

AMENABILITY OF GROUP ACTIONS ON COMPACT SPACES AND THE ASSOCIATED BANACH ALGEBRAS

HIKARU AWAZU

ABSTRACT. The amenability of group actions on topological spaces generalizes amenability of groups and has applications in group theory, such as characterizing C^* -exact groups. We characterized it in terms of Banach algebras.

For a topological group G , group amenability can be characterized through the amenability of the convolution Banach algebra $L^1(G)$. Here a Banach algebra A is called amenable if all derivations from A to any dual-type A - A -Banach bimodules are inner.

We extended this result to discrete group actions on compact Hausdorff spaces, using certain Banach algebra arising from the action and a weakened amenability condition of Banach algebras. We also proved a fixed point characterization of amenable actions, which improves the result by Dong and Wang (2015).

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and basic definitions	2
1.1. Notations	2
1.2. Amenable groups and amenable actions	2
1.3. Amenable Banach algebras and Johnson's theorem	3
1.4. proof of Johnson's theorem and Fixed point theorem for amenable groups	4
2. Banach spaces arising from topological group actions	6
2.1. Characterizations of amenable actions with bounded cohomology	7
2.2. Algebraic structure of $A_0(\Gamma, X)$	9
3. Amenability of $W_0(\Gamma, X)$	10
4. Fixed-point characterizations of amenable actions	11
5. Johnson's theorem for topological actions	15
6. Measurewise amenability and the proof of Theorem 5.1	17
7. Character-amenability	25
8. Appendix: topological / measured groupoid	28
8.1. Topological Groupoids	28
8.2. Measured groupoid	28
9. Application to Exact groups	32
10. To further results	32

10.1. characterization by approximate diagonals	32
11. Acknowledgements	33
References	34

1. INTRODUCTION AND BASIC DEFINITIONS

1.1. Notations.

- In this article, let X be a compact Hausdorff space.
Denote $(CX, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ as the C^* -algebra of \mathbb{C} -valued continuous functions on X .
We always work over \mathbb{C} as the coefficient of linear spaces.
- Let Γ be a discrete group and suppose Γ acts on X continuously.
We denote the action $g.x$ for $g \in \Gamma$ and $x \in X$.
We set $e \in \Gamma$ as the unit of Γ .
- Then Γ acts on CX isometrically and denote it as p^g for $g \in \Gamma$ and $p \in CX$.
Here p^g is defined by $p^g(x) = p(g^{-1}.x)$
- $Prob(\Gamma)$ is the closed cone of $\ell^1(\Gamma)$ consisting norm one, positive and unital elements.
It has the natural left action of Γ defined as

$$g.f(h) := f(g^{-1}h) \quad \text{for } g, h \in \Gamma, f \in Prob(\Gamma)$$

and equips ℓ^1 -norm $\|\cdot\|_1$.

- Topological groups are always supposed to be 2nd countable, Hausdorff, locally compact.

1.2. Amenable groups and amenable actions.

First we review about (topological) amenable groups;

Definition 1.1. Let G be a topological group with its Haar measure μ .

We say G is *amenable* if there exists $\phi \in L^\infty(G, \mu)^*$ which is positive, unital and left G -invariant. i.e.

$$\phi(g.f) = \phi(f) \quad \text{for } g \in G, f \in L^\infty(G, \mu)$$

We call this ϕ as a *left invariant mean* on G .

Amenability of groups has many characterizations in various mathematical area and especially used for analysing groups by operator algebraic techniques. Among these characterizations, one can easily find the following using $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)^* = \ell^1(\Gamma)^{**}$ for a discrete group Γ .

Theorem 1.1. Γ is amenable if and only if Γ has a norm-1 net $(f_i)_i$ in $\ell^1(\Gamma)$ which satisfies

$$\forall g \in \Gamma; \quad \|f_i * \delta_g - f_i\|_1 \xrightarrow{i} 0$$

The $\{f_i\}_i$ is called a *right approximate mean* for Γ .

In 2000, this characterization of amenability is extended for discrete group actions on topological spaces by Anantharaman-Delaroche [2].

Definition 1.2. We say the topological action $\gamma \curvearrowright X$ is *amenable* when there exists a net $(m_i)_i$ in $C(X, Prob(\Gamma))$ which satisfies

$$\forall g \in \Gamma, \quad \sup_{x \in X} \|m_i(g.x) - g.(m_i(x))\|_1 \xrightarrow{i} 0$$

Amenability of group actions is also used for operator-theoretic research on (discrete) groups. There is large class of groups called *exact groups* and it had been heavy problem to construct NON example of exact groups until Gromov constructed in [7].

In 2000, Ozawa showed that a discrete group Γ is exact if and only if the action of Γ on its Stone-Ćech compactification $\beta\Gamma$ is amenable [12]. Therefore amenable actions are especially used for analysing exact groups.

1.3. Amenable Banach algebras and Johnson’s theorem.

First we introduce the definition of amenable banach algebras.

Definition 1.3. For Banach algebra A ,

- (1) we say a Banach space E is *A–A–Banach bimodule* when E has left and right contractive actions of A .
- (2) Then E^* also has the structure of *A–A–Banach bimodule* by letting

$$a.\phi.b(v) := \phi(b.v.a)$$

for $a, b \in A, v \in E, \phi \in E^*$.

- (3) We say $D : A \rightarrow E$ is a *derivation* on E if D is bounded linear and

$$D(ab) = a.D(b) + D(a).b$$

for all $a, b \in A$.

- (4) We say the derivation D is *inner* when there exists $v \in E$ with $D(a) = a.v - v.a$. The right side is denoted by $ad_v(a)$.
- (5) We say A is an *amenable Banach algebra* when for any *A–A–bimodule* E and for any derivations $D : A \rightarrow E^*$, D is inner.

We remark $D(1_A) = 0$ if D is a derivation on A .

Johnson proved the connection among amenable groups, amenable Banach algebras and vanishing of bounded cohomologies of (discrete) groups [14].

The bounded cohomology $\{H_b^n(\Gamma; V)\}_n$ of Γ with $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ -module coefficient V is a variation of the ordinal group cohomology. This obtained from restricting cochains of the group cohomology to uniformly bounded ones with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_V$. For precise descriptions, see [6].

Theorem 1.2 (Johnson). [9],[14] For topological group G , the following statements are equivalent;

- (1) G is amenable
 - (2) $L^1(G, \mu)$ is an amenable Banach algebra equipped with the convolutional product $*$.
- Moreover, when G is discrete, followings are also equivalent;
- (3) $H_b^1(G; (\ell^\infty(G)/\mathbb{C})^*) = 0$
 - (4) for all $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ -module V and for all $n \geq 1$, $H_b^n(G; V^*) = 0$

1.4. proof of Johnson's theorem and Fixed point theorem for amenable groups.

We will give sketch of the proof of Theorem 1.2 because we obtained our main theorems by imitating this proof and it makes understanding our proof clear. We work on the case G is discrete (and write it Γ) for concise.

To show (2) to (1) of Theorem 1.2, it suffices to construct a concrete derivation from $\ell^1(\Gamma)$ and use the fact that it becomes inner.

- We set a Banach space E as

$$E := \ell^\infty(\Gamma)/\mathbb{C}1_G$$

where $1_G \in \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ is the constant-1 function.

- Then

$$E^* \cong \{\tau \in \ell^\infty(\Gamma)^* \mid \tau(1_G) = 0\}$$

- The left action $\ell^1(\Gamma) \curvearrowright E^*$ is

$$f \cdot \tau(\phi) := \tau(\phi \cdot f) \quad \text{for } \phi \in \ell^\infty(\Gamma), f \in \ell^1(\Gamma), \tau \in E^*$$

where $\phi \cdot f \in \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ is defined as $\phi \cdot f(f') := \phi(f * f')$.

- The right action $E^* \curvearrowright \ell^1(\Gamma)$ is defined by $\tau \cdot f := \left(\sum_{g \in G} f_g \right) \cdot \tau$.
- $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)^*$ is also $\ell^1(\Gamma)$ -bimodule with the same fasion as E^* .
- Fix $\tau_0 \in \ell^\infty(\Gamma)^*$ such that $(\sum_{g \in G} f_g) = 1$.
- Then $f \cdot \tau_0 - \tau_0 \cdot f$ is in E^* for any $f \in \ell^1(\Gamma)$.

Therefore a derivation $D : \ell^1(\Gamma) \rightarrow E^*$ can be defined by

$$D(f) := f.\tau_0 - \tau_0.f$$

- Using amenability of $\ell^1(\Gamma)$, we obtain $\tau_1 \in E^*$ with

$$f.\tau_0 - \tau_0.f = f.\tau_1 - \tau_1.f$$

- $\tau_0 - \tau_1 \in \ell^\infty(\Gamma)^*$ is desired a left invariant mean for $\ell^1(\Gamma)$.

To show (1) to (2) of Theorem 1.2, we need fixed point characterization of amenable groups by Day [3], [14].

Theorem 1.3 (Day). For a locally compact group G , the followings are equivalent;

- (1) G is amenable
- (2) For any locally convex space V and its nonempty compact convex subset K , if G acts affinely and separately continuous on K then K has a G -fixed point.

here G acts

- *affinely* on K means $g.(tx + (1-t)y) = tg.x + (1-t)g.y$ is satisfied for all $x, y \in K$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.
- *separately continuous* on K means $G \times K \rightarrow K ; (g, k) \mapsto g.k$ is separately continuous.

For given $D : \ell^1(\Gamma) \rightarrow E^*$, a bounded derivation on E^* , consider the affine action below for $\tau \in E^*$;

$$(1.1) \quad \alpha_g(\tau) := \delta_g.\tau.\delta_{g^{-1}} - D(\delta_g).\delta_{g^{-1}}$$

We set the topology of E^* as weak*-topology and α becomes separately continuous with this topology.

Then, $\alpha_g(\tau) = \tau$ implies

$$D(\delta_g) = \delta_g.\tau - \tau.\delta_g$$

Since $\ell^1(\Gamma)$ is generated by $\{\delta_g\}_{g \in \Gamma}$ as Banach space, it implies $D = Ad_\tau$ on whole $\ell^1(\Gamma)$ which shows D is inner.

Therefore we suffice to find a fixed point of the action α and find weak*-compact convex α -invariant set $K \subset E^*$ to exploit Theorem 1.3. This obtained by

$$K := \overline{\text{conv}}^{wk^*} \{D(\delta_g).\delta_{g^{-1}} \mid g \in \Gamma\}$$

K is weak*-compact since $\{D(\delta_g).\delta_{g^{-1}} \mid g \in \Gamma\}$ is norm bounded and can apply Banach-Alaoglu theorem.

2. BANACH SPACES ARISING FROM TOPOLOGICAL GROUP ACTIONS

In this section, we briefly introduce previous results of N.Monod [11] and Brodzki et al. [8], which tells us the way to characterize the amenability of action in terms of its invariant mean and bounded cohomology.

First we set basic definitions concerning Banach spaces which is compatible with the given topological action.

Definition 2.1. For a group action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$, a Banach space V is said to be *Banach Γ - CX -module* if satisfies followings;

- V admits a left Γ -action which is isometric linear.
- V admits a left CX -action which is contractive.
- (compatibility of actions) $g.(p.(g^{-1}.v)) = p^g.v$ for all $v \in V$, $g \in \Gamma$ and $p \in CX$.

In this situation, V^* has the natural Banach Γ - CX -module with

- $g.v^*(v) := v^*(g^{-1}.v)$ for $g \in \Gamma$, $v \in V$, $v^* \in V^*$.
- $p.v^*(v) := v^*(p.v)$ for $p \in CX$, $v \in V$, $v^* \in V^*$.

Next, we propose without proof the Banach spaces where invariant means of actions should live, defined by Monod [11] and Brodzki et.al [8]. For precise explanations about unconditionally summability and injective tensor products, see Ryan's book [15].

Definition 2.2.

- (1) A countable set $\{v_i\}_{i \in I}$ in a Banach space V is called *unconditionally summable* if exists $v \in V$ such that for all bijection $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \xrightarrow{\cong} I$; $\left\| \sum_{n \leq N} v_{\sigma(n)} - v \right\|_V \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0$.
- (2) $\{v_i\}_i$ is unconditionally summable iff $\{a_i v_i\}_i$ is unconditionally summable for all $\{a_i\}_i \in \ell^\infty(I, \mathbb{C})$.

Definition 2.3. For a group action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$,

- (1) $A_0(\Gamma, X) := \{f : \Gamma \rightarrow CX \mid f \text{ is unconditionally summable}\}$ forms a linear space.

We often write A_0 for short.

- (2) the norm is defined by

$$\|f\|_{A_0} := \left\| \sum_{g \in \Gamma} |f_g| \right\|_\infty$$

where $|f_g| \in CX$ is the absolute value function of f_g and the sum is well-defined by 2.2(2).

Moreover for a function $f : \Gamma \rightarrow CX$, f is unconditionally summable iff $\|f\|_{A_0} < \infty$.

- (3) $A_0(\Gamma, X)$ is complete with the norm and $A_{00}(\Gamma, X) := \{f \in A_0 \mid f \text{ is finitely supported}\}$ is dense subspace.

Note that $A_0(\Gamma, X) \cong \ell^1(\Gamma) \otimes_\epsilon CX$ where \otimes_ϵ is the injective tensor product of Banach spaces.

- (4) $\bar{\pi} : A_0 \rightarrow CX$ is defined by

$$\bar{\pi}(f) := \sum_{g \in \Gamma} f_g$$

and it becomes bounded linear. $\bar{\pi}(f)$ is the convergence point of f as a unconditionally convergent sequence in 2.2.

- (5) $A_0(\Gamma, X)$ admits a left Γ -action with

$$g.f(h) := (f(g^{-1}h))^g \quad \text{for } g, h \in \Gamma, f \in A_0$$

which is isometric linear.

- (6) $A_0(\Gamma, X)$ admits CX -action with

$$(p.f)(h) := p \cdot f(h) \quad \text{for } p \in CX, f \in A_0, h \in \Gamma$$

,where the product of right side is the pointwise product of CX .

- (7) With above these, $A_0(\Gamma, X)$ is Banach Γ - CX -module.

Definition 2.4. For a group action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$,

we set the space of \mathbb{C} -summing sequences as a subspace of $A_0(\Gamma, X)$;

$$W_0(\Gamma, X) := \{f \in A_0 \mid \bar{\pi}(f) \in \mathbb{C}1_X\}$$

Then W_0 is closed subspace.

We set $\pi \in W_0^*$ as $\pi(f)$ is the constant value of $\bar{\pi}(f) \in CX$.

Note that W_0 is not Banach Γ - CX -submodule of A_0 since it is not closed under CX -action.

By contrast, $\ker \pi$ is Banach Γ - CX -submodule of A_0 .

2.1. Characterizations of amenable actions with bounded cohomology. .

Now amenable actions can be formulated using invariant means;

Theorem 2.1. [8] For a group action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$, the followings are equivalent;

- (1) $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is amenable
- (2) There exists $\mu \in W_0(\Gamma, X)^{**}$ such that $\mu(\pi) = 1$ and μ is Γ -invariant with the Γ -action defined in $A_0(\Gamma, X)^{**}$.

This μ is called as an *invariant mean for $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$* .

We concisely introduce the characterization of amenable actions using a bounded cohomology since the condition of modules there is similar to that of our results. In preparation, we define some nice properties of CX -actions.

Definition 2.5. For CX -module Banach space V ,

- (1) $CX \curvearrowright V$ called ℓ^∞ -geometric or *type(C)* if

$$\left\| \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} p_k \cdot v_k \right\|_V \leq \left\| \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} p_k \right\|_\infty \cdot \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|v_k\|_V$$

for all $\{p_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq n} \subset C(X, [0, 1])$ and $\{v_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq n} \subset V$

- (2) $CX \curvearrowright V$ called ℓ^1 -geometric or *type(M)* if

$$\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|p_k \cdot v\|_V \leq \left\| \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} p_k \right\|_\infty \cdot \|v\|_V$$

for all $\{p_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq n} \subset C(X, [0, 1])$ and $v \in V$

About these property, followings can be easily proved;

Lemma 2.1. ([8])

- (1) If $CX \curvearrowright V$ is ℓ^1 -geometric then $CX \curvearrowright V^*$ is ℓ^∞ -geometric.
- (2) If $CX \curvearrowright V$ is ℓ^∞ -geometric then $CX \curvearrowright V^*$ is ℓ^1 -geometric.
- (3) $A_0(\Gamma, CX)$, $\ker \pi$ and double-dual of these have ℓ^∞ -geometric CX -action.

Then main theorem of [8] is following, extending Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 2.2. For a group action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$, the followings are equivalent;

- (1) $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is amenable.
- (2) $H_b^1(\Gamma, (\ker \pi)^{**}) = 0$
- (3) $H_b^n(\Gamma, V^*) = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$ and G - CX -module V with ℓ^1 -geometric CX -action.

2.2. Algebraic structure of $A_0(\Gamma, X)$. Monod pointed out that $A_0(\Gamma, X)$ has a structure of Banach algebra [10] defined below;

Definition 2.6. for $f_1, f_2 \in A_0(\Gamma, X)$, we set $f_1 * f_2 \in A_0(\Gamma, X)$ by

$$f_1 * f_2(g) := \sum_{h \in \Gamma} f_1(h) \cdot (f_2(h^{-1}g))^h$$

Then we have followings;

Lemma 2.2. for $f_1, f_2 \in A_0(\Gamma, X)$ we have

$f_1 * f_2$ is unconditionally summable again and

$$\|f_1 * f_2\|_{A_0} \leq \|f_1\|_{A_0} \|f_2\|_{A_0}$$

Therefore $A_0(\Gamma, X)$ becomes a Banach algebra.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_1 * f_2\| &= \sup_{x \in X} \left(\sum_{g \in \Gamma} \left| \sum_{h \in \Gamma} f_1(h, x) f_2(h^{-1}g, h^{-1}.x) \right| \right) \\ &\leq \sup_x \left(\sum_h |f_1(h, x)| \cdot \sum_g |f_2(h^{-1}g, h^{-1}.x)| \right) \\ &= \sup_x \left(\sum_h |f_1(h, x)| \cdot \sum_g |f_2(g, h^{-1}.x)| \right) \\ &\leq \sup_x \left(\left(\sum_h |f_1(h, x)| \right) \cdot \sup_{h'} \left(\sum_g |f_2(g, h'^{-1}.x)| \right) \right) \\ &\leq \left(\sup_x \sum_h |f_1(h, x)| \right) \cdot \left(\sup_{x', h'} \sum_g |f_2(g, h'^{-1}.x')| \right) \\ &= \|f_1\|_{A_0} \|f_2\|_{A_0} \end{aligned}$$

□

We remark that this product equals that of crossed product C^* -algebra $C(\Gamma, X)$ on $C_c(\Gamma, X)$. However the involution is not isometric with $\|\cdot\|_{A_0}$ therefore $A_0(\Gamma, X)$ never becomes a B^* -algebra.

For $h \in \Gamma$, we write $\delta_h \in A_0(\Gamma, X)$ for the element $g \mapsto \delta(g, h) \cdot 1_X$ and δ_e as 1.

Note that $\delta_g * f = g.f$ and $f * \delta_g(h) = f(hg^{-1})$ for $f \in A_0$.

In particular, $\delta_g * \delta_h = \delta_{gh}$ and $\ell^1(\Gamma)$ is a Banach subalgebra of $A_0(\Gamma, X)$.

Meanwhile, CX is also Banach subalgebra of $A_0(\Gamma, X)$.

For $p \in CX$, we use the same symbol $p \in A_0$ for $g \mapsto \delta(e, g) \cdot p$. Then $p * f = p \cdot f$ and $\delta_g * p * \delta_{g^{-1}} = p^g$ is obtained.

Moreover, $A_0(\Gamma, X)$ is generated by $\{\delta_g\}_{g \in \Gamma}$ and $\{p\}_{p \in CX}$ as a Banach algebra. With above all, we can rewrite $f \in A_0(\Gamma, X)$ as $\sum_{g \in \Gamma} f_g * \delta_g$.

3. AMENABILITY OF $W_0(\Gamma, X)$

When we work on a group G without actions, invariant means should live in $L^1(G, \mu)^{**}$ and amenability of G characterized by that of $L^1(G, \mu)$. Then it is natural that amenability of an action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ can be characterized by that of $W_0(\Gamma, X)$, whose double-dual is the space invariant means may live in.

However, N.Ozawa pointed out me that amenability of $W_0(\Gamma, X)$ is too strong condition;

Theorem 3.1. For $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$

If $W_0(\Gamma, X)$ is amenable as a Banach algebra, then Γ is amenable as a discrete group.

To prove, we needs some lemmas about amenable Banach algebras;

Lemma 3.1.

- (1) (Runde Cor 2.3.10) Let A be an amenable Banach algebra and $I \leq A$ be a closed ideal with finite codimension, then I is also amenable.
- (2) (Runde) Let A be a (non-unital) amenable Banach algebra.

Then A has a *bounded approximate unit* $\{e_i\}_i \subset A$. i.e. $\sup_i \|e_i\| < \infty$ and for all $a \in A$;

$$\|e_i a - a\| \xrightarrow{i} 0, \quad \|a e_i - a\| \xrightarrow{i} 0$$

Proof. of Theorem 3.1

At first, it follows from Lemma 3.1(1) that $\ker \pi$ is amenable as a Banach algebra since the codimension of $\ker \pi \leq W_0(G, \Gamma)$ is one.

Then using Lemma 3.1(2) for $\ker \pi$ we obtain its bounded approximate unit $\{e_i\}_i \subset \ker \pi$. Fix arbitrary $x \in X$ and we will show $\{f_i : g \mapsto \delta(g, e) - e_i(g, x)\}_i \subset \ell^1(\Gamma)$ is a right approximate invariant mean for Γ .

First, each f_i belongs to $Prob(\Gamma)$ since $\pi(e_i) = \sum_g e_i(g) = 0$ in CX .

Next, using that $\{e_i\}_i$ is an approximate unit for $\ker \pi$, we obtain

$$(1 - e_i) * (1 - \delta_h) = (1 - \delta_h) - e_i * (1 - \delta_h) \xrightarrow{i} 0$$

for $h \in \Gamma$ since $1 - \delta_h \in \ker \pi$. Therefore $e_i * \delta_h - e_i \xrightarrow{i} 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|f_i * \delta_h - f_i\|_1 &= 1 - 1 - \sum_g |e_i(gh, x) - e_i(g, x)| \\
 &\leq \sup_{x \in X} \sum_g |e_i(gh, x) - e_i(g, x)| \\
 &= \sup_{x \in X} \sum_g |e_i * \delta_{h^{-1}}(g, x) - e_i(g, x)| \\
 &= \|e_i * \delta_{h^{-1}} - e_i\|_{A_0} \xrightarrow{i} 0
 \end{aligned}$$

□

4. FIXED-POINT CHARACTERIZATIONS OF AMENABLE ACTIONS

In contrast to section 3, part of the proof of Johnson's theorem still valid for amenable actions. First we should formalize the fixed-point characterization of amenable actions.

In 2015, Dong and Wang proved fixed point theorem of amenable actions with respect to isometric linear actions to Banach spaces [4].

In preparation, we introduce the analog of convex sets in the situation of CX -Banach module.

Definition 4.1. For Γ - CX -module V ,

its subset $K \subset V$ is called CX -convex if

for all $\{p_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq n} \subset C(X, [0, 1])$ and $\{c_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq n} \subset K$; $\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} p_k \cdot c_k$ belongs to K again.

We call these $\{p_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq n}$ as *finite decomposition of 1_X*

and $\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} p_k \cdot c_k$ as a CX -convex combination.

Theorem 4.1 (Dong and Wang). For $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$, the followings are equivalent;

- (1) $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is amenable
- (2) for all ℓ^1 -geometric Γ - CX -module V and for all CX -convex, weak*-compact nonempty subset $K \subset V^*$ with $\Gamma.K \subset K$ has a Γ -fixed point.

We slightly generalized this theorem to apply for the affine action α of Equation 1.1. In preparation, we recall the structure of affine actions of groups.

Let $\alpha : \Gamma \curvearrowright V$ is an affine action on linear space V . Then there exists linear action $\hat{\alpha} : \Gamma \curvearrowright V$ and cocycle map $c : \Gamma \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\alpha_g(v) = \hat{\alpha}_g(v) + c_g$$

Here cocycle satisfies $c_{gh} = c_g + \hat{\alpha}_g(c_h)$

We write this for $\alpha = (\hat{\alpha}, c)$.

For a locally convex space V , we introduce a topological vector space $L_\sigma(V)$ with $L_\sigma(V)$ is the linear maps between V as a set, and equips the point- V topology.

Definition 4.2. For $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$, the followings are equivalent;

$\Gamma \curvearrowright X$, a locally convex space V is called Γ - CX locally convex module if

- Γ acts on V affinely and pointwise-continuously named as $\alpha = (\hat{\alpha}, c)$.
Here this continuity means for each $g \in \Gamma$, $\alpha_g : V \rightarrow V$ is continuous.
- There is a continuous linear unital map $\beta : CX \rightarrow L_\sigma(V)$
which satisfies $\hat{\alpha}_g \circ \beta(p) \circ \hat{\alpha}_{g^{-1}} = \beta(p^g)$.

We write $\beta(p, v)$ instead of $\beta(p)(v)$ for $p \in CX$, $v \in V$.

CX -convexity is defined same as 4.1 for Γ - CX locally convex module.

Them we proved the generalized version of Theorem 4.1;

Theorem 4.2. Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is amenable.

For any Γ - CX locally convex module $(V; \alpha = (\hat{\alpha}, c); \beta)$,

all α -invariant, CX -convex, compact nonempty subset $K \subset V$ has a α -fixed point.

For proof, we should take smaller algebra $Z_0(\Gamma, X)$ in W_0 .

Definition 4.3. For $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$,

we set $Z_0^+(\Gamma, X)$ as a positive cone in $W_0(\Gamma, X)$ with

$$Z_0^+(\Gamma, X) := \{f \in W_0 \mid \forall g \in \Gamma; f(g) \geq 0 \text{ in } CX\}$$

and set its generating Banach space $Z_0(\Gamma, X)$ with

$$Z_0(\Gamma, X) := \overline{\left\{ \sum_{0 \leq k \leq 3} \sqrt{-1}^k f_k \mid f_k \in Z_0^+ \right\}}^{\|\cdot\|_{A_0}}$$

Then we can compute the norm as

$$(4.1) \quad \left\| \sum_{0 \leq k \leq 3} \sqrt{-1}^k f_k \right\|_{A_0} = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq 3} \pi(f_k) = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq 3} \|f_k\|$$

Proof. of Theorem 4.2

Step.1 Find a fixed point.

We have an invariant mean $\mu \in W_0(\Gamma, X)^{**}$ since $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is amenable.

The proof of Theorem 2.1 shows that μ is weak- $*$ convergence point of $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset Z_0^+$ and $\pi(f_n) = \|f_n\| = 1$ for all n . Therefore μ can be viewed as the element of $Z_0(\Gamma, X)^{**}$. Moreover the proof shows we can take each f_n is finitely supported.

Fix $c_0 \in K$. Then a fixed point can be obtained as

$$\tilde{c} \in \text{accumulation points of } \sum_{g \in \Gamma} \beta(f_n(g), \alpha_g(c_0)) \text{ with } n$$

This accumulation point exists and belongs to K as following reasons;

The assumption shows that $\alpha_g(c_0) \in K$, and for each n , $\sum_{g \in \Gamma} \beta(f_n(g), \alpha_g(c_0))$ is also in K since we take $\{f_n(g)\}_g$ is a finite decomposition of 1_X and K is CX -convex. Moreover K is compact we can take accumulation point of the sequence in K .

Then we should show

$$\psi(\alpha_g(\tilde{c})) = \psi(\tilde{c}) \quad \forall \psi \in E^*, \forall g \in G$$

and this shows \tilde{c} is a Γ -fixed point.

Step.2 Define $\psi_g^c \in Z_0(\Gamma, X)^*$ for $g \in \Gamma$ and $c \in K$

The definition is

$$Z_0(\Gamma, X) \ni f \mapsto \left\langle \psi, \alpha_g \left(\sum_{h \in \Gamma} \beta(f(h), \alpha_h(c)) \right) \right\rangle$$

To show the linearity of this, we compute followings. For concice, we write $g.c$ instead of $\hat{\alpha}_g(c)$ and have $g.\beta(p, g^{-1}v) = \beta(p^g, v)$ for $g \in \Gamma$, $p \in CX$, $v \in V$ by assumption.

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_g \left(\sum_{h \in \Gamma} \beta(f(h), \alpha_h(c)) \right) &= \sum_h g.\beta(f(h), h.c) + c_g + \sum_h g.\beta(f(h), c_h) \\ &= \sum_h \beta(f(h)^g, gh.c) + c_g + \sum_h \beta(f(h)^g, g.c_h) \\ &= \sum_{gh \text{ as } h} \beta(f(g^{-1}h)^g, h.c) + c_g + \sum_h \beta(f(h)^g, c_{gh} - c_g) \\ (4.2) \quad &= \sum_h \beta((g.f)(h), h.c) + c_g + \sum_h \beta((g.f)(h), c_h) - \beta \left(\left(\sum_h f(h) \right)^g, c_g \right) \\ &= \sum_h \beta((g.f)(h), h.c + c_h) + c_g - c_g \\ &= \sum_h \beta((g.f)(h), \alpha_h(c)) \end{aligned}$$

(Note that continuity of β and that β is unital are used for (4.2).)

Therefore ψ_g^c is linear since the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright Z_0(\Gamma, X)$ and β are linear.

For the proof of boundedness of ψ_g^c , the smaller space Z_0 is essential;

At first, it is sufficient to show when $g = e$ since $\psi_g^c(f) = \psi_e^c(g.f)$ and $\Gamma \curvearrowright Z_0(\Gamma, X)$ is isometric.

Moreover, it suffices to show $|\psi_e^c(f)| \leq \|\psi\| \cdot \|f\|_{A_0}$ for finitely supported $f = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq 3} \sqrt{-1}^k f_k \in Z_0$ with $f_k \in W_0^+$.

Using Equation 4.1, we can compute as;

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_e^c(f)| &= \left| \left\langle \psi, \sum_{0 \leq k \leq 3, g \in \Gamma} \sqrt{-1}^k \beta(f_k(g), \alpha_g(c)) \right\rangle \right| \\ &\leq \sum_k \left| \left\langle \psi, \sum_g \beta(f_k(g), \alpha_g(c)) \right\rangle \right| \\ &= \sum_k \pi(f_k) \left| \left\langle \psi, \sum_g \beta\left(\frac{f_k(g)}{\pi(f_k)}, \alpha_g(c)\right) \right\rangle \right| \end{aligned}$$

Since $\left\{ \frac{f_k(g)}{\pi(f_k)} \right\}_{g \in \Gamma}$ is a finite decomposition of 1_X for each k , $\sum_g \beta\left(\frac{f_k(g)}{\pi(f_k)}, \alpha_g(c)\right)$ belongs to K for $c \in K$.

Thus compactness of K shows

$$\left| \left\langle \psi, \sum_g \beta\left(\frac{f_k(g)}{\pi(f_k)}, \alpha_g(c)\right) \right\rangle \right| \leq \max_{c \in K} |\psi(c)| < \infty$$

Therefore

$$|\psi_e^c(f)| \leq \sum_k \pi(f_k) \cdot \max_{c \in K} |\psi(c)| = \|f\|_{A_0} \max_{c \in K} |\psi(c)|$$

This shows ψ_e^c is a bounded functional.

Step3. Show Γ -fixedness of \tilde{c}

Since $\mu \in Z_0(\Gamma, X)^{**}$ is the weak*-limit of (subsequence of) $\{f_n\} \subset Z_0$, we can compute as follows;

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\psi_g^{c_0}) &= \lim_n \left\langle \psi, \alpha_g \left(\sum_g \beta(f_n(g), \alpha_g(c_0)) \right) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \psi, \lim_n \alpha_g \left(\sum_g \beta(f_n(g), \alpha_g(c_0)) \right) \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left\langle \psi, \alpha_g \left(\lim_n \sum_g \beta(f_n(g), \alpha_g(c_0)) \right) \right\rangle \\
 &= \langle \psi, \alpha_g(\tilde{c}) \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

Here pointwise-continuity of α is used.

Meanwhile, the computation in Step.2 and Γ -invariance of μ shows

$$\mu(\psi_g^{c_0}) = \lim_n \psi_g^{c_0}(f_n) = \lim_n \sum_{h \in \Gamma} \beta((g.f)(h), \alpha_h(c_0)) = \lim_n \psi_e^{c_0}(g.f_n) = (g.\mu)(\psi_e^{c_0}) = \mu(\psi_e^{c_0})$$

Combined with these two, we obtained $\psi(\alpha_g(c_0)) = \psi(c_0)$

□

5. JOHNSON'S THEOREM FOR TOPOLOGICAL ACTIONS

As we noted in ??, we should weaken amenability of Banach algebras to characterize amenability of actions;

Definition 5.1. for a Banach algebras A which includes CX as a Banach subalgebra,

- (1) We call A - A -bimodule E is *right- CX - ℓ^1 -geometric* if
the right action $E \curvearrowright CX$ defined by restricting that of A is ℓ^1 -geometric.
- (2) We call A is *right- CX - ℓ^1 -amenable* if
for any A - A -bimodule E which is right- CX - ℓ^1 -geometric and
for any bounded derivation $D : A \rightarrow E^*$; D is inner.

And we show follofings;

Theorem 5.1. for $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$, the followings are equivalent;

- (1) If $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is amenable.
- (2) $A_0(\Gamma, X)$ is right- CX - ℓ^1 -amenable.

We prove this section only (1) \Rightarrow (2).

Proof. of Theorem 5.1 (1) \Rightarrow (2).

Take A_0 - A_0 -bimodule E which is right- CX - ℓ^1 -geometric and bounded derivation $D : A_0 \rightarrow E^*$.

Step.1 Show that we may assume D is left CX -equivariant (i.e. $D(p * f) = p.D(f)$).

Think of the restriction of D to CX then $D|_{CX} : CX \rightarrow_{CX} E_{CX}^*$ is derivation again. Since CX is a commutative C^* -algebra and thus an amenable Banach algebra, $D|_{CX}$ is inner. i.e. there exists $\tau_0 \in E^*$ with $D(p) = p.\tau_0 - \tau_0.p$ for $p \in CX$.

Then $D - ad_{\tau_0}$ is a left CX -equivariant bounded derivation. Indeed,

$$(D - ad_{\tau_0})(p * f) = (D - D|_{CX})(p).f + p.(D - ad_{\tau_0})(f) = p.(D - ad_{\tau_0})(f)$$

If we showed the theorem for left CX -equivariant derivations, then we obtain τ with $D - ad_{\tau_0} = ad_{\tau}$. This shows $D = ad_{\tau+\tau_0}$ and D is inner. We remark that this technique can be used for any amenable subalgebras.

Step.2 use the fixed point theorem Theorem 4.2

As same as the proof of Thm1.6, we set an affine action $\alpha : \Gamma \curvearrowright E^*$ by

$$\alpha_g(\tau) := \delta_g.\tau.\delta_{g^{-1}} - D(\delta_g).\delta_{g^{-1}}$$

Set $\beta : CX \rightarrow L_{\sigma}(E^*)$ as $\beta(p)(\tau) := p.\tau$ for $\tau \in E^*$ and $p \in CX$.

Then for each α_g is continuous and β is unital, linear and continuous. Moreover,

$$\delta_g.(p.(\delta_{g^{-1}}.\tau.\delta_g)).\delta_{g^{-1}} = p^g.\tau$$

shows the compatibility between (α, β) and $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$.

Therefore (E^*, α, β) is a Γ - CX locally convex module.

Define $K^\circ \subset E^*$ as

$$K^\circ := CX\text{-convex combinations of } \{-D(\delta_g).\delta_{g^{-1}} \mid g \in \Gamma\}$$

Now the norm of each $c_g := -D(\delta_g).\delta_{g^{-1}} \in E^*$ is less than $\|D\|$. Then we can show the assumption E is right- CX - ℓ^1 -geometric ensures whole K° is norm-bounded by $\|D\|$.

By Lemma 2.1(1) shows $CX \curvearrowright E^*$ is "left- CX - ℓ^∞ -geometric". i.e.

$$\left\| \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} p_k.\tau_k \right\|_{E^*} \leq \left\| \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} p_k \right\|_{\infty} \cdot \sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|\tau_k\|_{E^*}$$

and thus K° is norm-bounded by $\|D\|$.

Therefore $K := \overline{K^\circ}^{wk^*}$ is also bounded and weak*-compact by Banach-Alaoglu. And by construction, K is CX -convex set with respect to β .

Then we can apply Theorem 4.2 to these (E^*, α, β, K) and obtain $\tau_* \in E^*$ with

$$D(\delta_g) = \delta_g \cdot \tau_* - \tau_* \cdot \delta_g \text{ for all } g \in \Gamma.$$

Now we assumed D is left CX -equivariant therefore

$$D(p * \delta_g) = p \cdot D(\delta_g) = (p * \delta_g) \cdot \tau_* - p \cdot \tau_* \cdot \delta_g \text{ for all } p \in CX, g \in \Gamma$$

Step.3 Show τ_* is CX -central (i.e. $p \cdot \tau_* = \tau_* \cdot p$) and $D = ad_{\tau_*}$.

At first, we can confirm $c_g := -D(\delta_g) \cdot \delta_{g^{-1}}$ is CX -central

using D is a derivation and CX -equivariant;

$$\begin{aligned} p \cdot D(\delta_g) \cdot \delta_{g^{-1}} &= D(p * \delta_g) \cdot \delta_{g^{-1}} \\ &= D(p * \delta_g * \delta_{g^{-1}}) - (p * \delta_g) \cdot D(\delta_{g^{-1}}) \\ &= D(p) - (\delta_g * p^{g^{-1}}) \cdot D(\delta_{g^{-1}}) \\ &= p \cdot D(1) - \delta_g \cdot D(p^{g^{-1}} * \delta_{g^{-1}}) \\ &= 0 - \delta_g \cdot D(\delta_{g^{-1}} * p) \\ &= -D(p) + D(\delta_g) \cdot (\delta_{g^{-1}} * p) \\ &= 0 + (D(\delta_g) \cdot \delta_{g^{-1}}) \cdot p \end{aligned}$$

The CX -centrality is preserved for taking CX -convex combinations since CX is commutative. Therefore whole K° is CX -central and so is $\tau_* \in K$.

By Step2 and Step3, we obtain

$$D(p * \delta_g) = (p * \delta_g) \cdot \tau_* - \tau_* \cdot (p * \delta_g) \text{ for all } p \in CX, g \in \Gamma$$

Since $A_0(\Gamma, X)$ is generated by $\{p * \delta_g \mid p \in CX, g \in \Gamma\}$ as a Banach space, $D = ad_{\tau_*}$

□

6. MEASUREWISE AMENABILITY AND THE PROOF OF THEOREM 5.1

The content of this chapter is based on the proof devised by N. Ozawa in a personal communication.

We need to work on measurewise amenability to prove (2) \Rightarrow (1) of Theorem 5.1. These notations comes from topological groupoids [2]; more general situation. They are overviewed in section 8 and showed compatible with definitions in this chapter.

Definition 6.1. (1) We call a borel measure μ on X *quasi- Γ -invariant* when

null-sets of μ and null-sets of $g.\mu$ are coincide for all $g \in \Gamma$.

- (2) For a measure μ on X , we write $\bar{\mu}$ as the product measure on $\Gamma \times X$ of the counting measure on Γ and μ .
- (3) Define an isometric action $\Gamma \curvearrowright L^\infty(\Gamma \times X)$ by

$$g.\varphi(h, x) := \varphi(g^{-1}h, g^{-1}.x) \text{ for } \varphi \in L^\infty(\Gamma \times X), g, h \in \Gamma, x \in X$$

- (4) We say $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is *measurewise amenable* if for all quasi- Γ -invariant measure μ , the transformation groupoid $(X, \mu) \rtimes \Gamma$ is amenable as measured groupoid.
i.e. there exists a **contractive** Γ -equivariant map

$$P : L^\infty(\Gamma \times X, \bar{\mu}) \rightarrow L^\infty(X, \mu)$$

. i.e. P satisfies

$$P(\delta_g * \xi) = P(\xi)^g \text{ for any } \xi \in L^\infty(\Gamma \times X)$$

where $(\delta_g * \xi)(h, x) := \xi(g^{-1}h, g^{-1}.x)$, and

$$P(1_\Gamma \otimes \xi_X) = \xi_X \text{ for any } \xi_X \in L^\infty(X)$$

Theorem 6.1. [2] For topological action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$, the followings are equivalent;

- (1) $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is amenable in the sense of 1.2.
- (2) $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is measurewise amenable.

This is the special case of Theorem 8.1.

Therefore it suffice to construct $P : L^\infty(\Gamma \times X, \bar{\mu}) \rightarrow L^\infty(X, \mu)$ to show (1), for fixed quasi- Γ -invariant μ on X . We will write ξ for a element in $L^\infty(\Gamma \times X)$.

- Define different two actions $A_0(\Gamma, X) \curvearrowright L^\infty(\Gamma \times X)$ as follows;

$$(a * \xi)(g, x) := \sum_{h \in \Gamma} a(h, x) \xi(h^{-1}g, h^{-1}.x)$$

$$(a \star \xi)(g, x) := \sum_{h \in \Gamma} a(h, x) \xi(g, h^{-1}.x)$$

We note that this $*$ is extension of $\delta_g * \xi$ in 6.1 (4).

Then $\|a * \xi\|_\infty \leq \|a\|_{A_0} \|\xi\|_\infty$ and same for $a \star \xi$.

\star satisfies associativity;

$$(a_1 * a_2) \star \xi(g) = \sum_h (a_1 * a_2)(h) \cdot \xi(g)^h$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{h,k} a_1(k) \cdot a_2(k^{-1}h)^k \cdot \xi(g)^h \\
 &= \sum_k a_1(k) \cdot \left(\sum_h a_2(k^{-1}h) \cdot \xi(g)^{k^{-1}h} \right)^k \\
 &= \sum_k a_1(k) \cdot (a_2 \star \xi(g))^k \\
 &= a_1 \star (a_2 \star \xi)(g)
 \end{aligned}$$

- Then $F^* := B(L^\infty(\Gamma \times X))$ has a A_0 - A_0 -bimodule structure with left action comes from \star -action to range;

$$a.\tau(\xi) := a \star (\tau(\xi))$$

for $\tau \in B(L^\infty(\Gamma \times X))$, $\xi \in L^\infty(\Gamma \times X)$

and right action comes from $*$ -action to domain

$$\tau.a(\xi) := \tau(a * \xi)$$

- The above F^* is the dual of $F := L^\infty(\Gamma \times X) \otimes_\pi L^1(\Gamma \times X)$ and A_0 -actions come from those of on F since this action is weak- $*$ continuous. Indeed, $(V_1 \otimes_\pi V_2)^* \cong \text{Bilin}(V_1 \times V_2) \cong B(V_1, V_2^*)$ is valid for any Banach spaces V_1, V_2 .
- The right action $F \curvearrowright CX$ obtained by the restriction coincides with

$$(\eta \otimes \zeta).p = \eta \otimes (p \cdot \zeta)$$

for $\eta \in L^\infty(\Gamma \times X)$, $\zeta \in L^1(\Gamma \times X)$, with $(\zeta.p)(g, x) := p(x)\zeta(g, x)$.

This action comes from ℓ^1 -geometric-action $CX \curvearrowright L^1(\Gamma \times X, \bar{\mu})$, and arising action is also ℓ^1 -geometric from Lemma 6.2 which will be shown.

- For $id \in B(L^\infty(\Gamma \times X))$ and any $a \in A_0$, $a.id - id.a \in B(L^\infty(\Gamma \times X))$ annihilates $1_\Gamma \otimes L^\infty(X, \mu)$. Indeed, for $\xi_X \in L^\infty(X)$, $p \in CX$, $g \in \Gamma$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 ((p * \delta_g).id - id.(p * \delta_g))(1_\Gamma \otimes \xi_X) &= (p * \delta_g) \star (1_\Gamma \otimes \xi_X) - (p * \delta_g) * (1_\Gamma \otimes \xi_X) \\
 &= 1_\Gamma \otimes (p \cdot \xi_X^g) - (g.1_\Gamma) \otimes (p \cdot \xi_X^g) \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

In other words, $a.id - id.a$ belongs to

$$E^* := B(L^\infty(\Gamma \times X)/(1_\Gamma \otimes L^\infty(X)), L^\infty(\Gamma \times X))$$

- Same as F and F^* , the predual of E^* is

$$E := (L^\infty(\Gamma \times X)/1_\Gamma \otimes L^\infty(X)) \otimes_\pi L^1(\Gamma \times X)$$

- Moreover E^* is A_0 - A_0 -subbimodule of F^* . Indeed,

$$(p * \delta_g) \cdot \tau(1_\Gamma \otimes \xi_X) = (p * \delta_g) \star 0 = 0$$

$$\tau(p * \delta_g)(1_\Gamma \otimes \xi_X) = \tau(g \cdot 1_\Gamma \otimes (p \cdot \xi_X^g)) = 0$$

for $\tau \in E^*$, $\xi_X \in L^\infty(X)$, $p \in CX$, $g \in \Gamma$. Same as F , the bimodule structure of E^* comes from that of E .

E^* is also ℓ^∞ -geometric same as F^* .

- Therefore we obtained a bounded map

$$D : A_0(\Gamma, X) \rightarrow_{A_0} E_{A_0}^*$$

with $D(a) := a \cdot id - id \cdot a$. One can check D is derivation.

Then the assumption of Theorem 5.1(2) yields $\tau_0 \in E^*$ with

$$D(a) = a \cdot \tau_0 - \tau_0 \cdot a$$

i.e. $id - \tau_0 \in F^*$ is A_0 -central. In particular, $id - \tau_0 : L^\infty(\Gamma \times X) \rightarrow L^\infty(\Gamma \times X)$ is $L^\infty(X)$ -linear.

- Now we define $P : L^\infty(\Gamma \times X, \bar{\mu}) \rightarrow L^\infty(X, \mu)$ by

$$P(\xi) := ev_e[(id - \tau_0)(\xi)] \text{ for } \xi \in L^\infty(\Gamma \times X)$$

where $ev_e : L^\infty(\Gamma \times X, \bar{\mu}) \rightarrow L^\infty(X, \mu)$ is the evaluation at $e \in \Gamma$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} P(\delta_g * \xi) &= ev_e[(id - \tau_0)(\delta_g * \xi)] \\ &= ev_e[\delta_g \star ((id - \tau_0)(\xi))] \\ &= (ev_e[(id - \tau_0)(\xi)])^g \\ &= P(\xi)^g \end{aligned}$$

shows P is Γ -equivariant map.

Moreover P is identity on $1_\Gamma \otimes L^\infty(X)$. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} P(1_\Gamma \otimes \xi_X) &= ev_e[1_\Gamma \otimes \xi_X - \tau_0(1_\Gamma \otimes \xi_X)] \\ &= ev_e[1_\Gamma \otimes \xi_X - 0] \\ &= \xi_X \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtained $P : L^\infty(\Gamma \times X) \rightarrow L^\infty(X)$; bounded, unital, $L^\infty(X)$ -linear, Γ -equivariant map.

- However this P is not positive (thus not contractive) in general and we should transform it to positive one \tilde{P} .

To do so, first we approximate P by finitely-supported maps using the method in Theorem 3.3 of [1]. And then transform the approximation to positive ones;

Lemma 6.1. Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be a quasi-invariant action on a standard probability space. Then the followings are equivalent;

- (1) There exists $P : L^\infty(\Gamma \times X) \rightarrow L^\infty(X)$ bounded, unital, $L^\infty(X)$ -linear, Γ -equivariant map.
- (2) There exists $P : L^\infty(\Gamma \times X) \rightarrow L^\infty(X)$ bounded, $*$ -preserving, unital, $L^\infty(X)$ -linear, Γ -equivariant map.
- (3) There exists a bounded net $(P_i : \Gamma \rightarrow L^\infty(X))_i$ of finitely-supported with

$$(6.1) \quad \sum_{g \in \Gamma} P_i(g) \xrightarrow{i, \text{ultraweak}} 1_X$$

$$(6.2) \quad \sum_{g \in \Gamma} ((h.P_i)(g) - P_i(g)) \cdot f(g) \xrightarrow{i, \text{ultraweak}} 0 \text{ for all } f \in L^\infty(\Gamma \times X), h \in \Gamma$$

- (4) There exists a bounded net $(P_i : \Gamma \rightarrow L^\infty(X))_i$ of positive-valued and finitely-supported with Equation 6.1, Equation 6.2 and

$$\sum_{g \in \Gamma} P_i(g) \leq 1_X$$

- (5) There exists $P : L^\infty(\Gamma \times X) \rightarrow L^\infty(X)$ positive, unital, $L^\infty(X)$ -linear, Γ -equivariant map. (Thus P is conditionally expectation.)

This lemma proves $(X, \mu) \rtimes \Gamma$ is amenable as measured groupoid. Thus $G \curvearrowright X$ is measurewise amenable and then topologically amenable.

Lemma 6.2. Let $CX \curvearrowright V$ be CX -Banach module and W be a Banach space.

The projective tensor $V \otimes_\pi W$ and injective tensor $V \otimes_\varepsilon W$ are also CX -Banach modules by

$$p.(v \otimes w) := (p.v) \otimes w$$

for $v \in V, w \in W, p \in CX$. Then we have

- (1) If V is ℓ^1 -geometric, then the projective tensor $V \otimes_\pi W$ is also ℓ^1 -geometric.
- (2) If V is ℓ^∞ -geometric, then the injective tensor $V \otimes_\varepsilon W$ is also ℓ^∞ -geometric.

Proof. (1) take $z_1, z_2 \in V \otimes_\pi W$ and $p, q \in C(X, [0, 1])$ with disjoint support and $p.z_1 = z_1, q.z_2 = z_2$.

Then we suffice to show

$$\|z_1 + z_2\|_\pi \leq \max\{\|z_1\|_\pi, \|z_2\|_\pi\}$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$, take $\{v_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ and $\{w_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ with

$$\left\| z_1 + z_2 - \sum_i v_i \otimes w_i \right\|_\pi < \varepsilon$$

Using $z_1 = p.z_1 + pq.z_2 = p.(z_1 + z_2)$ and same for z_2 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_1\|_\pi + \|z_2\|_\pi &= \|p.(z_1 + z_2)\|_\pi + \|q.(z_1 + z_2)\|_\pi \\ &\stackrel{2\varepsilon}{\approx} \left\| \sum_i p.v_i \otimes w_i \right\|_\pi + \left\| \sum_i q.v_i \otimes w_i \right\|_\pi \\ &\leq \sum_i \|p.v_i\|_V \|w_i\|_W + \sum_i \|q.v_i\|_V \|w_i\|_W \\ &= \sum_i (\|p.v_i\|_V + \|q.v_i\|_V) \|w_i\|_W \end{aligned}$$

use V is ℓ^1 -geometric, then

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_i \|(p+q).v_i\|_V \|w_i\|_W \\ &\leq \sum_i \|v_i\|_V \|w_i\|_W \\ &\stackrel{\varepsilon}{\approx} \|z + z'\|_\pi \end{aligned}$$

(2) take $z_1 := \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} v_k \otimes w_k$ in $V \otimes_\varepsilon W$, $z_2 := \sum_{1 \leq l \leq m} v'_l \otimes w'_l$ in $V \otimes_\varepsilon W$ and $p, q \in C(X, [0, 1])$ with disjoint supports.

Then we suffice to show

$$\|p.z_1 + q.z_2\|_\varepsilon \leq \max\{\|z_1\|, \|z_2\|\}$$

In general we have

$$\left\| \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} v_k \otimes w_k \right\|_\varepsilon = \sup_{w^* \in W^*, \|w^*\|=1} \left\| \sum_k w^*(w_k) v_k \right\|_V$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|p.z_1 + q.z_2\|_\varepsilon &= \sup_{w^* \in W^*, \|w^*\|=1} \left\| \sum_k w^*(w_k) p.v_k + \sum_l w^*(w'_l) q.v'_l \right\|_V \\ &= \sup_{w^* \in W^*, \|w^*\|=1} \left\| p. \left(\sum_k w^*(w_k) v_k \right) + q. \left(\sum_l w^*(w'_l) v'_l \right) \right\|_V \end{aligned}$$

use V is ℓ^∞ -geometric, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \sup_{w^* \in W^*, \|w^*\|=1} \max \left\{ \left\| \sum_k w^*(w_k) v_k \right\|_V, \left\| \sum_l w^*(w'_l) v'_l \right\|_V \right\} \\
 &= \max \left\{ \left\| \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} v_k \otimes w_k \right\|_\varepsilon, \left\| \sum_{1 \leq l \leq m} v'_l \otimes w'_l \right\|_\varepsilon \right\} \\
 &= \max \{ \|z_1\|_\varepsilon, \|z_2\|_\varepsilon \}
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof. of Lemma 6.1 For simplicity we write M as $L^\infty(X)$. First we remark that finitely supported map $P_i : \Gamma \rightarrow M$ can be regarded as the element of $B_M(L^\infty(\Gamma \times X), M)$; M -linear maps with

$$P_i(\xi) := \sum_g P(\delta_g \otimes \xi_g) \text{ for } \xi \in L^\infty(\Gamma \times X)$$

(5) \Rightarrow (1) is trivial.

- (1) \Rightarrow (2)

For given P of (1), set P' by

$$P'(\xi) := \frac{P(\xi) + P(\xi^*)^*}{2}$$

Then P' is $*$ -preserving and remains unital, bounded, $L^\infty(X)$ -linear, Γ -equivariant.

- (2) \Rightarrow (3)

We set the topology on $B_M(L^\infty(\Gamma \times X), M)$ as point-ultraweak-topology.

Fix $R > 0$ and define two subsets of $B_M(L^\infty(\Gamma \times X), M)$ by

$$\mathcal{P} := \{T \in B_M(L^\infty(\Gamma \times X), M) \mid T \text{ is unital, } * \text{-preserving, } \|T\| \leq R\}$$

$$\mathcal{L} := \{T : \Gamma \rightarrow M \mid T \text{ is finitely-supported, } * \text{-preserving, } \|T(g)\|_M \leq R \text{ for all } g \in \Gamma\}$$

Then \mathcal{L} can be regarded as a subset of \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P} is closed with point-ultraweak-topology.

Moreover it can be proved that \mathcal{L} is dense in \mathcal{P} with this topology with same proof as of Theorem 3.1 [1].

Since we assumed $P \in \mathcal{P}$ with $R := \|P\|$, we have $(P_i)_i \subset \mathcal{L}$ approximating P . Then Equation 6.1 is valid since P is unital, and Equation 6.2 is valid since P is Γ -equivariant.

- (3) \Rightarrow (4)

We can assume $\eta_i := \sum_g |P_i(g)| > 0$ by think of $P_i + \varepsilon\delta_e$ for small $\varepsilon > 0$.

$$\tilde{P}_i(g) := \frac{|P_i(g)|}{\eta_i}$$

Then $\sum_g \tilde{P}_i(g) \rightarrow 1_X$ with $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

For Equation 6.2, same calculation as [5] Lem 3.8 shows that \tilde{P}_i is approximately Γ -equivariant.

First $|P_i|$ is approximately Γ -equivariant by triangle-inequality.

Moreover $\eta_i \in L^\infty(X)$ is approximately Γ -invariant. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_i - \eta_i^h &= \sum_g |P_i(g)| - |P_i(g)|^h \\ &= \sum_g |P_i(g)| - |P_i(h^{-1}g)|^h \\ &= (|P_i| - h \cdot |P_i|)(1_{\Gamma \times X}) \xrightarrow{i, \text{ ultraweak}} 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now we can compute Γ -equivariance of \tilde{P}_i . For $f \in L^\infty(\Gamma, X)$ and $\phi \in L^1(X)^+$,

$$\begin{aligned} (6.3) \quad \langle (h \cdot \tilde{P}_i - \tilde{P}_i)(f), \phi \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_g f_g \cdot \left(\frac{|P_i(h^{-1}g)|}{\eta_i^h} - \frac{|P_i(g)|}{\eta_i} \right), \phi \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_g f_g \cdot (|P_i(h^{-1}g)| - |P_i(g)|), \frac{\phi}{\eta_i^h} \right\rangle + \left\langle \sum_g f_g \cdot |P_i(g)| \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\eta_i^h} - \frac{1}{\eta_i} \right), \phi \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

For the left side, we have

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\eta_i} \right\| = \left\| \frac{1}{\sum_g |P_i(g)|} \right\| \leq \left\| \frac{1}{|\sum_g P_i(g)|} \right\| \approx 1$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\langle \sum_g f_g \cdot (|P_i(h^{-1}g)| - |P_i(g)|), \frac{\phi}{\eta_i^h} \right\rangle \\ &\leq \|\phi\|_1 \left\| \frac{1}{\eta_i^h} \right\|_\infty \left\langle \sum_g f_g \cdot (|P_i(h^{-1}g)| - |P_i(g)|), 1_X \right\rangle \\ &\xrightarrow{i} 0 \end{aligned}$$

For the right side, using $L^\infty(X, \mu) \subset L^1(X)$,

$$\left\langle \sum_g f_g \cdot |P_i(g)| \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\eta_i^h} - \frac{1}{\eta_i} \right), \phi \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \eta_i^h - \eta_i, \frac{1}{\eta_i \eta_i^h} \cdot \left(\sum_g f_g P_i(g) \right) \cdot \phi \right\rangle$$

$$\xrightarrow{i} 0$$

from approximately invariance of η_i .

- (4) \Rightarrow (5) This is the same statement as Theorem 3.3 (d) \Rightarrow (e) of [1].

□

7. CHARACTER-AMENABILITY

There is a weak version of amenability of Banach algebras called left/right- ω -amenable for ω is character on the Banach algebra; [14]

Definition 7.1. Let A be a Banach algebra and $\omega : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a character (Banach algebra homomorphism) on A . Here we permit 0 as characters.

Then A called *left (right) ω -amenable* if satisfies following;

Consider any A - A -bimodule E with left (right) action is by $a.v := \omega(a)v$ for $a \in A, v \in E$.

Then for any bounded derivation $D : A \rightarrow E^*$ is inner.

In particular for $\omega = 0$, we can say followings immediately;

Theorem 7.1. For Banach algebra A , the followings are equivalent;

- (1) A is right 0-amenable.
- (2) A has left bounded approximate identity $\{a_i\}_i$.

Seeing the proof of Theorem 1.2, it is known that the followings are equivalent for a topological group G ;

- (1) G is amenable.
- (2) $L^1(G)$ is amenable.
- (3) $L^1(G)$ is ω -left (right)-amenable for some character ω .
- (4) $L^1(G)$ is ω -left (right)-amenable for any character ω .
- (5) $L^1(G)$ is 1_G -left (right)-amenable.

For $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$, we can say partial analogue of this;

Theorem 7.2. For $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$, the followings are equivalent;

- (1) $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is amenable.
- (2) $W_0(\Gamma, X)$ is left π -amenable.
- (3) $\ker \bar{\pi}$ has right approximate identity.
- (4) $\ker \bar{\pi}$ is left 0-amenable.

(And we know amenability of $W_0(\Gamma, X)$ is not equivalent to those.)

Proof. (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) is by Theorem 7.1.

(4) \Rightarrow (2) is as follows;

Let E be W_0 - W_0 -module with right action is via π and $D : W_0 \rightarrow E^*$ is bounded derivation.

Then restriction gives $\ker \bar{\pi}$ - $\ker \bar{\pi}$ -bimodule structure on E with right action is zero and $D|_{\ker \bar{\pi}}$ is derivation.

Therefore (4) yields $\tau \in E^*$ with $D(f) = f.\tau - \tau.f$ for $f \in \ker \bar{\pi}$. Here $D(\delta_e)$ is 0 since δ_e is the unit of W_0 . Thus $D(f) = f.\tau - \tau.f$ is valid for $f \in W_0$ and D is inner.

(2) \Rightarrow (4) can be proved just by the converse;

Let E is a $\ker \bar{\pi}$ - $\ker \bar{\pi}$ -bimodule with left action is 0 and $D : \ker \bar{\pi} \rightarrow E^*$ is a bounded derivation.

Then the bimodule structure on E can be extended to W_0 by left action is by π and right action is

$$v.f := v.(f - \pi(f)\delta_e) + \pi(f)v$$

using $f - \pi(f)\delta_e \in \ker \bar{\pi}$.

Moreover letting $D(\delta_e) := 0$, D can be extended to W_0 and $D : W_0 \rightarrow E^*$ is derivation with above actions of W_0 on E^* .

Thus D is inner on W_0 and then on $\ker \bar{\pi}$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) is by constructing concrete derivation on W_0 as follows;

- $E := \ker \bar{\pi}^*$, then

$$\ker \bar{\pi}^{**} \cong \{\tau \in W_0^{**} \mid \tau(\pi) = 0\}$$

- $\ker \bar{\pi}^* \curvearrowright W_0$ is

$$\langle \Phi.f_1, f_2 \rangle := \langle \Phi, f_1 * f_2 \rangle$$

for $\Phi \in \ker \bar{\pi}^*$, $f_1 \in W_0$, $f_2 \in \ker \bar{\pi}$

- $W_0 \curvearrowright \ker \bar{\pi}^*$ is $f.\Phi := \pi(f) \cdot \Phi$.
- W_0^* is also W_0 - W_0 -bimodule with same fasion.
- Take $\tau_0 \in W_0^{**}$ with $\tau_0(\pi) = 1$. Then $f.\tau_0 - \tau_0.f \in W_0^{**}$ is actually in $\ker \bar{\pi}^{**}$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} (f.\tau_0 - \tau_0.f)(\pi) &= \tau_0(\pi.f - f.\pi) \\ &= \tau_0[W_0^* \ni f' \mapsto \pi(f * f') - \pi(f)\pi(f')] \\ &= \tau_0(0) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

- Therefore $D : W_0 \longrightarrow \ker \bar{\pi}^{**}$ is defined by

$$D(f) := f.\tau_0 - \tau_0.f$$

and this is bounded derivation on W_0 .

- Therefore (2) yields $\tau_1 \in \ker \bar{\pi}^{**}$ with $f.\tau_0 - \tau_0.f = f.\tau_1 - \tau_1.f$.
- This means

$$\begin{aligned} f.(\tau_0 - \tau_1) &= (\tau_0 - \tau_1).f \\ &= \pi(f)(\tau_0 - \tau_1) \end{aligned}$$

In particular $\tau_0 - \tau_1 \in W_0^{**}$ is Γ -invariant and $(\tau_0 - \tau_1)(\pi) = 1$. Thus it is an invariant mean for $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) is as follows;

Let E be W_0 - W_0 -module with right action is via π and $D : W_0 \rightarrow E^*$ is bounded derivation.

By (1), we have approximate Γ -invariant mean $\{f_i\}_i \subset W_0$. Since this is bounded, we can set $\tau \in E^*$ as a weak-star accumulation point of $\{D(f_i)\}_i$. Then we can show $D = ad_\tau$.

First $\{f_i\}_i$ satisfies $\|p(\delta_g - \delta_e) * f_i\|_{A_0} \xrightarrow{i} 0$ for any $g \in \Gamma, p \in CX$ since

$$\begin{aligned} \|p(\delta_g - \delta_e) * f_i\| &\leq \|p\|_\infty \cdot \|(\delta_g - \delta_e) * f_i\| \\ &= \|p\|_\infty \cdot \|g.f_i - f_i\| \\ &\xrightarrow{i} 0 \end{aligned}$$

This means

$$\begin{aligned} D(p(\delta_g - \delta_e) * f_i) &= D(p(\delta_g - \delta_e)).f_i + p(\delta_g - \delta_e).D(f_i) \\ &= D(p(\delta_g - \delta_e)) + p(\delta_g - \delta_e).D(f_i) \\ &\xrightarrow{i} 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus going to τ ,

$$\begin{aligned} D(p(\delta_g - \delta_e)) &= p(\delta_g - \delta_e).\tau \\ &= p(\delta_g - \delta_e).\tau - \tau.p(\delta_g - \delta_e) \end{aligned}$$

for any $p \in CX$ and $g \in \Gamma$.

Since $\ker \bar{\pi}$ is generated by $\{p(\delta_g - \delta_e) \mid p \in CX, g \in \Gamma\}$, it indicates that $D = ad_\tau$ on $\ker \bar{\pi}$.

Then $D = ad_\tau$ also on W_0 since $D(\delta_e)$ must be 0.

□

8. APPENDIX: TOPOLOGICAL / MEASURED GROUPOID

We write a (discrete) groupoid with $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}_0, s, t, m)$ where

- \mathcal{G}_0 is the object space of \mathcal{G} ,
- $s : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_0$ is the source map,
- $t : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_0$ is the target map,
- $m : \{(g, h) \in \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \mid s(g) = r(h)\} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is the product map.

We write $(g : x \rightarrow y) \in \mathcal{G}$ as an element g in \mathcal{G} with $s(g) = x$ and $t(g) = y$.

8.1. Topological Groupoids.

Definition 8.1. [2]

- (1) We call \mathcal{G} is *locally compact topological groupoid* (*lc groupoid* in short) when \mathcal{G} equips locally compact Hausdorff topology which makes s, t, m continuous.
- (2) Let \mathcal{G} be a *lc groupoid*. For each $x \in \mathcal{G}_0$, assume there are λ_x ; a Borel measure on $t^{-1}(x)$. We call the family $\lambda = \{\lambda_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}_0}$ is *Haar system* when
 - (continuity) for each $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$,

$$\mathcal{G}_0 \ni x \mapsto \int_{t^{-1}(x)} f \, d\lambda_x$$

is continuous.

- (invariance) for each $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$ and $(g : x \rightarrow y) \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\int_{t^{-1}(x)} f(gh) \, d\lambda_x(h) = \int_{t^{-1}(y)} f(h) \, d\lambda_y(h)$$

Haar system may not exists and is not unique in general.

Definition 8.2. Let (\mathcal{G}, λ) be *lc groupoid* with a fixed Haar system.

We say (\mathcal{G}, λ) is *topologically amenable* when exists a net $(f_i \in C_c^+(\mathcal{G}))_i$ with normalizedness;

$$f_i|_{t^{-1}(x)} \text{ belongs to } \text{Prob}(t^{-1}(x), \lambda_x) \text{ for each } x \in \mathcal{G}_0$$

and approximately invariance;

$$\sup_{x \in s(g)} \int_{t^{-1}(x)} |f_i(gh) - f_i(h)| \, d\lambda_x(h) \xrightarrow{i} 0 \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G}$$

8.2. Measured groupoid. [16], [17]

Definition 8.3. (1) Let \mathcal{G} be a groupoid equipped with a standard–Borel–measurable space structure \mathfrak{M} on \mathcal{G} . i.e. there exists some Polish space structure of \mathcal{G} and this Borel measurable sets are coincides \mathfrak{M} .

We call \mathcal{G} is *measurable groupoid* when s, t, m are measurable maps.

(2) Let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{M})$ be a measurable groupoid. We call the pair $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{M}, \lambda = \{\lambda_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}_0}, \mu)$ is *measured groupoid* if

- μ is a probability measure on $(\mathcal{G}_0, \mathfrak{M}|_{\mathcal{G}_0})$.
- λ_x is a positive measure on $(t^{-1}(x), \mathfrak{M}|_{t^{-1}(x)})$.
- (locally measurability of λ) for each $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$,

$$x \ni \mathcal{G}_0 \mapsto \int_{t^{-1}(x)} f \, d\lambda_x \text{ is measurable.}$$

- (invariance of λ) for each $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$ and $(g : x \rightarrow y) \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\int_{t^{-1}(x)} f(gh) \, d\lambda_x(h) = \int_{t^{-1}(y)} f(h) \, d\lambda_y(h)$$

- (quasi-invariance of ν) Two measures on \mathcal{G} ; $\mu \circ \lambda$ and $\mu \circ \lambda^{-1}$ are equivariant (i.e. have same null-sets) where

$$\mu \circ \lambda(f) := \int_{x \in \mathcal{G}_0} \int_{g \in t^{-1}(x)} f(g) \, d\lambda_y(g) \, d\mu(x)$$

and

$$\mu \circ \lambda^{-1}(f) := \int_{x \in \mathcal{G}_0} \int_{g \in t^{-1}(x)} f(g^{-1}) \, d\lambda_y(g) \, d\mu$$

Definition 8.4. Let $(\mathcal{G}, \lambda = \{\lambda_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}_0}, \mu)$ be a measured groupoid.

Then there exists action $C_c(\mathcal{G}) \curvearrowright L^\infty(\mathcal{G}, \mu \circ \lambda)$ defined by

$$f * \varphi(g) := \int_{h \in t^{-1}(tg)} f(h) \varphi(h^{-1}g) \, d\lambda_{tg}(h) \text{ for } f \in C_c(\mathcal{G}), \varphi \in L^\infty(\mathcal{G}, \mu \circ \lambda)$$

(It becomes contractive action when $C_c(\mathcal{G})$ equips $\|\cdot\|_I$; I -norm. See [17] p.16)

Samely, $C_c(\mathcal{G}) \curvearrowright L^\infty(\mathcal{G}_0, \mu)$ is defined by

$$f * \phi(x) := \int_{h \in t^{-1}(tx)} f(h) \phi(s(h)) \, d\lambda_x(h) \text{ for } f \in C_c(\mathcal{G}), \phi \in L^\infty(\mathcal{G}_0, \mu)$$

In other words, $f * \phi = r(f * (\phi \circ s))$ where $r : L^\infty(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathcal{G}_0)$ is the restriction map.

Definition 8.5. (1) A measured groupoid $(\mathcal{G}, \lambda = \{\lambda_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}_0}, \mu)$ called (*measured-*)*amenable* if there exists a \mathcal{G} -equivariant, unital conditional expectation of

$$P : L^\infty(\mathcal{G}, \mu \circ \lambda) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathcal{G}_0, \mu)$$

i.e. P satisfies

$$P(f * \varphi) = f * P(\varphi)$$

for $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$, $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathcal{G}, \mu \circ \lambda)$ and P is identity on $L^\infty(\mathcal{G}_0, \mu)$.

(2) Consider a 2nd-countable lc groupoid \mathcal{G} and Haar system $\lambda = \{\lambda_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}_0}$ on \mathcal{G} . We remark that \mathcal{G} becomes Polish space in this case.

Then \mathcal{G} is called *measurewise-amenable* if for all quasi-invariant measure μ on \mathcal{G}_0 (defined same as 8.3), the measured groupoid $(\mathcal{G}, \text{Borel } \sigma\text{-algebra}, \lambda, \mu)$ is measured-amenable.

Then the following can be showed;

Theorem 8.1. [17] 10.52, 10.22

Let \mathcal{G} be 2nd-countable lc groupoid and $\lambda = \{\lambda_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}_0}$ be Haar system on \mathcal{G} .

Then topologically amenability of (\mathcal{G}, λ) implies measurewise-amenable.

Moreover if the quotient space $\mathcal{G}_0/\mathcal{G}$ is T_0 , then the converse is true.

Especially for

- (1) étale groupoids,
- (2) lc groupoids with discrete orbits ([16] 3.3.7),
- or (3) transitive groupoids ([17] 10.54)

topologically amenability and measurewise amenability are equivalent.

When (3), metrically amenability (coincidence of the full C^* -algebra and the reduced C^* -algebra) is also equivalent.

Example 8.1. In the situation of $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ for discrete Γ and compact Hausdorff X , the transformation groupoid $\Gamma \rtimes X$ becomes a lc groupoid with topology of (discrete topology) \times (topology of X) and becomes étale groupoid.

We fix the notation as

- $\Gamma \rtimes X \ni (g, x)$ means $g^{-1}.x \xrightarrow{g} x$
- So $s(g, x) = g^{-1}.x$ and $t(g, x) = x$
- $(g, x) \cdot (h, g^{-1}.x) = (gh, x)$, $(g, x)^{-1} = (g^{-1}, g^{-1}.x)$

Every target fiber of $\Gamma \rtimes X$ is Γ and admit Haar system λ_c of $\Gamma \rtimes X$ with the counting measure of Γ .

Then the followings are equivalent from definition and Theorem 8.1

- (1) $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is amenable.
- (2) $(\Gamma \rtimes X, \lambda_c)$ is topologically-amenable.
- (3) $(\Gamma \rtimes X, \lambda_c)$ is measurewise-amenable.

We will rewrite (3) more concretely.

For every Borel measure μ on X , $\mu \circ \lambda_c$ of 8.3 is

the product measure of (counting measure) $\times \mu$ on $\Gamma \times X$.

Whereas $\mu \circ \lambda_c^{-1}$ is

$$\mu \circ \lambda_c^{-1}(\{g\} \times A) = \mu \circ \lambda_c(\{(g^{-1}, g^{-1}.x) \mid g^{-1}.x \in A\}) = \mu(gA)$$

for $A \subset X$.

Therefore μ is quasi-invariant with λ_c if and only if μ and $g.\mu$ is equivalent for all $g \in \Gamma$.

Fix quasi-invariant measure μ with λ_c . The action $C_c(\Gamma \times X) \curvearrowright L^\infty(\Gamma \times X, \lambda_c \circ \mu)$ and $C_c(\Gamma \times X) \curvearrowright L^\infty(X, \mu)$ are as follows;

For $\xi \in L^\infty(\Gamma \times X), \xi_X \in L^\infty(X), f \in C_c(\Gamma \times X)$,

$$(f * \xi)(g, x) = \sum_h f(h, x) \xi((h, x)^{-1} \cdot (g, x)) = \sum_h f(h, x) \xi(h^{-1}g, h^{-1}.x)$$

and

$$(f * \xi_X)(x) = \sum_h f(h, x) \xi_X(h^{-1}.x)$$

Therefore for $P : L^\infty(\Gamma \times X) \rightarrow L^\infty(X)$, satisfying $C_c(\Gamma \times X)$ -equivariance is equivalent to

$$P(g.\xi) = M(\xi)^g \quad \text{where } g.\xi(h, x) := \xi(g^{-1}h, g^{-1}.x)$$

for any $\xi \in L^\infty(\Gamma \times X)$, and also

$$P(\xi_X.\xi) = \xi_X \cdot P(\xi) \quad \text{where } \xi_X.\xi(h, x) := \xi_X(x)\xi(h, x)$$

for any $\xi_X \in L^\infty(X)$.

However the latter follows from the condition that P is identity on $L^\infty(X)$ and P is contractive, since $L^\infty(X \rtimes \Gamma)$ and $L^\infty(X)$ are C^* -algebras and we can exploit Tomiyama's characterization of conditional expectations on C^* -algebras [13].

Thus we obtained followings;

Theorem 8.2. Suppose $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ and $g.\mu \cong \mu$ for all $g \in \Gamma$.

Then the followings are equivalent;

- (1) The measured groupoid $(\Gamma \times X, \lambda_c, \mu)$ is amenable.
- (2) There exists a contractive linear map

$$P : L^\infty(\Gamma \times X) \rightarrow L^\infty(X)$$

and for any $\xi \in L^\infty(\Gamma \times X)$,

$$P(g.\xi) = M(\xi)^g \quad \text{where } g.\xi(h, x) := \xi(g^{-1}h, g^{-1}.x)$$

and for any $\xi_X \in L^\infty(X)$

$$P(\xi_X) = \xi_X$$

9. APPLICATION TO EXACT GROUPS

In this section, we investigate group actions of $\Gamma \curvearrowright \beta\Gamma$ as special case. Recall $C\beta\Gamma \cong \ell^\infty\Gamma$.

In this case, the algebra $A_0(\Gamma, \beta\Gamma)$ is nothing but the uniform convolution algebra $\ell_u\Gamma$ which was appeared in [5].

We have the following as special case of Theorem 5.1 using [12].

Corollary 9.1. for a discrete group Γ , the followings are equivalent;

- (1) Γ is exact.
- (2) There is Γ -compact Hausdorff space X with $A_0(\Gamma, X)$ is right- CX - ℓ^1 -amenable.
- (3) $A_0(\Gamma, \beta\Gamma)$ is right- $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ - ℓ^1 -amenable.

10. TO FURTHER RESULTS

The main theorem Theorem 5.1 might be extended to the case of

- (1) actions of topological groups,
- (2) actions on C^* -algebras,
- (3) topological grooids.

10.1. characterization by approximate diagonals. Ordinal amenability of Banach algebras has important characterizations in the term of bounded approximate / virtual diagonals.

Let $A \otimes_\pi A$ be the projective tensor product of Banach algebra A . Then it equips the natural A - A -bimodule structure and the diagonal operator map

$$\Delta : A \otimes_\pi A \ni a \otimes b \mapsto ab \in A$$

Moreover $(A \otimes_\pi A)^{**}$ equips A - A -bimodule structure and $\Delta^{**} : (A \otimes_\pi A)^{**} \rightarrow A^{**}$.

Theorem 10.1. ([14])

for Banach algebra A , the followings are equivalent;

- (1) A is amenable.
- (2) There exists a bounded net $(d_i)_i \subset A \otimes_\pi A$ with

$$a \cdot d_i - d_i \cdot a \xrightarrow{i} 0 \quad \text{and} \quad a \cdot \Delta(d_i) \xrightarrow{i} a$$

This $(d_i)_i$ is called a *bounded approximate diagonal* for A .

- (3) There exists $D \in (A \otimes_\pi A)^{**}$ with

$$a \cdot D - D \cdot a = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad a \cdot \Delta^{**}(D) \xrightarrow{i} a$$

This D is called a *virtual diagonal* for A .

Using this theorem, we can quantify amenability of A with

$$\text{AM}(A) := \inf\{\sup_i d_i \mid (d_i)_i \text{ is bounded approximate diagonal for } A\}$$

In the proof of Theorem 10.1 (1) \Rightarrow (3), innerness of some derivation $\Psi : A \rightarrow_A \ker \Delta_A^{**}$ is essential. However in the case of $A_0(\Gamma, X)$, $A_0(\Gamma, X) \otimes_\pi A_0(\Gamma, X)$ is not always right- CX - ℓ^1 -geometric, same for $(A_0 \otimes_\pi A_0)^{**}$ and $\ker \Delta^{**}$.

This makes hard to consider approximate diagonal type characterization of amenability of $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$.

11. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is grateful to Narutaka Ozawa for his invaluable advice throughout this research, having taught the proof techniques used in Sections 3 and 6. The author would also like to thank his advisor, Yasuyuki Kawahigashi, for his support and guidance in various aspects of this work. In addition, the author appreciates the stimulating discussions with colleagues Ikhan Choi, Ayoub Hafid, and Miho Mukouhara, as well as his friend Ken Sato, which greatly contributed to this research.

REFERENCES

- [1] Claire Anantharaman-Delaroche. Systèmes dynamiques non commutatifs et moyennabilité. *Mathematische Annalen*, 279(1-2):297–316, 1987/88. URL: <http://eudml.org/doc/164324>.
- [2] J. Renault C. Anantharaman-Delaroche. *Amenable Groupoids*. Contemporary Mathematics Groupoids in Analysis, Geometry, and Physics, 2001.
- [3] M. Day. Fixed-point theorems for compact convex sets. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, 5:585–590, 1961. URL: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:116987454>.
- [4] Z. Dong and Y. Wang. Fixed point characterisation for exact and amenable action. *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 92(2):228–232, June 2015. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0004972715000520>, doi:10.1017/s0004972715000520.
- [5] R. Douglas and P. Nowak. Invariant expectations and vanishing of bounded cohomology for exact groups. *J. Topol. Anal.* 3 (2011), no. 1, 89–107, 01 2010. URL: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1001.0718v5.pdf>, arXiv:1001.0718, doi:10.1142/S1793525311000489.
- [6] Roberto Frigerio. Bounded cohomology of discrete groups. 10 2016. URL: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1610.08339.pdf>, arXiv:1610.08339.
- [7] M. Gromov. Random walk in random groups. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 13(1):73–146, Feb 2003. URL: <https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s000390300002.pdf>, doi:10.1007/s000390300002.
- [8] P. Nowak J. Brodzki, G. Niblo and N. Wright. Amenable actions, invariant means and bounded cohomology. *Journal of Topology and Analysis*, 04(03):321–334, September 2012. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S1793525312500161>, doi:10.1142/s1793525312500161.
- [9] B. Johnson. Cohomology in banach algebras. *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society*, (127), 1972. URL: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:119747324>.
- [10] N. Monod. *Continuous Bounded Cohomology of Locally Compact Groups*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2001. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/b80626>, doi:10.1007/b80626.
- [11] N. Monod. A note on topological amenability. *IMRN 2011:17 (2011)*, 3872–3884, 04 2010. URL: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1004.0199v2.pdf>, arXiv:1004.0199, doi:10.1093/imrn/rnq238.
- [12] N. Ozawa. Amenable actions and exactness for discrete groups. *Comptes Rendus De L Academie Des Sciences Serie I-mathematique*, 330:691–695, 2000. URL: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:17091915>.

- [13] Nathaniel P. Brown Narutaka Ozawa. *C*-Algebras and Finite-Dimensional Approximations*. 2008. URL: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:117196217>.
- [14] V. Runde. *Amenable Banach algebras : a panorama*. Springer monographs in mathematics. Springer, 2020. URL: <https://ci.nii.ac.jp/ncid/BB30777492>.
- [15] R. Ryan. *Introduction to Tensor Products of Banach Spaces*. Springer London, 2002. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4471-3903-4>, doi:10.1007/978-1-4471-3903-4.
- [16] Masamichi Takesaki. *Theory of Operator Algebras III*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2003. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-10453-8>, doi:10.1007/978-3-662-10453-8.
- [17] Dana P. Williams. A tool kit for groupoid c^* -algebras. *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, 2019. URL: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:216570405>.