

THE FINITE BASIS PROBLEM FOR ADDITIVELY IDEMPOTENT SEMIRINGS OF ORDER FOUR, III

MIAOMIAO REN, ZEXI LIU, MENGYA YUE, AND YIZHI CHEN

ABSTRACT. We study the finite basis problem for 4-element additively idempotent semirings whose additive reducts have two minimal elements and one coatom. Up to isomorphism, there are 112 such algebras. We show that 106 of them are finitely based and the remaining ones are nonfinitely based.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The present paper is a continuation of [6, 11]. We study the finite basis problem for 4-element additively idempotent semirings whose additive reducts have two minimal elements and one coatom. The reader is referred to [6, 11] for necessary background, motivation, references, techniques and notations.

Up to isomorphism, there are 6 ai-semirings of order two, which are denoted by L_2 , R_2 , M_2 , D_2 , N_2 and T_2 . The solution of the equational problem for these algebras can be found in [10, Lemma 1.1] and will be repeatedly used in the sequel. Up to isomorphism, there are 61 ai-semirings of order three, which are denoted by S_i , $1 \leq i \leq 61$. One can find detailed information on these algebras in [12].

Up to isomorphism, there are exactly 866 ai-semirings of order four. According to additive orders, these algebras are divided into five types (see [6, Figure 1]). Ren et al. [6] and Yue et al. [11] have solved the finite basis problem for algebras in the first and second types, respectively. The present paper is devoted to studying the problem for algebras in the third type, which consists of all 4-element ai-semirings whose additive reducts have two minimal elements and one coatom. Up to isomorphism, there are 112 such algebras, which are denoted by $S_{(4,k)}$, where $276 \leq k \leq 387$. We assume that the carrier set of these semirings is $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Their Cayley tables for addition are determined by Figure 1, and their Cayley tables for multiplication are listed in Table 1.




FIGURE 1. The additive order of $S_{(4,k)}$, $276 \leq k \leq 387$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16Y60, 03C05, 08B05.

Key words and phrases. semiring, variety, identity, finitely based, nonfinitely based.

Miaomiao Ren is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (12371024). Mengya Yue, corresponding author, is also supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (12371024).

TABLE 1. The multiplicative tables of 4-element ai-semirings whose additive reducts have two minimal elements and one coatom

Semiring	\cdot	Semiring	\cdot	Semiring	\cdot
$S_{(4,276)}$	1 1 1 1	$S_{(4,277)}$	1 1 1 1	$S_{(4,278)}$	1 1 1 1
	1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1
	1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1
	1 1 1 1		1 1 1 3		1 1 1 4
$S_{(4,279)}$	1 1 1 1	$S_{(4,280)}$	1 1 1 4	$S_{(4,281)}$	1 1 1 1
	1 1 1 1		1 1 1 4		1 1 1 1
	1 1 1 3		1 1 1 4		1 1 1 1
	1 1 1 4		1 1 1 4		1 1 3 4
$S_{(4,282)}$	1 1 1 1	$S_{(4,283)}$	1 1 1 1	$S_{(4,284)}$	1 1 1 4
	1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1		1 1 1 4
	1 1 1 3		1 1 3 1		1 1 3 4
	1 1 3 4		1 1 1 4		1 1 1 4
$S_{(4,285)}$	1 1 1 1	$S_{(4,286)}$	1 1 3 4	$S_{(4,287)}$	1 1 1 1
	1 1 1 1		1 1 3 4		1 2 1 1
	1 1 3 4		1 1 3 4		1 1 1 1
	1 1 4 3		1 1 3 4		1 1 1 1
$S_{(4,288)}$	1 1 1 1	$S_{(4,289)}$	1 1 1 1	$S_{(4,290)}$	1 1 1 1
	1 2 1 1		1 2 1 1		1 2 1 1
	1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1		1 1 1 3
	1 1 1 3		1 1 1 4		1 1 1 4
$S_{(4,291)}$	1 1 1 4	$S_{(4,292)}$	1 1 1 1	$S_{(4,293)}$	1 1 1 1
	1 2 1 4		1 2 1 1		1 2 1 1
	1 1 1 4		1 1 1 1		1 1 1 3
	1 1 1 4		1 1 3 4		1 1 3 4
$S_{(4,294)}$	1 1 1 1	$S_{(4,295)}$	1 1 1 4	$S_{(4,296)}$	1 1 1 1
	1 2 1 1		1 2 1 4		1 2 1 1
	1 1 3 1		1 1 3 4		1 1 3 4
	1 1 1 4		1 1 1 4		1 1 4 3
$S_{(4,297)}$	1 1 3 4	$S_{(4,298)}$	1 2 1 1	$S_{(4,299)}$	1 2 1 1
	1 2 3 4		1 2 1 1		1 2 1 1
	1 1 3 4		1 2 1 1		1 2 1 1
	1 1 3 4		1 2 1 1		1 2 1 3
$S_{(4,300)}$	1 2 1 1	$S_{(4,301)}$	1 2 1 1	$S_{(4,302)}$	1 2 1 4
	1 2 1 1		1 2 1 1		1 2 1 4
	1 2 1 1		1 2 1 3		1 2 1 4
	1 2 1 4		1 2 1 4		1 2 1 4
$S_{(4,303)}$	1 2 1 1	$S_{(4,304)}$	1 2 1 1	$S_{(4,305)}$	1 2 1 1
	1 2 1 1		1 2 1 1		1 2 1 1
	1 2 1 1		1 2 1 3		1 2 3 1
	1 2 3 4		1 2 3 4		1 2 1 4
$S_{(4,306)}$	1 2 1 4	$S_{(4,307)}$	1 2 1 1	$S_{(4,308)}$	1 2 3 4
	1 2 1 4		1 2 1 1		1 2 3 4
	1 2 3 4		1 2 3 4		1 2 3 4
	1 2 1 4		1 2 4 3		1 2 3 4
$S_{(4,309)}$	1 1 1 1	$S_{(4,310)}$	1 1 1 4	$S_{(4,311)}$	1 1 1 1
	1 1 1 1		1 1 1 4		1 1 1 1
	1 1 1 1		1 1 1 4		1 1 3 1
	4 4 4 4		4 4 4 4		4 4 4 4

$S_{(4,312)}$	1 1 1 4 1 1 1 4 1 1 3 4 4 4 4 4	$S_{(4,313)}$	1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 4 4 4 4	$S_{(4,314)}$	1 1 1 4 1 2 1 4 1 1 1 4 4 4 4 4
$S_{(4,315)}$	1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 3 1 4 4 4 4	$S_{(4,316)}$	1 1 1 4 1 2 1 4 1 1 3 4 4 4 4 4	$S_{(4,317)}$	1 2 1 4 1 2 1 4 1 2 1 4 4 4 4 4
$S_{(4,318)}$	1 2 1 4 1 2 1 4 1 2 3 4 4 4 4 4	$S_{(4,319)}$	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4	$S_{(4,320)}$	1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4
$S_{(4,321)}$	1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	$S_{(4,322)}$	1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 3	$S_{(4,323)}$	1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 4
$S_{(4,324)}$	1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 4	$S_{(4,325)}$	1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 4	$S_{(4,326)}$	1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 3 1 1 3 4
$S_{(4,327)}$	1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 1 3 1 1 1 1 4	$S_{(4,328)}$	1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 1 3 4 1 1 4 3	$S_{(4,329)}$	1 2 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1
$S_{(4,330)}$	1 2 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 3	$S_{(4,331)}$	1 2 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 4	$S_{(4,332)}$	1 2 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 2 1 3 1 2 1 4
$S_{(4,333)}$	1 2 1 4 2 2 2 2 1 2 1 4 1 2 1 4	$S_{(4,334)}$	1 2 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 2 1 1 1 2 3 4	$S_{(4,335)}$	1 2 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 2 1 3 1 2 3 4
$S_{(4,336)}$	1 2 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 2 3 1 1 2 1 4	$S_{(4,337)}$	1 2 1 4 2 2 2 2 1 2 3 4 1 2 1 4	$S_{(4,338)}$	1 2 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 2 3 4 1 2 4 3
$S_{(4,339)}$	1 2 3 4 2 2 2 2 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4	$S_{(4,340)}$	1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 4 4 4 4	$S_{(4,341)}$	1 1 1 4 2 2 2 4 1 1 1 4 4 4 4 4
$S_{(4,342)}$	1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 1 3 1 4 4 4 4	$S_{(4,343)}$	1 1 1 4 2 2 2 4 1 1 3 4 4 4 4 4	$S_{(4,344)}$	1 2 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 2 1 1 4 2 4 4
$S_{(4,345)}$	1 2 1 4 2 2 2 2 1 2 1 4 4 2 4 4	$S_{(4,346)}$	1 2 1 4 2 2 2 4 1 2 1 4 4 2 4 4	$S_{(4,347)}$	1 2 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 2 3 1 4 2 4 4
$S_{(4,348)}$	1 2 1 4 2 2 2 2 1 2 3 4 4 2 4 4	$S_{(4,349)}$	1 2 1 4 2 2 2 4 1 2 3 4 4 2 4 4	$S_{(4,350)}$	1 2 1 4 2 2 2 2 1 2 1 4 4 4 4 4

$S_{(4,351)}$	1 2 1 4 2 2 2 4 1 2 1 4 4 4 4 4	$S_{(4,352)}$	1 2 1 4 2 2 2 2 1 2 3 4 4 4 4 4	$S_{(4,353)}$	1 2 1 4 2 2 2 4 1 2 3 4 4 4 4 4
$S_{(4,354)}$	1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4	$S_{(4,355)}$	1 2 1 1 2 2 2 2 3 2 3 3 4 2 4 4	$S_{(4,356)}$	2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 3 1 2 2 1 2
$S_{(4,357)}$	2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 3 4 2 2 1 2	$S_{(4,358)}$	2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 3 1 2 2 4 2	$S_{(4,359)}$	2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 3 4 2 2 4 2
$S_{(4,360)}$	2 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 1 2 3 1 2 2 2 4	$S_{(4,361)}$	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 3 1 2 2 2 2	$S_{(4,362)}$	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 3 4 2 2 2 2
$S_{(4,363)}$	2 2 2 4 2 2 2 4 1 2 3 4 2 2 2 4	$S_{(4,364)}$	2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 2 2 1 2	$S_{(4,365)}$	2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 2 2 4 2
$S_{(4,366)}$	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 1	$S_{(4,367)}$	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2	$S_{(4,368)}$	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 4
$S_{(4,369)}$	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 2 1 2	$S_{(4,370)}$	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 2	$S_{(4,371)}$	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
$S_{(4,372)}$	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3	$S_{(4,373)}$	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 4	$S_{(4,374)}$	2 2 2 4 2 2 2 4 2 2 2 4 2 2 2 4
$S_{(4,375)}$	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 2 2 2 4	$S_{(4,376)}$	2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 4 4 4 4	$S_{(4,377)}$	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 4 4 4 4
$S_{(4,378)}$	2 2 2 4 2 2 2 4 2 2 2 4 4 4 4 4	$S_{(4,379)}$	3 1 3 3 1 2 3 1 3 3 3 3 3 1 3 3	$S_{(4,380)}$	3 3 3 3 1 2 3 1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
$S_{(4,381)}$	3 2 3 3 2 2 2 2 3 2 3 3 3 2 3 3	$S_{(4,382)}$	3 3 3 3 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	$S_{(4,383)}$	3 1 3 3 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 1 3 3
$S_{(4,384)}$	3 2 3 3 3 2 3 3 3 2 3 3 3 2 3 3	$S_{(4,385)}$	3 3 3 3 3 1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	$S_{(4,386)}$	3 3 3 3 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
$S_{(4,387)}$	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3				

2. THE FINITE BASIS PROBLEM FOR SPECIFIC 4-ELEMENT AI-SEMRINGS

In this section we solve the finite basis problem for some 4-element ai-semirings by some known results.

Proposition 2.1. *The following ai-semirings are finitely based: $S_{(4,276)}, S_{(4,278)}, S_{(4,280)}, S_{(4,283)}, S_{(4,284)}, S_{(4,286)}, S_{(4,287)}, S_{(4,289)}, S_{(4,291)}, S_{(4,298)}, S_{(4,300)}, S_{(4,302)}, S_{(4,309)}, S_{(4,310)}, S_{(4,311)}, S_{(4,312)}, S_{(4,313)}, S_{(4,314)}, S_{(4,319)}, S_{(4,321)}, S_{(4,323)}, S_{(4,329)}, S_{(4,331)}, S_{(4,333)}, S_{(4,340)}, S_{(4,344)}, S_{(4,345)}, S_{(4,371)}, S_{(4,381)}, S_{(4,382)}, S_{(4,384)}, S_{(4,386)}$ and $S_{(4,387)}$.*

Proof. It is easy to check that every semiring in Proposition 2.1 satisfies an equational basis (see [10, Theorem 2.1]) of the variety generated by all ai-semirings of order two. By the main result of [10] we have that these algebras are all finitely based. \square

Proposition 2.2. *The following ai-semirings are finitely based: $S_{(4,294)}, S_{(4,295)}, S_{(4,296)}, S_{(4,297)}, S_{(4,305)}, S_{(4,306)}, S_{(4,307)}, S_{(4,308)}, S_{(4,315)}, S_{(4,316)}, S_{(4,318)}, S_{(4,320)}, S_{(4,327)}, S_{(4,328)}, S_{(4,336)}, S_{(4,337)}, S_{(4,338)}, S_{(4,339)}, S_{(4,342)}, S_{(4,343)}, S_{(4,347)}, S_{(4,348)}, S_{(4,349)}, S_{(4,352)}, S_{(4,353)}, S_{(4,354)}$ and $S_{(4,355)}$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that every semiring in Proposition 2.2 satisfies $x^3 \approx x$. From the main result of [9] we deduce that these semirings are all finitely based. \square

Proposition 2.3. *The following ai-semirings are finitely based: $S_{(4,317)}, S_{(4,341)}, S_{(4,346)}, S_{(4,350)}$ and $S_{(4,351)}$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that every semiring in Proposition 2.3 satisfies an equational basis (see [7]) of the variety that is the join of the ai-semiring variety defined by $x^2 \approx x$ and the ai-semiring variety defined by $x_1x_2 \approx y_1y_2$. By the main result of [7] we obtain that these algebras are all finitely based. \square

Proposition 2.4. *The following ai-semirings are finitely based: $S_{(4,332)}, S_{(4,334)}, S_{(4,356)}, S_{(4,361)}, S_{(4,364)}, S_{(4,367)}, S_{(4,373)}, S_{(4,374)}, S_{(4,377)}$ and $S_{(4,378)}$.*

Proof. It is easy to verify that $S_{(4,332)}$ is isomorphic to a subdirect product of S_{30} and S_6 , and that S_{30} satisfies a finite equational basis (see [12, Proposition 3]) of S_6 . Thus $V(S_{(4,332)}) = V(S_6)$ and so $S_{(4,332)}$ is finitely based. One can use the same approach to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} V(S_{(4,334)}) &= V(S_4), V(S_{(4,356)}) = V(S_{53}), V(S_{(4,361)}) = V(S_{57}), \\ V(S_{(4,364)}) &= V(S_{54}), V(S_{(4,367)}) = V(S_{59}), V(S_{(4,373)}) = V(S_{60}), \\ V(S_{(4,374)}) &= V(S_{56}), V(S_{(4,377)}) = V(S_{58}), V(S_{(4,378)}) = V(S_{55}). \end{aligned}$$

By the main result of [12] we deduce that $S_{(4,334)}, S_{(4,356)}, S_{(4,361)}, S_{(4,364)}, S_{(4,367)}, S_{(4,373)}, S_{(4,374)}, S_{(4,377)}$ and $S_{(4,378)}$ are all finitely based. \square

Proposition 2.5. *The following ai-semirings are nonfinitely based: $S_{(4,282)}, S_{(4,293)}, S_{(4,304)}, S_{(4,326)}, S_{(4,335)}$ and $S_{(4,359)}$.*

Proof. Let k be a number in $\{293, 304, 326, 335\}$. It is easy to verify that $S_{(4,k)}$ contains a copy of S_7 and that the set of all noncyclic elements of $S_{(4,k)}$ forms an order ideal. From [6, Proposition 2.2] we deduce that $S_{(4,k)}$ is nonfinitely based. On the other hand, it follows from [2, Corollary 2.7] and [2, Corollary 2.9] that $S_{(4,282)}$ and $S_{(4,359)}$ are both nonfinitely based. \square

3. EQUATIONAL BASIS OF SOME 4-ELEMENT AI-SEMRINGS THAT RELATE TO S_2

In this section we study the finite basis problem for some 4-element ai-semirings that relate to S_2 . The following result, which is due to [6, Lemma 4.1], provides a solution of the equational problem for S_2 .

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be an ai-semiring identity such that $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ is satisfied by S_2 if and only if \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{q} satisfy one of the following conditions:*

- (1) $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \geq 3$ for some $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$;
- (2) $c(L_1(\mathbf{u})) \cap c(L_2(\mathbf{u})) \neq \emptyset$;
- (3) $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \leq 2$ for all $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$, $c(L_1(\mathbf{u})) \cap c(L_2(\mathbf{u})) = \emptyset$ and $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \leq 2$. If $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 1$, then $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ is trivial. If $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 2$, then $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$.

Proposition 3.2. $\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,277)})$ is the ai-semiring variety defined by the identities

$$xy \approx yx; \quad (1)$$

$$xy \approx x^2 + y^2; \quad (2)$$

$$x + x^2 \approx x + x^3; \quad (3)$$

$$x_1x_2x_3 \approx x_1x_2x_3 + y_1y_2. \quad (4)$$

Proof. It is easy to check that $S_{(4,277)}$ satisfies the identities (1)–(4). In the remainder it is enough to prove that every ai-semiring identity of $S_{(4,277)}$ can be derived by (1)–(4) and the identities defining **AI**. Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be such a nontrivial identity, where $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X_c^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. It is easy to see that N_2 is isomorphic to $\{1, 2\}$ and so N_2 satisfies $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. This implies that $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \geq 2$. Since S_2 is isomorphic to $\{1, 3, 4\}$, it follows that S_2 satisfies $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. By Lemma 3.1 we only need to consider the following three cases.

Case 1. $\ell(\mathbf{u}_j) \geq 3$ for some $\mathbf{u}_j \in \mathbf{u}$. Then

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_j \stackrel{(4)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_j + \mathbf{q} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}.$$

Case 2. $c(L_1(\mathbf{u})) \cap c(L_2(\mathbf{u})) \neq \emptyset$. Take x in $c(L_1(\mathbf{u})) \cap c(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$. Then $xy \in L_2(\mathbf{u})$ for some $y \in X$. Now we have

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + x + xy \stackrel{(2)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + x + x^2 + y^2 \stackrel{(3)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + x + x^3 + y^2.$$

The remaining steps are similar to Case 1.

Case 3. $\ell(\mathbf{u}_j) \leq 2$ for all $\mathbf{u}_j \in \mathbf{u}$, $c(L_1(\mathbf{u})) \cap c(L_2(\mathbf{u})) = \emptyset$ and $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 2$. Then $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$. If we write $\mathbf{q} = xy$, then $xx_1, yy_1 \in L_2(\mathbf{u})$ for some $x_1, y_1 \in X$. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + xx_1 + yy_1 \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x^2 + x_1^2 + y^2 + y_1^2 && \text{(by (2))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_1^2 + y_1^2 + x^2 + y^2 \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_1y_1 + xy && \text{(by (2))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_1y_1 + \mathbf{q}. \end{aligned}$$

This derives the identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. □

Remark 3.3. It is a routine matter to verify that $S_{(4,277)}$ is isomorphic to a subdirect product of S_2 and S_{18} . So $\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,277)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_2, S_{18})$. On the other hand,

it is easy to see that both N_2 and T_2 can be embedded into S_{18} . Also, S_{18} satisfies an equational basis of $\mathbf{V}(N_2, T_2)$ that can be found in [10]. Thus $\mathbf{V}(S_{18}) = \mathbf{V}(N_2, T_2)$ and so $\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,277)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_2, N_2, T_2)$. Since T_2 can be embedded into S_2 , we therefore have

$$\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,277)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_2, N_2).$$

Proposition 3.4. $S_{(4,288)}$ is also finitely based.

Proof. It is easy to check that $S_{(4,288)}$ is isomorphic to a subdirect product of S_2 and S_{19} . This implies that $\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,288)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_2, S_{19})$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that both D_2 and T_2 can be embedded into S_{19} . Also, S_{19} satisfies an equational basis of $\mathbf{V}(D_2, T_2)$ that can be found in [10]. Hence $\mathbf{V}(S_{19}) = \mathbf{V}(D_2, T_2)$ and so $\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,288)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_2, D_2, T_2)$. Since T_2 can be embedded into S_2 , we therefore obtain

$$\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,288)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_2, D_2).$$

By [6, Remark 4.8] it follows that $\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,42)})$ is equal to $\mathbf{V}(S_2, D_2)$ and is finitely based. Thus $\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,288)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_{(4,42)})$ and so $S_{(4,288)}$ is also finitely based. \square

Proposition 3.5. $S_{(4,299)}$ and $S_{(4,322)}$ are both finitely based.

Proof. It is easy to verify that $S_{(4,299)}$ is isomorphic to a subdirect product of S_2 and S_{27} . So $\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,299)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_2, S_{27})$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that both R_2 and T_2 can be embedded into S_{27} . Also, S_{27} satisfies an equational basis of $\mathbf{V}(R_2, T_2)$ that can be found in [10]. Thus $\mathbf{V}(S_{27}) = \mathbf{V}(R_2, T_2)$ and so $\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,299)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_2, R_2, T_2)$. Since T_2 can be embedded into S_2 , we therefore have

$$\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,299)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_2, R_2).$$

From [6, Remark 4.5] and [6, Corollary 4.6] we know that $\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,16)})$ is equal to $\mathbf{V}(S_2, R_2)$ and is finitely based. Hence $\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,299)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_{(4,16)})$ and so $S_{(4,299)}$ is finitely based. Notice that $S_{(4,322)}$ and $S_{(4,299)}$ have dual multiplications. Thus $S_{(4,322)}$ is also finitely based. \square

Proposition 3.6. $S_{(4,330)}$ is finitely based.

Proof. It is a routine matter to verify that $S_{(4,330)}$ is isomorphic to a subdirect product of S_2 and S_{28} . So $\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,330)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_2, S_{28})$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that both M_2 and T_2 can be embedded into S_{28} . Also, S_{28} satisfies an equational basis of $\mathbf{V}(M_2, T_2)$ that can be found in [10]. Thus $\mathbf{V}(S_{28}) = \mathbf{V}(M_2, T_2)$ and so $\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,330)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_2, M_2, T_2)$. Since T_2 can be embedded into S_2 , we therefore have

$$\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,330)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_2, M_2).$$

By [6, Remark 4.3] we have that $\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,15)})$ is equal to $\mathbf{V}(S_2, M_2)$ and is finitely based. Hence $\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,330)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_{(4,15)})$ and so $S_{(4,330)}$ is finitely based. \square

The following result can be found in [11, Lemma 5.1].

Lemma 3.7. Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be a nontrivial ai-semiring identity such that $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. If $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ is satisfied by S_{59} , then \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{q} satisfy one of the following conditions:

- (1) $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \geq 3$ for some $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$;
- (2) $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \leq 2$ for all $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$. Then $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \leq 2$. If $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 1$, then $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u})$. If $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 2$, then $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$.

Proposition 3.8. $V(S_{(4,372)})$ is the ai-semiring variety defined by the identities

$$xy \approx yx; \quad (5)$$

$$xy \approx x^2 + y^2; \quad (6)$$

$$x_1x_2x_3 \approx x_1x_2x_3 + y; \quad (7)$$

$$x + xy \approx x + xy + y. \quad (8)$$

Proof. It is easy to verify that $S_{(4,372)}$ satisfies the identities (5)–(8). In the remainder it is enough to prove that every ai-semiring identity of $S_{(4,372)}$ is derivable from (5)–(8) and the identities defining **AI**. Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be such a nontrivial identity, where $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X_c^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. It is a routine matter to verify that $S_{(4,372)}$ is isomorphic to a subdirect product of S_2 and S_{59} . So both S_2 and S_{59} satisfy $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. By Lemma 3.7 we consider the following two cases.

Case 1. $\ell(\mathbf{u}_j) \geq 3$ for some $\mathbf{u}_j \in \mathbf{u}$. Then

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_j \stackrel{(7)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_j + \mathbf{q} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}.$$

Case 2. $\ell(\mathbf{u}_j) \leq 2$ for all $\mathbf{u}_j \in \mathbf{u}$, and $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \leq 2$.

Subcase 2.1. $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 1$. By Lemma 3.7 $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u})$ and so there exists $\mathbf{u}_k \in \mathbf{u}$ such that $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u}_k)$. Since $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ is nontrivial, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that $c(L_1(\mathbf{u})) \cap c(L_2(\mathbf{u})) \neq \emptyset$ and $\ell(\mathbf{u}_k) = 2$. Take x in $c(L_1(\mathbf{u})) \cap c(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$. Then $xy \in L_2(\mathbf{u})$ for some $y \in X$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + x + xy + \mathbf{u}_k \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x + xy + \mathbf{q}\mathbf{q}_1 && \text{(by (5))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x + x^2 + y^2 + \mathbf{q}^2 + \mathbf{q}_1^2 && \text{(by (6))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x + x^2 + \mathbf{q}^2 + y^2 + \mathbf{q}_1^2 \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x + x\mathbf{q} + y\mathbf{q}_1 && \text{(by (6))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x + x\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q} + y\mathbf{q}_1. && \text{(by (8))} \end{aligned}$$

This derives the identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$.

Subcase 2.2. $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 2$. By Lemma 3.7 we obtain that $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$. Let us write $\mathbf{q} = xy$ for some $x, y \in X$. Then $xx_1, yy_1 \in L_2(\mathbf{u})$ for some $x_1, y_1 \in X$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + xx_1 + yy_1 \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x^2 + x_1^2 + y^2 + y_1^2 && \text{(by (6))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_1^2 + y_1^2 + x^2 + y^2 \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_1y_1 + xy && \text{(by (6))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_1y_1 + \mathbf{q}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies the identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. □

4. EQUATIONAL BASIS OF SOME 4-ELEMENT AI-SEMRINGS THAT RELATE TO S_4

In this section we provide equational basis for some 4-element ai-semirings that relate to S_4 . Let \mathbf{v} be an ai-semiring term such that $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{v}_k$, where $\mathbf{v}_i \in X^+$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then $p(\mathbf{v})$ denotes $p(\mathbf{v}_1) + p(\mathbf{v}_2) + \cdots + p(\mathbf{v}_k)$. For example, if $\mathbf{v} = x + yxz + yy + xzy$, then $p(\mathbf{v}) = yx + y + xz$. The following result, which is due to [6, Lemma 5.1], provides a solution of the equational problem for S_4 .

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be a nontrivial ai-semiring identity such that $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ is satisfied by S_4 if and only if $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u})$, $L_{\geq 2}(\mathbf{u}) \neq \emptyset$, and*

$$c(p(\mathbf{u})) \cap t(\mathbf{u}) = \emptyset \Rightarrow c(p(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q})) \cap t(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}) = \emptyset.$$

Proposition 4.2. $V(S_{(4,281)})$ is the ai-semiring variety defined by the identities

$$x^2y \approx xy; \quad (9)$$

$$xyz \approx yxz; \quad (10)$$

$$x^2y^2 \approx x^2 + y^2; \quad (11)$$

$$x + y^2 \approx x + xy^2; \quad (12)$$

$$x + yz \approx x + yz + yx; \quad (13)$$

$$x^2 + yz \approx x^2 + yz + xy. \quad (14)$$

Proof. It is easy to check that $S_{(4,281)}$ satisfies the identities (9)–(14). In the remainder we shall show that every ai-semiring identity of $S_{(4,281)}$ is derivable from (9)–(14) and the identities defining **AI**. Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be such a nontrivial identity, where $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. It is easy to see that N_2 is isomorphic to $\{1, 2\}$ and so N_2 satisfies $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. This implies that $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \geq 2$. Since S_4 is isomorphic to $\{1, 3, 4\}$, it follows that S_4 satisfies $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. By Lemma 4.1 we have that $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u})$ and $L_{\geq 2}(\mathbf{u}) \neq \emptyset$.

Case 1. $c(p(\mathbf{u})) \cap t(\mathbf{u}) = \emptyset$. Then by Lemma 4.1 $c(p(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q})) \cap t(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}) = \emptyset$. This implies that $m(t(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{q}) = 1$ and so $t(\mathbf{q}) \notin c(p(\mathbf{q}))$. By the identities (9) and (10) we derive

$$\mathbf{q} \approx x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdots x_k^2 t(\mathbf{q}), \quad (15)$$

where $c(p(\mathbf{q})) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$. We may assume that $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r\}$ and $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_s\}$ are two disjoint subsets of $c(\mathbf{u})$ such that

$$c(\mathbf{u}) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r\} \cup \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_s\}$$

and

$$t(\mathbf{u}) = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_s\},$$

where $y_1 = t(\mathbf{q})$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_{k+1}^2 x_{k+2}^2 \cdots x_r^2 x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdots x_k^2 t(\mathbf{q}) && \text{(by (9), (10), (13))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + (x_{k+1}^2 x_{k+2}^2 \cdots x_r^2 + x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdots x_k^2) t(\mathbf{q}) && \text{(by (11))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_{k+1}^2 x_{k+2}^2 \cdots x_r^2 t(\mathbf{q}) + x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdots x_k^2 t(\mathbf{q}) \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_{k+1}^2 x_{k+2}^2 \cdots x_r^2 t(\mathbf{q}) + \mathbf{q}. && \text{(by (15))} \end{aligned}$$

This implies the identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$.

Case 2. $c(p(\mathbf{u})) \cap t(\mathbf{u}) \neq \emptyset$. Then $t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) \in c(p(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}))$ for some $\mathbf{u}_{i_1}, \mathbf{u}_{i_2} \in \mathbf{u}$. Assume that $c(\mathbf{u}) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$, $c(\mathbf{q}) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$ and $t(\mathbf{q}) = x_k$. By the identities (9) and (10) we derive

$$\mathbf{q} \approx x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdots x_k^2 \quad (16)$$

or

$$\mathbf{q} \approx x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdots x_{k-1}^2 x_k. \quad (17)$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1} + \mathbf{u}_{i_2} \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1} + p(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})t(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1} + p(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})t(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) + p(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})\mathbf{u}_{i_1} && \text{(by (13))} \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1} + p(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})t(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) + (p(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})\mathbf{u}_{i_1})^2. && \text{(by (9), (10))}
\end{aligned}$$

This implies the identity

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + (p(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})\mathbf{u}_{i_1})^2.$$

Furthermore, we can deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n + (p(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})\mathbf{u}_{i_1})^2 \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n + x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdots x_m^2 && \text{(by (9), (10), (12))} \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n + x_1^2 + x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdots x_m^2 && \text{(by (9), (11))} \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n + x_1^2 + x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdots x_m^2 + \mathbf{q}. && \text{(by (10), (14), (16), (17))}
\end{aligned}$$

This derives the identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. \square

Remark 4.3. It is easy to verify that $S_{(4,281)}$ is isomorphic to a subdirect product of S_4 and S_{21} . So $\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,281)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_4, S_{21})$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that both M_2 and N_2 can be embedded into S_{21} . Also, S_{21} satisfies an equational basis of $\mathbf{V}(M_2, N_2)$ that can be found in [10]. Thus $\mathbf{V}(S_{21}) = \mathbf{V}(M_2, N_2)$ and so $\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,281)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_4, M_2, N_2)$. Since M_2 can be embedded into S_4 , we therefore have

$$\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,281)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_4, N_2).$$

Corollary 4.4. *The ai-semiring $S_{(4,279)}$ is finitely based.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $S_{(4,279)}$ and $S_{(4,281)}$ have dual multiplications. By Proposition 4.2 we immediately deduce that $S_{(4,279)}$ is finitely based. \square

Proposition 4.5. *$S_{(4,290)}$ and $S_{(4,292)}$ are both finitely based.*

Proof. It is a routine matter to verify that $S_{(4,292)}$ is isomorphic to a subdirect product of S_4 and S_{22} . So $\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,292)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_4, S_{22})$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that both M_2 and D_2 can be embedded into S_{22} . Also, S_{22} satisfies an equational basis of $\mathbf{V}(M_2, D_2)$ that can be found in [10]. It follows that $\mathbf{V}(S_{22}) = \mathbf{V}(M_2, D_2)$ and so $\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,292)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_4, M_2, D_2)$. Since M_2 can be embedded into S_4 , we therefore have

$$\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,292)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_4, D_2).$$

Finally, it follows that $\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,48)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_4, D_2)$ can be found in [6] and so $\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,292)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_{(4,48)})$. Thus $S_{(4,292)}$ is finitely based. Notice that $S_{(4,290)}$ and $S_{(4,292)}$ have dual multiplications. So $S_{(4,290)}$ is also finitely based. \square

Proposition 4.6. *$S_{(4,303)}$ and $S_{(4,324)}$ are both finitely based.*

Proof. It is easily verified that $S_{(4,303)}$ is isomorphic to a subdirect product of S_4 and S_{29} . So $\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,303)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_4, S_{29})$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that both M_2 and R_2 can be embedded into S_{29} . Also, S_{29} satisfies an equational basis of $\mathbf{V}(M_2, R_2)$ that can be found in [10]. Hence $\mathbf{V}(S_{29}) = \mathbf{V}(M_2, R_2)$ and so $\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,303)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_4, R_2, M_2)$. Since M_2 can be embedded into S_4 , we therefore have

$$\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,303)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_4, R_2).$$

From [6, Remark 5.4] we know that $V(S_{(4,30)}) = V(S_4, R_2)$ and so $V(S_{(4,303)}) = V(S_{(4,30)})$. By [6, Proposition 5.3] it follows that $S_{(4,303)}$ is finitely based. Notice that $S_{(4,324)}$ and $S_{(4,303)}$ have dual multiplications. We immediately deduce that $S_{(4,324)}$ is finitely based. \square

Proposition 4.7. $S_{(4,301)}$ and $S_{(4,325)}$ are both finitely based.

Proof. It is easy to check that $S_{(4,325)}$ is isomorphic to a subdirect product of S_4 and S_{23} . So $V(S_{(4,325)}) = V(S_4, S_{23})$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that both M_2 and L_2 can be embedded into S_{23} . Also, S_{23} satisfies an equational basis of $V(M_2, L_2)$ that can be found in [10]. This implies that $V(S_{23}) = V(M_2, L_2)$ and so $V(S_{(4,325)}) = V(S_4, M_2, L_2)$. Notice that M_2 can be embedded into S_4 . We therefore have

$$V(S_{(4,325)}) = V(S_4, L_2).$$

By [6, Remark 5.7] we have that $V(S_{(4,47)}) = V(S_4, L_2)$ and so $V(S_{(4,325)}) = V(S_{(4,47)})$. Now it follows from [6, Proposition 5.6] that $S_{(4,325)}$ is finitely based. Since $S_{(4,301)}$ and $S_{(4,325)}$ have dual multiplications, we immediately deduce that $S_{(4,301)}$ is also finitely based. \square

Let \mathbf{p} be a word. Then $S_2(\mathbf{p})$ denotes the set of all subwords of length 2 of \mathbf{p} . If \mathbf{v} is an ai-semiring term such that $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{v}_k$ and $\mathbf{v}_i \in X^+$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, we shall use $S_2(\mathbf{v})$ to denote the set $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq k} S_2(\mathbf{v}_i)$. The following result can be found in [11, Lemma 3.7].

Lemma 4.8. Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be a nontrivial ai-semiring identity such that $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X_c^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Suppose that $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ holds in S_{53} . Then $L_{\geq 2}(\mathbf{u}) \neq \emptyset$ and $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u})$. Also, for any $\mathbf{w} \in S_2(\mathbf{q})$, there exists $\mathbf{w}' \in S_2(\mathbf{u})$ such that $c(\mathbf{w}') \subseteq c(\mathbf{w})$.

Proposition 4.9. $V(S_{(4,357)})$ is the ai-semiring variety defined by the identities

$$xyz \approx yxz; \tag{18}$$

$$xy \approx xy + y; \tag{19}$$

$$x^2y + z \approx x^2y + z + xz; \tag{20}$$

$$x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + x_4x_5 \approx x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + x_4x_5 + x_4; \tag{21}$$

$$x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + x_1x_3 \approx x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + x_1x_3 + x_1x_2x_3; \tag{22}$$

$$x_1x_3 + x_2x_3 + x_1x_2x_4 \approx x_1x_3 + x_2x_3 + x_1x_2x_4 + x_1x_2x_3; \tag{23}$$

$$x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + x_4x_5x_6x_7 \approx x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + x_4x_5x_6x_7 + x_5x_6; \tag{24}$$

$$x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + x_4x_5^2x_6 + x_7x_8x_9 \approx x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + x_4x_5^2x_6 + x_7x_8x_9 + x_5x_8, \tag{25}$$

where x_1 may be empty in (21), x_1, x_4 and x_7 may be empty in (24), x_1, x_4, x_6, x_7 and x_9 may be empty in (25).

Proof. It is a routine matter to verify that $S_{(4,357)}$ satisfies the identities (18)–(25). In the remainder we shall show that every ai-semiring identity of $S_{(4,357)}$ is derivable from (18)–(25) and the identities defining **AI**. Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be such a nontrivial identity, where $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. It is easy to check that $S_{(4,357)}$ is isomorphic to a subdirect product of S_4 and S_{53} . So both S_4 and S_{53} satisfy $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. By Lemma 4.1 we have that $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u})$ and $L_{\geq 2}(\mathbf{u}) \neq \emptyset$.

Case 1. $c(p(\mathbf{u})) \cap t(\mathbf{u}) = \emptyset$. Then by Lemma 4.1 $c(p(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q})) \cap t(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}) = \emptyset$, and so $m(t(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{q}) = 1$. If $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 1$, then there exists $\mathbf{u}_j \in L_{\geq 2}(\mathbf{u})$ such that $\mathbf{u}_j = p(\mathbf{u}_j)\mathbf{q}$, and so

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_j \approx \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{u}_j)\mathbf{q} \stackrel{(19)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{u}_j)\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}.$$

Now consider the case that $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \geq 2$. Then $\mathbf{q} = x_1x_2 \cdots x_n$ for some $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ and $n \geq 2$, and so $x_n \neq x_i$ for all $1 \leq i < n$.

Let $1 \leq i < n$. It follows from Lemma 4.8 that there exists $\mathbf{w}_i \in S_2(\mathbf{u})$ such that $c(\mathbf{w}_i) \subseteq \{x_i, x_n\}$ and so $\mathbf{w}_i = x_i^2$ or $\mathbf{w}_i = x_ix_n$. If $\mathbf{w}_i = x_i^2$, then there exists $\mathbf{u}_k \in \mathbf{u}$ such that $\mathbf{u}_k = x_i^2\mathbf{u}'_k$ for some $\mathbf{u}'_k \in X^+$. Since $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u})$, we have $\mathbf{u}_\ell = p(\mathbf{u}_\ell)x_n$ for some $\mathbf{u}_\ell \in \mathbf{u}$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_k + \mathbf{u}_\ell \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_i^2\mathbf{u}'_k + p(\mathbf{u}_\ell)x_n \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_i^2\mathbf{u}'_k + p(\mathbf{u}_\ell)x_n + x_ip(\mathbf{u}_\ell)x_n && \text{(by (20))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_i^2\mathbf{u}'_k + p(\mathbf{u}_\ell)x_n + p(\mathbf{u}_\ell)x_ix_n && \text{(by (18))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_i^2\mathbf{u}'_k + p(\mathbf{u}_\ell)x_n + p(\mathbf{u}_\ell)x_ix_n + x_ix_n. && \text{(by (19))} \end{aligned}$$

This implies the identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + x_ix_n$. If $\mathbf{w}_i = x_ix_n$, then there exists $\mathbf{u}_k \in \mathbf{u}$ such that $\mathbf{u}_k = \mathbf{u}'_kx_ix_n$ for some $\mathbf{u}'_k \in X^*$. Now we have

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_k \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}'_kx_ix_n \stackrel{(19)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}'_kx_ix_n + x_ix_n.$$

So we can derive the identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + x_ix_n$.

Let i and j be distinct numbers such that $1 \leq i, j < n$. By Lemma 4.8 there exists $\mathbf{u}_t \in \mathbf{u}$ such that $c(\mathbf{w}) \subseteq \{x_i, x_j\}$ for some $\mathbf{w} \in S_2(p(\mathbf{u}_t))$. It is easy to see that $\mathbf{w} = x_i^2$ or $\mathbf{w} = x_j^2$ or $\mathbf{w} = x_ix_j$. If $\mathbf{w} = x_ix_j$, then by (18) we may write $\mathbf{u}_t = x_ix_j\mathbf{u}'_t$ for some $\mathbf{u}'_t \in X^+$. If $\mathbf{w} = x_i^2$, then $\mathbf{u}_t = x_i^2\mathbf{u}'_t$ for some $\mathbf{u}'_t \in X^+$. Also, there exists $\mathbf{u}_r \in \mathbf{u}$ such that $\mathbf{u}_r = x_j\mathbf{u}'_r$ for some $\mathbf{u}'_r \in X^+$. Now we have

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_t + \mathbf{u}_r \approx \mathbf{u} + x_i^2\mathbf{u}'_t + x_j\mathbf{u}'_r \stackrel{(20)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + x_i^2\mathbf{u}'_t + x_j\mathbf{u}'_r + x_ix_j\mathbf{u}'_r,$$

and so $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + x_ix_j\mathbf{u}'_r$ is derived. We can show in a closely similar way that $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + x_ix_j\mathbf{u}'_s$ for some $\mathbf{u}'_s \in X^+$ is derived if $\mathbf{w} = x_j^2$. Therefore, we can always derive $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + x_ix_j\mathbf{p}_{ij}$ for some $\mathbf{p}_{ij} \in X^+$.

We shall show by induction on k that the identities (18)–(25) can derive

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + x_1 \cdots x_k(x_{k+1} + \cdots + x_{n-1})x_n$$

for all $1 \leq k < n - 1$. Indeed, if $k = 1$, then for any $1 < j < n$, we have

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + x_1x_n + x_jx_n + x_1x_j\mathbf{p}_{1j} \stackrel{(23)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + x_1x_n + x_jx_n + x_1x_j\mathbf{p}_{1j} + x_1x_jx_n,$$

and so $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + x_1x_jx_n$ is derived. This implies the identity

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + x_1x_2x_n + \cdots + x_1x_{n-1}x_n \approx \mathbf{u} + x_1(x_2 + \cdots + x_{n-1})x_n.$$

Let $2 \leq k < n - 1$. Suppose that the result is true for $k - 1$, that is, the identities (18)–(25) can derive

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + x_1 \cdots x_{k-1}(x_k + \cdots + x_{n-1})x_n.$$

Then for any $k < j < n$, one can obtain the identity

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + x_1 \cdots x_{k-1} x_k x_n + x_1 \cdots x_{k-1} x_j x_n.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_1 \cdots x_{k-1} x_k x_n + x_1 \cdots x_{k-1} x_j x_n \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_1 \cdots x_{k-1} x_k x_n + x_1 \cdots x_{k-1} x_j x_n + x_k x_j \mathbf{p}_{kj} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_k x_1 \cdots x_{k-1} x_n + x_j x_1 \cdots x_{k-1} x_n + x_k x_j \mathbf{p}_{kj} & (\text{by (18)}) \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_k x_1 \cdots x_{k-1} x_n + x_j x_1 \cdots x_{k-1} x_n + x_k x_j \mathbf{p}_{kj} + x_k x_j x_1 \cdots x_{k-1} x_n & (\text{by (23)}) \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_k x_1 \cdots x_{k-1} x_n + x_j x_1 \cdots x_{k-1} x_n + x_k x_j \mathbf{p}_{kj} + x_1 \cdots x_{k-1} x_k x_j x_n. & (\text{by (18)}) \end{aligned}$$

This implies the identity

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + x_1 \cdots x_k x_j x_n,$$

and so

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + x_1 \cdots x_k (x_{k+1} + \cdots + x_{n-1}) x_n$$

is proved. Take $k = n - 2$. We obtain the identity

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}.$$

Case 2. $c(p(\mathbf{u})) \cap t(\mathbf{u}) \neq \emptyset$. Then $t(\mathbf{u}_i) \in c(p(\mathbf{u}_j))$ for some $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_j \in \mathbf{u}$, and so by (18) we may write $\mathbf{u}_j = t(\mathbf{u}_i) \mathbf{u}'_j$ for some $\mathbf{u}'_j \in X^+$. If $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 1$, then there exists $\mathbf{u}_k \in L_{\geq 2}(\mathbf{u})$ such that $\mathbf{q} \in c(\mathbf{u}_k)$ and so $\mathbf{u}_k = \mathbf{u}'_k \mathbf{q}$ or $\mathbf{u}_k = \mathbf{q} \mathbf{u}'_k$ for some $\mathbf{u}'_k \in X^+$. If $\mathbf{u}_k = \mathbf{u}'_k \mathbf{q}$, then

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_k \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}'_k \mathbf{q} \stackrel{(19)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}'_k \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}.$$

If $\mathbf{u}_k = \mathbf{q} \mathbf{u}'_k$, then

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_j + \mathbf{u}_k \stackrel{(21)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{u}_i) t(\mathbf{u}_i) + t(\mathbf{u}_i) \mathbf{u}'_j + \mathbf{q} \mathbf{u}'_k + \mathbf{q} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}.$$

Now we consider the case that $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \geq 2$. Let us write $\mathbf{q} = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n$, where $n \geq 2$. Let i and j be distinct numbers such that $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. By Lemma 4.8 there exists $\mathbf{u}_k \in \mathbf{u}$ such that $c(\mathbf{w}) \subseteq \{x_i, x_j\}$ for some $\mathbf{w} \in S_2(\mathbf{u}_k)$, and so \mathbf{w} is in $\{x_i^2, x_j^2, x_i x_j, x_j x_i\}$.

If $\mathbf{w} = x_i^2$, then $\mathbf{u}_k = \mathbf{p}_1 x_i^2 \mathbf{p}_2$ for some $\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2 \in X^*$. Since $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u})$, we have that $\mathbf{u}_\ell = \mathbf{p}_3 x_j \mathbf{p}_4$ for some $\mathbf{u}_\ell \in \mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{p}_4 \in X^*$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_j + \mathbf{u}_k + \mathbf{u}_\ell \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{u}_i) t(\mathbf{u}_i) + t(\mathbf{u}_i) \mathbf{u}'_j + \mathbf{p}_1 x_i^2 \mathbf{p}_2 + \mathbf{p}_3 x_j \mathbf{p}_4 \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{u}_i) t(\mathbf{u}_i) + t(\mathbf{u}_i) \mathbf{u}'_j + \mathbf{p}_1 x_i^2 \mathbf{p}_2 + \mathbf{p}_3 x_j \mathbf{p}_4 + x_i x_j, & (\text{by (25)}) \end{aligned}$$

and so $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + x_i x_j$ can be derived. If $\mathbf{w} = x_i x_j$, then $\mathbf{u}_k = \mathbf{p}_1 x_i x_j \mathbf{p}_2$ for some $\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2 \in X^*$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_j + \mathbf{u}_k \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{u}_i) t(\mathbf{u}_i) + t(\mathbf{u}_i) \mathbf{u}'_j + \mathbf{p}_1 x_i x_j \mathbf{p}_2 \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{u}_i) t(\mathbf{u}_i) + t(\mathbf{u}_i) \mathbf{u}'_j + \mathbf{p}_1 x_i x_j \mathbf{p}_2 + x_i x_j, & (\text{by (24)}) \end{aligned}$$

and so $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + x_i x_j$ is proved. One can use a similar argument to show that it is true if $\mathbf{w} = x_j^2$ or $x_j x_i$. So we can always derive $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + x_i x_j$. Now we repeat the process in Case 1 and show that the identities (18)–(25) can derive

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + x_1 \cdots x_k (x_{k+1} + \cdots + x_{n-1}) x_n$$

for all $1 \leq k < n - 1$. So the identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ is derived. \square

Corollary 4.10. *The ai-semiring $S_{(4,358)}$ is finitely based.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $S_{(4,358)}$ and $S_{(4,357)}$ have dual multiplications. By Proposition 4.9 we immediately deduce that $S_{(4,358)}$ is finitely based. \square

The following result can be found in [11, Lemma 3.1].

Lemma 4.11. *Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be a nontrivial ai-semiring identity such that $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. If $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ holds in S_{57} , then $L_{\geq 2}(\mathbf{u}) \neq \emptyset$, $c(p(\mathbf{q})) \subseteq c(p(\mathbf{u}))$ and $t(\mathbf{q}) \in c(\mathbf{u})$.*

Proposition 4.12. *$V(S_{(4,362)})$ is the ai-semiring variety defined by the identities*

$$x^2y \approx xy; \quad (26)$$

$$xyz \approx yxz; \quad (27)$$

$$x^2y^2 \approx x^2 + y^2; \quad (28)$$

$$x + yz \approx yx + yz. \quad (29)$$

Proof. It is easy to verify that $S_{(4,362)}$ satisfies the identities (26)–(29). To complete the proof it remains to show that every ai-semiring identity of $S_{(4,362)}$ can be derived by (26)–(29) and the identities defining **AI**. Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be such a nontrivial identity, where $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. It is easy to check that $S_{(4,362)}$ is isomorphic to a subdirect product of S_4 and S_{57} . So both S_4 and S_{57} satisfy $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. By Lemmas 4.1 and 4.11 we have that $c(p(\mathbf{q})) \subseteq c(p(\mathbf{u}))$, $t(\mathbf{q}) \in c(\mathbf{u})$, and $L_{\geq 2}(\mathbf{u})$ is nonempty. Suppose that $c(p(\mathbf{u})) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$ and that $t(\mathbf{u}) = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$. Then

$$c(p(\mathbf{q})) \subseteq \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}, t(\mathbf{q}) \in \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\} \cup \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}.$$

By the identities (26), (27) and (29) we derive

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdots x_m^2 (y_1 + y_2 + \cdots + y_n). \quad (30)$$

Case 1. $c(p(\mathbf{u})) \cap t(\mathbf{u}) = \emptyset$. Then by Lemma 4.1 $c(p(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q})) \cap t(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}) = \emptyset$ and so

$$\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\} \cap \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\} = \emptyset.$$

This implies that $m(t(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{q}) = 1$, $t(\mathbf{q}) \notin c(p(\mathbf{q}))$ and $t(\mathbf{q}) \in t(\mathbf{u})$. If $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 1$, then there exists $\mathbf{u}_t \in L_{\geq 2}(\mathbf{u})$ such that $t(\mathbf{q}) = t(\mathbf{u}_t)$ and so $\mathbf{u}_t = p(\mathbf{u}_t)t(\mathbf{q})$. Furthermore, we have

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_t \approx \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{u}_t)t(\mathbf{q}) \stackrel{(29)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{u}_t)t(\mathbf{q}) + t(\mathbf{q}) \approx \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{u}_t)t(\mathbf{q}) + \mathbf{q}.$$

If $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \geq 2$, we may assume that $c(p(\mathbf{q})) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s\}$ and $t(\mathbf{q}) = y_1$. By the identities (26) and (27) we deduce

$$\mathbf{q} \approx x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdots x_s^2 y_1. \quad (31)$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdots x_m^2 y_1 && \text{(by (30))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + (x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdots x_s^2 + x_{s+1}^2 \cdots x_m^2) y_1 && \text{(by (28))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdots x_s^2 y_1 + x_{s+1}^2 \cdots x_m^2 y_1 \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q} + x_{s+1}^2 \cdots x_m^2 y_1. && \text{(by (31))} \end{aligned}$$

This implies the identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$.

Case 2. $c(p(\mathbf{u})) \cap t(\mathbf{u}) \neq \emptyset$. Then $t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) \in c(p(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}))$ for some $\mathbf{u}_{i_1}, \mathbf{u}_{i_2} \in \mathbf{u}$ and so

$$\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\} \cap \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdots x_m^2 (y_1 + \cdots + y_n) && \text{(by (30))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdots x_m^2 (y_s + \cdots + y_t) + x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdots x_m^2. && \text{(by (26), (27), (28))} \end{aligned}$$

This derives the identity

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdots x_m^2. \quad (32)$$

If $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 1$ and $t(\mathbf{q}) \in c(p(\mathbf{u}))$, then $t(\mathbf{q}) = \mathbf{q}$. Take $\mathbf{q} = x_1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdots x_m^2 && \text{(by (32))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_2^2 \cdots x_m^2 x_1^2 && \text{(by (28))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_2^2 \cdots x_m^2 x_1^2 + x_1 && \text{(by (29))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_2^2 \cdots x_m^2 x_1^2 + \mathbf{q}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 1$ and $t(\mathbf{q}) \in t(\mathbf{u})$, then $t(\mathbf{q}) = \mathbf{q} = t(\mathbf{u}_k)$ for some $\mathbf{u}_k \in L_{\geq 2}(\mathbf{u})$. Now we have

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_k \approx \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{u}_k)t(\mathbf{q}) \stackrel{(29)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{u}_k)t(\mathbf{q}) + t(\mathbf{q}) \approx \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{u}_k)t(\mathbf{q}) + \mathbf{q}.$$

If $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \geq 2$ and $t(\mathbf{q}) \in c(p(\mathbf{u}))$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdots x_m^2 && \text{(by (32))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdots x_m^2 + \mathbf{q}. && \text{(by (27), (28), (29))} \end{aligned}$$

If $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \geq 2$ and $t(\mathbf{q}) \in t(\mathbf{u})$, we may assume that $c(p(\mathbf{q})) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s\}$ and $t(\mathbf{q}) = y_k$. By the identities (26) and (27) we deduce

$$\mathbf{q} \approx x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdots x_s^2 y_k. \quad (33)$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdots x_m^2 y_k && \text{(by (30))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + (x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdots x_s^2 + x_{s+1}^2 \cdots x_m^2) y_k && \text{(by (29))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdots x_s^2 y_k + x_{s+1}^2 \cdots x_m^2 y_k \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q} + x_{s+1}^2 \cdots x_m^2 y_k. && \text{(by (33))} \end{aligned}$$

This derives the identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. □

Corollary 4.13. *The ai-semiring $S_{(4,365)}$ is finitely based.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $S_{(4,365)}$ and $S_{(4,362)}$ have dual multiplications. By Proposition 4.12 we immediately deduce that $S_{(4,365)}$ is finitely based. □

5. EQUATIONAL BASIS OF $S_{(4,285)}$, $S_{(4,379)}$, $S_{(4,380)}$ AND $S_{(4,385)}$

In this section we focus on the finite basis problem for some 4-element ai-semirings that relate to one of S_{10} , S_{44} , S_{46} and S_{47} . The following result, which is a consequence of [8, Corollary 2.13] and its proof, provides a solution of the equational problem for S_{10} .

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be a nontrivial ai-semiring identity such that $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ is satisfied by S_{10} if and only if $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u})$ and $r(\mathbf{q}) = r(\mathbf{u}_{i_1} \mathbf{u}_{i_2} \cdots \mathbf{u}_{i_{3\ell}})$ for some $\mathbf{u}_{i_1}, \mathbf{u}_{i_2}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{i_{3\ell}} \in \mathbf{u}$, where $r(\mathbf{q}) = \{x \mid x \in c(\mathbf{q}), m(x, \mathbf{q}) \text{ is an odd number}\}$.*

Proposition 5.2. $V(S_{(4,285)})$ is the ai-semiring variety defined by the identities

$$x^3 y \approx xy; \quad (34)$$

$$xy \approx yx; \quad (35)$$

$$(xy)^3 \approx xy; \quad (36)$$

$$xy^2 \approx xy^2 + x^3; \quad (37)$$

$$x + y + z \approx x + y + z + xyz. \quad (38)$$

Proof. It is easy to check that $S_{(4,285)}$ satisfies the identities (34)–(38). In the remainder we shall prove that every ai-semiring identity of $S_{(4,285)}$ can be derived by (34)–(38) and the identities defining **AI**. Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be such a nontrivial identity, where $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. It is easy to verify that $S_{(4,285)}$ is isomorphic to a subdirect product of N_2 and S_{10} . So both N_2 and S_{10} satisfy $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. This implies that $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \geq 2$. By Lemma 5.1 we have that $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u})$ and $r(\mathbf{q}) = r(\mathbf{u}_{i_1} \mathbf{u}_{i_2} \cdots \mathbf{u}_{i_{3\ell}})$ for some $\mathbf{u}_{i_1}, \mathbf{u}_{i_2}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{i_{3\ell}} \in \mathbf{u}$. Assume that $c(\mathbf{q}) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$, where $m(x_i, \mathbf{q})$ is an odd number and $m(y_j, \mathbf{q})$ is an even number for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. By the identities (34) and (35) we deduce

$$\mathbf{q} \approx x_1 x_2 \cdots x_m y_1^2 y_2^2 \cdots y_n^2. \quad (39)$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_1^{3\ell+1} \mathbf{u}_{i_1}^3 \mathbf{u}_{i_2}^3 \cdots \mathbf{u}_{i_{3\ell}}^3 \mathbf{u}_1^2 \mathbf{u}_2^2 \cdots \mathbf{u}_n^2 && \text{(by (35), (38))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_1 x_2 \cdots x_m y_1^2 y_2^2 \cdots y_n^2 \mathbf{p}_1^2 && \text{(by (35))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_1 x_2 \cdots x_m y_1^2 y_2^2 \cdots y_n^2 \mathbf{p}_1^2 + (x_1 x_2 \cdots x_m y_1^2 y_2^2 \cdots y_n^2)^3 && \text{(by (37))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_1 x_2 \cdots x_m y_1^2 y_2^2 \cdots y_n^2 \mathbf{p}_1^2 + \mathbf{q}^3 && \text{(by (39))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_1 x_2 \cdots x_m y_1^2 y_2^2 \cdots y_n^2 \mathbf{p}_1^2 + \mathbf{q}. && \text{(by (36))} \end{aligned}$$

This implies the identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. The proof is analogous if $m(x, \mathbf{q})$ is an odd number for all $x \in c(\mathbf{q})$ or $m(x, \mathbf{q})$ is an even number for all $x \in c(\mathbf{q})$. \square

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be a nontrivial ai-semiring identity such that $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X_c^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Suppose that $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ is satisfied by S_{44} . Then $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \geq 2$ and $D_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{u}) \neq \emptyset$. If $M_1(\mathbf{q}) \neq \emptyset$, then for any $x \in M_1(\mathbf{q})$, there exists $\mathbf{u}_i \in D_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{u})$ such that $m(x, \mathbf{u}_i) \leq 1$.*

Proof. This can be found in [11, Lemma 7.12]. \square

Proposition 5.4. $V(S_{(4,379)})$ is the ai-semiring variety defined by the identities

$$xy \approx yx; \quad (40)$$

$$x^3 \approx x^2; \quad (41)$$

$$xy \approx x^2y + xy^2; \quad (42)$$

$$xy \approx xy + xyz; \quad (43)$$

$$x + yz \approx x + yz + xz. \quad (44)$$

Proof. It is easy to check that $S_{(4,379)}$ satisfies the identities (40)–(44). In the remainder it is enough to prove that every ai-semiring identity of $S_{(4,379)}$ is derivable from (40)–(44) and the identities defining **AI**. Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be such a nontrivial identity, where $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. It is a routine matter to verify that $S_{(4,379)}$ is isomorphic to a subdirect product of T_2 and S_{44} . So both T_2 and S_{44} satisfy $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. This implies that $\ell(\mathbf{u}_j) \geq 2$ for some $\mathbf{u}_j \in \mathbf{u}$. By Lemma 5.3 we have that $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \geq 2$ and $D_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{u}) \neq \emptyset$.

Case 1. $M_1(\mathbf{q})$ is empty. Then $m(x, \mathbf{q}) \geq 2$ for all $x \in c(\mathbf{q})$. Take \mathbf{u}_i in $D_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{u})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_j \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_j + \mathbf{u}_j\mathbf{q} && \text{(by (43))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_j + \mathbf{u}_j\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{u}_i\mathbf{q} && \text{(by (44))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_j + \mathbf{u}_j\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}. && \text{(by (40), (41))} \end{aligned}$$

This derives $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$.

Case 2. $M_1(\mathbf{q})$ is nonempty. It follows from Lemma 5.3 that for any $x \in M_1(\mathbf{q})$, there exists $\mathbf{u}_i \in D_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{u})$ such that $m(x, \mathbf{u}_i) \leq 1$. Assume that

$$c(\mathbf{q}) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m\},$$

where $m(x_i, \mathbf{q}) \geq 2$, $m(y_j, \mathbf{q}) = 1$, $0 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{q} &\approx x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdots x_n^2 y_1 y_2 \cdots y_m && \text{(by (40), (41))} \\ &\approx \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdots x_n^2 y_1^2 \cdots y_{j-1}^2 y_{j+1}^2 \cdots y_m^2 y_j. && \text{(by (40), (41), (42))} \end{aligned}$$

So we only need to consider the case that $\mathbf{q} = x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdots x_k^2 y$. Then by Lemma 5.3 there exists $\mathbf{u}_\ell \in D_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{u})$ such that $m(y, \mathbf{u}_\ell) \leq 1$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_\ell + \mathbf{u}_j \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_\ell + \mathbf{u}_j + \mathbf{u}_j\mathbf{q}_1 && \text{(by (43))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_\ell + \mathbf{u}_j + \mathbf{u}_j\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{u}_\ell\mathbf{q}_1 && \text{(by (44))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_\ell + \mathbf{u}_j + \mathbf{u}_j\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}, && \text{(by (40), (41))} \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{q}_1 = \mathbf{q}$ if $m(y, \mathbf{u}_\ell) = 0$, and $\mathbf{q}_1 = x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdots x_k^2$ if $m(y, \mathbf{u}_\ell) = 1$. This derives the identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. \square

Lemma 5.5. Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be a nontrivial ai-semiring identity such that $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Suppose that $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ is satisfied by S_{46} . Then $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \geq 2$. If $m(t(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{q}) = 1$, then there exists $\mathbf{u}_i \in D_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{u})$ such that $t(\mathbf{q}) \notin c(p(\mathbf{u}_i))$.

Proof. This can be found in [11, Lemma 7.15]. \square

Proposition 5.6. $V(S_{(4,380)})$ is the ai-semiring variety defined by the identities

$$xyz \approx yxz; \quad (45)$$

$$x^2y \approx xy; \quad (46)$$

$$x_1 + x_2x_3 \approx x_1 + x_2x_3 + x_1x_4 + x_5x_1. \quad (47)$$

Proof. It is easy to check that $S_{(4,380)}$ satisfies the identities (45)–(47). In the remainder we need only prove that every ai-semiring identity of $S_{(4,380)}$ can be derived by (45)–(47) and the identities defining **AI**. Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be such a nontrivial identity, where $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. It is a routine matter to verify that $S_{(4,380)}$ is isomorphic to a subdirect product of T_2 and S_{46} . So both T_2 and S_{46} satisfy $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. This implies that $\ell(\mathbf{u}_j) \geq 2$ for some $\mathbf{u}_j \in \mathbf{u}$. By Lemma 5.5 we obtain that $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \geq 2$. Also, if $m(t(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{q}) = 1$, then there exists $\mathbf{u}_i \in D_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{u})$ such that $t(\mathbf{q}) \notin c(p(\mathbf{u}_i))$. Since D_2 is isomorphic to $\{2, 3\}$, it follows that $D_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{u}) \neq \emptyset$. Take \mathbf{u}_i in $D_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{u})$, and $t(\mathbf{q}) \notin c(p(\mathbf{u}_i))$ if $m(t(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{q}) = 1$. Now we have

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_j \stackrel{(47)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_j + \mathbf{u}_i\mathbf{q} + p(\mathbf{q})\mathbf{u}_i.$$

If $m(t(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{q}) \geq 2$ or $m(t(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{q}) = 1$, $m(t(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{u}_i) = 0$, then the identities (45) and (46) imply

$$\mathbf{u}_i\mathbf{q} \approx \mathbf{q}.$$

If $m(t(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{q}) = 1$, $m(t(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{u}_i) = 1$ and $t(\mathbf{q}) = t(\mathbf{u}_i)$, then the identities (45) and (46) imply

$$p(\mathbf{q})\mathbf{u}_i \approx \mathbf{q}.$$

This derives the identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. □

It is easy to see that $S_{(4,383)}$ and $S_{(4,380)}$ have dual multiplications. By Proposition 5.6 we immediately deduce

Corollary 5.7. *The ai-semiring $S_{(4,383)}$ is finitely based.*

Lemma 5.8. *Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be a nontrivial ai-semiring identity such that $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Suppose that $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ is satisfied by S_{47} . Then either $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \geq 3$ or $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 2$, $L_{\leq 2}(\mathbf{u}) \cap D_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{u})$ is nonempty.*

Proof. Suppose that S_{47} satisfies the nontrivial identities $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. Since N_2 is isomorphic to $\{1, 2\}$, it follows that N_2 satisfies $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ and so $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \geq 2$. Consider the case that $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 2$. Suppose by way of contradiction that $L_{\leq 2}(\mathbf{u}) \cap D_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{u})$ is empty. Let $\varphi : P_f(X^+) \rightarrow S_{47}$ be a homomorphism such that $\varphi(x) = 3$ for all $x \in c(\mathbf{q})$ and $\varphi(x) = 2$ otherwise. It is easy to see that $\varphi(\mathbf{u}) = 2$, $\varphi(\mathbf{q}) = 1$ and so $\varphi(\mathbf{u}) \neq \varphi(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q})$, a contradiction. Thus $L_{\leq 2}(\mathbf{u}) \cap D_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{u})$ is nonempty. □

Proposition 5.9. $V(S_{(4,385)})$ is the ai-semiring variety defined by the identities

$$xy \approx yx; \quad (48)$$

$$x^2 \approx x^2 + xy; \quad (49)$$

$$xy \approx xy + x_1x_2x_3; \quad (50)$$

$$x_1x_2x_3 + y_1 \approx x_1x_2x_3 + y_1 + y_1y_2. \quad (51)$$

Proof. It is easy to check that $S_{(4,385)}$ satisfies the identities (48)–(51). In the remainder we need only prove that every ai-semiring identity of $S_{(4,385)}$ can be derived by (48)–(51) and the identities defining **AI**. Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be such a nontrivial identity, where $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X_c^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. It is a routine matter to verify that $S_{(4,385)}$ is isomorphic to a subdirect product of T_2 and S_{47} . So both T_2 and S_{47} satisfy $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. This implies that $\ell(\mathbf{u}_j) \geq 2$ for some $\mathbf{u}_j \in \mathbf{u}$. By Lemma 5.8 we obtain that either $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \geq 3$ or $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 2$, $L_{\leq 2}(\mathbf{u}) \cap D_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{u})$ is nonempty. If $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \geq 3$, then

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_j \stackrel{(50)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_j + \mathbf{q} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}.$$

So we obtain $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. If $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 2$ and $L_{\leq 2}(\mathbf{u}) \cap D_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{u})$ is nonempty, then there exists $\mathbf{u}_i \in D_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{u})$ such that $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \leq 2$. We only consider the following cases.

Case 1. $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) = 1$. Then $\mathbf{u}_i = h(\mathbf{q})$ or $\mathbf{u}_i = t(\mathbf{q})$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathbf{u}_i = h(\mathbf{q})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_j \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q}) + \mathbf{u}_j + \mathbf{u}_j h(\mathbf{q}) && \text{(by (50))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q}) + \mathbf{u}_j + \mathbf{u}_j h(\mathbf{q}) + h(\mathbf{q})t(\mathbf{q}) && \text{(by (51))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q}) + \mathbf{u}_j + \mathbf{u}_j h(\mathbf{q}) + \mathbf{q}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) = 2$. Then $\mathbf{u}_i = h(\mathbf{q})^2$ or $\mathbf{u}_i = t(\mathbf{q})^2$. Assume that $\mathbf{u}_i = h(\mathbf{q})^2$. Then

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i \approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})^2 \stackrel{(49)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})^2 + h(\mathbf{q})t(\mathbf{q}) \approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})^2 + \mathbf{q}.$$

This derives $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. □

6. EQUATIONAL BASIS OF SOME SUBDIRECTLY IRREDCCIBLE 4-ELEMENT AI-SEMRINGS

In this section we concentrate on the finite basis problem for some 4-element ai-semirings that are subdirectly irreducible.

Proposition 6.1. $V(S_{(4,360)})$ is the ai-semiring variety defined by the identities

$$xyz \approx xyz + y; \tag{52}$$

$$xyz \approx xy + yz + xz; \tag{53}$$

$$xy + yz \approx xy + yz + xz, \tag{54}$$

where x and z may be empty in (52).

Proof. It is easily verified that $S_{(4,360)}$ satisfies the identities (52)–(54). In the remainder it is enough to show that every ai-semiring identity of $S_{(4,360)}$ can be derived by (52)–(54) and the identities defining **AI**. Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be such a nontrivial identity, where $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. By the identity (53), we may assume that $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \leq 2$ and $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \leq 2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since S_{54} is isomorphic to $\{1, 2, 4\}$ and S_{57} is isomorphic to $\{1, 2, 3\}$, we have that both S_{54} and S_{57} satisfy $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. It is easy to see that S_{54} and S_{57} have dual multiplications. If $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 1$, then by Lemma 4.11 there exists $\mathbf{u}_i \in L_2(\mathbf{u})$ such that $\mathbf{u}_i = \mathbf{q}x$ or $\mathbf{u}_i = x\mathbf{q}$ for some $x \in X$. By the identity (52) we have

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{q} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}.$$

Now consider the case that $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 2$. Then $\mathbf{q} = xy$ for some $x, y \in X$. By Lemma 4.11 and its dual we have that $L_2(\mathbf{u})$ contains xx' and $y'y$ for some $x', y' \in X$. In the following we shall think of $L_2(\mathbf{u})$ as a directed graph whose vertex set is $c(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$ and edge set consists of (x_1, y_1) if $x_1y_1 \in L_2(\mathbf{u})$.

Case 1. $x = y$. If there is no path from x to x in the directed graph $L_2(\mathbf{u})$, then we consider the semiring homomorphism $\varphi : P_f(X^+) \rightarrow S_{(4,360)}$ defined by $\varphi(x) = 1$, $\varphi(z) = 4$ if there is a path from x to z , and $\varphi(z) = 3$ otherwise. Then $\varphi(\mathbf{u}) = 1$ and $\varphi(\mathbf{q}) = 2$, a contradiction. Thus there is a path from x to x , and so $L_2(\mathbf{u})$ contains $xx_1, x_1x_2, x_2x_3, \dots, x_nx$ for some $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ and $n \geq 1$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + xx_1 + x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + \dots + x_nx \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + xx_1 + x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + \dots + x_nx + x^2 & (\text{by (54)}) \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + xx_1 + x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + \dots + x_nx + \mathbf{q}. \end{aligned}$$

This derives $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$.

Case 2. $x \neq y$. Suppose by way of contradiction that there is no path from x to y in the directed graph $L_2(\mathbf{u})$. Let $\varphi : P_f(X^+) \rightarrow S_{(4,360)}$ be a semiring homomorphism such that $\varphi(z) = 4$ if $z = x$ or there is a path from x to z , and $\varphi(z) = 3$ otherwise. Then $\varphi(\mathbf{u}) = 1$ and $\varphi(\mathbf{q}) = 2$, which is a contradiction. So there is a path from x to y . By the identity (54) we can derive $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. \square

It is easy to see that S_{56} and S_{58} have dual multiplications. By [11, Lemma 4.1] we immediately have

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be a nontrivial ai-semiring identity such that $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \dots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Suppose that $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ is satisfied by S_{56} . Then $L_{\geq 2}(\mathbf{u}) \neq \emptyset$, $T_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{u}) \neq \emptyset$, and $L_{\geq 2}(\mathbf{u}) \cap T_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{u}) \neq \emptyset$ if $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \geq 2$.*

Proposition 6.3. $V(S_{(4,363)})$ is the ai-semiring variety defined by the identities

$$xy \approx xy + y; \quad (55)$$

$$xyz \approx xz + yz; \quad (56)$$

$$xy + yz \approx xy + yz + xz. \quad (57)$$

Proof. It is easy to check that $S_{(4,363)}$ satisfies the identities (55)–(57). In the remainder we need only show that every ai-semiring identity of $S_{(4,363)}$ is derivable from (55)–(57) and the identities defining **AI**. Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be such a nontrivial identity, where $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \dots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. By the identity (56) we may assume that $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \leq 2$ and $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \leq 2$ for all $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$. It is easy to see that S_{56} and S_{57} can be embedded into $S_{(4,363)}$. So both S_{56} and S_{57} satisfy $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. If $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 1$, then by Lemma 6.2 $\mathbf{q} = t(\mathbf{u}_i)$ for some $\mathbf{u}_i \in L_2(\mathbf{u})$, and so

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i \approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{u}_i)\mathbf{q} \stackrel{(55)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{u}_i)\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}.$$

Now suppose that $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 2$. Then $\mathbf{q} = xy$ for some $x, y \in X$. By Lemmas 4.11 and 6.2 we have that $L_2(\mathbf{u})$ contains xx' and $y'y$ for some $x', y' \in X$. The remaining steps are similar to Case 1 and Case 2 in the proof of Proposition 6.1. \square

Corollary 6.4. *The ai-semiring $S_{(4,376)}$ is finitely based.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $S_{(4,376)}$ and $S_{(4,363)}$ have dual multiplications. By Proposition 6.3 we obtain that $S_{(4,376)}$ is finitely based. \square

Proposition 6.5. $V(S_{(4,366)})$ is the ai-semiring variety defined by the identities

$$xy \approx yx; \quad (58)$$

$$xy \approx xy + x; \quad (59)$$

$$xy \approx xy + x^2; \quad (60)$$

$$x_1x_2x_3 \approx x_1x_2x_3 + y; \quad (61)$$

$$xy + yz \approx xy + yz + xz. \quad (62)$$

Proof. It is easy to check that $S_{(4,366)}$ satisfies the identities (58)–(62). In the remainder we need only prove that every ai-semiring identity of $S_{(4,366)}$ can be derived by (58)–(62) and the identities defining **AI**. Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be such a nontrivial identity, where $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X_c^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since T_2 is isomorphic to $\{1, 2\}$, it follows that T_2 satisfies $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ and so $\ell(\mathbf{u}_j) \geq 2$ for some $\mathbf{u}_j \in \mathbf{u}$. Since S_{59} is isomorphic to $\{1, 2, 3\}$, we have that S_{59} also satisfies $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. By Lemma 3.7 it is enough to consider the following two cases.

Case 1. $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \geq 3$ for some $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$. Then

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i \stackrel{(61)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{q} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}.$$

Case 2. $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \leq 2$ for all $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$. Then $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \leq 2$ and so $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$.

Subcase 2.1. $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 1$. Then there exists $\mathbf{u}_j \in L_2(\mathbf{u})$ such that $\mathbf{u}_j = \mathbf{q}x$ for some $x \in X$. Now we have

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}x \stackrel{(59)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}x + \mathbf{q}.$$

Subcase 2.2. $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 2$. If \mathbf{q} is not linear, then $\mathbf{q} = x^2$ for some $x \in X$ and so there exists $\mathbf{u}_k \in L_2(\mathbf{u})$ such that $\mathbf{u}_k = xy$ for some $y \in X$. Now we have

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_k \approx \mathbf{u} + xy \stackrel{(60)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + xy + x^2 \approx \mathbf{u} + xy + \mathbf{q}.$$

If \mathbf{q} is linear, then $\mathbf{q} = xy$ for some distinct elements x and y of X . By the identities (58) and (59), we may assume that $c(L_1(\mathbf{u})) \cap c(L_2(\mathbf{u})) = \emptyset$. Now $L_2(\mathbf{u})$ can be thought of as a graph whose vertex set is $c(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$ and edge set consists of $\{x_1, y_1\}$ if $x_1y_1 \in L_2(\mathbf{u})$. Then both x and y are vertices of this graph. Suppose by way of contradiction that there is no path connecting x and y in this graph. Consider the semiring homomorphism $\varphi : P_f(X^+) \rightarrow S_{(4,366)}$ defined by $\varphi(z) = 3$ if z and x are in the same connected component, and $\varphi(z) = 4$ otherwise. Then $\varphi(\mathbf{u}) = 1$ and $\varphi(\mathbf{q}) = 2$, a contradiction. It follows that there is a path connecting x and y . So we may assume that $xx_1, x_1x_2, x_2x_3, \dots, x_{k+1}y \in L_2(\mathbf{u})$ for some $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1} \in X$ and $k \geq 0$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + xx_1 + x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + \cdots + x_{k+1}y \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + xx_1 + x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + \cdots + x_{k+1}y + xy && \text{(by (62))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + xx_1 + x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + \cdots + x_{k+1}y + \mathbf{q}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies the identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ as required. \square

The following result can be found in [11, Lemma 6.1].

Lemma 6.6. Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be a nontrivial ai-semiring identity such that $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Suppose that $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ is satisfied by S_{60} . Then $L_{\geq 2}(\mathbf{u}) \neq \emptyset$ and $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(L_{\geq 2}(\mathbf{u}))$.

Proposition 6.7. $V(S_{(4,368)})$ is the ai-semiring variety defined by the identities

$$xy \approx yx; \quad (63)$$

$$xy \approx xy + x; \quad (64)$$

$$xy \approx xy + x^2; \quad (65)$$

$$xy + yz \approx xy + yz + xz; \quad (66)$$

$$xy + yy_1y_2 \approx xy y_1y_2; \quad (67)$$

$$x_1x_2x_3 + y_1y_2y_3 \approx x_1x_2x_3y_1y_2y_3. \quad (68)$$

Proof. It is easy to verify that $S_{(4,368)}$ satisfies the identities (63)–(68). In the remainder we shall show that every ai-semiring identity of $S_{(4,368)}$ is derivable from (63)–(68) and the identities defining **AI**. Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be such a nontrivial identity, where $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X_c^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since S_{59} is isomorphic to $\{1, 2, 3\}$, it follows that S_{59} satisfies $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. Since S_{60} is isomorphic to $\{1, 2, 4\}$, we have that S_{60} also satisfies $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. By Lemmas 3.7 and 6.6 it is enough to consider the following three cases.

Case 1. $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 1$. Then $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u})$ and so there exists $\mathbf{u}_k \in L_{\geq 2}(\mathbf{u})$ such that $\mathbf{u}_k = \mathbf{q}\mathbf{u}'_k$ for some $\mathbf{u}'_k \in X^+$. Now we have

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_k \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}\mathbf{u}'_k \stackrel{(64)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}\mathbf{u}'_k + \mathbf{q}.$$

This implies the identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$.

Case 2. $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 2$. Then $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(L_{\geq 2}(\mathbf{u}))$. Since $S_{(4,368)}$ satisfies the identities (64), (67) and (68), we may assume that

$$c(L_1(\mathbf{u})) \cap c(L_{\geq 2}(\mathbf{u})) = c(L_2(\mathbf{u})) \cap c(L_{\geq 3}(\mathbf{u})) = \emptyset.$$

If $|c(\mathbf{q})| = 1$, then $\mathbf{q} = x^2$ for some $x \in X$. So there exists $\mathbf{u}_j \in c(L_{\geq 2}(\mathbf{u}))$ such that $\mathbf{u}_j = x\mathbf{u}'_j$ for some $\mathbf{u}'_j \in X^+$. Now we have

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_j \approx \mathbf{u} + x\mathbf{u}'_j \stackrel{(65)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + x\mathbf{u}'_j + x^2 \approx \mathbf{u} + x\mathbf{u}'_j + \mathbf{q} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}.$$

If $|c(\mathbf{q})| = 2$, then $\mathbf{q} = xy$ for some distinct elements x and y of X . By Lemma 6.6 we have that $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(L_{\geq 2}(\mathbf{u}))$.

Subcase 2.1. $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(L_{\geq 3}(\mathbf{u}))$. Then there $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_j \in L_{\geq 3}(\mathbf{u})$ such that $x \in c(\mathbf{u}_i)$ and $y \in c(\mathbf{u}_j)$. This implies that $\mathbf{u}_i\mathbf{u}_j = \mathbf{q}\mathbf{q}_1$ for some $\mathbf{q}_1 \in X^+$ and so

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_j \stackrel{(68)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i\mathbf{u}_j \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}\mathbf{q}_1 \stackrel{(64)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}.$$

Subcase 2.2. $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$. Let us think of $L_2(\mathbf{u})$ as a graph. Suppose by way of contradiction that there is no path connecting x and y in this graph. Consider the semiring homomorphism $\varphi : P_f(X^+) \rightarrow S_{(4,368)}$ such that $\varphi(z) = 3$ if z and x are in the same connected component, and $\varphi(z) = 4$ otherwise. It is easy to verify that $\varphi(\mathbf{u}) = 1$ and $\varphi(\mathbf{q}) = 2$, a contradiction. Thus there is a path connecting x and y . So we may assume that $xx_1, x_1x_2, x_2x_3, \dots, x_{k+1}y \in L_2(\mathbf{u})$ for some $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1} \in X$. By (66) we can derive the identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$.

Subcase 2.3. $x \in c(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$, $y \in c(L_{\geq 3}(\mathbf{u}))$. Let $\varphi : P_f(X^+) \rightarrow S_{(4,368)}$ a semiring homomorphism defined by $\varphi(z) = 3$ if $z \in c(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$, and $\varphi(z) = 4$ otherwise. Then $\varphi(\mathbf{u}) = 1$ and $\varphi(\mathbf{q}) = 2$, a contradiction.

Case 3. $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \geq 3$. We shall show that $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(L_{\geq 3}(\mathbf{u}))$. Indeed, suppose that this is not true. Then there exists $x \in c(\mathbf{q})$, $x \in c(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$, but $x \notin c(L_{\geq 3}(\mathbf{u}))$. Consider the semiring homomorphism $\psi : P_f(X^+) \rightarrow S_{(4,368)}$ defined by $\psi(z) = 3$

if $z \in c(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$, and $\psi(z) = 4$ otherwise. It is easy to see that $\psi(\mathbf{u}) = 1$ and $\psi(\mathbf{q}) = 2$. This is a contradiction. So $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(L_{\geq 3}(\mathbf{u}))$. The remaining steps are similar to Subcase 2.1. \square

Proposition 6.8. $V(S_{(4,369)})$ is the ai-semiring variety defined by the identities

$$xy \approx yx; \quad (69)$$

$$x^2 \approx x^2 + y; \quad (70)$$

$$xy \approx xy + x; \quad (71)$$

$$x_1x_2x_3 \approx x_1x_2x_3 + x_4; \quad (72)$$

$$x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + x_3x_4 \approx x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + x_3x_4 + x_1x_4. \quad (73)$$

Proof. It is easy to check that $S_{(4,369)}$ satisfies the identities (69)–(73). In the remainder we need only prove that every ai-semiring identity of $S_{(4,369)}$ is derivable from (69)–(73) and the identities defining **AI**. Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be such a nontrivial identity, where $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X_c^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since T_2 is isomorphic to $\{1, 2\}$, it follows that T_2 satisfies $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ and so $L_{\geq 2}(\mathbf{u})$ is nonempty. If $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \geq 3$ for some $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$, then

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i \stackrel{(72)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{q} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}.$$

Now suppose that $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \leq 2$ for all $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$. By (69) and (71) we may assume that $c(L_1(\mathbf{u})) \cap c(L_2(\mathbf{u})) = \emptyset$. Now let us think of $L_2(\mathbf{u})$ as a graph. If there exists a loop in this graph, then there exists $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$ such that $\mathbf{u}_i = x^2$ for some $x \in X$. So we have

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i \approx \mathbf{u} + x^2 \stackrel{(70)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + x^2 + \mathbf{q} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}.$$

If there exists an odd circle in this graph, we may assume that $L_2(\mathbf{u})$ contains $xx_1, x_1x_2, x_2x_3, \dots, x_{2k}x$ for some $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k} \in X$ and $k \geq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + xx_1 + x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + \cdots + x_{2k}x \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + xx_1 + x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + \cdots + x_{2k}x + x^2 && \text{(by (73))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + xx_1 + x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + \cdots + x_{2k}x + x^2 + \mathbf{q}. && \text{(by (70))} \end{aligned}$$

This derives the identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$.

In the following suppose that there are no loops or odd circles in the graph $L_2(\mathbf{u})$. Recall that a graph is *bipartite* if its vertex set can be decomposed into two disjoint sets such that no two vertices within the same set are adjacent. From [1, Theorem 4] we know that $L_2(\mathbf{u})$ is a bipartite graph and so there exist A and B such that $A \cup B = c(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and no edges exist between vertices within A or B .

Next, we shall show that $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \leq 2$ and $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u})$. In fact, let $\varphi : P_f(X^+) \rightarrow S_{(4,369)}$ such that defined by $\varphi(x) = 3$ if $x \in A$, $\varphi(x) = 4$ if $x \in c(L_1(\mathbf{u})) \cup B$, and $\varphi(x) = 2$ otherwise. It is easy to see that $\varphi(\mathbf{u}) = 1$ and so $\varphi(\mathbf{q}) \leq 1$. This implies that $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u})$. Since (72) holds in $S_{(4,369)}$, it follows that $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \leq 2$.

If $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 1$, then $\mathbf{q} \in c(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$ and so there exists $\mathbf{u}_k \in L_2(\mathbf{u})$ such that $\mathbf{u}_k = \mathbf{q}x$ for some $x \in X$. By (71) we deduce

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_k \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}x \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}x + \mathbf{q} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}.$$

If $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 2$, one can use the above substitution φ to show that \mathbf{q} is a linear word. Let us write $\mathbf{q} = xy$. Let $\psi : P_f(X^+) \rightarrow S_{(4,369)}$ be a semiring homomorphism defined by $\psi(z) = 3$ if $z \in A$, $\psi(z) = 4$ if $z \in B$, and $\psi(z) = 1$ otherwise. It is easy

to see that $\psi(\mathbf{u}) = 1$ and so $\psi(\mathbf{q}) = 1$. This implies that $x \in A$, $y \in B$ or $x \in B$, $y \in A$. Without loss of generality, assume that $x \in A$ and $y \in B$. We shall show that there is a path connecting x and y . Suppose that it is not true. Consider the substitution $\beta : P_f(X^+) \rightarrow S_{(4,369)}$ defined by $\beta(z) = 3$ if $z \in A \setminus y^*$ or $z \in B \cap y^*$, and $\beta(z) = 4$ otherwise, where y^* denotes the connected component of y . Then $\beta(\mathbf{u}) = 1$ and $\beta(\mathbf{q}) = 2$, a contradiction. It follows that there is a path connecting x and y , and so $L_2(\mathbf{u})$ contains $xx_1, x_1x_2, x_2x_3, \dots, x_{2k}y$ for some $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k} \in X$ and $k \geq 1$. By (73) we can derive the identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. \square

Proposition 6.9. $V(S_{(4,370)})$ is the ai-semiring variety defined by the identities

$$x^2 \approx x^2 + y; \quad (74)$$

$$xy \approx xy + x; \quad (75)$$

$$xy \approx xy + y; \quad (76)$$

$$xy + yz \approx xyz; \quad (77)$$

$$x_1x_2x_3 \approx x_1x_2x_3 + x_4; \quad (78)$$

$$x_1x_2 + x_3x_4 \approx x_1x_2 + x_3x_4 + x_1x_4. \quad (79)$$

Proof. It is easy to verify that $S_{(4,370)}$ satisfies the identities (74)–(79). In the remainder it is enough to prove that every ai-semiring identity of $S_{(4,370)}$ can be derived by (74)–(79) and the identities defining **AI**. Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be such a nontrivial identity, where $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \dots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since T_2 is isomorphic to $\{1, 2\}$, it follows that T_2 satisfies $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ and so $L_{\geq 2}(\mathbf{u})$ is nonempty. If $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \geq 3$ for some $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$, then

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i \stackrel{(78)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{q} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}.$$

Now suppose that $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \leq 2$ for all $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$. By (75) and (76) we may assume that $c(L_1(\mathbf{u})) \cap c(L_2(\mathbf{u})) = \emptyset$. We consider the following two cases.

Case 1. $t(\mathbf{u}_k) = h(\mathbf{u}_\ell)$ for some $\mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{u}_\ell \in L_2(\mathbf{u})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_k + \mathbf{u}_\ell \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{u}_k)t(\mathbf{u}_k) + h(\mathbf{u}_\ell)t(\mathbf{u}_\ell) \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{u}_k)t(\mathbf{u}_k) + t(\mathbf{u}_k)t(\mathbf{u}_\ell) \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{u}_k)t(\mathbf{u}_k)t(\mathbf{u}_\ell) && \text{(by (77))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{u}_k)t(\mathbf{u}_k)t(\mathbf{u}_\ell) + \mathbf{q}. && \text{(by (78))} \end{aligned}$$

This implies the identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$.

Case 2. $t(\mathbf{u}_k) \neq h(\mathbf{u}_\ell)$ for all $\mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{u}_\ell \in L_2(\mathbf{u})$. We shall show that $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \leq 2$, \mathbf{q} is a linear word, $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u})$, and $h(\mathbf{q}) \in h(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$ and $t(\mathbf{q}) \in t(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$ if $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 2$. Indeed, let $\varphi : P_f(X^+) \rightarrow S_{(4,370)}$ such that defined by $\varphi(x) = 4$ if $x \in h(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$, $\varphi(x) = 3$ if $x \in t(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$, $\varphi(x) = 1$ if $x \in c(L_1(\mathbf{u}))$, and $\varphi(x) = 2$ otherwise. It is easy to see that $\varphi(\mathbf{u}) = 1$ and so $\varphi(\mathbf{q}) \leq 1$. This implies that $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u})$. Since (74) and (78) hold in $S_{(4,370)}$, it follows that \mathbf{q} is a linear word and $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \leq 2$. If $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 2$, then $\varphi(\mathbf{q}) = 1$ and so $h(\mathbf{q}) \in h(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$ and $t(\mathbf{q}) \in t(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$.

If $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 1$, then there exists $\mathbf{u}_j \in L_2(\mathbf{u})$ such that $\mathbf{u}_j = \mathbf{q}t(\mathbf{u}_j)$ or $\mathbf{u}_j = h(\mathbf{u}_j)\mathbf{q}$. So we have

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_j \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}t(\mathbf{u}_j) \stackrel{(75)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}t(\mathbf{u}_j) + \mathbf{q} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$$

or

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_j \approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{u}_j)\mathbf{q} \stackrel{(76)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{u}_j)\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}.$$

If $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 2$, we may write $\mathbf{q} = xy$ for some distinct elements x and y of X . Then $x \in h(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$ and $y \in t(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$. This implies that xx_1 and y_1y are both in $L_2(\mathbf{u})$ for some elements x_1 and y_1 of X . By (79) we have

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + xx_1 + y_1y \approx \mathbf{u} + xx_1 + y_1y + xy \approx \mathbf{u} + xx_1 + y_1y + \mathbf{q}$$

and so the identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ is derived. \square

Proposition 6.10. $V(S_{(4,375)})$ is the ai-semiring variety defined by the identities

$$xy \approx yx; \tag{80}$$

$$xy \approx xy + x; \tag{81}$$

$$xy \approx xy + x^2; \tag{82}$$

$$xyz \approx xy + yz + xz; \tag{83}$$

$$xy + yz \approx xy + yz + xz. \tag{84}$$

Proof. It is easy to verify that $S_{(4,375)}$ satisfies the identities (80)–(84). In the remainder we shall show that every ai-semiring identity of $S_{(4,375)}$ is derivable from (80)–(84) and the identities defining **AI**. Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be such a nontrivial identity, where $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X_c^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. By the identities (83) and (81), we may assume that $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \leq 2$, $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \leq 2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $c(L_1(\mathbf{u})) \cap c(L_2(\mathbf{u})) = \emptyset$. Since S_{60} is isomorphic to $\{1, 2, 3\}$, it follows that S_{60} satisfies $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. By Lemma 6.6 we have that $L_2(\mathbf{u}) \neq \emptyset$ and $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$.

If $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 1$, then $\mathbf{u}_i = \mathbf{q}x$ for some $x \in X$, and so

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}x \stackrel{(81)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}x + \mathbf{q} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}.$$

Now suppose that $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 2$. Then $\mathbf{q} = xy$ for some $x, y \in X$.

Case 1. $x = y$. Then $\mathbf{u}_j = xt(\mathbf{u}_j)$ for some $\mathbf{u}_j \in L_2(\mathbf{u})$. Furthermore, we have

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_j \approx \mathbf{u} + xt(\mathbf{u}_j) \stackrel{(82)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + xt(\mathbf{u}_j) + x^2 \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}.$$

Case 2. $x \neq y$. Now we think of $L_2(\mathbf{u})$ as a graph. Suppose by way of contradiction that x and y are not in the same connected component. Consider the semiring homomorphism $\varphi : P_f(X^+) \rightarrow S_{(4,375)}$ such that $\varphi(z) = 3$ if z and x are in the same connected component, $\varphi(z) = 4$ otherwise. Then $\varphi(\mathbf{u}) = 1$ and $\varphi(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}) = 2$. This is a contradiction and so there is a path connecting x and y . So we may assume that $L_2(\mathbf{u})$ contains $xx_1, x_1x_2, x_2x_3, \dots, x_ny$ for some $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ and $n \geq 1$. By the identity (84) we can derive $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. \square

Acknowledgements The authors thank their team members Yanling Liang, Junyang Liu, Qizheng Sun, Xin Ye, Mengyu Yuan and Lingli Zeng for discussions contributed to this paper.

REFERENCES

1. B. Bollobás, *Modern Graph Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 184, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
2. Z.D. Gao, M. Jackson, M.M. Ren, X.Z. Zhao, The finite basis problem for additively idempotent semirings that relate to S_7 , manuscript (2025), <https://arxiv.org/abs/2501.19049>

3. S. Ghosh, F. Pastijn, X.Z. Zhao, Varieties generated by ordered bands I, *Order* **22** (2005), no. 2, 109–128.
4. M. Jackson, M.M. Ren, X.Z. Zhao, Nonfinitely based ai-semirings with finitely based semigroup reducts, *J. Algebra* **611** (2022), 211–245.
5. F. Pastijn, Varieties generated by ordered bands II, *Order* **22** (2005), no. 2, 129–143.
6. M.M. Ren, J.Y. Liu, L.L. Zeng, M.L. Chen, The finite basis problem for additively idempotent semirings of order four, I, *Semigroup Forum* (2025), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00233-025-10520-7>
7. M.M. Ren, L.L. Zeng, On a hereditarily finitely based ai-semiring variety, *Soft Computing* **23** (2019), 6819–6825.
8. M.M. Ren, X.Z. Zhao, The varieties of semilattice-ordered semigroups satisfying $x^3 \approx x$ and $xy \approx yx$, *Period. Math. Hungar.* **72** (2016), no. 2, 158–170.
9. M.M. Ren, X.Z. Zhao, A.F. Wang, On the varieties of ai-semirings satisfying $x^3 \approx x$, *Algebra Universalis* **77** (2017), no. 4, 395–408.
10. Y. Shao, M.M. Ren, On the varieties generated by ai-semirings of order two, *Semigroup Forum* **91** (2015), no. 1, 171–184.
11. M.Y. Yue, M.M. Ren, L.L. Zeng, Y. Shao, The finite basis problem for additively idempotent semirings of order four, II, manuscript (2025), <https://arxiv.org/abs/2501.03263>
12. X.Z. Zhao, M.M. Ren, S. Crvenković, Y. Shao, P. Đapić, The variety generated by an ai-semiring of order three, *Ural Math. J.* **6** (2020), no. 2, 117–132.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHWEST UNIVERSITY, XI'AN, 710127, SHAANXI, P.R. CHINA
Email address: miaomiaoren@yeah.net

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHWEST UNIVERSITY, XI'AN, 710127, SHAANXI, P.R. CHINA
Email address: zexiliu@yeah.net

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHWEST UNIVERSITY, XI'AN, 710127, SHAANXI, P.R. CHINA
Email address: myayue@yeah.net

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUIZHOU UNIVERSITY, HUIZHOU 516007, GUANGDONG, P.R. CHINA
Email address: yizhichen1980@126.com