# First and Second Fundamental Theorems for Invariant Rings Generated by Circulant Determinants

Naoya Yamaguchi, Hiroyuki Ochiai, and Yuka Yamaguchi

April 9, 2025

#### Abstract

In this paper, we give the first and second fundamental theorems of invariant theory for certain invariant rings whose generators are expressed by circulant determinants.

### 1 Introduction

The first and second fundamental theorems of invariant theory concern the generators of the invariant ring and the relations among these generators, where the first provides the generators and the second provides the relations. The generators and their relations are central topics in the study of invariant rings. As tools for explicit descriptions, determinants (e.g., discriminants, resultants, and Hessians) and partial differential operators such as the Cayley-Aronhold operators play an important role. It is well known that Hermann Weyl established the first and second fundamental theorems for classical groups [8]. Not many invariant rings have yet been obtained for which the generators and their relations can be explicitly written down, and even today, active research continues to give the first and second fundamental theorems for various invariant rings (see, e.g., [1, 2, 5, 6, 11]).

For any positive integer n, let  $\boldsymbol{x} := {}^{t}(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})$  be an n-tuple of variables and let  $R[\boldsymbol{x}] := R[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}] = R[x_i | 0 \le i \le n-1]$  denote the polynomial ring in the n variables over a ring R. We consider the following differential operators:

$$D := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_{i-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad \Delta := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_{i+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$$

with the conventions  $x_{-1} := x_{n-1}$  and  $x_n := x_0$ . These are special cases of the differential operators introduced by Frobenius [3, p. 50], [4], [7, p. 226] to study the group determinant, which is a generalization of the circulant determinant. The above operators derive the following invariant rings:

$$\mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{x}]^D := \{ f(\boldsymbol{x}) \in \mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{x}] \mid Df(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0 \}, \quad \mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{x}]^\Delta := \{ f(\boldsymbol{x}) \in \mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{x}] \mid \Delta f(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0 \}$$

Let  $\Theta_n(\boldsymbol{x})$  denote the circulant determinant of order *n*; that is,

$$\Theta_n(\boldsymbol{x}) := \det \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_{n-1} & \cdots & x_1 \\ x_1 & x_0 & \cdots & x_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n-1} & x_{n-2} & \cdots & x_0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}[\boldsymbol{x}].$$

Let  $n_p$  denote  $\frac{n}{p}$  for a positive divisor p of n. For  $0 \le i \le n_p - 1$ , we define

$$\Theta_{p}(\boldsymbol{y}_{i}^{(p)}) := \det \begin{pmatrix} y_{i,0}^{(p)} & y_{i,p-1}^{(p)} & \cdots & y_{i,1}^{(p)} \\ y_{i,1}^{(p)} & y_{i,0}^{(p)} & \cdots & y_{i,2}^{(p)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ y_{i,p-1}^{(p)} & y_{i,p-2}^{(p)} & \cdots & y_{i,0}^{(p)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad y_{i,j}^{(p)} := \sum_{l=0}^{n_{p}-1} \zeta_{n}^{i(j+pl)} x_{j+pl} \quad (0 \le j \le p-1),$$

where  $\zeta_n$  is a primitive *n*-th root of unity. In this paper, we give the first and second fundamental theorems of invariant theory for  $\mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{x}]^D$  and  $\mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{x}]^{\Delta}$  in the case that *n* has at most two prime factors.

**Theorem 1** (First Fundamental Theorem). Let n be a positive integer. Then, the following holds: If n has exactly one prime factor p, then

$$\mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{x}]^{D} = \mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{x}]^{\Delta} = \mathbb{C}[\Theta_{p}(\boldsymbol{y}_{i}^{(p)}) \mid 0 \leq i \leq n_{p} - 1];$$

If n has exactly two prime factors p and q, then

$$\mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{x}]^{D} = \mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{x}]^{\Delta} = \mathbb{C}[\Theta_{p}(\boldsymbol{y}_{i}^{(p)}), \Theta_{q}(\boldsymbol{y}_{j}^{(q)}) \mid 0 \le i \le n_{p} - 1, \ 0 \le j \le n_{q} - 1]$$

**Theorem 2** (Second Fundamental Theorem). Let n be a positive integer, and let p and q be coprime positive integers satisfying  $pq \mid n$ . For arbitrary variables  $z_i \ (0 \le i \le n_p - 1)$  and  $w_j \ (0 \le j \le n_q - 1)$ , we consider the ring homomorphisms

$$\rho: \mathbb{C}[z_i \mid 0 \le i \le n_p - 1] \to \mathbb{C}[\Theta_p(\boldsymbol{y}_i^{(p)}) \mid 0 \le i \le n_p - 1]$$

defined by  $\rho(z_i) := \Theta_p(\boldsymbol{y}_i^{(p)});$ 

$$\rho' : \mathbb{C}[z_i, w_j \mid 0 \le i \le n_p - 1, 0 \le j \le n_q - 1] \\ \to \mathbb{C}[\Theta_p(\boldsymbol{y}_i^{(p)}), \Theta_q(\boldsymbol{y}_j^{(q)}) \mid 0 \le i \le n_p - 1, 0 \le j \le n_q - 1]$$

defined by  $\rho'(z_i) := \Theta_p(\boldsymbol{y}_i^{(p)})$  and  $\rho'(w_j) := \Theta_q(\boldsymbol{y}_j^{(q)})$ . Then, the following holds:

 $\operatorname{Ker}(\rho) = \{0\}; \quad \operatorname{Ker}(\rho') = (t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{n_{pq}-1}),$ 

where  $t_i := \prod_{j=0}^{q-1} z_{i+n_{pq}j} - \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} w_{i+n_{pq}j}$ .

Also, we demonstrate that the equality analogous to Theorem 1 does not hold when n has at least three prime factors.

**Theorem 3.** Let n be a positive integer with at least three prime factors. Then, we have

$$\mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{x}]^D = \mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{x}]^\Delta \supseteq \mathbb{C}[\Theta_p(\boldsymbol{y}_i^{(p)}) \mid p \text{ is a prime factor of } n, \ 0 \le i \le n_p - 1].$$

With respect to the circulant determinants, we prove the following theorem, which is a specialization of both Laquer's theorem [9] and the generalized Dedekind's theorem [10].

**Theorem 4.** For any positive integer n and its positive divisor p, the following holds:

$$\Theta_n(oldsymbol{x}) = \prod_{i=0}^{n_p-1} \Theta_p(oldsymbol{y}_i^{(p)}).$$

In addition, we give a theorem.

**Theorem 5.** Let G denote the cyclic group of order  $n \ge 2$  and let

$$\operatorname{SL}(\mathbb{C}G) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_{n-1} & \cdots & a_1 \\ a_1 & a_0 & \cdots & a_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n-1} & a_{n-2} & \cdots & a_0 \end{pmatrix} \mid a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in \mathbb{C} \right\} \cap \operatorname{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}),$$
$$\mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{x}]^{\operatorname{SL}(\mathbb{C}G)} := \left\{ f(\boldsymbol{x}) \in \mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{x}] \mid f(A\boldsymbol{x}) = f(\boldsymbol{x}) \text{ for any } A \in \operatorname{SL}(\mathbb{C}G) \right\}.$$

Then it holds that

$$\mathbb{C}[oldsymbol{x}]^{\mathrm{SL}(\mathbb{C}G)} = \mathbb{C}[\Theta_n(oldsymbol{x})] \subset \mathbb{C}[oldsymbol{x}]^D$$

From Theorems 1 and 5, we can immediately obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 6.** For a group G of prime order, it holds that  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]^{\mathrm{SL}(\mathbb{C}G)} = \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]^{\Delta} = \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]^{\Delta}$ .

# 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Variable transformation

We consider the variable vector  $\boldsymbol{x} := {}^{t}(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})$  and perform a linear variable transformation to a new variable vector  $\boldsymbol{y} := {}^{t}(y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1})$  defined as

$$y_i := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta_n^{ij} x_j \quad (0 \le i \le n-1),$$

which are the eigenvalues of the *n*-dimensional circulant matrix composed of the vector  $\boldsymbol{x}$ . Since

$$D(y_i) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta_n^{ij} D(x_j) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta_n^{ij} x_{j-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta_n^{i(j+1)} x_j = \zeta_n^i y_i,$$
  
$$\Delta(y_i) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta_n^{ij} \Delta(x_j) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta_n^{ij} x_{j+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \zeta_n^{i(j-1)} x_j = \zeta_n^{-i} y_i$$

hold (These equations are special cases of [4, p. 227, (8)]), we can express the differential operators as follows:

$$D = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \zeta_n^i y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}, \quad \Delta = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \zeta_n^{-i} y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}.$$

For an *n*-tuple  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} := (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$  of non-negative integers, we define

$$\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} := y_0^{\alpha_0} y_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots y_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}}$$

The differential operators D and  $\Delta$  act on the monomial  $\boldsymbol{y}^{\alpha}$  by scalar multiplication with the scalar multipliers  $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i \zeta_n^i$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i \zeta_n^{-i}$ , respectively, which are complex conjugates of each other. Therefore, the invariant rings  $\mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{y}]^D$  and  $\mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{y}]^{\Delta}$  can be regarded as the vector spaces over  $\mathbb{C}$  generated by the monomials  $y^{\alpha}$  corresponding to tuples  $\alpha$  for which the scalar multipliers are zero. Thus, we have

$$\mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{y}]^{D} = \mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{y}]^{\Delta} = \mathbb{C}\text{-}\operatorname{span}\{\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \mid \boldsymbol{\alpha} \in V_{n} \cap (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{n}\},\$$

where  $V_n$  is the vector space over  $\mathbb{Q}$  defined as

$$V_n := \{ (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Q}^n \mid \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i \zeta_n^i = 0 \}.$$

### 2.2 Exponent vectors

Let  $e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}$  be the standard basis of the vector space  $\mathbb{Q}^n$ .

**Lemma 7.** The dimension of the vector space  $V_n$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is  $n - \varphi(n)$ , where  $\varphi$  denotes the Euler's totient function.

*Proof.* When n = 1, the statement of the lemma is true since the dimension of  $V_1 = \{0\}$  is zero. Suppose that  $n \ge 2$  and let  $\sum_{j=0}^{\varphi(n)} b_j x^j \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be the *n*-th cyclotomic polynomial. We define

$$\boldsymbol{v}_i := \sum_{j=0}^{\varphi(n)} b_j \boldsymbol{e}_{i+j} \quad (0 \le i \le n - \varphi(n) - 1)$$

so that  $v_i \in V_n$  holds for each *i*. Then, the set

$$S_n := \{ \boldsymbol{v}_i \mid 0 \le i \le n - \varphi(n) - 1 \}$$

forms a basis of  $V_n$ . This can be verified as follows: (i) If  $\sum_{i=0}^{n-\varphi(n)-1} c_i \boldsymbol{v}_i = \boldsymbol{0}$  for some  $c_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ , then we have  $c_0 = c_1 = \cdots = c_{n-\varphi(n)-1} = 0$  from

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-\varphi(n)-1} c_i \boldsymbol{v}_i = \sum_{i=0}^{n-\varphi(n)-1} c_i \sum_{j=0}^{\varphi(n)} b_j \boldsymbol{e}_{i+j} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=\max\{i-(n-\varphi(n)-1),\,0\}}^{\min\{i,\,\varphi(n)\}} b_j c_{i-j} \boldsymbol{e}_i$$

and  $b_0 \neq 0$ . Thus,  $S_n$  is linearly independent. (ii) Since the cyclotomic polynomial is the minimal polynomial of  $\zeta_n$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , for any  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \in V_n$ , there exists  $\sum_{i=0}^{n-\varphi(n)-1} c_i x^i \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  satisfying

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i x^i = \sum_{j=0}^{\varphi(n)} b_j x^j \sum_{i=0}^{n-\varphi(n)-1} c_i x^i = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=\max\{i-(n-\varphi(n)-1),0\}}^{\min\{i,\varphi(n)\}} b_j c_{i-j} x^i.$$

By comparing the coefficients, we have  $\alpha_i = \sum_{j=\max\{i-(n-\varphi(n)-1), 0\}}^{\min\{i, \varphi(n)\}} b_j c_{i-j}$ , and therefore

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-\varphi(n)-1} c_i \boldsymbol{v}_i = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=\max\{i-(n-\varphi(n)-1),0\}}^{\min\{i,\varphi(n)\}} b_j c_{i-j} \boldsymbol{e}_i = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i \boldsymbol{e}_i = \boldsymbol{\alpha}.$$

This means that  $S_n$  spans  $V_n$ .

Since the coefficients of the cyclotomic polynomial can be negative, the set  $S_n$  is not necessarily suitable for describing the non-negative part of  $V_n$ . Now, for each positive divisor p of n, we define

$$\boldsymbol{v}_i^{(p)} := \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \boldsymbol{e}_{i+n_p j} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^n \quad (0 \le i \le n_p - 1).$$

**Lemma 8.** For any divisor  $p \ge 2$  of n, we have  $\boldsymbol{v}_i^{(p)} \in V_n \cap (\mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0})^n$  for  $0 \le i \le n_p - 1$ . *Proof.* It follows from  $\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \zeta_n^{i+n_p j} = \zeta_n^i \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \zeta_n^{n_p j} = \zeta_n^i \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \zeta_p^j = 0$  that  $\boldsymbol{v}_i^{(p)} \in V_n$ .

We define the set  $T_n$  as follows:

$$T_n := \bigcup_{\substack{p \mid n \\ p: \text{ prime}}} \{ \boldsymbol{v}_i^{(p)} \mid 0 \le i \le n_p - 1 \}.$$

From Lemma 8, we have

$$V_n \cap (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^n \supset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$$
- span  $T_n$ .

When n has at most two prime factors, the reverse inclusion also holds as shown in Section 3.1.

**Lemma 9.** Suppose that n has at least three prime factors. Then, it holds that

$$V_n \cap (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^n \supsetneq \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$$
- span  $T_n$ 

Proof. Let  $n = p_1^{l_1} p_2^{l_2} \cdots p_k^{l_k}$  be the prime factorization of n, where  $k \ge 3$  and  $l_j \ge 1$ . Note that the following holds: If  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} := (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ - span  $T_n$  with  $\alpha_0 \ge 1$ , then at least one of  $\alpha_{n_{p_1}}, \alpha_{n_{p_2}}, \dots, \alpha_{n_{p_k}}$  is not zero. For any positive divisor d of n, we define  $\boldsymbol{v}_{i+n_dj}^{(d)} := \boldsymbol{v}_i^{(d)}$  for  $0 \le i \le n_d - 1$  and  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Now, take any  $p, q, r \in \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\}$  with p < q < r, and let  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}' = (\alpha'_0, \alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_{n-1}) := \sum_{j=2}^p \boldsymbol{v}_{n_p j}^{(q)} + \boldsymbol{v}_{n_p+n_q}^{(r)} - \boldsymbol{v}_{n_q}^{(p)}$ . Then, since  $\alpha'_0 = 1$  and  $\alpha'_{n_{p_1}} = \alpha'_{n_{p_2}} = \cdots = \alpha'_{n_{p_k}} = 0$  hold, we have  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}' \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ -span  $T_n$ . On the other hand, we have  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}' \in V_n \cap (\mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0})^n$  since  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}' \in V_n$  holds from Lemma 8 and  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}' \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0})^n$  holds from the definition. Thus the lemma is proved.

**Lemma 10.** For any positive integers p and q satisfying  $pq \mid n$ , it holds that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{q-1} oldsymbol{v}_{i+n_{pq}j}^{(p)} = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} oldsymbol{v}_{i+n_{pq}j}^{(q)}$$

for  $0 \leq i \leq n_{pq} - 1$ .

*Proof.* For any  $0 \le i \le n_{pq} - 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \boldsymbol{v}_{i+n_{pq}j}^{(p)} = \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \boldsymbol{e}_{i+n_{pq}(j+qk)} = \sum_{j=0}^{pq-1} \boldsymbol{e}_{i+n_{pq}j}.$$

Since the last expression is symmetric in p and q, we obtain the lemma.

The following lemma implies that, when positive integers p and q satisfying  $pq \mid n$  are coprime, all the linear relations among  $\boldsymbol{v}_i^{(p)}$   $(0 \leq i \leq n_p - 1)$  and  $\boldsymbol{v}_i^{(q)}$   $(0 \leq i \leq n_q - 1)$  can be derived from the relations in Lemma 10.

**Lemma 11.** Let p and q be coprime positive integers satisfying  $pq \mid n$ . If

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n_{pq}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} c_{i,j} \boldsymbol{v}_{i+n_{pq}j}^{(p)} = \sum_{i=0}^{n_{pq}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} d_{i,j} \boldsymbol{v}_{i+n_{pq}j}^{(q)}$$

for some  $c_{i,j}, d_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Q}$ , then  $c_{i,0} = c_{i,1} = \cdots = c_{i,q-1} = d_{i,0} = d_{i,1} = \cdots = d_{i,p-1}$  holds for  $0 \le i \le n_{pq} - 1$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\sum_{i=0}^{n_{pq}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} c_{i,j} \boldsymbol{v}_{i+n_{pq}j}^{(p)} = \sum_{i=0}^{n_{pq}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} d_{i,j} \boldsymbol{v}_{i+n_{pq}j}^{(q)}$  holds, and fix any  $0 \le i \le n_{pq} - 1$ . Then, from

$$\sum_{j=0}^{q-1} c_{i,j} \boldsymbol{v}_{i+n_{pq}j}^{(p)} = \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} c_{i,j} \boldsymbol{e}_{i+n_{pq}(j+qk)} = \sum_{j=0}^{pq-1} c_{i,\operatorname{rem}_q(j)} \boldsymbol{e}_{i+n_{pq}j},$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} d_{i,j} \boldsymbol{v}_{i+n_{pq}j}^{(q)} = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} d_{i,j} \boldsymbol{e}_{i+n_{pq}(j+pk)} = \sum_{j=0}^{pq-1} d_{i,\operatorname{rem}_p(j)} \boldsymbol{e}_{i+n_{pq}j},$$

where  $\operatorname{rem}_a(j)$  denotes the reminder when j is divided by a, we have  $c_{i,\operatorname{rem}_q(j)} = d_{i,\operatorname{rem}_p(j)}$ for  $0 \leq j \leq pq - 1$ . From this, for any  $0 \leq k \leq q - 1$ , it holds that  $c_{i,\operatorname{rem}_q(pk)} = d_{i,\operatorname{rem}_p(pk)} = d_{i,0}$ . Therefore, we have  $c_{i,0} = c_{i,1} = \cdots = c_{i,q-1} = d_{i,0}$  since  $\{\operatorname{rem}_q(pk) \mid 0 \leq k \leq q - 1\} = \{0, 1, \ldots, q - 1\}$  holds from  $\operatorname{gcd}(p, q) = 1$ . Similarly, we can prove  $d_{i,0} = d_{i,1} = \cdots = d_{i,p-1} = c_{i,0}$ .

For any positive divisors p and q of n, let  $\sigma : \mathbb{Z}^{n_p} \times \mathbb{Z}^{n_q} \to \mathbb{Z}^n$  be the homomorphism of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules defined by

$$\sigma(\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d}) := \sum_{i=0}^{n_p-1} c_i \boldsymbol{v}_i^{(p)} + \sum_{i=0}^{n_q-1} d_i \boldsymbol{v}_i^{(q)},$$

where  $\boldsymbol{c} = (c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{n_p-1})$  and  $\boldsymbol{d} = (d_0, d_1, \ldots, d_{n_q-1})$ . From Lemma 11, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 12.** Let p and q be coprime positive integers satisfying  $pq \mid n$ . Then, we have

$$\operatorname{Ker}(\sigma) = \{ (\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n_p} \times \mathbb{Z}^{n_q} \mid \boldsymbol{c} = (\underbrace{\boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{m}, \dots, \boldsymbol{m}}_{q}), \, \boldsymbol{d} = -(\underbrace{\boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{m}, \dots, \boldsymbol{m}}_{p}), \, \boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n_{pq}} \}.$$

### 2.3 Polynomial ring generated by circulant determinants

**Lemma 13.** For any positive divisor p of n, we have  $\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{v}_i^{(p)}} = \Theta_p(\boldsymbol{y}_i^{(p)})$  for  $0 \le i \le n_p - 1$ . *Proof.* It follows from

$$y_{i+n_pj} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \zeta_n^{(i+n_pj)k} x_k = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \sum_{l=0}^{n_p-1} \zeta_n^{(i+n_pj)(k+pl)} x_{k+pl} = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \zeta_p^{jk} y_{i,k}^{(p)}$$

that  $\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{v}_i^{(p)}} = \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} y_{i+n_p j} = \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \zeta_p^{jk} y_{i,k}^{(p)} = \Theta_p(\boldsymbol{y}_i^{(p)}).$ 

We define the polynomial ring  $R_n$  by

$$R_n := \mathbb{C}[\Theta_p(\boldsymbol{y}_i^{(p)}) \mid p \text{ is a prime factor of } n, \ 0 \le i \le n_p - 1].$$

As seen in Section 2.1, it holds that

$$\mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{x}]^D = \mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{x}]^\Delta = \mathbb{C}\text{-}\operatorname{span}\{\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \mid \boldsymbol{\alpha} \in V_n \cap (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^n\}.$$

Therefore, from Lemmas 8 and 13, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 14.** For any integer  $n \geq 2$ , it holds that  $\mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{x}]^D = \mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{x}]^\Delta \supset R_n$ .

### 3 Proofs of theorems

### **3.1** Proof of Theorem 1

We prove Theorem 1. From Section 2.3, we find that to establish Theorem 1, it is sufficient to prove that the following holds: Take any  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \in V_n \cap (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^n$ .

- (i) If *n* has exactly one prime factor *p*, then there exist non-negative integers  $c_i$  satisfying  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \sum_{i=0}^{n_p-1} c_i \boldsymbol{v}_i^{(p)}$ .
- (ii) If *n* has exactly two prime factors *p* and *q*, then there exist non-negative integers  $c_i$  and  $d_i$  satisfying  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \sum_{i=0}^{n_p-1} c_i \boldsymbol{v}_i^{(p)} + \sum_{i=0}^{n_q-1} d_i \boldsymbol{v}_i^{(q)}$ .

That (i) is true can be easily verified as follows: It follows from Lemma 7 that, when n has exactly one prime factor p, the vectors  $\boldsymbol{v}_i^{(p)}$   $(0 \le i \le n_p - 1)$  form a basis of the vector space  $V_n$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  since they are linearly independent. Therefore, the coordinates  $c_i$   $(0 \le i \le n_p - 1)$  of  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  with respect to the basis are uniquely determined as  $c_i = \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ .

We prove that (ii) is true. Suppose that n has exactly two prime factors p and q.

**Lemma 15.** The vectors  $\boldsymbol{v}_i^{(p)}$   $(0 \le i \le n_p - 1)$  and  $\boldsymbol{v}_i^{(q)}$   $(0 \le i \le n_q - n_{pq} - 1)$  form a basis of the vector space  $V_n$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

*Proof.* From Lemma 7, the dimension of  $V_n$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is  $n - \varphi(n) = n_p + n_q - n_{pq}$ . Thus, from Lemma 8, it suffices to verify the linear independence of the vectors. Assume that for some  $c_i, d_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ , the following holds:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n_p-1} c_i \boldsymbol{v}_i^{(p)} + \sum_{i=0}^{n_q-n_{pq}-1} d_i \boldsymbol{v}_i^{(q)} = \boldsymbol{0}.$$

Then, by letting  $d_i := 0$  for  $n_q - n_{pq} \le i \le n_q - 1$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n_{pq}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} c_{i,j} \boldsymbol{v}_{i+n_{pq}j}^{(p)} = \sum_{i=0}^{n_{pq}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} d_{i,j} \boldsymbol{v}_{i+n_{pq}j}^{(q)},$$

where  $c_{i,j} := c_{i+n_{pq}j}$  and  $d_{i,j} := -d_{i+n_{pq}j}$ . Thus, from Lemma 11, it follows that  $c_{i,0} = c_{i,1} = \cdots = c_{i,q-1} = d_{i,0} = d_{i,1} = \cdots = d_{i,p-1} = -d_{i+n_q-n_{pq}} = 0$  for any  $0 \le i \le n_{pq} - 1$ . That is, it holds that  $c_0 = c_1 = \cdots = c_{n_p-1} = d_0 = d_1 = \cdots = d_{n_q-n_{pq}-1} = 0$ . From Lemma 15, there exist  $c_i, d_i \in \mathbb{Q}$  satisfying

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \sum_{i=0}^{n_p-1} c_i \boldsymbol{v}_i^{(p)} + \sum_{i=0}^{n_q-n_{pq}-1} d_i \boldsymbol{v}_i^{(q)} = \sum_{i=0}^{n_{pq}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} c_{i+n_{pq}j} \boldsymbol{v}_{i+n_{pq}j}^{(p)} + \sum_{i=0}^{n_{pq}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{p-2} d_{i+n_{pq}j} \boldsymbol{v}_{i+n_{pq}j}^{(q)}.$$

Let  $c^{(i)} := \min \{c_{i+n_{pq}j} \mid 0 \le j \le q-1\}$  for each  $0 \le i \le n_{pq}-1$ . Then, from Lemma 10, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n_{pq}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} c_{i+n_{pq}j} \boldsymbol{v}_{i+n_{pq}j}^{(p)} = \sum_{i=0}^{n_{pq}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} (c_{i+n_{pq}j} - c^{(i)}) \boldsymbol{v}_{i+n_{pq}j}^{(p)} + \sum_{i=0}^{n_{pq}-1} c^{(i)} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \boldsymbol{v}_{i+n_{pq}j}^{(p)}$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n_{pq}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} (c_{i+n_{pq}j} - c^{(i)}) \boldsymbol{v}_{i+n_{pq}j}^{(p)} + \sum_{i=0}^{n_{pq}-1} c^{(i)} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \boldsymbol{v}_{i+n_{pq}j}^{(q)}$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n_{pq}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} (c_{i+n_{pq}j} - c^{(i)}) \boldsymbol{v}_{i+n_{pq}j}^{(p)} + \sum_{i=0}^{n_{pq}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{p-2} c^{(i)} \boldsymbol{v}_{i+n_{pq}j}^{(q)}$$
$$+ \sum_{i=0}^{n_{pq}-1} c^{(i)} \boldsymbol{v}_{i+n_{q}-n_{pq}}^{(q)}.$$

Therefore, we can express  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  as

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \sum_{i=0}^{n_{pq}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} (c_{i+n_{pq}j} - c^{(i)}) \boldsymbol{v}_{i+n_{pq}j}^{(p)} + \sum_{i=0}^{n_{pq}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{p-2} (c^{(i)} + d_{i+n_{pq}j}) \boldsymbol{v}_{i+n_{pq}j}^{(q)} + \sum_{i=0}^{n_{pq}-1} c^{(i)} \boldsymbol{v}_{i+n_{q}-n_{pq}}^{(q)}$$

The following two lemmas complete the proof of Theorem 1.

**Lemma 16.** For any  $0 \le i \le n_p - 1$ , it holds that  $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ .

Proof. Let  $I := \{(i, j) \mid n_q - n_{pq} \leq i \leq n_q - 1, 0 \leq j \leq q - 1\}$ . We first prove that if  $i + n_q j \equiv i' + n_q j' \pmod{n_p}$  for some  $(i, j), (i', j') \in I$ , then i = i' and j = j'. Suppose that  $i + n_q j = i' + n_q j' + n_p k$  holds for some integer k. Then, from  $n_{pq} - 1 \geq |i - i'| = n_{pq} |p(j'-j) + qk|$  and gcd(p,q) = 1, we have j' - j = k = 0, and therefore we also have i - i' = 0. From the above, it holds that for any  $0 \leq k \leq n_p - 1$ , there exists  $(i, j) \in I$  satisfying  $k \equiv i + n_q j \pmod{n_p}$  since the cardinality of I is  $n_p$ . From this and the fact that for every  $(i, j) \in I$ , the  $(i + n_q j)$ -th component of the vector  $\sum_{i=0}^{n_q - n_{pq} - 1} d_i \boldsymbol{v}_i^{(q)}$  is zero, we find that for any  $0 \leq k \leq n_p - 1$ , there exists  $(i, j) \in I$  satisfying  $\alpha_{i+n_q j} = c_k$ . Therefore, from  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^n$ , the lemma is obtained.

Lemma 17. For any  $0 \le i \le n_{pq} - 1$  and  $0 \le j \le p - 2$ , it holds that  $c^{(i)} + d_{i+n_{pq}j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ .

Proof. Fix any  $0 \leq i \leq n_{pq} - 1$  and  $0 \leq j \leq p - 2$ . It follows from  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^n$  that  $c_k + d_{i+n_{pq}j} = \alpha_{i+n_{pq}j+n_ql} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  for any  $0 \leq l \leq q - 1$ , where k is the reminder when  $i + n_{pq}j + n_ql$  is divided by  $n_p$ . Thus, it holds that  $\tilde{c}^{(i)} + d_{i+n_{pq}j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , where

$$\tilde{c}^{(i)} := \min \{ c_k \mid k \equiv i + n_{pq}j + n_q l \pmod{n_p}, \ 0 \le l \le q-1, \ 0 \le k \le n_p - 1 \}.$$

We prove  $\tilde{c}^{(i)} = c^{(i)}$ . For the purpose, it suffices to show that  $I_i = J_i$ , where

$$I_i := \{k \mid k \equiv i + n_{pq}j + n_q l \pmod{n_p}, \ 0 \le l \le q - 1, \ 0 \le k \le n_p - 1\},\$$
$$J_i := \{k \mid k \equiv i \pmod{n_{pq}}, \ 0 \le k \le n_p - 1\}.$$

It is readily verified that  $I_i \subset J_i$ . We demonstrate  $I_i \supset J_i$ . Let  $k = i + n_{pq}j' \in J_i$ . From gcd(p,q) = 1, it follows that there exist integers a and b satisfying j' - j = pa + qb, which implies  $k = i + n_{pq}j + n_qa + n_pb$ . Thus, by choosing the integers m and r with  $0 \leq r \leq q-1$  satisfying a = qm + r, we have  $k = i + n_{pq}j + n_q(qm + r) + n_pb = i + n_{pq}j + n_qr + n_p(pm + b) \in I_i$ .

### **3.2** Proof of Theorem 2

We prove Theorem 2. For any  $0 \leq i < i' \leq n_p - 1$ , it follows from Lemma 13 that  $\Theta_p(\boldsymbol{y}_i^{(p)})$  and  $\Theta_p(\boldsymbol{y}_{i'}^{(p)})$  have no common variables  $y_j$ , so  $\Theta_p(\boldsymbol{y}_0^{(p)}), \Theta_p(\boldsymbol{y}_1^{(p)}), \ldots, \Theta_p(\boldsymbol{y}_{n_p-1}^{(p)})$  can be regarded as  $n_p$  independent variables. From this, we have  $\operatorname{Ker}(\rho) = \{0\}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{I} := (t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_{n_{pq}-1})$  be the ideal of  $\mathbb{C}[z_i, w_j | 0 \le i \le n_p - 1, 0 \le j \le n_q - 1]$ . We prove  $\operatorname{Ker}(\rho') = \mathfrak{I}$ . From Lemmas 10 and 13, it holds that  $t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_{n_{pq}-1} \in \operatorname{Ker}(\rho')$ . Thus, we have  $\operatorname{Ker}(\rho') \supset \mathfrak{I}$ . Below, we prove  $\operatorname{Ker}(\rho') \subset \mathfrak{I}$ . Let  $\boldsymbol{z} := {}^t(z_0, z_1, \ldots, z_{n_p-1})$ and  $\boldsymbol{w} := {}^t(w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_{n_q-1})$ . Suppose now that

$$\sum_{(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{d})\in S} a_{(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{d})} \boldsymbol{z}^{\boldsymbol{c}} \boldsymbol{w}^{\boldsymbol{d}} \in \operatorname{Ker}(\rho')$$

for some  $S \subset (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{n_p} \times (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{n_q}$  and  $a_{(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{d})} \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then, from Lemma 13, we have

$$0 = \rho' \left( \sum_{(\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d}) \in S} a_{(\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d})} \boldsymbol{z}^{\boldsymbol{c}} \boldsymbol{w}^{\boldsymbol{d}} \right) = \sum_{(\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d}) \in S} a_{(\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d})} \boldsymbol{y}^{\sigma(\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d})} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in V_S} \sum_{(\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d}) \in S_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}} a_{(\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d})} \boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$$

where  $V_S := \{ \sigma(\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d}) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^n \mid (\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d}) \in S \}$  and  $S_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} := \{ (\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d}) \in S \mid \sigma(\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d}) = \boldsymbol{\alpha} \}$ . Comparing the coefficient of  $\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$  gives the following lemma.

**Lemma 18.** For any  $\alpha \in V_S$ , it holds that  $\sum_{(c,d)\in S_{\alpha}} a_{(c,d)} = 0$ .

Also, from Lemma 12, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 19. For any (c, d),  $(c', d') \in S_{\alpha}$ , it holds that  $z^c w^d - z^{c'} w^{d'} \in \mathfrak{I}$ .

Proof. Let  $\boldsymbol{c} := (c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{n_p-1}), \boldsymbol{d} := (d_0, d_1, \ldots, d_{n_q-1}), \boldsymbol{c}' := (c'_0, c'_1, \ldots, c'_{n_p-1}),$  and  $\boldsymbol{d}' := (d'_0, d'_1, \ldots, d'_{n_q-1})$ . Suppose that  $(\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d}), (\boldsymbol{c}', \boldsymbol{d}') \in S_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ . Then, since  $(\boldsymbol{c} - \boldsymbol{c}', \boldsymbol{d} - \boldsymbol{d}') \in \text{Ker}(\sigma)$  holds, it follows from Lemma 12 that there exists  $\boldsymbol{m} := (m_0, m_1, \ldots, m_{n_{pq}-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n_{pq}}$  satisfying

$$c-c'=(\underbrace{m,m,\ldots,m}_{q}), \quad d-d'=-(\underbrace{m,m,\ldots,m}_{p}).$$

We define  $I_+ := \{i \mid m_i \ge 0, 0 \le i \le n_{pq} - 1\}$  and  $I_- := \{i \mid m_i < 0, 0 \le i \le n_{pq} - 1\}$ . From the above, we have

$$\boldsymbol{z}^{\boldsymbol{c}'} = \prod_{i=0}^{n_{pq}-1} \prod_{j=0}^{q-1} z_{i+n_{pq}j}^{c'_{i+n_{pq}j}} = \left(\prod_{i\in I_{+}} \prod_{j=0}^{q-1} z_{i+n_{pq}j}^{c'_{i+n_{pq}j}}\right) \left(\prod_{i\in I_{-}} \prod_{j=0}^{q-1} z_{i+n_{pq}j}^{c_{i+n_{pq}j}}\right) \left(\prod_{i\in I_{-}} u_{i}^{-m_{i}}\right),$$
$$\boldsymbol{w}^{\boldsymbol{d}'} = \prod_{i=0}^{n_{pq}-1} \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} w_{i+n_{pq}j}^{d'_{i+n_{pq}j}} = \left(\prod_{i\in I_{-}} \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} w_{i+n_{pq}j}^{d'_{i+n_{pq}j}}\right) \left(\prod_{i\in I_{+}} \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} w_{i+n_{pq}j}^{d_{i+n_{pq}j}}\right) \left(\prod_{i\in I_{+}} v_{i}^{m_{i}}\right),$$

where  $u_i := \prod_{j=0}^{q-1} z_{i+n_{pq}j}$  and  $v_i := \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} w_{i+n_{pq}j}$ . Since  $t_i = u_i - v_i$  holds for  $0 \le i \le n_{pq} - 1$ , there exist  $t, t' \in \mathfrak{I}$  satisfying

$$\prod_{i \in I_{-}} u_i^{-m_i} = \prod_{i \in I_{-}} (v_i + t_i)^{-m_i} = \prod_{i \in I_{-}} v_i^{-m_i} + t,$$
$$\prod_{i \in I_{+}} v_i^{m_i} = \prod_{i \in I_{+}} (u_i - t_i)^{m_i} = \prod_{i \in I_{+}} u_i^{m_i} + t'.$$

Therefore, there exists  $t'' \in \Im$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{z}^{\boldsymbol{c}'} \boldsymbol{w}^{\boldsymbol{d}'} &= \left( \prod_{i \in I_{+}} \prod_{j=0}^{q-1} z_{i+n_{pqj}}^{c'_{i+n_{pqj}}} \right) \left( \prod_{i \in I_{-}} \prod_{j=0}^{q-1} z_{i+n_{pqj}}^{c_{i+n_{pqj}}} \right) \left( \prod_{i \in I_{-}} v_{i}^{-m_{i}} \right) \\ &\times \left( \prod_{i \in I_{-}} \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} w_{i+n_{pqj}}^{d'_{i+n_{pqj}}} \right) \left( \prod_{i \in I_{+}} \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} w_{i+n_{pqj}}^{d_{i+n_{pqj}}} \right) \left( \prod_{i \in I_{+}} u_{i}^{m_{i}} \right) + t'' \\ &= \left( \prod_{i \in I_{+}} \prod_{j=0}^{q-1} z_{i+n_{pqj}}^{c'_{i+n_{pqj}}} \right) \left( \prod_{i \in I_{+}} u_{i}^{m_{i}} \right) \left( \prod_{i \in I_{-}} \prod_{j=0}^{q-1} z_{i+n_{pqj}}^{c_{i+n_{pqj}}} \right) \\ &\times \left( \prod_{i \in I_{-}} \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} w_{i+n_{pqj}}^{d'_{i+n_{pqj}}} \right) \left( \prod_{i \in I_{-}} v_{i}^{-m_{i}} \right) \left( \prod_{i \in I_{+}} \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} w_{i+n_{pqj}}^{d_{i+n_{pqj}}} \right) + t'' \\ &= \boldsymbol{z}^{c} \boldsymbol{w}^{\boldsymbol{d}} + t''. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the lemma is proved.

The above two lemmas give the following lemma.

Lemma 20. For any  $\alpha \in V_S$ , it holds that  $\sum_{(c,d)\in S_{\alpha}} a_{(c,d)} z^c w^d \in \mathfrak{I}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in V_S$  and fix any  $(\boldsymbol{c}', \boldsymbol{d}') \in S_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ . Then, from Lemma 19, there exists  $t_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \in \mathfrak{I}$  satisfying

$$\sum_{(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{d})\in S_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}} a_{(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{d})} \boldsymbol{z}^{\boldsymbol{c}} \boldsymbol{w}^{\boldsymbol{d}} = \left(\sum_{(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{d})\in S_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}} a_{(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{d})}\right) \boldsymbol{z}^{\boldsymbol{c}'} \boldsymbol{w}^{\boldsymbol{d}'} + t_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$$

Thus, from Lemma 18, we have  $\sum_{(c,d)\in S_{\alpha}} a_{(c,d)} z^{c} w^{d} = t_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{I}.$ 

It follows from Lemma 20 that

$$\sum_{(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{d})\in S} a_{(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{d})} \boldsymbol{z}^{\boldsymbol{c}} \boldsymbol{w}^{\boldsymbol{d}} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in V_S} \sum_{(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{d})\in S_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}} a_{(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{d})} \boldsymbol{z}^{\boldsymbol{c}} \boldsymbol{w}^{\boldsymbol{d}} \in \mathfrak{I}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

### **3.3 Proof of Theorem** 3

We prove Theorem 3. Since  $\mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{x}]^D = \mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{x}]^{\Delta} \supset R_n$  holds from Lemma 14, it only remains to prove  $\mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{x}]^D \neq R_n$ . From Lemma 9, we can take  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}' \in (V_n \cap (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^n) \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -span  $T_n$ .

Then, as mentioned in Section 2.1, it holds that  $\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}'} \in \mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{x}]^D$ . On the other hand, it holds that  $\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}'} \notin R_n$ . To verify this, let

$$\psi : \mathbb{C}[z_i^{(p)} \mid p \text{ is a prime factor of } n, \ 0 \le i \le n_p - 1] \to \mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{y}]$$

be the ring homomorphism defined by  $\psi(z_i^{(p)}) := \boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{v}_i^{(p)}}$ . Note that from Lemma 13, it follows that

 $R_n = \mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{v}_i^{(p)}} \mid p \text{ is a prime factor of } n, \ 0 \le i \le n_p - 1].$ 

We prove  $\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}'} \notin \operatorname{Im}(\psi) = R_n$  by contradiction. Let  $n = p_1^{l_1} p_2^{l_2} \cdots p_k^{l_k}$  be the prime factorization of n, where  $k \geq 3$  and  $l_j \geq 1$ , and let  $\boldsymbol{z} := {}^t(\boldsymbol{z}_{p_1}, \boldsymbol{z}_{p_2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{z}_{p_k})$  with  $\boldsymbol{z}_{p_j} := {}^t(z_0^{(p_j)}, z_1^{(p_j)}, \ldots, z_{n_{p_j}-1}^{(p_j)})$ . Assume that

$$\psi\left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{c}\in S}a_{\boldsymbol{c}}\boldsymbol{z}^{\boldsymbol{c}}\right)=\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{lpha}}$$

for some  $S \subset (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{n_{p_1}+n_{p_2}+\cdots+n_{p_k}}$  and  $a_c \in \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\tau : \mathbb{Z}^{n_{p_1}+n_{p_2}+\cdots+n_{p_k}} \to \mathbb{Z}^n$  be the homomorphism of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules defined by

$$\tau(\boldsymbol{c}) := \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{i=0}^{n_{p_j}-1} c_i^{(p_j)} \boldsymbol{v}_i^{(p_j)},$$

where  $c := (c_{p_1}, c_{p_2}, ..., c_{p_k})$  with  $c_{p_j} := (c_0^{(p_j)}, c_1^{(p_j)}, ..., c_{n_{p_j}-1}^{(p_j)})$ . Then, from the assumption, we have

$$\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}'} = \psi\left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{c}\in S} a_{\boldsymbol{c}} \boldsymbol{z}^{\boldsymbol{c}}\right) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{c}\in S} a_{\boldsymbol{c}} \boldsymbol{y}^{\tau(\boldsymbol{c})} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\in V_S} \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{c}\in S\\\tau(\boldsymbol{c})=\boldsymbol{\alpha}}} a_{\boldsymbol{c}} \boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}},$$

where  $V_S := \{ \tau(\boldsymbol{c}) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^n \mid \boldsymbol{c} \in S \}$ . From this, it follows that  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}' \in V_S \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -span  $T_n$ . This is a contradiction. Thus, we conclude that  $\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}'} \notin \operatorname{Im}(\psi) = R_n$ .

#### **3.4** Proof of Theorem 4

Theorem 4 is proved from

$$\Theta_n(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{y}^{(1,1,...,1)} = \boldsymbol{y}^{\sum_{i=0}^{n_p-1} \boldsymbol{v}_i^{(p)}} = \prod_{i=0}^{n_p-1} \boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{v}_i^{(p)}}$$

and Lemma 13.

### **3.5 Proof of Theorem** 5

We prove Theorem 5. Let Q be the unitary matrix defined as  $Q := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} (\zeta_n^{ij})_{0 \le i,j \le n-1}$  and let  $P := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} t \overline{Q} = \frac{1}{n} (\zeta_n^{-ij})_{0 \le i,j \le n-1}$ . Then, from  $\boldsymbol{y} = \sqrt{n} Q \boldsymbol{x}$ , we have  $\boldsymbol{x} = P \boldsymbol{y}$ . Note that from the equality

$$\left\{P^{-1}AP \mid A \in \mathrm{SL}(\mathbb{C}G)\right\} = \left\{\mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \mid \boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^n, \ \lambda_0 \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_{n-1} = 1\right\},\$$

where diag( $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ) denotes the diagonal matrix constructed from a vector  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ , the following holds: Let  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^n$ , then

$$(P^{-1}AP\boldsymbol{y})^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \text{ for any } A \in \mathrm{SL}(\mathbb{C}G)$$
  
$$\iff \lambda_0^{\alpha_0} \lambda_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \lambda_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}} = 1 \text{ for any } (\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^n \text{ with } \lambda_0 \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_{n-1} = 1$$
  
$$\iff \alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_{n-1}.$$

For any  $f(\boldsymbol{x}) \in \mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{x}]$ , we define  $g(\boldsymbol{y}) \in \mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{y}]$  by  $g(\boldsymbol{y}) := f(P\boldsymbol{y}) = f(\boldsymbol{x})$ . Then, we have

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) \in \mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{x}]^{\mathrm{SL}(\mathbb{C}G)} \iff f(A\boldsymbol{x}) = f(\boldsymbol{x}) \text{ for any } A \in \mathrm{SL}(\mathbb{C}G)$$
$$\iff g(P^{-1}AP\boldsymbol{y}) = g(\boldsymbol{y}) \text{ for any } A \in \mathrm{SL}(\mathbb{C}G)$$
$$\iff g(\boldsymbol{y}) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} c_i \boldsymbol{y}^{(i,i,\ldots,i)} \text{ for some } c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_m \in \mathbb{C}$$
$$\iff g(\boldsymbol{y}) \in \mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{y}^{(1,1,\ldots,1)}] = \mathbb{C}[\Theta_n(\boldsymbol{x})]$$
$$\iff f(\boldsymbol{x}) \in \mathbb{C}[\Theta_n(\boldsymbol{x})].$$

Thus, it holds that  $\mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{x}]^{\mathrm{SL}(\mathbb{C}G)} = \mathbb{C}[\Theta_n(\boldsymbol{x})]$ . Also, from Theorem 4 and Lemma 14, we obtain  $\mathbb{C}[\Theta_n(\boldsymbol{x})] \subset R_n \subset \mathbb{C}[\boldsymbol{x}]^D$ .

# References

- [1] Yoshio Agaoka. On Cayley-Hamilton's theorem and Amitsur-Levitzki's identity. Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci., 63(3):82–85, 1987.
- [2] Dragomir Z. Djokovic. On the product of two alternating matrices. Amer. Math. Monthly, 98(10):935-936, 1991.
- [3] Ferdinand Georg Frobenius. Über die Primfactoren der Gruppendeterminante. Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin, pages 1343–1382, 1896. Reprinted in Gesammelte Abhandlungen, Band III. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, New York, 1968, pages 38–77.
- [4] Thomas Hawkins. New light on Frobenius' creation of the theory of group characters. Arch. History Exact Sci., 12:217–243, 1974.
- [5] Minoru Itoh. A Cayley-Hamilton theorem for the skew Capelli elements. J. Algebra, 242(2):740–761, 2001.
- [6] Minoru Itoh. Invariant theory in exterior algebras and Amitsur-Levitzki type theorems. Adv. Math., 288:679–701, 2016.
- [7] B. L. van der Waerden. A history of algebra. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985. From al-Khwārizmī to Emmy Noether.
- [8] Hermann Weyl. The classical groups. Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1997. Their invariants and representations, Fifteenth printing, Princeton Paperbacks.
- [9] Naoya Yamaguchi and Yuka Yamaguchi. Remark on Laquer's theorem for circulant determinants. *Int. J. Group Theory*, 12(4):265–269, 2023.
- [10] Naoya Yamaguchi and Yuka Yamaguchi. Generalized Dedekind's theorem and its application to integer group determinants. J. Math. Soc. Japan, 76(4):1123–1138, 2024.
- [11] Yang Zhang. The first and second fundamental theorems of invariant theory for the quantum general linear supergroup. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 224(11):106411, 50, 2020.

Faculty of Education, University of Miyazaki, 1-1 Gakuen Kibanadai-nishi, Miyazaki 889-2192, Japan *Email address*, Naoya Yamaguchi: n-yamaguchi@cc.miyazaki-u.ac.jp *Email address*, Yuka Yamaguchi: y-yamaguchi@cc.miyazaki-u.ac.jp

Institute of Mathematics for Industry, Kyushu University, 744 Motooka, Nishi-ku, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan *Email address*, Hiroyuki Ochiai: ochiai@imi.kyushu-u.ac.jp