega^{(0,2)}, \mathcal{E}} \left((\bar{\partial})_{\gamma}(\omega_{(0)}) \otimes_{B_{\gamma}} e_{(0)} - (\omega_{(0)} \wedge_{\gamma} \bar{\partial}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}(e_{(0)})) \right) \quad (\text{by (3.4)}) \\ &= \bar{\gamma}(\omega_{(-2)} \otimes e_{(-2)}) \gamma(\omega_{(-1)} \otimes e_{(-1)}) \bar{\partial}(\omega_{(0)}) \otimes_B e_{(0)} - \sum_i \bar{\gamma}(\omega_{(-1)} \otimes e_{(-1)}) \varphi_{\Omega^{(0,2)}, \mathcal{E}} \\ &\quad (\omega_{(0)} \wedge_{\gamma} \varphi_{\Omega^{(0,1)}, \mathcal{E}}^{-1}(\omega_i \otimes_B e_i)) \quad (\text{by (3.3), (5.3) and (5.5)}) \\ &= \bar{\gamma}(\omega_{(-2)} \otimes e_{(-2)}) \gamma(\omega_{(-1)} \otimes e_{(-1)}) \bar{\partial}(\omega_{(0)}) \otimes_B e_{(0)} - \sum_i \bar{\gamma}(\omega_{(-1)} \otimes e_{(-1)}) \bar{\gamma}(\omega_{i(-1)} \otimes e_{i(-1)}) \\ &\quad \varphi_{\Omega^{(0,2)}, \mathcal{E}}(\omega_{(0)} \wedge_{\gamma} \omega_{i(0)} \otimes_{B_{\gamma}} e_{i(0)}) \quad (\text{by (3.4)}) \\ &= \bar{\partial}(\omega) \otimes_B e - \sum_i \bar{\gamma}(\omega_{(-2)} \otimes e_{(-1)}) \bar{\gamma}(\omega_{i(-2)} \otimes e_{i(-1)}) \gamma(\omega_{(-1)} \otimes \omega_{i(-1)}) \varphi_{\Omega^{(0,2)}, \mathcal{E}} \\ &\quad (\omega_{(0)} \wedge \omega_{i(0)} \otimes_{B_{\gamma}} e_{i(0)}) \quad (\text{as } \bar{\gamma} * \gamma = \epsilon \otimes \epsilon \text{ and (3.11)}) \\ &= \bar{\partial}(\omega) \otimes_B e - \sum_i \bar{\gamma}(\omega_{(-3)} \otimes e_{(-1)}) \bar{\gamma}(\omega_{i(-3)} \otimes e_{i(-2)}) \gamma(\omega_{(-2)} \otimes \omega_{i(-2)}) \\ &\quad \gamma(\omega_{(-1)} \omega_{i(-1)} \otimes e_{i(-1)}) (\omega_{(0)} \wedge \omega_{i(0)} \otimes_B e_{i(0)}) \quad (\text{by (3.3)}) \\ &= \bar{\partial}(\omega) \otimes_B e - \sum_i \bar{\gamma}(\omega_{(-4)} \otimes e_{(-1)}) \gamma(\omega_{(-3)} \otimes \omega_{i(-3)} e_{i(-3)}) \bar{\gamma}(\omega_{(-2)} \omega_{i(-2)} \otimes e_{i(-2)}) \\ &\quad \gamma(\omega_{(-1)} \omega_{i(-1)} \otimes e_{i(-1)}) (\omega_{(0)} \wedge \omega_{i(0)} \otimes_B e_{i(0)}) \quad (\text{by (4) of Lemma A.1}) \\ &= \bar{\partial}(\omega) \otimes_B e - \sum_i \bar{\gamma}(\omega_{(-2)} \otimes e_{(-1)}) \gamma(\omega_{(-1)} \otimes \omega_{i(-1)} e_{i(-1)}) (\omega_{(0)} \wedge \omega_{i(0)} \otimes_B e_{i(0)}) \\ &\quad (\text{as } \bar{\gamma} * \gamma = \epsilon \otimes \epsilon) \\ &= \bar{\partial}(\omega) \otimes_B e - \sum_i \bar{\gamma}(\omega_{(-2)} \otimes e_{(-2)}) \gamma(\omega_{(-1)} \otimes e_{(-1)}) (\omega_{(0)} \wedge \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}(e_{(0)})) \quad (\text{by (5.6)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \bar{\partial}(\omega) \otimes_B e - (\omega \wedge \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}(e)) \text{ (as } \bar{\gamma} * \gamma = \epsilon \otimes \epsilon) \\
&= \Gamma(\bar{\partial} \otimes_B \text{id} - \text{id} \wedge \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}})(\omega \otimes_B e).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (5.4) and hence completes the proof. \blacksquare

5.4. Deformation of Chern connections. From [BM20, Section 2.8], we know that if A is a Hopf $*$ -algebra, then ${}^A\mathbf{Mod}$, i.e, the monoidal category of left A -comodules is a bar-category with structure maps as in Example B.2. If \mathcal{E} is an object of ${}^A_B\mathbf{Mod}$, then a covariant connection $\nabla : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Omega^1 \otimes_B \mathcal{E}$ is a morphism in ${}^A\mathbf{Mod}$. Thus, the map

$$\bar{\nabla} : \bar{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \overline{\Omega^1 \otimes_B \mathcal{E}} \text{ defined by } \bar{\nabla}(\bar{e}) = \overline{\nabla(e)} \quad (5.7)$$

is a morphism in ${}^A\mathbf{Mod}$. We prove the following lemma in which we will use the notations of Example B.2.

Lemma 5.7. *Suppose $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ is a covariant $*$ -differential calculus on a comodule $*$ -algebra B and ∇ a left covariant connection on an object \mathcal{E} of ${}^A_B\mathbf{Mod}$. Then the map*

$$\widetilde{\nabla} = (\text{id} \otimes_B \star_{\Omega^1(B)}^{-1}) \Upsilon_{\Omega^1, \mathcal{E}} \bar{\nabla} \quad (5.8)$$

is an A -covariant right connection on $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$. In particular, if $\nabla(e) = \sum_i \omega_i \otimes_B e_i$, then

$$e_{(-1)}^* \otimes \widetilde{\nabla}(\bar{e}_{(0)}) = \sum_i e_{i(-1)}^* \omega_{i(-1)}^* \otimes \bar{e}_{i(0)} \otimes_B \omega_{i(0)}^*. \quad (5.9)$$

Proof. The fact that $\widetilde{\nabla}$ is a right connection has been proved in [BM20, Lemma 3.82]. Now, as noted above, the map $\bar{\nabla}$ is covariant and moreover, the same is true about $\star_{\Omega^1(B)}$ and $\Upsilon_{\Omega^1, \mathcal{E}}$ (see Example B.2). Hence, $\widetilde{\nabla}$ is a composition of covariant maps and hence covariant. The equation (5.9) follows from the covariance of $\widetilde{\nabla}$ and the observation that $\widetilde{\nabla}(\bar{e}) = \sum_i \bar{e}_i \otimes_B \omega_i^*$. \blacksquare

Now we are in a position to recall the following theorem which generalizes the existence of Chern connections on holomorphic vector bundles on complex manifolds. We will be using the map $\pi^{0,1} : \Omega^1 \rightarrow \Omega^{(0,1)}$ introduced in Subsection 5.1, while the notations \langle , \rangle and $\widetilde{\nabla}$ will be as in (4.2) and Lemma 5.7.

Theorem 5.8. ([BM17, Proposition 4.2]) *Suppose $(\Omega^{\bullet, \bullet}, \wedge, \partial, \bar{\partial})$ is an A -covariant complex structure on an A -comodule $*$ -algebra B . If \mathcal{H} is an A -covariant Hermitian metric on an A -covariant holomorphic B -bimodule $(\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}})$ with \mathcal{E} finitely generated and projective as a left B -module, then there exists a unique A -covariant left connection $\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \mathcal{E}}$ on \mathcal{E} satisfying the following two conditions:*

(1) $\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \mathcal{E}}$ is compatible with \mathcal{H} , i.e.,

$$d\langle , \rangle = (\text{id} \otimes_B \langle , \rangle)(\nabla \otimes_B \text{id}) + (\langle , \rangle \otimes_B \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes_B \widetilde{\nabla})$$

as maps from $\mathcal{E} \otimes_B \bar{\mathcal{E}}$ to Ω^1 ,

(2) $(\pi^{0,1} \otimes_B \text{id}) \circ \nabla = \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}$.

The covariance of $\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \mathcal{E}}$ follows from [BGKOB24, Theorem 7.11]. The connection $\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \mathcal{E}}$ is called the Chern connection for the pair $(\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}})$.

We continue with the assumptions of Theorem 5.8 and assume that γ is a unitary 2-cocycle on A . Then by Theorem 4.4, \mathcal{H}_{γ} is an A_{γ} -covariant Hermitian metric on $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$. On the other hand, Theorem 5.6 implies that $(\Gamma(\mathcal{E}), \bar{\partial}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})})$ is an A_{γ} -covariant holomorphic bimodule in ${}^{A_{\gamma}}_{B_{\gamma}}\mathbf{Mod}$. Thus, by Theorem 5.8, the pair $(\Gamma(\mathcal{E}), \bar{\partial}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})})$ admits a Chern connection for the Hermitian metric \mathcal{H}_{γ} . We will prove that this connection is the cocycle twist of the connection $\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \mathcal{E}}$.

Let us recall (Proposition 3.11) that given a left A -covariant connection $\nabla_{\mathcal{E}}$ on an object \mathcal{E} of ${}^A_B\mathbf{Mod}_B$, we have a left A_{γ} -covariant connection $\nabla_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}$ on $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$. Then by Lemma 5.7, there is a right A_{γ} -covariant connection $\widetilde{\nabla}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}$ on $\overline{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}$. The following lemma establishes a relation between $\widetilde{\nabla}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}$ and $\widetilde{\nabla}_{\mathcal{E}}$ which will be needed in the proof of Theorem 5.10.

Lemma 5.9. *Suppose $\nabla_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Omega^1 \otimes_B \mathcal{E}$ is a left A -covariant connection on an object \mathcal{E} of ${}^A_B\mathbf{Mod}_B$ and $\widetilde{\nabla}_{\mathcal{E}} : \overline{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{E}} \otimes_B \Omega^1$ is a right connection on \mathcal{E} defined as in Lemma 5.7. Then the twisted connection $\nabla_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}$ of $\nabla_{\mathcal{E}}$ as in (3.12) satisfies*

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} = (\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}}^{-1} \otimes_{B_{\gamma}} \text{id}) \varphi_{\overline{\mathcal{E}}, \Omega^1}^{-1} \circ \Gamma(\widetilde{\nabla}_{\mathcal{E}}) \mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}}$$

where $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is as defined in (4.5).

Proof. Suppose, for $e \in \mathcal{E}$, $\nabla(e) = \sum_i \omega_i \otimes_B e_i$. Then by the definition of $\widetilde{\nabla}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{\nabla}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}(\overline{e}) \\ &= (\text{id} \otimes_{B_{\gamma}} \star_{\Omega_{\gamma}^1}^{-1}) \Upsilon_{\Omega_{\gamma}^1, \Gamma(\mathcal{E})} \overline{\nabla_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}(\overline{e})} \\ &= (\text{id} \otimes_{B_{\gamma}} \star_{\Omega_{\gamma}^1}^{-1}) \Upsilon_{\Omega_{\gamma}^1, \Gamma(\mathcal{E})} \varphi_{\Omega_{\gamma}^1, \mathcal{E}}^{-1} \left(\sum_i \omega_i \otimes_B e_i \right) \quad (\text{by (3.12)}) \\ &= (\text{id} \otimes_{B_{\gamma}} \star_{\Omega_{\gamma}^1}^{-1}) \sum_i \overline{\gamma(\omega_{i(-1)} \otimes e_{i(-1)})} \Upsilon_{\Omega_{\gamma}^1, \Gamma(\mathcal{E})} \overline{(\omega_{i(0)} \otimes_{B_{\gamma}} e_{i(0)})} \quad (\text{by (3.4)}) \\ &= (\text{id} \otimes_{B_{\gamma}} \star_{\Omega_{\gamma}^1}^{-1}) \sum_i \overline{\gamma(\omega_{i(-1)} \otimes e_{i(-1)})} \left(\overline{e_{i(0)}} \otimes_{B_{\gamma}} \overline{\omega_{i(0)}} \right) \quad (\text{by Example B.2}) \\ &= \sum_i \overline{\gamma(\omega_{i(-1)} \otimes e_{i(-1)})} \left(\overline{e_{i(0)}} \otimes_{B_{\gamma}} \omega_{i(0)}^{\star_{\gamma}} \right) \quad (\text{by (B.5)}) \\ &= \sum_i \gamma(S(\omega_{i(-2)})^* \otimes S(e_{i(-1)})^*) \left(\overline{e_{i(0)}} \otimes_{B_{\gamma}} \bar{V}(\omega_{i(-1)}^*) \omega_{i(0)}^* \right) \quad (\text{by (3.9) and (3.10)}) \\ &= \sum_i \gamma(S(\omega_{i(-2)})^* \otimes S(e_{i(-2)})^*) \bar{V}(\omega_{i(-1)}^*) \bar{V}(e_{i(-1)}^*) \left(\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}}^{-1} \otimes_{B_{\gamma}} \text{id} \right) \left(\overline{e_{i(0)}} \otimes_{B_{\gamma}} \omega_{i(0)}^* \right) \quad (\text{by (B.4)}) \\ &= \sum_i \left(\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}}^{-1} \otimes_{B_{\gamma}} \text{id} \right) \bar{V}(e_{i(-2)}^* \omega_{i(-2)}^*) \bar{\gamma}(e_{i(-1)}^* \otimes \omega_{i(-1)}^*) \left(\overline{e_{i(0)}} \otimes_{B_{\gamma}} \omega_{i(0)}^* \right) \quad (\text{by (A.3)}) \\ &= \sum_i \left(\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}}^{-1} \otimes_{B_{\gamma}} \text{id} \right) \bar{V}(e_{i(-1)}^* \omega_{i(-1)}^*) \varphi_{\overline{\mathcal{E}}, \Omega^1}^{-1} \left(\overline{e_{i(0)}} \otimes_B \omega_{i(0)}^* \right) \quad (\text{by (3.4)}) \\ &= \left(\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}}^{-1} \otimes_{B_{\gamma}} \text{id} \right) \varphi_{\overline{\mathcal{E}}, \Omega^1}^{-1} (\bar{V}(e_{(-1)}^*) \Gamma(\widetilde{\nabla}_{\mathcal{E}})(\overline{e_{(0)}})) \quad (\text{by (5.9)}) \\ &= \left(\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}}^{-1} \otimes_{B_{\gamma}} \text{id} \right) \varphi_{\overline{\mathcal{E}}, \Omega^1}^{-1} \Gamma(\widetilde{\nabla}_{\mathcal{E}}) \mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}}(\overline{e}) \end{aligned}$$

by (4.5). This completes the proof of the lemma. ■

Now we can prove the main theorem of this section:

Theorem 5.10. *Suppose γ is a unitary cocycle on a Hopf $*$ -algebra A and B is an A -comodule $*$ -algebra. If \mathcal{H} is a covariant Hermitian metric on a holomorphic bimodule $(\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}})$ in ${}^A_B\mathbf{Mod}_B$ such that \mathcal{E} is finitely generated and projective as a left B -module, then the connection*

$$\nabla' := \varphi_{\Omega^1, \mathcal{E}}^{-1} \Gamma(\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \mathcal{E}})$$

is the Chern connection for the Hermitian metric \mathcal{H}_{γ} (as in Theorem 4.4) on the holomorphic bimodule $(\Gamma(\mathcal{E}), \bar{\partial}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})})$ in ${}^A_{B_{\gamma}}\mathbf{Mod}_{B_{\gamma}}$. Thus, $\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \Gamma(\mathcal{E})} = \nabla'$.

Proof. Firstly, as Γ is a categorical equivalence, $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ is finitely generated and projective as a left B_{γ} -module and moreover, ∇' is a connection by virtue of Proposition 3.11. Thus,

by the uniqueness of the Chern connection in Theorem 5.8, it is enough to prove that the connection ∇' satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) of that theorem. Firstly,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\pi_\gamma^{0,1} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \text{id}) \nabla' &= (\pi_\gamma^{0,1} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \text{id}) \varphi_{\Omega^1, \mathcal{E}}^{-1} \Gamma(\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \mathcal{E}}) \\
&= \varphi_{\Omega(0,1), \mathcal{E}}^{-1} \Gamma(\pi^{0,1} \otimes_B \text{id}) \Gamma(\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \mathcal{E}}) \text{ (by (3.5))} \\
&= \varphi_{\Omega(0,1), \mathcal{E}}^{-1} \Gamma((\pi^{0,1} \otimes_B \text{id}) \nabla_{\text{Ch}, \mathcal{E}}) \\
&= \varphi_{\Omega(0,1), \mathcal{E}}^{-1} \Gamma(\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}) \\
&= \overline{\partial}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} \text{ (by (5.3)).}
\end{aligned}$$

So, we are left to show that ∇' is compatible with \mathcal{H}_γ . For the rest of the proof, we will use the notation \cdot_γ to denote both the left and the right B_γ -bimodule actions on Ω_γ^1 . For example, for the right B_γ action, this will mean

$$\cdot_\gamma = \Gamma(\cdot) \varphi_{\Omega^1, B}.$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
&\cdot_\gamma \left((\text{id}_{\Omega_\gamma^1} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\gamma) (\nabla' \otimes_{B_\gamma} \text{id}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}) \right) + \cdot_\gamma \left((\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\gamma \otimes_{B_\gamma} \text{id}_{\Omega_\gamma^1}) (\text{id}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \widetilde{\nabla}') \right) \\
&= \cdot_\gamma \left((\text{id}_{\Omega_\gamma^1} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \Gamma(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\gamma)) \varphi_{\mathcal{E}, \overline{\mathcal{E}}}(\text{id}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \mathfrak{N}_\mathcal{E}) (\nabla' \otimes_{B_\gamma} \text{id}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}) \right) + \\
&\cdot_\gamma \left((\Gamma(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\gamma) \varphi_{\mathcal{E}, \overline{\mathcal{E}}}(\text{id}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \mathfrak{N}_\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{B_\gamma} \text{id}_{\Omega_\gamma^1}) (\text{id}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} \otimes_{B_\gamma} (\mathfrak{N}_\mathcal{E}^{-1} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \text{id}_{\Omega_\gamma^1}) \varphi_{\overline{\mathcal{E}}, \Omega^1}^{-1} \circ \Gamma(\widetilde{\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \mathcal{E}}}) \mathfrak{N}_\mathcal{E}) \right) \\
&\text{(by (4.7) and Lemma 5.9)} \\
&= \cdot_\gamma \left((\text{id}_{\Omega_\gamma^1} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \Gamma(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\gamma)) (\text{id}_{\Omega_\gamma^1} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \varphi_{\mathcal{E}, \overline{\mathcal{E}}}) (\nabla' \otimes_{B_\gamma} \text{id}_{\Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{E}})}) (\text{id}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \mathfrak{N}_\mathcal{E}) \right) + \\
&\cdot_\gamma \left((\Gamma(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\gamma) \varphi_{\mathcal{E}, \overline{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \text{id}_{\Omega_\gamma^1}) (\text{id}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \varphi_{\overline{\mathcal{E}}, \Omega^1}^{-1}) (\text{id}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \Gamma(\widetilde{\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \mathcal{E}}})) (\text{id}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \mathfrak{N}_\mathcal{E}) \right) \\
&= \cdot_\gamma \left((\text{id}_{\Omega_\gamma^1} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \Gamma(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\gamma)) (\text{id}_{\Omega_\gamma^1} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \varphi_{\mathcal{E}, \overline{\mathcal{E}}}) (\varphi_{\Omega^1, \mathcal{E}}^{-1} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \text{id}_{\Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{E}})}) \varphi_{\Omega^1 \otimes_B \mathcal{E}, \overline{\mathcal{E}}}^{-1} \Gamma(\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \mathcal{E}} \otimes_B \text{id}_{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}) \varphi_{\mathcal{E}, \overline{\mathcal{E}}} \right. \\
&\left. (\text{id}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \mathfrak{N}_\mathcal{E}) \right) + \cdot_\gamma \left((\Gamma(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\gamma) \otimes_{B_\gamma} \text{id}_{\Omega_\gamma^1}) (\varphi_{\mathcal{E}, \overline{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \text{id}_{\Omega_\gamma^1}) (\text{id}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \varphi_{\overline{\mathcal{E}}, \Omega^1}^{-1}) \varphi_{\mathcal{E}, \overline{\mathcal{E}} \otimes_B \Omega^1}^{-1} \right. \\
&\left. \Gamma(\text{id}_\mathcal{E} \otimes_B \widetilde{\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \mathcal{E}}}) \varphi_{\mathcal{E}, \overline{\mathcal{E}}}(\text{id}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \mathfrak{N}_\mathcal{E}) \right) \text{ (by definition of } \nabla', \text{ (3.5))} \\
&= \cdot_\gamma \left((\text{id}_{\Omega_\gamma^1} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \Gamma(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\gamma)) (\text{id}_{\Omega_\gamma^1} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \varphi_{\mathcal{E}, \overline{\mathcal{E}}}) (\text{id}_{\Omega_\gamma^1} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \varphi_{\mathcal{E}, \overline{\mathcal{E}}}^{-1}) \varphi_{\Omega^1, \mathcal{E} \otimes_B \overline{\mathcal{E}}}^{-1} \Gamma(\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \mathcal{E}} \otimes_B \text{id}_{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}) \right. \\
&\left. \varphi_{\mathcal{E}, \overline{\mathcal{E}}}(\text{id}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \mathfrak{N}_\mathcal{E}) \right) + \cdot_\gamma \left((\Gamma(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\gamma) \otimes_{B_\gamma} \text{id}_{\Omega_\gamma^1}) (\varphi_{\mathcal{E}, \overline{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \text{id}_{\Omega_\gamma^1}) (\varphi_{\mathcal{E}, \overline{\mathcal{E}}}^{-1} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \text{id}_{\Omega_\gamma^1}) \varphi_{\mathcal{E} \otimes_B \overline{\mathcal{E}}, \Omega^1}^{-1} \right. \\
&\left. \Gamma(\text{id}_\mathcal{E} \otimes_B \widetilde{\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \mathcal{E}}}) \varphi_{\mathcal{E}, \overline{\mathcal{E}}}(\text{id}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \mathfrak{N}_\mathcal{E}) \right) \text{ (as } \Gamma \text{ is a monoidal equivalence)} \\
&= \Gamma(\cdot) \varphi_{\Omega^1, B} \left((\text{id}_{\Omega_\gamma^1} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \Gamma(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\gamma)) \varphi_{\Omega^1, \mathcal{E} \otimes_B \overline{\mathcal{E}}}^{-1} \Gamma(\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \mathcal{E}} \otimes_B \text{id}_{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}) \varphi_{\mathcal{E}, \overline{\mathcal{E}}}(\text{id}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \mathfrak{N}_\mathcal{E}) \right) + \\
&\Gamma(\cdot) \varphi_{B, \Omega^1} \left((\Gamma(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\gamma) \otimes_{B_\gamma} \text{id}_{\Omega_\gamma^1}) \varphi_{\mathcal{E} \otimes_B \overline{\mathcal{E}}, \Omega^1}^{-1} \Gamma(\text{id}_\mathcal{E} \otimes_B \widetilde{\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \mathcal{E}}}) \varphi_{\mathcal{E}, \overline{\mathcal{E}}}(\text{id}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \mathfrak{N}_\mathcal{E}) \right) \text{ (by (3.7))} \\
&= \Gamma(\cdot) \Gamma((\text{id}_{\Omega^1} \otimes_B \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\gamma)) \Gamma(\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \mathcal{E}} \otimes_B \text{id}_{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}) \varphi_{\mathcal{E}, \overline{\mathcal{E}}}(\text{id}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \mathfrak{N}_\mathcal{E}) + \\
&\Gamma \left(\cdot (\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\gamma \otimes_B \text{id}_{\Omega^1}) (\text{id}_\mathcal{E} \otimes_B \widetilde{\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \mathcal{E}}}) \right) \varphi_{\mathcal{E}, \overline{\mathcal{E}}}(\text{id}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \mathfrak{N}_\mathcal{E}) \text{ (by (3.5))} \\
&= \Gamma \left(\cdot (\text{id}_{\Omega^1} \otimes_B \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\gamma) (\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \mathcal{E}} \otimes_B \text{id}_{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}) + \cdot (\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\gamma \otimes_B \text{id}_{\Omega^1}) (\text{id}_\mathcal{E} \otimes_B \widetilde{\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \mathcal{E}}}) \right) \varphi_{\mathcal{E}, \overline{\mathcal{E}}}(\text{id}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \mathfrak{N}_\mathcal{E}) \\
&= \Gamma(d\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\gamma) \varphi_{\mathcal{E}, \overline{\mathcal{E}}}(\text{id}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \mathfrak{N}_\mathcal{E}) \\
&= d_\gamma(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\gamma)
\end{aligned}$$

by (4.7). Hence, ∇' is the Chern connection on $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$. \blacksquare

We end this section by spelling out a sufficient condition for $\nabla_{\text{Ch},\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}$ to be a bimodule connection. Let us recall that a left $\bar{\partial}$ -connection $\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}$ on \mathcal{E} is said to be a left σ -bimodule $\bar{\partial}$ -connection if there exists a B -bimodule map $\sigma : \mathcal{E} \otimes_B \Omega^{(0,1)} \rightarrow \Omega^{(0,1)} \otimes_B \mathcal{E}$ such that

$$\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}(eb) = \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}(e)b + \sigma(e \otimes_B \bar{\partial}b)$$

for all $e \in \mathcal{E}$ and for all $b \in B$.

Corollary 5.11. *With the notations and assumptions of Theorem 5.8, let us assume that $\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is a left bimodule $\bar{\partial}$ -connection. Then for any unitary 2-cocycle γ on A , the Chern connection $\nabla_{\text{Ch},\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}$ is again a bimodule connection.*

Proof. Since $\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is a bimodule $\bar{\partial}$ -connection, [BM17, Proposition 4.3] implies that $\nabla_{\text{Ch},\mathcal{E}}$ is also a bimodule connection. Now by Theorem 5.10, $\nabla_{\text{Ch},\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}$ is a 2-cocycle twist of $\nabla_{\text{Ch},\mathcal{E}}$ and therefore, an application of the second assertion of Proposition 3.11 yields that $\nabla_{\text{Ch},\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}$ is a bimodule connection. \blacksquare

6. LEVI-CIVITA CONNECTION AND KÄHLER STRUCTURES

The goal of this section is to state and prove the main result of this article for which we recall the following theorem due to Beggs and Majid:

Theorem 6.1. ([BM20, Proposition 9.28]) *Let $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ be an A -covariant differential calculus on a left A -comodule algebra B . Moreover, assume that $(\nabla_{\Omega^1}, \sigma)$ is the unique A -covariant Levi-Civita connection for a covariant metric $(g, (\cdot, \cdot))$.*

Then $\nabla_{\Omega_\gamma^1} := \varphi_{\Omega_\gamma^1, \Omega^1}^{-1} \circ \Gamma(\nabla_{\Omega^1})$ is a bimodule connection and is the unique A_γ -covariant Levi-Civita connection for the metric $(g_\gamma, (\cdot, \cdot)_\gamma)$.

Here, the definition of the Levi-Civita connection is as in Definition 2.9. The uniqueness follows by combining Remark 3.10 with the second assertion of Proposition 4.7.

Next, we recall the definition of noncommutative Hermitian and Kähler structures from [Bua17].

Let $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ be a differential calculus on B . If there exists a natural number n such that $\Omega^n \neq 0$ and $\Omega^m = 0$ for all $m > n$, then we say that $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ has total dimension n . A central form in $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ is an element of Ω^\bullet which belongs to the center of the algebra (Ω^\bullet, \wedge) . If $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ is a $*$ -differential calculus, then a form ω is called real if $\omega^* = \omega$. Finally, for a central real form κ on a $*$ -differential calculus, the Lefschetz operator

$$L_\kappa : \Omega^\bullet \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet \text{ is defined as } L_\kappa(\omega) = \kappa \wedge \omega.$$

Since κ is a central real-form, L is a B -bimodule map satisfying $L(\omega^*) = (L(\omega))^*$.

Definition 6.2. [Bua17, Definition 7.1] *A covariant Hermitian form for a $2n$ -dimensional covariant complex structure on a left A -comodule $*$ -algebra B is an A -coinvariant central, real, $(1, 1)$ -form κ such that the Lefschetz operator induces B -bimodule isomorphisms*

$$L_\kappa^{n-k} : \Omega^k \rightarrow \Omega^{2n-k}, \quad \omega \mapsto \kappa^{n-k} \wedge \omega$$

for all $0 \leq k < n$.

A covariant Hermitian form κ is called a Kähler form if $d\kappa = 0$.

The tuple $(\Omega^{\bullet,\bullet}, \wedge, \partial, \bar{\partial}, \kappa)$ is called an Hermitian (respectively, Kähler structure) on the differential calculus. For classical complex manifolds, the above definition coincides with the usual definition of Hermitian and Kähler structures (see Definition 3.1.1 and Definition 3.1.6 of [Huy05]).

Before proving the main theorem, let us make a couple of observations. The following is an easy consequence of the fact that κ is an A -coinvariant element in $\Omega_\gamma^{(1,1)}$.

Proposition 6.3. *If $(\Omega^{\bullet,\bullet}, \wedge, \partial, \bar{\partial}, \kappa)$ is an A -covariant Hermitian (respectively, Kähler) structure and γ is a unitary 2-cocycle on A , then $(\Omega_\gamma^{\bullet,\bullet}, \wedge_\gamma, \partial_\gamma, (\bar{\partial})_\gamma, \kappa)$ is also a covariant Hermitian (respectively, Kähler) structure.*

Proof. Since γ is a unitary 2-cocycle, Proposition 5.4 implies that $(\Omega_\gamma^{\bullet,\bullet}, \wedge_\gamma, \partial_\gamma, (\bar{\partial})_\gamma)$ is an A_γ -covariant complex structure. Now since κ is an A_γ -coinvariant element in $\Omega_\gamma^{(1,1)}$, (3.11) implies that for all $\omega \in \Omega_\gamma^\bullet$,

$$\omega \wedge_\gamma \kappa = \gamma(\omega_{(-1)} \otimes 1) \omega_{(0)} \wedge \kappa = \omega \wedge \kappa \text{ and similarly, } \kappa \wedge_\gamma \omega = \kappa \wedge \omega.$$

Therefore, as κ is central,

$$\omega \wedge_\gamma \kappa = \omega \wedge \kappa = \kappa \wedge \omega = \kappa \wedge_\gamma \omega.$$

The form κ in $\Omega_\gamma^{(1,1)}$ is real as $\kappa^{*\gamma} = \bar{V}(1)\kappa^* = \kappa$. Moreover, since $\kappa \wedge_\gamma \omega = \kappa \wedge \omega$ as noted above, it follows that $L_\kappa^{n-k} : \Omega_\gamma^k \rightarrow \Omega_\gamma^{2n-k}$ is an isomorphism.

Finally, if $d\kappa = 0$, then $d_\gamma \kappa = 0$. ■

Our next observation is a generalization of [BGKOB24, Theorem 4.4].

Proposition 6.4. *Let $(\Omega^{\bullet,\bullet}, \wedge, \partial, \bar{\partial})$ be a covariant complex structure on an A -comodule $*$ -algebra B and $(g, (\cdot, \cdot))$ be a covariant real metric on Ω^1 such that*

$$(\omega, \eta) = 0 \text{ for all } \omega, \eta \text{ in } \Omega^{(1,0)} \text{ or } \Omega^{(0,1)}. \quad (6.1)$$

Then the covariant Hermitian metric \mathcal{H}_g on Ω^1 obtained from Proposition 4.6 is of the form $\mathcal{H}_g = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$, where \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 are the restrictions of \mathcal{H} on $\overline{\Omega^{(1,0)}}$ and $\overline{\Omega^{(0,1)}}$ respectively. In fact, \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 are covariant Hermitian metrics on $\Omega^{(1,0)}$ and $\Omega^{(0,1)}$ respectively.

Proof. We note that by definition, \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 are morphisms in ${}^A\mathbf{Mod}_B$ satisfying (4.1) and moreover, $\mathcal{H}_g = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$.

As $\mathcal{H}_g(\overline{\omega})(\eta) = (\eta, \omega^*)$ for all $\omega, \eta \in \Omega^1$, (6.1) implies that

$$\mathcal{H}_1(\overline{\omega})(\eta) = (\eta, \omega^*) = 0 = \mathcal{H}_2(\overline{\eta})(\omega)$$

for all $\omega \in \Omega^{(1,0)}, \eta \in \Omega^{(0,1)}$. Hence, $\mathcal{H}_g(\overline{\Omega^{(1,0)}}) \subseteq {}_B\text{Hom}(\Omega^{(1,0)}, B)$ and $\mathcal{H}_g(\overline{\Omega^{(0,1)}}) \subseteq {}_B\text{Hom}(\Omega^{(0,1)}, B)$.

Since $\mathcal{H}_g : \overline{\Omega^1} \rightarrow {}_B\text{Hom}(\Omega^1, B)$ is an isomorphism, it follows that the maps $\mathcal{H}_1 : \overline{\Omega^{(1,0)}} \rightarrow {}_B\text{Hom}(\Omega^{(1,0)}, B)$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 : \overline{\Omega^{(0,1)}} \rightarrow {}_B\text{Hom}(\Omega^{(0,1)}, B)$ are also isomorphisms. ■

Let us recall that (6.1) is satisfied for classical manifolds equipped with an Hermitian structure.

Example 6.5. *Let M, B, G be as in Example 2.4 and $(g, (\cdot, \cdot))$ be a covariant metric on $\Omega^1(B)$ as in Example 2.8. Moreover, assume that the manifold M is equipped with an $\mathcal{O}(G)$ -covariant Hermitian structure. If \mathcal{H}_g is the Hermitian metric on $\Omega^1(B)$ associated to $(g, (\cdot, \cdot))$ as in Proposition 4.6, then by the first assertion of [Huy05, Lemma 1.2.24], we have that*

$$\langle \omega, \overline{\eta} \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \omega \in \Omega^{(1,0)}(B), \eta \in \Omega^{(0,1)}(B)$$

and therefore, by (4.8), $(\omega, \eta) = 0$ if $\omega, \eta \in \Omega^{(1,0)}(B)$ or if $\omega, \eta \in \Omega^{(0,1)}(B)$. Therefore, the hypothesis of Proposition 6.4 holds in this case.

Now we present one last ingredient for proving Theorem 6.8.

Definition 6.6. [BM20, Definition 7.21] A complex structure $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, \wedge, \partial, \bar{\partial})$ over a $*$ -algebra B is called factorizable if the restriction of the wedge maps

$$\wedge_{(0,q),(p,0)} : \Omega^{(0,q)} \otimes_B \Omega^{(p,0)} \rightarrow \Omega^{(p,q)} \quad \text{and} \quad \wedge_{(p,0),(0,q)} : \Omega^{(p,0)} \otimes_B \Omega^{(0,q)} \rightarrow \Omega^{(p,q)}$$

are invertible for all $(p, q) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$.

In the sequel, we will denote the inverse of the map $\wedge_{(0,1),(1,0)}$ by the symbol $\theta_l^{(1,1)}$.

Factorizable complex structures give rise to holomorphic bimodules in the following way:

Theorem 6.7. ([BS13, Proposition 6.1]) If $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, \wedge, \partial, \bar{\partial})$ is an A -covariant factorizable complex structure as above and we define the A -covariant map

$$\bar{\partial}_{\Omega^{(1,0)}} := \theta_l^{(1,1)} \circ \bar{\partial} : \Omega^{(1,0)} \rightarrow \Omega^{(0,1)} \otimes_B \Omega^{(1,0)},$$

then the pair $(\Omega^{(1,0)}, \bar{\partial}_{\Omega^{(1,0)}})$ is an A -covariant holomorphic B -bimodule.

We recall that the opposite complex structure on $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, \wedge, \partial, \bar{\partial})$ is given by the \mathbb{N}_0^2 -graded algebra $\bigoplus_{(a,b) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2} \Omega^{(a,b),\text{op}}$, where

$$\Omega^{(a,b),\text{op}} := \Omega^{(b,a)}.$$

The ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$ -operators for the opposite complex structure are given by:

$$\partial_{\text{op}} := \bar{\partial} : \Omega^{(a,b),\text{op}} \rightarrow \Omega^{(a+1,b),\text{op}}, \quad \bar{\partial}_{\text{op}} := \partial : \Omega^{(a,b),\text{op}} \rightarrow \Omega^{(a,b+1),\text{op}}.$$

Thus, for a covariant factorizable complex structure, Theorem 6.7 shows that $\Omega^{(0,1)}$ is again a holomorphic covariant B -bimodule for the opposite complex structure.

Now let us assume that $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, \wedge, \partial, \bar{\partial})$ is an A -covariant factorizable complex structure equipped with a real covariant metric $(g, (\cdot, \cdot))$ satisfying (6.1) and let us collect some observations that follow by combining various results that we have obtained so far.

Firstly, Proposition 6.4 shows that the Hermitian metric \mathcal{H}_g on Ω^1 is of the form $\mathcal{H}_g = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$ for some covariant Hermitian metrics \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 on $\Omega^{(1,0)}$ and $\Omega^{(0,1)}$ respectively. Remark 5.3 implies that $\Omega^{(1,0)}$ and $\Omega^{(0,1)}$ are finitely generated and projective as left B -modules. Therefore, by Theorem 5.8 and the discussion above, we have covariant Chern connections $\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \Omega^{(1,0)}}$ and $\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \Omega^{(0,1)}}$ for the pairs $(\Omega^{(1,0)}, \mathcal{H}_1)$ and $(\Omega^{(0,1)}, \mathcal{H}_2)$ respectively.

On the other hand, if γ is a unitary 2-cocycle on A , then by Proposition 4.8, $(g_\gamma, (\cdot, \cdot)_\gamma)$ is a real covariant metric on Ω_γ^1 and it can be easily verified that $(g_\gamma, (\cdot, \cdot)_\gamma)$ satisfies (6.1) for the covariant complex structure $(\Omega_\gamma^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, \wedge_\gamma, \partial_\gamma, (\bar{\partial})_\gamma)$. Once again, by Proposition 6.4, we have a covariant Hermitian metric \mathcal{H}_{g_γ} on Ω_γ^1 with $\mathcal{H}_{g_\gamma} = \mathcal{H}'_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}'_2$ for some covariant Hermitian metrics $\mathcal{H}'_1, \mathcal{H}'_2$ as in that Proposition. Moreover, Theorem 5.6 proves that we have covariant holomorphic bimodule structures on $\Omega_\gamma^{(1,0)}$ and $\Omega_\gamma^{(0,1)}$. We will denote the Chern connections for the pairs $(\Omega_\gamma^{(1,0)}, \mathcal{H}'_1)$ and $(\Omega_\gamma^{(0,1)}, \mathcal{H}'_2)$ by the symbols $\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \Omega_\gamma^{(1,0)}}$ and $\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \Omega_\gamma^{(0,1)}}$ respectively.

With these notations, we can now state the following theorem:

Theorem 6.8. Let $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, \wedge, \partial, \bar{\partial})$ be an A -covariant factorizable complex structure on a left A comodule $*$ -algebra B and $(g, (\cdot, \cdot))$ be a real covariant metric on Ω^1 satisfying (6.1). Assume that ∇_{Ω^1} is the unique covariant Levi-Civita connection for $(g, (\cdot, \cdot))$ such that

$$\nabla = \nabla_{\text{Ch}, \Omega^{(1,0)}} \oplus \nabla_{\text{Ch}, \Omega^{(0,1)}} \quad (6.2)$$

with respect to the decomposition $\Omega^1 = \Omega^{(1,0)} \oplus \Omega^{(0,1)}$. If γ is a unitary 2-cocycle on A , then there exists a unique covariant Levi-Civita connection $\nabla_{\Omega_\gamma^1}$ for $(g_\gamma, (\cdot, \cdot)_\gamma)$ which coincides with $\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \Omega_\gamma^{(1,0)}} \oplus \nabla_{\text{Ch}, \Omega_\gamma^{(0,1)}}$.

Proof. The existence and uniqueness follows from Theorem 6.1 and we know that $\nabla_{\Omega_\gamma^1} = \varphi_{\Omega_\gamma^1, \Omega^1}^{-1} \circ \Gamma(\nabla_{\Omega^1})$.

For proving the second assertion, we will be using the notations introduced before the statement of this theorem. Moreover, $\mathfrak{S}_\mathcal{E} : \Gamma({}_B\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, B)) \rightarrow {}_{B_\gamma}\text{Hom}(\Gamma(\mathcal{E}), B_\gamma)$ and $\mathfrak{N}_\mathcal{E} : \overline{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})} \rightarrow \Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{E}})$ will denote the isomorphisms introduced in (4.3) and (4.5) respectively. It is easy to observe that

$$\mathfrak{N}_{\Omega^1} = \mathfrak{N}_{\Omega^{(1,0)}} \oplus \mathfrak{N}_{\Omega^{(0,1)}}$$

and for all $f \in {}_B\text{Hom}(\Omega^1, B)$,

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\Omega^1}(f|_{\Omega^{(1,0)}}) = \mathfrak{S}_{\Omega^{(1,0)}}(f|_{\Omega^{(1,0)}})$$

and a similar equation holds for $\Omega^{(0,1)}$.

Then by Theorem 4.4,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{H}_g)_\gamma &= \mathfrak{S}_{\Omega^1} \circ \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_g) \circ \mathfrak{N}_{\Omega^1} \\ &= (\mathfrak{S}_{\Omega^{(1,0)}} \oplus \mathfrak{S}_{\Omega^{(0,1)}})(\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_1) \oplus \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_2))(\mathfrak{N}_{\Omega^{(1,0)}} \oplus \mathfrak{N}_{\Omega^{(0,1)}}) \\ &= \mathfrak{S}_{\Omega^{(1,0)}} \circ \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_1) \circ \mathfrak{N}_{\Omega^{(1,0)}} \oplus \mathfrak{S}_{\Omega^{(0,1)}} \circ \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_2) \circ \mathfrak{N}_{\Omega^{(0,1)}} \\ &= (\mathcal{H}_1)_\gamma \oplus (\mathcal{H}_2)_\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{H}_{g_\gamma} = (\mathcal{H}_g)_\gamma$ by Theorem 4.9 and $\mathcal{H}_{g_\gamma} = \mathcal{H}'_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}'_2$, it follows that

$$\mathcal{H}'_1 = (\mathcal{H}_1)_\gamma \text{ and } \mathcal{H}'_2 = (\mathcal{H}_2)_\gamma.$$

Hence, by Theorem 5.10, we get

$$\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \Omega_\gamma^{(1,0)}} = \varphi_{\Omega_\gamma^{(1,0)}, \Omega^{(1,0)}}^{-1} \Gamma(\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \Omega^{(1,0)}}) \text{ and } \nabla_{\text{Ch}, \Omega_\gamma^{(0,1)}} = \varphi_{\Omega_\gamma^{(0,1)}, \Omega^{(0,1)}}^{-1} \Gamma(\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \Omega^{(0,1)}}).$$

Finally, by virtue of (6.2), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\Omega_\gamma^1} &= \varphi_{\Omega_\gamma^1, \Omega^1}^{-1} \Gamma(\nabla_{\Omega^1}) \\ &= \varphi_{\Omega_\gamma^1, \Omega^1}^{-1} \Gamma(\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \Omega^{(1,0)}} \oplus \nabla_{\text{Ch}, \Omega^{(0,1)}}) \\ &= \varphi_{\Omega_\gamma^1, \Omega^1}^{-1} \Gamma(\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \Omega^{(1,0)}}) \oplus \varphi_{\Omega_\gamma^1, \Omega^1}^{-1} \Gamma(\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \Omega^{(0,1)}}) \\ &= \varphi_{\Omega_\gamma^{(1,0)}, \Omega^{(1,0)}}^{-1} \Gamma(\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \Omega^{(1,0)}}) \oplus \varphi_{\Omega_\gamma^{(0,1)}, \Omega^{(0,1)}}^{-1} \Gamma(\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \Omega^{(0,1)}}) \\ &= \nabla_{\text{Ch}, \Omega_\gamma^{(1,0)}} \oplus \nabla_{\text{Ch}, \Omega_\gamma^{(0,1)}}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem. ■

As a corollary, we observe that the result holds for cocycle deformations of classical Kähler manifolds.

Theorem 6.9. *Let M, B, G and $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ be as in Example 2.4 and $(g, (\cdot, \cdot))$ be a covariant metric on Ω^1 as in Example 2.8. Moreover, assume that the manifold M has a G -invariant Kähler structure. If γ is a unitary 2-cocycle on $\mathcal{O}(G)$, then the unique covariant Levi-Civita connection for the metric $(g_\gamma, (\cdot, \cdot)_\gamma)$ on $(\Omega_\gamma^\bullet, \wedge_\gamma, d_\gamma)$ coincides with $\nabla_{\text{Ch}, \Omega_\gamma^{(1,0)}} \oplus \nabla_{\text{Ch}, \Omega_\gamma^{(0,1)}}$.*

Proof. Firstly, since M is a classical complex manifold, it is well-known that the complex structure is factorizable. In Example 2.8, we have seen that $(g, (\cdot, \cdot))$ is real and from Example 6.5, we know that $(g, (\cdot, \cdot))$ satisfies (6.1). Moreover, the Levi-Civita connection on $\Omega^1(B)$ is a bimodule connection for the B -bilinear A -covariant map

$$\text{flip} : \Omega^1 \otimes_B \Omega^1 \rightarrow \Omega^1 \otimes_B \Omega^1,$$

where $\text{flip}(\omega \otimes_B \eta) = \eta \otimes_B \omega$.

Now, since M is a Kähler manifold, it is well-known (see Proposition 4.A.9 of [Huy05], for example) that under the canonical isomorphism between the holomorphic tangent

bundle and the real tangent bundle on M , the Chern connection on the former bundle corresponds to the Levi-Civita connection on the latter. Then it can be easily checked that (6.2) is satisfied in this case. Thus, the result follows from Theorem 6.8. \blacksquare

Concrete examples of this situation include flag manifolds. Indeed, if M is a flag manifold arising as a homogeneous space of a compact connected semi-simple Lie group G , then it is well-known (see [Ale97, Subsection IV.6]) that M has a G -invariant Kähler structure.

As a sub-case, we can apply our results for the toric deformations of Kähler manifolds. Such deformations were considered recently in [MR25]. We will be using the notations, definitions and results of Subsection A.1 regarding dual 2-cocycles on compact quantum group algebra. In particular, we will be using the identification made in Remark A.8.

Example 6.10 (Toric deformation). *Let $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ be an $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ -covariant differential calculus on B as in Example 2.4.*

If $n \geq 2$ and θ an $n \times n$ skew-symmetric matrix, then we have nontrivial cocycles on \mathbb{T}^n of the form \mathcal{F} as defined in Example A.9. By noting that $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{T}^n] = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, we conclude that the algebra B can be cocycle deformed to an algebra $B_{\mathcal{F}}$.

The algebra $B_{\mathcal{F}}$ is called a toric deformation of B . Such deformations were considered in [Rie93], [CL01] and [CDV02].

If $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 6.9, then our result is applicable. For example, in the particular case of flag manifolds mentioned above, the Kähler structure is covariant with respect to the action of the maximal toral subgroup of G .

We end this section by showing that Theorem 6.8 can be applied to cocycle deformations of the Heckenberger-Kolb calculus on quantized irreducible flag manifolds.

Let G be a compact connected simply connected simple Lie group with complexified Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Suppose $\pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ is the set of simple roots of \mathfrak{g} and $S = \pi \setminus \{\alpha_i\}$, where α_i appears with coefficient at most 1 in the highest root of \mathfrak{g} . We will use the symbol \mathfrak{l}_S to denote the Levi subalgebra for the standard parabolic subalgebra associated to the set S . If \tilde{G} and $L_S \subseteq \tilde{G}$ denote the connected (complex) Lie groups corresponding to \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{l}_S , then the homogeneous space $G/(L_S \cap G)$ is called the irreducible flag manifold for G and the particular choice of S .

Now, if $0 < q < 1$, then we have the compact quantum group algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ introduced in Example A.11 and a quantum homogeneous space $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$, called the algebra of functions on the corresponding quantized irreducible flag manifold. For more details, we refer to [HK06] and references therein. Moreover, Heckenberger and Kolb constructed ([HK06]) a unique $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ -covariant differential calculus $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ of classical dimension on the algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$. This calculus is known as the Heckenberger-Kolb calculus.

In [Mat19, Proposition 5.8], Matassa showed that for all but finitely many $q \in (0, 1)$, the Heckenberger-Kolb calculus admits a Kähler structure. However, the following theorem holds for all $q \in (0, 1)$.

Theorem 6.11. *Let $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ be the $A := \mathcal{O}_q(G)$ -covariant Heckenberger-Kolb calculus on $B := \mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$. If γ is a unitary 2-cocycle on A and $(g, (\cdot, \cdot))$ an A -covariant real metric on Ω^1 , then the conclusion of Theorem 6.8 holds for the Levi-Civita connection on Ω_γ^1 for the metric $(g_\gamma, (\cdot, \cdot)_\gamma)$.*

Proof. To begin with, the existence of the Levi-Civita connection follows from [BGKOB24, Theorem 6.14].

The differential calculus $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ has an A -covariant complex structure as shown in [Mat19] while from [HK06, Proposition 3.11], we know that this complex structure is factorizable. Moreover, [BGKOB24, Proposition 3.7] proves that the metric $(g, (\cdot, \cdot))$

satisfies (6.1) and the equation (41) of [BGKOB24] verifies (6.2) for the Levi-Civita connection ∇ on $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$. Thus, all the conditions of Theorem 6.8 are satisfied which proves the theorem. \blacksquare

Examples of nontrivial unitary 2-cocycles on $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ are given in Example A.11.

APPENDIX A. SOME COCYCLE IDENTITIES AND SOURCES OF COCYCLES

In this section, we start by deriving some identities regarding cocycles which have been crucially used in several computations throughout the article. The second subsection collects definitions and some examples of cocycles on compact quantum group algebras. Throughout this section, A will stand for a Hopf algebra. We begin by recalling the following result from the literature (for example see [ABPS17, Lemma 2.16]).

Lemma A.1. *Let $\gamma : A \otimes A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a \mathbb{C} -linear map with convolution inverse $\bar{\gamma}$. Let $g, h, k \in A$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) γ is a cocycle, i.e, it satisfies (3.1),
- (2) $\bar{\gamma}(g_{(1)}h_{(1)} \otimes k) \bar{\gamma}(g_{(2)} \otimes h_{(2)}) = \bar{\gamma}(g \otimes h_{(1)}k_{(1)}) \bar{\gamma}(h_{(2)} \otimes k_{(2)})$,
- (3) $\gamma(g_{(1)}h_{(1)} \otimes k_{(1)}) \bar{\gamma}(g_{(2)} \otimes h_{(2)}k_{(2)}) = \bar{\gamma}(g \otimes h_{(1)}) \gamma(h_{(2)} \otimes k)$,
- (4) $\gamma(g_{(1)} \otimes h_{(1)}k_{(1)}) \bar{\gamma}(g_{(2)}h_{(2)} \otimes k_{(2)}) = \gamma(g \otimes h_{(2)}) \bar{\gamma}(h_{(1)} \otimes k)$.

We will also need the following identity proved in [ABPS17, Lemma 3.2].

Lemma A.2. *Suppose γ is a 2-cocycle on a Hopf algebra A . Then for all $h \in A, k \in A$, we have the following equation:*

$$U(h_{(1)}) \bar{\gamma}(S(h_{(2)}) \otimes k) = \gamma(h_{(1)} \otimes S(h_{(2)})k). \quad (\text{A.1})$$

The identity proved in the following lemma plays a key role in the article. It has been used in the proof of many of the results, including Proposition 3.9, Theorem 4.4, Lemma B.3.

Lemma A.3. *Suppose A is a Hopf $*$ -algebra and γ is a two cocycle on A with convolution inverse $\bar{\gamma}$. Then the following equation holds:*

$$\bar{V}(k_{(1)}^*) \bar{V}(h_{(1)}^*) \gamma(k_{(2)}^* \otimes h_{(2)}^*) = \bar{\gamma}(S(h_{(1)})^* \otimes S(k_{(1)})^*) \bar{V}(k_{(2)}^* h_{(2)}^*). \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Proof. By repeated use of (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{V}(k_{(1)}^*) \bar{V}(h_{(1)}^*) \gamma(k_{(2)}^* \otimes h_{(2)}^*) \\ &= \bar{V}(k_{(1)}^*) \bar{\gamma}(h_{(2)}^* \otimes S^{-1}(h_{(1)}^*)) \gamma(k_{(2)}^* \otimes h_{(3)}^*) \\ &= \bar{V}(k_{(1)}^*) \bar{\gamma}(h_{(2)}^* \otimes S(h_{(1)})^*) \gamma(k_{(2)}^* \otimes h_{(3)}^*) \\ &= \bar{V}(k_{(1)}^*) \gamma(k_{(2)}^* \otimes h_{(3)}^* S(h_{(2)})^*) \bar{\gamma}(k_{(3)}^* h_{(4)}^* \otimes S(h_{(1)})^*) \quad (\text{using (4) of Lemma A.1}) \\ &= \bar{V}(k_{(1)}^*) \gamma(k_{(2)}^* \otimes 1) \bar{\gamma}(k_{(3)}^* h_{(2)}^* \otimes S(h_{(1)})^*) \\ &= \bar{V}(k_{(1)}^*) \bar{\gamma}(k_{(2)}^* h_{(2)}^* \otimes S(h_{(1)})^*) \quad (\text{as } \gamma \text{ is unital.}) \\ &= \bar{\gamma}(k_{(2)}^* \otimes S(k_{(1)})^*) \bar{\gamma}(k_{(3)}^* h_{(2)}^* \otimes S(h_{(1)})^*) \\ &= \bar{\gamma}(k_{(2)}^* h_{(3)}^* S(h_{(2)})^* \otimes S(k_{(1)})^*) \bar{\gamma}(k_{(3)}^* h_{(4)}^* \otimes S(h_{(1)})^*) \\ &= \bar{\gamma}(S(h_{(1)})^* \otimes S(k_{(1)})^*) \bar{\gamma}(k_{(3)}^* h_{(3)}^* \otimes S(h_{(2)})^* S(k_{(2)})^*) \quad (\text{using (2) of Lemma A.1}) \\ &= \bar{\gamma}(S(h_{(1)})^* \otimes S(k_{(1)})^*) \bar{\gamma}(k_{(3)}^* h_{(3)}^* \otimes S^{-1}(h_{(2)}^*) S^{-1}(k_{(2)}^*)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \bar{\gamma} \left(S(h_{(1)})^* \otimes S(k_{(1)})^* \right) \bar{\gamma} \left(k_{(3)}^* h_{(3)}^* \otimes S^{-1}(k_{(2)}^* h_{(2)}^*) \right) \\
&= \bar{\gamma} \left(S(h_{(1)})^* \otimes S(k_{(1)})^* \right) \bar{V}(k_{(2)}^* h_{(2)}^*).
\end{aligned}$$

■

As an application of (A.2), we prove the following result which has been used in the proof of Proposition 4.8, Lemma 5.9 and Theorem B.6.

Corollary A.4. *If γ is a 2-cocycle on A , then for all $h, k \in A$, the following equation holds:*

$$\gamma \left(S(h_{(1)})^* \otimes S(k_{(1)})^* \right) \bar{V}(k_{(2)}^*) \bar{V}(h_{(2)}^*) = \bar{V}(k_{(1)}^* h_{(1)}^*) \bar{\gamma} \left(k_{(2)}^* \otimes h_{(2)}^* \right) \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Proof. As $\gamma * \bar{\gamma} = \epsilon \otimes \epsilon$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\gamma \left(S(h_{(1)})^* \otimes S(k_{(1)})^* \right) \bar{V}(k_{(2)}^*) \bar{V}(h_{(2)}^*) \\
&= \gamma \left(S(h_{(1)})^* \otimes S(k_{(1)})^* \right) \bar{V}(k_{(2)}^*) \bar{V}(h_{(2)}^*) \gamma \left(k_{(3)}^* \otimes h_{(3)}^* \right) \bar{\gamma} \left(k_{(4)}^* \otimes h_{(4)}^* \right) \\
&= \gamma \left(S(h_{(1)})^* \otimes S(k_{(1)})^* \right) \bar{\gamma} \left(S(h_{(2)})^* \otimes S(k_{(2)})^* \right) \bar{V} \left(k_{(3)}^* h_{(3)}^* \right) \bar{\gamma} \left(k_{(4)}^* \otimes h_{(4)}^* \right) \quad (\text{by (A.2)}) \\
&= \epsilon(S(h_{(1)})^*) \epsilon(S(k_{(1)})^*) \bar{V} \left(k_{(2)}^* h_{(2)}^* \right) \bar{\gamma} \left(k_{(3)}^* \otimes h_{(3)}^* \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, by the definition of \bar{V} and (2.4), we have

$$\bar{V}(k^* h^*) = \bar{\gamma} \left(k_{(2)}^* h_{(2)}^* \otimes S(h_{(1)})^* S(k_{(1)})^* \right) \quad (\text{A.4})$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\epsilon(S(h_{(1)})^*) \epsilon(S(k_{(1)})^*) \bar{V} \left(k_{(2)}^* h_{(2)}^* \right) \bar{\gamma} \left(k_{(3)}^* \otimes h_{(3)}^* \right) \\
&= \epsilon(S(h_{(1)})^*) \epsilon(S(k_{(1)})^*) \bar{\gamma} \left(k_{(3)}^* h_{(3)}^* \otimes S(h_{(2)})^* S(k_{(2)})^* \right) \bar{\gamma} \left(k_{(4)}^* \otimes h_{(4)}^* \right) \\
&= \bar{\gamma} \left(k_{(2)}^* h_{(2)}^* \otimes S(h_{(1)})^* S(k_{(1)})^* \right) \bar{\gamma} \left(k_{(3)}^* \otimes h_{(3)}^* \right) \\
&= \bar{V} \left(k_{(1)}^* h_{(1)}^* \right) \bar{\gamma} \left(k_{(2)}^* \otimes h_{(2)}^* \right)
\end{aligned}$$

by (A.4). This completes the proof. ■

We end this subsection by proving Lemma 4.2 which has been used in the proof of Theorem 4.4.

Lemma A.5. *Suppose γ is a 2-cocycle on a Hopf algebra A and B a left A -comodule algebra. If \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} are objects of ${}^A_B \text{Mod}_B$, then $\mathfrak{S} : \Gamma({}_B \text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})) \rightarrow {}_{B_\gamma} \text{Hom}(\Gamma(\mathcal{E}), \Gamma(\mathcal{F}))$ defined in (4.3) is an isomorphism in ${}^{A_\gamma}_{B_\gamma} \text{Mod}_{B_\gamma}$.*

Proof. Since [ABPS17, Proposition 3.17] proves that \mathfrak{S} is a vector space isomorphism, we are left to show the B_γ -bilinearity and A_γ -covariance of \mathfrak{S} .

Let $b \in B_\gamma$ and $f \in {}_B \text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$. Then for all $v \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathfrak{S}(b \cdot_\gamma f)(v) \\
&= \gamma(b_{(-1)} \otimes f_{(-1)}) \mathfrak{S}(b_{(0)} f_{(0)})(v) \\
&= \gamma(b_{(-1)} \otimes f_{(-1)}) \gamma \left(v_{(-2)} \otimes S(v_{(-1)}) [(b_{(0)} f_{(0)})(v_{(0)})]_{(-1)} \right) [(b_{(0)} f_{(0)})(v_{(0)})]_{(0)} \\
&= \gamma(b_{(-1)} \otimes f_{(-1)}) \gamma \left(v_{(-2)} \otimes S(v_{(-1)}) [f_{(0)}(v_{(0)} b_{(0)})]_{(-1)} \right) [f_{(0)}(v_{(0)} b_{(0)})]_{(0)} \\
&= \gamma \left(b_{(-2)} \otimes S(v_{(-1)} b_{(-1)}) [f(v_{(0)} b_{(0)})]_{(-2)} \right) \gamma \left(v_{(-3)} \otimes S(v_{(-2)}) [f(v_{(0)} b_{(0)})]_{(-1)} \right) [f(v_{(0)} b_{(0)})]_{(0)} \\
&\quad (\text{by (2.3)}) \\
&= \gamma \left(b_{(-3)} \otimes S(b_{(-1)}) S(v_{(-1)}) [f(v_{(0)} b_{(0)})]_{(-2)} \right) \gamma \left(v_{(-3)} \otimes \epsilon(b_{(-2)}) S(v_{(-2)}) [f(v_{(0)} b_{(0)})]_{(-1)} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& [f(v_{(0)}b_{(0)})]_{(0)} (\text{by } \epsilon(b_{(-2)})b_{(-1)} = b_{(-1)}) \\
&= \gamma \left(b_{(-4)} \otimes S(b_{(-1)})S(v_{(-1)}) [f(v_{(0)}b_{(0)})]_{(-2)} \right) \\
& \gamma \left(v_{(-3)} \otimes b_{(-3)} S(b_{(-2)})S(v_{(-2)}) [f(v_{(0)}b_{(0)})]_{(-1)} \right) [f(v_{(0)}b_{(0)})]_{(0)} \\
&= \gamma \left(v_{(-3)} \otimes b_{(-3)} \right) \gamma \left(v_{(-2)}b_{(-2)} \otimes S(v_{(-1)}b_{(-1)}) [f(v_{(0)}b_{(0)})]_{(-1)} \right) [f(v_{(0)}b_{(0)})]_{(0)} \text{ (by (3.1))} \\
&= \mathfrak{S}(f)(v_{(0)}b_{(0)}) \gamma \left(v_{(-1)} \otimes b_{(-1)} \right) \\
&= \mathfrak{S}(f)(v \cdot_\gamma b) = (b \cdot_\gamma \mathfrak{S}(f))(v).
\end{aligned}$$

So, \mathfrak{S} is a left B_γ -linear. Similarly, the right B_γ -linearity of \mathfrak{S} can be proved.

Finally, to prove the A_γ -covariance of \mathfrak{S} , we will denote the A_γ -coaction on ${}_{B_\gamma}\text{Hom}(\Gamma(\mathcal{E}), \Gamma(\mathcal{F}))$ by ${}^\gamma\delta$ and the A -coaction on $\Gamma({}_{B_\gamma}\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}))$ by δ . So, for $e \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& {}^\gamma\delta(\mathfrak{S}(f))(e) \\
&= \mathfrak{S}(f)_{(-1)} \otimes \mathfrak{S}(f)_{(0)}(e) \\
&= S_\gamma(e_{(-1)}) \cdot_\gamma [\mathfrak{S}(f)(e_{(0)})]_{(-1)} \otimes [\mathfrak{S}(f)(e_{(0)})]_{(0)} \quad (\text{by (2.3)}) \\
&= U(e_{(-5)})S(e_{(-4)})\bar{U}(e_{(-3)}) \cdot_\gamma [f(e_{(0)})]_{(-1)} U(e_{(-2)})\bar{\gamma}(S(e_{(-1)}) \otimes [f(e_{(0)})]_{(-2)}) \otimes [f(e_{(0)})]_{(0)} \\
& \text{(by the definition of } S_\gamma \text{ in Proposition 3.3 and (4.4))} \\
&= U(e_{(-3)})S(e_{(-2)}) \cdot_\gamma [f(e_{(0)})]_{(-1)} \bar{\gamma}(S(e_{(-1)}) \otimes [f(e_{(0)})]_{(-2)}) \otimes [f(e_{(0)})]_{(0)} \quad (\text{as } \bar{U} * U = \epsilon) \\
&= U(e_{(-5)})\gamma(S(e_{(-2)}) \otimes [f(e_{(0)})]_{(-3)})S(e_{(-3)})[f(e_{(0)})]_{(-2)} \\
& \quad \bar{\gamma}(S(e_{(-4)}) \otimes [f(e_{(0)})]_{(-1)})\bar{\gamma}(S(e_{(-1)}) \otimes [f(e_{(0)})]_{(-4)}) \otimes [f(e_{(0)})]_{(0)} \\
&= U(e_{(-3)})\bar{\gamma}(S(e_{(-2)}) \otimes [f(e_{(0)})]_{(-1)})S(e_{(-1)})[f(e_{(0)})]_{(-2)} \otimes [f(e_{(0)})]_{(0)} \quad (\text{as } \bar{\gamma} * \gamma = \epsilon \otimes \epsilon) \\
&= \gamma(e_{(-3)} \otimes S(e_{(-2)})[f(e_{(0)})]_{(-1)})S(e_{(-1)})[f(e_{(0)})]_{(-2)} \otimes [f(e_{(0)})]_{(0)} \text{ (by (A.1))} \\
&= S(e_{(-1)})[f(e_{(0)})]_{(-2)}\gamma(e_{(-3)} \otimes S(e_{(-2)})[f(e_{(0)})]_{(-1)}) \otimes [f(e_{(0)})]_{(0)} \\
&= f_{(-1)}\gamma(e_{(-2)} \otimes S(e_{(-1)})[f(e_{(0)})]_{(-1)}) \otimes [f(e_{(0)})]_{(0)} \quad (\text{by (2.3)}) \\
&= (f_{(-1)} \otimes \mathfrak{S}(f_{(0)}))(e) \\
&= (\text{id} \otimes \mathfrak{S})\delta(f)(e).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have that \mathfrak{S} is A_γ -covariant. ■

A.1. On examples of unitary cocycles. In this article, our main examples of cocycles come from cocycles associated with compact quantum group algebras. Let us start by recalling the definition of a compact quantum group in which \otimes_{\min} will denote the minimal tensor product of two C^* -algebras.

A compact quantum group is a pair (\mathbb{G}, Δ) where $C(\mathbb{G})$ is a unital C^* -algebra and $\Delta : C(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{G}) \otimes_{\min} C(\mathbb{G})$ is a coassociative unital C^* -algebra homomorphism such that $\Delta(C(\mathbb{G}))(1 \otimes C(\mathbb{G}))$ and $\Delta(C(\mathbb{G}))(C(\mathbb{G}) \otimes 1)$ are both dense in $C(\mathbb{G}) \otimes_{\min} C(\mathbb{G})$.

We will assume familiarity with the theory of compact quantum groups for which we refer to [Wor98]. However, we will be mostly using the notations of the monograph [NT13] in this subsection.

Woronowicz ([Wor98]) proved an analogue of Peter-Weyl theorem for compact quantum groups in terms of irreducible unitary corepresentations. We refer to [NT13, Chapter 1] for the relevant definitions. For a compact quantum group (\mathbb{G}, Δ) , $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{G}]$ will denote the dense $*$ -subalgebra of $C(\mathbb{G})$ spanned by the matrix coefficients of irreducible unitary corepresentations of \mathbb{G} . Then $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{G}]$ is a Hopf $*$ -algebra.

Definition A.6. A Hopf $*$ -algebra A is called a compact quantum group algebra if A is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{G}]$ as Hopf $*$ -algebras for some compact quantum group \mathbb{G} .

Let (\mathbb{G}, Δ) be a compact quantum group. Following [NT13, Section 1.6], let $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{G})$ denote the vector space dual of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{G}]$. Then $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{G})$ is a $*$ -algebra with multiplication of elements f and g given by the convolution product $f * g$ and involution defined as

$$f^*(a) = \overline{f(S(a)^*)}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{G})$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{G}]$. Moreover, let $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G})$ denote the vector space dual of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{G}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{G}]$. Then $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G})$ is again a $*$ -algebra with multiplicative identity given by $\epsilon \otimes \epsilon$ and $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{G})$ is a proper subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G})$ in general. We have a $*$ -homomorphism

$$\hat{\Delta} : \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G}) \text{ defined by } \hat{\Delta}(f)(a \otimes b) = f(ab).$$

Now we are in a position to make the following definition:

Definition A.7. ([NT13, Section 3.1]) If (\mathbb{G}, Δ) is a compact quantum group, a dual 2-cocycle on \mathbb{G} is an invertible element $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G})$ such that

$$(\mathcal{F} \otimes 1)(\hat{\Delta} \otimes \text{id})(\mathcal{F}) = (1 \otimes \mathcal{F})(\text{id} \otimes \hat{\Delta})(\mathcal{F}).$$

\mathcal{F} is called unitary if $\mathcal{F}^* \mathcal{F} = \epsilon \otimes \epsilon$.

Let us note the following well-known fact (see, for example [DS17, Theorem 4.10]) :

Remark A.8. If $A = \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{G}]$ is a compact quantum group algebra in the sense of Definition A.6, then a (unitary) 2-cocycle on A (in the sense of Definition 3.1 and Definition 3.4) is nothing but a (unitary) dual 2-cocycle on \mathbb{G} .

Now we collect some examples of cocycles which are well-known to the experts.

Example A.9. Consider the compact group $G = \mathbb{T}^n$. Then all irreducible unitary representations of G are one dimensional and indexed by the dual group \mathbb{Z}^n . In what follows, we will denote an element $(m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ by the symbol \underline{m} and the associated (one-dimensional) subspace of matrix coefficients by $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{T}^n]_{\underline{m}}$.

We fix an $n \times n$ skew-symmetric matrix θ and define

$$\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{T}^n) \text{ by } \mathcal{F}(u_{\underline{m}} \otimes u_{\underline{n}}) = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\langle\theta\underline{m}, \underline{n}\rangle}$$

for all $u_{\underline{m}} \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{T}^n]_{\underline{m}}$ and $u_{\underline{n}} \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{T}^n]_{\underline{n}}$ and where $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle$ denotes the usual Euclidean inner product.

Then \mathcal{F} is a unitary dual 2-cocycle on \mathbb{T}^n and hence a unitary cocycle on the Hopf $*$ -algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{T}^n]$ by Remark A.8. Since \mathbb{T}^n is abelian, it is well-known that the cocycle deformation of the Hopf $*$ -algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{T}^n]$ is again isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{T}^n]$.

Example A.10. Suppose \mathbb{G}, \mathbb{H} be compact quantum groups such that there exists a surjective homomorphism of Hopf $*$ -algebras. Then by [NT13, Example 3.1.7], a dual (unitary) 2-cocycle on H induces a dual (unitary) 2-cocycle on G .

In particular, if $H = \mathbb{T}^n$, then the cocycle \mathcal{F} of Example A.9 induces a unitary 2-cocycle on \mathbb{G} .

Example A.11. Let G be a compact connected simple Lie group with complexified Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . For $0 < q < 1$, the symbol $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ will denote the Drinfeld-Jimbo quantized universal enveloping algebra of [Dri87, Jim86] while $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ will denote the coordinate algebra of the dual compact quantum group G_q .

We have a surjective Hopf $*$ -algebra morphism $\mathcal{O}_q(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{T}^n]$, where \mathbb{T}^n denotes the maximal torus of the compact Lie group G . Therefore, by Example A.10, the unitary cocycles \mathcal{F} of \mathbb{T}^n (as in Example A.9) induce unitary 2-cocycle on $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$.

Moreover, if $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{so}_{4n}(\mathbb{C})$, then [NT13, Corollary 3.4.2] gives an example of a different unitary 2-cocycle.

APPENDIX B. BAR CATEGORIES

The theory of bar categories was developed by Beggs and Majid in [BM09]. In this section, we collect the relevant notations and definitions which have been used throughout the article. For a monoidal category (\mathcal{C}, \otimes) and objects X, Y of \mathcal{C} , we denote by flip the functor from $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$ which sends the pair (X, Y) to (Y, X) . We also denote by $1_{\mathcal{C}}$ the unit object. As usual, we will suppress the notations for the left unit, right unit as well as the associator of \mathcal{C} .

Definition B.1. ([BM09, Definition 2.1]) *A bar category is a monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, 1_{\mathcal{C}})$ together with a functor $\text{bar} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ (written as $X \mapsto \overline{X}$), a natural equivalence $\text{bb} : \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \text{bar} \circ \text{bar}$ between the identity and the $\text{bar} \circ \text{bar}$ functors on \mathcal{C} , an invertible morphism $\star : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \overline{1_{\mathcal{C}}}$ and a natural equivalence Υ between $\text{bar} \circ \otimes$ and $\otimes \circ (\text{bar} \times \text{bar}) \circ \text{flip}$ from $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$ to \mathcal{C} such that the following compositions of morphisms are both equal to $1_{\overline{X}}$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{X} &\xrightarrow{\cong} \overline{X \otimes 1_{\mathcal{C}}} \xrightarrow{\Upsilon_{X, 1_{\mathcal{C}}}} \overline{1_{\mathcal{C}}} \otimes \overline{X} \xrightarrow{\star^{-1} \otimes \text{id}} 1_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes \overline{X} \xrightarrow{\cong} \overline{X}, \\ \overline{X} &\xrightarrow{\cong} \overline{1_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes X} \xrightarrow{\Upsilon_{1_{\mathcal{C}}, X}} \overline{X} \otimes \overline{1_{\mathcal{C}}} \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \star^{-1}} \overline{X} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \overline{X}, \end{aligned}$$

and moreover, the following equations hold:

$$(\Upsilon_{Y, Z} \otimes \text{id}) \Upsilon_{X, Y \otimes Z} = (\text{id} \otimes \Upsilon_{X, Y}) \Upsilon_{X \otimes Y, Z}, \quad \star \star = \text{bb}_{1_{\mathcal{C}}} : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \overline{1_{\mathcal{C}}}, \quad \overline{\text{bb}_X} = \text{bb}_{\overline{X}} : \overline{X} \rightarrow \overline{\overline{X}}$$

for all objects X, Y, Z in \mathcal{C} .

An object X in a bar category is called a star object if there is a morphism $\star_X : X \rightarrow \overline{X}$ such that $\star_{\overline{X}} \circ \star_X = \text{bb}_X$.

If M is a vector space, then the symbol \overline{M} will stand for the vector space defined as

$$\overline{M} := \{\overline{m} : m \in M\}.$$

Moreover, if M is B -bimodule, then \overline{M} is equipped with the following B -bimodule structure:

$$b \cdot \overline{m} = \overline{m \cdot b^*}; \quad \overline{m} \cdot b = \overline{b^* \cdot m} \quad \text{for all } b \in B, m \in M, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

and if $(M, {}^M\delta)$ is a left A -comodule, then \overline{M} has a left A -comodule structure defined by

$$\overline{M}\delta(\overline{m}) = m_{(-1)}^* \otimes \overline{m_{(0)}}, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

where we have used Sweedler's notation ${}^M\delta(m) = m_{(-1)} \otimes m_{(0)}$.

Example B.2. ([BM20, Section 2.8]) *If A is a Hopf \ast -algebra and B a left A -comodule \ast -algebra, then the category ${}^A_B\text{Mod}_B$ of relative Hopf modules is a bar category. Indeed, if M is an object of ${}^A_B\text{Mod}_B$, then (B.1) and (B.2) make \overline{M} an object of ${}^A_B\text{Mod}_B$. Now we define $\text{bar}(M) := \overline{M}$ and for $f \in \text{Hom}(M, N)$, we define $\overline{f} \in \text{Hom}(\overline{M}, \overline{N})$ by $\overline{f}(\overline{x}) = \overline{f(x)}$.*

Moreover, the natural equivalence bb is given by $\text{bb}_M(m) = \overline{m}$ for all $m \in M$. Finally, for objects M, N in ${}^A_B\text{Mod}_B$,

$$\Upsilon_{M, N}(\overline{m \otimes_B n}) = \overline{n} \otimes_B \overline{m}.$$

Let us note that if $(\Omega^\bullet, \wedge, d)$ is an A -covariant \ast -differential calculus on B , then the map

$$\star_{\Omega^1} : \Omega^1 \rightarrow \overline{\Omega^1}, \quad \star_{\Omega^1}(\omega) := \overline{\omega^*} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

makes Ω^1 a star object of ${}^A_B\text{Mod}_B$.

Let us prove the following lemma which was used in the proof of Theorem 4.4.

Lemma B.3. *Let γ be a unitary 2-cocycle on a Hopf $*$ -algebra A and B a A -comodule $*$ -algebra. Then $\mathfrak{N} : \text{bar} \circ \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma \circ \text{bar}$ defined in (4.5) is a natural isomorphism.*

Proof. We start by verifying that $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is left A_{γ} -covariant. Suppose $e \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\bar{\mathcal{E}})\delta(\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}}(\overline{\Gamma(e)})) &= \bar{V}(e_{(-1)}^*) \Gamma(\bar{\mathcal{E}})\delta(\Gamma(\overline{e_{(0)}})) \\ &= \bar{V}(e_{(-2)}^*)(e_{(-1)}^* \otimes \Gamma(\overline{e_{(0)}})) \\ &= \bar{V}(e_{(-4)}^*) e_{(-3)}^* V(e_{(-2)}^*) \bar{V}(e_{(-1)}^*) \otimes \Gamma(\overline{e_{(0)}}) \quad (\text{by Remark 3.7}) \\ &= \bar{V}(e_{(-4)}^*) e_{(-3)}^* V(e_{(-2)}^*) \otimes \bar{V}(e_{(-1)}^*) \Gamma(\overline{e_{(0)}}) \\ &= e_{(-2)}^{*\gamma} \otimes \bar{V}(e_{(-1)}^*) \Gamma(\overline{e_{(0)}}) \\ &= (\text{id} \otimes \mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}}) \left(\Gamma(\bar{\mathcal{E}})\delta(\Gamma(e)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is A_{γ} -covariant. Now for $b_{\gamma} \in B_{\gamma}, e \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}}(\Gamma(b) \cdot_{\gamma} \overline{\Gamma(e)}) &= \mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}}(\overline{\Gamma(e) \cdot_{\gamma} \Gamma(b)^{*_{\gamma}}}) \\ &= \mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}}(\overline{\bar{V}(b_{(-1)}^*) \Gamma(e) \cdot_{\gamma} \Gamma(b_{(0)}^*)}) \\ &= \overline{\bar{V}(b_{(-2)}^*)} \mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}}(\overline{\gamma(e_{(-1)} \otimes b_{(-1)}^*) \Gamma(e_{(0)} b_{(0)}^*)}) \\ &= \overline{\bar{V}(b_{(-3)}^*)} \bar{\gamma}(S(e_{(-2)})^* \otimes S(b_{(-2)}^*)^*) \bar{V}(b_{(-1)} e_{(-1)}^*) \Gamma(\overline{e_{(0)} b_{(0)}^*}) \quad (\text{by (3.8) and (4.5)}) \\ &= V(b_{(-3)}) \bar{V}(b_{(-2)}) \bar{V}(e_{(-2)}^*) \gamma(b_{(-1)} \otimes e_{(-1)}^*) \Gamma(b_{(0)} \overline{e_{(0)}}) \quad (\text{by using (A.2) and Lemma 3.8}) \\ &= \bar{V}(e_{(-2)}^*) \gamma(b_{(-1)} \otimes e_{(-1)}^*) \Gamma(b_{(0)} \overline{e_{(0)}}) \quad (\text{by using Remark 3.7}) \\ &= \Gamma(b) \cdot_{\gamma} \bar{V}(e_{(-1)}^*) \Gamma(\overline{e_{(0)}}) = \Gamma(b) \cdot_{\gamma} \mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}}(\overline{\Gamma(e)}) \end{aligned}$$

and thus, $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is left B_{γ} -linear. Similarly, we can show that $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is right B_{γ} -linear.

We also note that $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}}^{-1} : \Gamma(\bar{\mathcal{E}}) \rightarrow \overline{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}}^{-1}(\Gamma(\bar{e})) = V(e_{(-1)}^*) \overline{\Gamma(e_{(0)})}. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Indeed, this can be easily checked by using that \bar{V} is the convolution inverse of V . The fact that \mathfrak{N} is natural can be easily verified. \blacksquare

We end this subsection with the following lemma:

Lemma B.4. *Suppose A is Hopf $*$ -algebra and γ a unitary 2-cocycle on A . Let $(\Omega^{\bullet}, \wedge, d)$ be an A -covariant $*$ -differential calculus on an A -covariant $*$ -algebra B . Then the space of twisted one forms Ω_{γ}^1 on B_{γ} is a star object in ${}^{A_{\gamma}}\text{Mod}_{B_{\gamma}}$ via the map*

$$\star_{\Omega_{\gamma}^1} : \Omega_{\gamma}^1 \rightarrow \overline{\Omega_{\gamma}^1}, \text{ defined by } \star_{\Omega_{\gamma}^1}(\Gamma(\omega)) := \overline{\Gamma(\omega)^{*_{\gamma}}}. \quad (\text{B.5})$$

Moreover, this map satisfies the following:

$$\mathfrak{N}_{\Omega^1}^{-1} \Gamma(\star_{\Omega^1}) = \star_{\Omega^1}. \quad (\text{B.6})$$

Proof. By virtue of Proposition 3.9, $(\Omega_{\gamma}^{\bullet}, \wedge_{\gamma}, d_{\gamma})$ is an A_{γ} -covariant $*$ -differential calculus on B_{γ} . Using Example B.2, we conclude that Ω_{γ}^1 is a star object in the category ${}^{A_{\gamma}}\text{Mod}_{B_{\gamma}}$. For the second claim, if $\omega \in \Omega^1(B)$, then by (B.4), we have

$$\mathfrak{N}_{\Omega^1}^{-1} \Gamma(\star_{\Omega^1})(\Gamma(\omega)) = \mathfrak{N}_{\Omega^1}^{-1}(\Gamma(\overline{\omega^*})) = V(\omega_{(-1)}) \overline{\Gamma(\omega_{(0)}^*)} = \overline{V(\omega_{(-1)}^*) \Gamma(\omega_{(0)}^*)} = \overline{\Gamma(\omega)^{*_{\gamma}}}.$$

This finishes the proof. \blacksquare

B.1. Cocycle deformation as a bar functor. Suppose B is a left A -comodule $*$ -algebra and γ is a 2-cocycle on A . If γ is a unitary 2-cocycle, B_γ is a left A_γ -comodule $*$ -algebra by Proposition 3.5 and so the monoidal category ${}^{A_\gamma}\mathbf{Mod}_{B_\gamma}$ is a bar category by Example B.2. The goal of this subsection is to prove that the monoidal equivalence $\Gamma : {}^A\mathbf{Mod}_B \rightarrow {}^{A_\gamma}\mathbf{Mod}_{B_\gamma}$ is a bar functor in the sense of the following definition. Although we have not used this fact anywhere, we feel that it is worthwhile to point this out.

Definition B.5. [BM09, Definition 2.5] Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}, 1_{\mathcal{C}})$ and $(\mathcal{D}, \otimes_{\mathcal{D}}, 1_{\mathcal{D}})$ be two bar categories. A bar functor (F, φ, f^1) from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} is a monoidal functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ together with:

- (1) a natural equivalence fb between the functors $\text{bar} \circ F$ and $F \circ \text{bar}$. In particular, $\text{fb}_Y : \overline{F(Y)} \rightarrow F(\overline{Y})$ is an isomorphism for any object Y in \mathcal{C} .
- (2) $\text{fb}_{1_{\mathcal{C}}} \circ \overline{f^1} \circ \star_{\mathcal{D}} = F(\star_{\mathcal{C}}) \circ f^1$, where $f^1 : 1_{\mathcal{D}} \simeq F(1_{\mathcal{C}})$ is an isomorphism,
- (3) $F(\text{bb}_Y) = \text{fb}_{\overline{Y}} \circ \overline{\text{fb}_Y} \circ \text{bb}_{F(Y)}$ and
- (4) if $\varphi_{X,Y} : F(X) \otimes F(Y) \rightarrow F(X \otimes Y)$ is the natural equivalence associated to F , then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{F(X \otimes Y)} & \xrightarrow{\overline{\varphi_{X,Y}^{-1}}} & \overline{F(X) \otimes F(Y)} \xrightarrow{\Upsilon_{\mathcal{D}}} \overline{F(Y)} \otimes \overline{F(X)} \\ \text{fb}_{X \otimes Y} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{fb}_Y \otimes \text{fb}_X \\ F(\overline{X \otimes Y}) & \xrightarrow{F(\Upsilon_{\mathcal{C}})} & F(\overline{Y} \otimes \overline{X}) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\overline{Y}, \overline{X}}^{-1}} F(\overline{Y}) \otimes F(\overline{X}). \end{array} \quad (\text{B.7})$$

Theorem B.6. If γ is a unitary 2-cocycle on A , then the monoidal functor $\Gamma : {}^A\mathbf{Mod}_B \rightarrow {}^{A_\gamma}\mathbf{Mod}_{B_\gamma}$ is a bar functor.

Proof. We have already seen (in (3.2)) that $\Gamma : {}^A\mathbf{Mod}_B \rightarrow {}^{A_\gamma}\mathbf{Mod}_{B_\gamma}$ is a monoidal equivalence. Moreover, by Lemma B.3, $\mathfrak{N} : \text{bar} \circ \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma \circ \text{bar}$ is a natural isomorphism. Thus, the candidate for $\text{fb}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}}$.

In our case, $\Gamma(B) = B_\gamma$ and so $f^1 = \text{id}$. Now by a verbatim adaptation of the proof of (B.6), we have $\star_\gamma = \mathfrak{N}_B^{-1} \Gamma(\star)$. This proves the second condition.

Next, for $e \in \mathcal{E}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}} \circ \overline{\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}}} \circ \text{bb}_{\Gamma(\mathcal{E})}) \Gamma(e) &= \mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}} \left(\overline{\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}} \left(\overline{\Gamma(e)} \right)} \right) = \mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}} \left(\overline{V(e_{(-1)}^*) \Gamma(\overline{e_{(0)}})} \right) \\ &= \mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}} \left(\overline{\Gamma(\overline{e_{(0)}})} \right) \overline{V(e_{(-1)}^*)} = V(e_{(-2)}) \overline{V(e_{(-1)})} \Gamma(\overline{e_{(0)}}) = \Gamma(\overline{e}) \\ &= \Gamma(\text{bb}_{\mathcal{E}}) \Gamma(e), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 3.8 and Remark 3.7. Finally, we need to check the commutativity of the diagram (B.7). This will follow from a computation in which the natural equivalence Υ for the category ${}^{A_\gamma}\mathbf{Mod}_{B_\gamma}$ will be denoted by Υ_γ . Suppose \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} are objects in ${}^A\mathbf{Mod}_B$. Then for $e \in \mathcal{E}$ and $f \in \mathcal{F}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}}) \Upsilon_\gamma(\overline{\varphi_{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}}^{-1}}) \overline{\Gamma(e \otimes_B f)} \\ &= (\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}}) \Upsilon_\gamma \overline{\gamma(e_{(-1)} \otimes f_{(-1)}) \Gamma(e_{(0)}) \otimes_{B_\gamma} \Gamma(f_{(0)})} \\ &= \overline{\gamma(e_{(-1)} \otimes f_{(-1)})} (\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}}) \overline{\Gamma(f_{(0)})} \otimes_{B_\gamma} \overline{\Gamma(e_{(0)})} \\ &= \gamma(S(e_{(-2)})^* \otimes S(f_{(-2)})^*) \overline{V(e_{(-1)}^*)} \overline{V(f_{(-1)}^*)} \Gamma(\overline{f_{(0)}}) \otimes_{B_\gamma} \Gamma(\overline{e_{(0)}}) \\ &= \overline{V(f_{(-2)}^* e_{(-2)}^*)} \overline{\gamma(f_{(-1)}^* \otimes e_{(-1)}^*)} \Gamma(\overline{f_{(0)}}) \otimes_{B_\gamma} \Gamma(\overline{e_{(0)}}) \quad (\text{by (A.3)}) \\ &= \overline{V(f_{(-1)}^* e_{(-1)}^*)} \varphi_{\overline{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{\mathcal{E}}}^{-1} \Gamma(\overline{f_{(0)}} \otimes_B \overline{e_{(0)}}) \quad (\text{by (3.4)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \bar{V}((e_{(-1)}f_{(-1)})^*)\varphi_{\overline{\mathcal{F}},\overline{\mathcal{E}}}^{-1}\Gamma(\Upsilon)\Gamma(\overline{e_{(0)}\otimes_B f_{(0)}}) \\
&= \varphi_{\overline{\mathcal{F}},\overline{\mathcal{E}}}^{-1}\Gamma(\Upsilon)\mathfrak{N}_{\mathcal{E}\otimes_B\mathcal{F}}\Gamma(\overline{e\otimes_B f}).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof that Γ is a bar functor. ■

REFERENCES

- [ABPS17] Paolo Aschieri, Pierre Bieliavsky, Chiara Pagani, and Alexander Schenkel, *Noncommutative principal bundles through twist deformation*, Comm. Math. Phys. **352** (2017), no. 1, 287–344.
- [Ale97] D. V. Alekseevsky, *Flag manifolds*, Zb. Rad. Mat. Inst. Beograd. (N.S.) **6** (1997), no. 14, 3–35, 11th Yugoslav Geometrical Seminar (Divčibare, 1996).
- [ALP25] Paolo Aschieri, Giovanni Landi, and Chiara Pagani, *Atiyah sequences of braided Lie algebras and their splittings*, J. Noncommut. Geom. **19** (2025), no. 1, 337–381.
- [AW25] Paolo Aschieri and Thomas Weber, *Metric compatibility and Levi-Civita connections on quantum groups*, J. Algebra **661** (2025), 479–544.
- [BGJ21] Jyotishman Bhowmick, Debashish Goswami, and Soumalya Joardar, *A new look at Levi-Civita connection in noncommutative geometry*, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. **18** (2021), no. 7, Paper No. 2150105, 39.
- [BGKOB24] Jyotishman Bhowmick, Bappa Ghosh, Andrey O. Krutov, and Réamonn Ó Buachalla, *Levi-Civita connection on the irreducible quantum flag manifolds*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.03102 (2024), 46 Pages.
- [BGL20] Jyotishman Bhowmick, Debashish Goswami, and Giovanni Landi, *On the Koszul formula in noncommutative geometry*, Rev. Math. Phys. **32** (2020), no. 10, 2050032, 33.
- [BM09] E. J. Beggs and S. Majid, *Bar categories and star operations*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **12** (2009), no. 2-5, 103–152.
- [BM10] Edwin Beggs and Shahn Majid, *Nonassociative Riemannian geometry by twisting*, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, vol. 254, IOP Publishing, 2010, p. 012002.
- [BM11] EJ Beggs and S Majid, **- compatible connections in noncommutative Riemannian geometry*, Journal of Geometry and Physics **61** (2011), no. 1, 95–124.
- [BM17] Edwin Beggs and Shahn Majid, *Spectral triples from bimodule connections and Chern connections*, J. Noncommut. Geom. **11** (2017), no. 2, 669–701.
- [BM20] Edwin J. Beggs and Shahn Majid, *Quantum Riemannian geometry*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 355, Springer, Cham, [2020] ©2020.
- [BS13] Edwin Beggs and Paul S. Smith, *Noncommutative complex differential geometry*, J. Geom. Phys. **72** (2013), 7–33.
- [Bua17] Réamonn Ó Buachalla, *Noncommutative Kähler structures on quantum homogeneous spaces*, Advances in Mathematics **322** (2017), 892–939.
- [CDV02] Alain Connes and Michel Dubois-Violette, *Noncommutative finite-dimensional manifolds. I. spherical manifolds and related examples*, Comm. Math. Phys. **230** (2002), no. 3, 539–579.
- [CL01] Alain Connes and Giovanni Landi, *Noncommutative manifolds, the instanton algebra and isospectral deformations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **221** (2001), 141–159.
- [Con94] Alain Connes, *Noncommutative geometry*, Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 1994.
- [Dri87] V. G. Drinfeld, *Quantum groups*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Berkeley, Calif., 1986), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence (1987), 18 Pages.
- [DS17] Liebrecht De Sadeleer, *Deformations of spectral triples and their quantum isometry groups via monoidal equivalences*, Lett. Math. Phys. **107** (2017), no. 4, 673–715.
- [EGNO15] P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, and V. Ostrik, *Tensor categories*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, American Mathematical Society, 2015.
- [HK06] István Heckenberger and Stefan Kolb, *De Rham complex for quantized irreducible flag manifolds*, J. Algebra **305** (2006), no. 2, 704–741.
- [Huy05] Daniel Huybrechts, *Complex geometry an introduction*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.
- [Jim86] M. Jimbo, *A q -analogue of $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N+1))$, Hecke algebra, and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Lett. Math. Phys., 11 (1986), 6 Pages.
- [KLvS11] Masoud Khalkhali, Giovanni Landi, and Walter Daniël van Suijlekom, *Holomorphic structures on the quantum projective line*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2011), no. 4, 851–884.

- [KS97] Anatoli Klimyk and Konrad Schmüdgen, *Quantum groups and their representations*, Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [Maj95] Shahn Majid, *Foundations of quantum group theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. MR 1381692
- [Mat19] Marco Matassa, *Kähler structures on quantum irreducible flag manifolds*, Journal of Geometry and Physics **145** (2019), 103477.
- [Mat21] ———, *Fubini-Study metrics and Levi-Civita connections on quantum projective spaces*, Advances in Mathematics **393** (2021), 108–101.
- [MR24] Bram Mesland and Adam Rennie, *Curvature and Weitzenböck formula for the Podleś quantum sphere*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.18483 (2024), 35 Pages.
- [MR25] ———, *Existence and uniqueness of the Levi-Civita connection on noncommutative differential forms*, Advances in Mathematics **468** (2025), 110207.
- [NT13] Sergey Neshveyev and Lars Tuset, *Compact quantum groups and their representation categories*, Cours Spécialisés [Specialized Courses], vol. 20, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2013.
- [OB16] Réamonn Ó Buachalla, *Noncommutative complex structures on quantum homogeneous spaces*, J. Geom. Phys. **99** (2016), 154–173.
- [PS03] Alexander Polishchuk and Albert Schwarz, *Categories of holomorphic vector bundles on noncommutative two-tori*, Commun. Math. Phys. **236** (2003), no. 1, 135–159.
- [Rie93] Marc A. Rieffel, *Deformation quantization for actions of \mathbf{R}^d* , Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **106** (1993), no. 506, x+93.
- [Ul90] K. H. Ulbrich, *Smash products and comodules of linear maps*, Tsukuba Journal of Mathematics (1990), 371–378.
- [Wor98] S. L. Woronowicz, *Compact quantum groups*, Symétries quantiques (Les Houches, 1995), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1998, pp. 845–884.

STAT-MATH UNIT, INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, KOLKATA, INDIA 700108
 Email address: jyotishmanb@gmail.com

STAT-MATH UNIT, INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, KOLKATA, INDIA 700108
 Email address: bappa0697@gmail.com