Multidimensional Measurements of Beam Single Spin Asymmetries in Semi-inclusive Deep-inelastic Charged Kaon Electroproduction off Protons in the Valence Region

A. Kripko,³⁶ S. Diehl,^{36,7} K. Joo,⁷ P. Achenbach,⁴³ J. S. Alvarado,²³ M. Amaryan,³⁵ W.R. Armstrong,¹ H. Atac,⁴² H. Avakian,⁴³ L. Baashen,²⁵ N.A. Baltzell,⁴³ L. Barion,¹⁶ M. Bashkanov,⁵⁰ F. Benmokhtar,⁹ A. Bianconi,^{46,22} A.S. Biselli,¹⁰ M. Bondi,^{19,21} F. Bossù,⁵ S. Boiarinov,⁴³ K.-T. Brinkmann,³⁶ W.J. Briscoe,¹⁴ W.K. Brooks,^{44,43} T. Cao,⁴³ R. Capobianco,⁷ D.S. Carman,⁴³ J.C. Carvajal,¹² A. Celentano,¹⁸ P. Chatagnon,^{5, 23} G. Ciullo,^{16, 11} P.L. Cole,²⁸ M. Contalbrigo,¹⁶ V. Crede,¹³ A. D'Angelo,^{19,37} N. Dashyan,⁵³ R. De Vita,^{18,*} M. Defurne,⁵ A. Deur,⁴³ C. Dilks,⁴³ C. Djalali,³⁴ R. Dupre,²³ H. Egiyan,⁴³ A. El Alaoui,⁴⁴ L. El Fassi,³⁰ L. Elouadrhiri,⁴³ S. Fegan,⁵⁰ I. P. Fernando,⁵¹ A. Filippi,²⁰ G. Gavalian,⁴³ D.I. Glazier,⁴⁸ R.W. Gothe,⁴⁰ Y. Gotra,⁴³ K. Hafidi,¹ H. Hakobyan,⁴⁴ M. Hattawy,³⁵ F. Hauenstein,⁴³ T.B. Hayward,^{29,52} D. Heddle,^{6,43} A. Hobart,²³ M. Holtrop,³³ Y. Ilieva,⁴⁰ D.G. Ireland,⁴⁸ E.L. Isupov,³⁹ H. Jiang,⁴⁸ H.S. Jo,²⁶ T. Kageya,⁴³ A. Kim,⁷ W. Kim,²⁶ V. Klimenko,^{7,1} V. Kubarovsky,⁴³ S.E. Kuhn,³⁵ L. Lanza,^{19,37} P. Lenisa,^{16,11} X. Li,³⁸ Z. Lu,⁴¹ I .J .D. MacGregor,⁴⁸ D. Marchand,²³ D. Martiryan,⁵³ V. Mascagna,^{46, 45, 22} D. Matamoros,²³ M. Maynes,³⁰ B. McKinnon,⁴⁸ R.G. Milner,²⁹ T. Mineeva,²⁷ M. Mirazita,¹⁷ V. Mokeev,⁴³ C. Munoz Camacho,²³ P. Nadel-Turonski,^{40,43} T. Nagorna,¹⁸ K. Neupane,⁴⁰ D. Nguyen,^{43,49} S. Niccolai,²³ G. Niculescu,²⁴ M. Osipenko,¹⁸ M. Ouillon,³⁰ P. Pandey,²⁹ L.L. Pappalardo,^{16,11} R. Paremuzyan,^{43,33} E. Pasyuk,^{43,2} S.J. Paul,⁴⁷ N. Pilleux,¹ S. Polcher Rafael,⁵ J. Poudel,⁴³ J.W. Price,³ Y. Prok,³⁵ T. Reed,¹² M. Ripani,¹⁸ J. Ritman,¹⁵ C.D. Roberts,⁴¹ P. Rossi,^{43,17} A.A. Rusova,³⁹ S. Schadmand,¹⁵ A. Schmidt,^{14, 29} Y.G. Sharabian,⁴³ E.V. Shirokov,³⁹ S. Shrestha,⁴² U. Shrestha,⁷ D. Sokhan,⁴⁸ N. Sparveris,⁴² M. Spreafico,¹⁸ I.I. Strakovsky,¹⁴ S. Strauch,⁴⁰ R. Tyson,⁴³ M. Ungaro,⁴³ S. Vallarino,¹⁸ L. Venturelli,^{46, 22} T. Vittorini,¹⁸ H. Voskanyan,⁵³ A. Vossen,^{8, 43} E. Voutier,²³ Y. Wang,²⁹ D.P. Watts,⁵⁰ U. Weerasinghe,³⁰ X. Wei,⁴³ M.H. Wood,⁴ L. Xu,²³ S.-S. Xu,³² N. Zachariou,⁵⁰ V. Ziegler,⁴³ and M. Zurek¹ (The CLAS Collaboration) ¹Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439 ²Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona 85287-1504 ³California State University, Dominguez Hills, Carson, CA 90747 ⁴Canisius University, Buffalo, NY ⁵IRFU, CEA, Universit'e Paris-Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France ⁶Christopher Newport University, Newport News, Virginia 23606 ⁷University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut 06269 ⁸Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708-0305 ⁹Duquesne University, 600 Forbes Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15282 ¹⁰Fairfield University, Fairfield CT 06824 ¹¹Universita' di Ferrara , 44121 Ferrara, Italy ¹²Florida International University, Miami, Florida 33199 ¹³Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306 ¹⁴ The George Washington University, Washington, DC 20052 ¹⁵GSI Helmholtzzentrum fur Schwerionenforschung GmbH, D-64291 Darmstadt, Germany ¹⁶INFN, Sezione di Ferrara, 44100 Ferrara, Italy ¹⁷INFN, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, 00044 Frascati, Italy ¹⁸INFN, Sezione di Genova, 16146 Genova, Italy ¹⁹INFN, Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata, 00133 Rome, Italy ²⁰INFN, Sezione di Torino, 10125 Torino, Italy ²¹INFN, Sezione di Catania, 95123 Catania, Italy ²²INFN, Sezione di Pavia, 27100 Pavia, Italy ²³ Universit'e Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, IJCLab, 91405 Orsay, France ²⁴ James Madison University, Harrisonburg, Virginia 22807 ²⁵King Saud University, Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia ²⁶Kyungpook National University, Daegu 41566, Republic of Korea ²⁷ Universidad de La Serena, Avda. Juan Cisternas 1200, La Serena, Chile ²⁸Lamar University, 4400 MLK Blvd, PO Box 10046, Beaumont, Texas 77710 ²⁹Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139-4307 ³⁰ Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, MS 39762-5167 ³¹School of Physics and Institute for Nonperturbative Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, Jiangsu, China ³²School of Science, Nanjing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Nanjing 210023, Jiangsu, China

³³University of New Hampshire, Durham, New Hampshire 03824-3568

³⁴Ohio University, Athens, Ohio 45701

³⁵Old Dominion University, Norfolk, Virginia 23529

³⁶II Physikalisches Institut der Universitaet Giessen, 35392 Giessen, Germany

³⁷Universita' di Roma Tor Vergata, 00133 Rome Italy

³⁸Shandong University, Qingdao, Shandong 266237, China

³⁹Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, 119234 Moscow, Russia

⁴⁰ University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina 29208

⁴¹School of Physics, Southeast University, Nanjing 211189, Jiangsu, China

⁴² Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122

⁴³ Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility, Newport News, Virginia 23606

⁴⁴ Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Casilla 110-V Valparaíso, Chile

⁴⁵ Università degli Studi dell'Insubria, 22100 Como, Italy

⁴⁶ Universit'a degli Studi di Brescia, 25123 Brescia, Italy

⁴⁷ University of California Riverside, 900 University Avenue, Riverside, CA 92521, USA

⁸ University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, United Kingdom

⁴⁹ University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996, USA

⁵⁰University of York, York YO10 5DD, United Kingdom

⁵¹University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22901

⁵² College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, Virginia 23187-8795
 ⁵³ Yerevan Physics Institute, 375036 Yerevan, Armenia

Measurements of beam single spin asymmetries in semi-inclusive deep inelastic electron scattering (SIDIS) with positively charged kaons off protons have been performed with 10.6 and 10.2 GeV incident electron beams using the CLAS12 spectrometer at Jefferson Lab. We report an analysis of the electroproduction of positively charged kaons over a large kinematic range of fractional energy, Bjorken x, transverse momentum, and photon virtualities Q^2 ranging from 1 GeV² up to 6 GeV² This is the first published multi-dimensionally binned CLAS12 measurement of a kaon SIDIS single spin asymmetry in the valence quark regime. The data provide constraints on the structure function ratio $F_{LU}^{\sin\phi}/F_{UU}$, where $F_{LU}^{\sin\phi}$ is a quantity with a leading twist of twist-3 that can reveal novel aspects of the quark-gluon correlations within the nucleon. The impact of the data on understanding the underlying reaction mechanisms and their kinematic variation is explored using theoretical models for the different contributing twist-3 parton distribution functions (PDFs) and fragmentation functions (FFs).

PACS numbers: 13.60.Le, 14.20.Dh, 14.40.Be, 24.85.+p

Most of the visible matter in the Universe consists of nucleons. Their mass and spin emerge from the strong interactions of their constituents, meaning that the description of their internal dynamics is important to understand the theory of strong interactions.

To better understand the nucleon's structure, a focus of the hadron physics community has moved beyond the collinear parton distribution functions (PDFs) [1–3] towards the study of the partons' motion and their spatial distribution in the transverse plane, perpendicular to the momentum of the parent hadron, via deep inelastic scattering (DIS) of lepton beams off nucleons. PDFs encode information about the momentum-dependent distribution of quarks inside the nucleon at twist-2. The contribution of twist-t operators in the process amplitude is suppressed by 2-t of the hard scale of the process through which the structure of the nucleon is studied [4]. Higher-twist functions do not have a parton model interpretation, as they are correlations between quarks and gluons that describe the non-local parts of QCD [5].

In semi-inclusive DIS (SIDIS), at least one specified hadron in the final state besides the scattered lepton is measured. SIDIS is a well-established tool for studying nucleon structural distributions in the plane transverse to its light-front (longitudinal) direction of motion. Spin

asymmetries in polarized SIDIS are related to convolutions of PDFs with fragmentation functions (FFs), and thus are of great interest among the hadron physics community [6-12]. The FFs can be interpreted in twist-2 as the probability for a given struck parton to emit a particular hadron [13].

Sizable single spin asymmetries (SSAs) for charged hadrons have been measured by COMPASS [14], for charged pions by CLAS [15] and CLAS12 [16] using multidimensional binning. The HERMES [17–20] experiment also measured SSAs for hadrons, including kaons, in a similar kinematic range, but with less statistics. The beam SSAs are twist-3 objects, which means that they are suppressed by $\mathcal{O}(M/Q)$, where M is the mass of the target nucleon and Q^2 is the virtuality of the photon.

In this Letter we present high statistics beam SSAs measured in K^+ SIDIS of longitudinally polarized electrons off unpolarized protons with a wide range of multidimensional kinematics on $Q^2 \in [1,6]$ GeV², $x_B \in$ $[0, 0.6], z \in [0.1, 0.7]$ and $P_T \in [0.1, 0.8]$ GeV. Here Q^2 is the momentum transferred into the system by the lepton probe (the photon virtuality), x_B is the fraction of the proton's momentum carried by the struck quark at leading twist, P_T is the transverse momentum of the hadron with respect to the virtual photon, and z is the fraction

of the virtual photon's energy carried by the outgoing hadron in the lab frame. A schematic illustration of the process is shown in Fig. 1. A definition of these relevant kinematic variables (Q^2, x_B, z, P_T) can be found in Ref. [21]. Due to their long lifetime and the resulting possibility for direct detection, pseudo-scalar mesons such as π^+ and K^+ are especially suited for SIDIS measurements.



FIG. 1. Schematic illustration of the reaction kinematics of the single kaon semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering process.

In the one-photon exchange approximation, the beam SSAs are defined as [21]:

$$A_{LU}(z, P_T, x_B, Q^2, \phi) = \frac{d\sigma^+ - d\sigma^-}{d\sigma^+ + d\sigma^-} = \frac{A_{LU}^{\sin\phi} \sin\phi}{1 + A_{UU}^{\cos\phi} \cos\phi + A_{UU}^{\cos2\phi} \cos2\phi}, \qquad (1)$$

where $d\sigma^{\pm}$ are the differential cross sections for the respective helicity state, *i.e.* the spin of the electron is parallel or antiparallel to the beam direction. The *L* and *U* subscripts represent the longitudinally polarized and the unpolarized states of the beam and the target, respectively. ϕ is the azimuthal angle between the electron scattering plane and the hadronic reaction plane as shown in Fig. 1.

In the following, we will focus on the $\sin \phi$ moment, $A_{LU}^{\sin \phi}$, which provides access to dynamical aspects of proton structure, as it is proportional to the polarized structure function ratio $F_{LU}^{\sin \phi}/F_{UU}$ [21]:

$$\frac{F_{LU}^{\sin\phi}}{F_{UU}} = \frac{F_{LU}^{\sin\phi}}{F_{UU,T} + \epsilon F_{UU,L}} = \frac{A_{LU}^{\sin\phi}}{\sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}}.$$
 (2)

Here, $F_{UU,T}$ and $F_{UU,L}$ are the contributions from the longitudinal and transverse polarizations of the virtual photon, respectively, with ϵ being the ratio of their fluxes.

Although factorization has not yet been proven in connection with twist-three observables [22], if one assumes that it is valid, then our data could be interpreted in terms of TMDs. The TMDs and FFs involved for given quark flavors can be obtained from the measurement of different mesons in the SIDIS final state (flavor decomposition). Moreover, the TMDs for distinct quark flavors may show different kinematic dependencies, making a flavor separation in fully differential kinematics essential. In this context kaon SIDIS plays an essential role in identifying the behavior of strange quark TMDs and FFs and their role in the different mechanisms. If one stays in the kinematic regime where the factorized convolution formula is assumed to be valid (small P_T) and one can write [23, 24]:

$$F_{LU}^{\sin\phi} = \frac{2M}{Q} \kappa \left[-\frac{\hat{h}k_T}{M_h} \left(xeH_1^{\perp} + \frac{M_h}{M} f_1 \frac{\tilde{G^{\perp}}}{z} \right) + \frac{\hat{h}p_T}{M} \left(xg^{\perp}D_1 + \frac{M_h}{M} h_1^{\perp} \frac{\tilde{E}}{z} \right) \right]. \quad (3)$$

Here κ denotes the convolution of PDFs and FFs weighted by a kinematic factor, e is a twist-3 PDF, H_1^{\perp} is called the Collins FF, f_1 is the unpolarized distribution function, G^{\perp} is a twist-3 FF, g^{\perp} is a twist-3 T-odd distribution function, D_1 is the unpolarized FF, h_1^{\perp} is the Boer-Mulders function [25] and \tilde{E} is a twist-3 FF. More details of these functions can be found in Refs. [12, 13, 19]. p_T and k_T are the intrinsic quark transverse momentum in the distribution and fragmentation functions, respectively. \hat{h} is a unit vector in the direction of the kaon's transverse momentum and M_h is the kaon mass. Most twist-3 structure functions can be separated into 3 terms using the equations of motion derived from the underlying QCD theory: a twist-2 term, related to a single-parton density, a twist-3 part, which contains information on quark-gluon correlations [26, 27] and a term proportional to the current-quark mass, which is usually neglected for light quarks. The structure function $F_{III}^{\sin\phi}$ contains only terms where either the PDF or the FF is twist-3, and is therefore sensitive to quark-gluon correlations [28]. As perturbative QCD could not describe the several percent magnitude of the experimentally observed asymmetry (see Ref. [29]), non-perturbative mechanisms have been proposed. The first one involves the eH_1^{\perp} term [30, 31], attributing the asymmetry to a coupling between the Collins FF H_1^{\perp} and e [26, 27, 32]. Although the collinear PDF e(x) does not have a direct probabilistic interpretation due to its twist-3 nature, its moments provide insights to the contribution to the nucleon mass from the finite quark masses and to the transverse force experienced by a transversely polarized quark in an unpolarized nucleon immediately after scattering [20]. The second mechanism involves convolution of the Boer-Mulders function h_1^{\perp} with the FF \tilde{E} and the coupling between the unpolarized distribution function f_1 and the twist-3 FF $\tilde{G^{\perp}}$. In addition to those mentioned above.

a mechanism involving the poorly known twist-3 TMD g^{\perp} can also generate the beam SSA [21]. g^{\perp} is sensitive to target quark-gluon correlations and it is related to the Boer-Mulders PDF through the QCD equations of motion [20]. The subsequent discussion suggests that our data are particularly sensitive to the eH_1^{\perp} and $g^{\perp}D_1$ terms in Eq. 3. An overview of the previous measurements of these TMDs and FFs can be found in Ref. [33].

SIDIS positively charged kaon electroproduction was measured at Jefferson Lab with CLAS12 (CEBAF Large Acceptance Spectrometer for experiments at 12 GeV) [34]. Beam SSAs were extracted over a wide range in Q^2 , x_B, z, P_T and ϕ . The incident electron beam was longitudinally polarized and the target was unpolarized liquid hydrogen. Data were taken with two different beam energies of 10.6 and 10.2 GeV. The CLAS12 forward detector consists of six identical sectors within a toroidal magnetic field. The momentum and the charge of the particles were determined by three regions of drift chambers from the curvature of the particle trajectories in the magnetic field. The electron identification was based on a lead-scintillator electromagnetic sampling calorimeter in combination with a Cherenkov counter. The identification of charged kaons is based on time-of-flight measurements. Although the dedicated time-of-flight system is able to achieve a 4σ kaon-pion separation up to 2.8 GeV momentum, there is still a non-negligible pion contamination in the kaon sample due to the high pion-tokaon ratio. A deep neural network was developed, which combines time information and the deposited energies obtained from multiple detector components and takes their correlations into account. The neural network consists of 3 fully connected hidden layers with 128 neurons per layer. This way the kaons could be identified more reliably up to 3 GeV momentum as the average purity was increased to 80-90% from 60-70% according to Monte Carlo simulations, while keeping more than 50% of the total sample. For the selection of deeply inelastic scattered electrons, constraints on $Q^2 > 1 \text{ GeV}^2$, on the energy fraction of the incoming lepton carried by the virtual photon y < 0.75, on Feynman x $x_F > 0$, and on the invariant mass of the hadronic final state W > 2 GeV were applied. Furthermore, it was required that the $e'K^+X$ missing mass be larger than 1.6 GeV to reduce the contribution from exclusive channels. The only exclusive channel that is above this cut and visible in the missing mass spectrum is the $\Lambda(1890)$, but it is responsible for less than 1% of the sample.

Although the model-independent structure function ratio $F_{LU}^{\sin \phi}/F_{UU}$ was studied at HERMES [35], CLAS [15] and CLAS12 [16] for different mesons in the final state, over the last 20 years, there is still no consistent understanding of the contribution of each part of Eq. 3 to the total structure function. The high statistics on an extended kinematic range, available with the new CLAS12 data, enables a precise multidimensional analysis for the first time, providing an excellent basis for the extraction of asymmetries for positively charged kaons in the valence quark regime. The data presented in this paper can be used as an additional input for global fits. For the multidimensional binning, first the electron variables are sorted in three bins on the $Q^2 - x_B$ -plane. This is illustrated in Fig. 2. In each $Q^2 - x_B$ -bin three bins are created in P_T and z, and a fine binning is applied for the remaining variable. The exact bin borders can be found in the Supplemental Material [36]. In this way the dependence of $F_{LU}^{\sin \phi}/F_{UU}(z, P_T, x_B, Q^2)$ (Eq. 1 and 2) on each individual kinematic variable can be examined.



FIG. 2. Distribution of Q^2 versus x_B with the bin boundaries.

The beam SSA and its statistical uncertainty were experimentally determined from the number of counts with positive and negative helicity (N_i^{\pm}) in a specific bin *i* as

$$A_{LU} = \frac{1}{P_e} \frac{N_i^+ - N_i^-}{N_i^+ + N_i^-}; \sigma_{A_{LU}} = \frac{2}{P_e} \sqrt{\frac{N_i^+ N_i^-}{(N_i^+ + N_i^-)^3}}.$$
 (4)

Here P_e is the average magnitude of the beam polarization, which was measured with a Møller polarimeter upstream of CLAS12 to be 87.24%± 2.42%. The polarization was flipped at 30 Hz to minimize systematic effects. The beam SSA was measured as a function of the azimuthal angle ϕ and was fitted with a sin ϕ function to extract $A_{LU}^{\sin\phi}$.

Several sources of systematic uncertainty were investigated, including beam polarization (~3%), radiative effects and the effect of the $\Lambda(1890)$ exclusive channel (~4%), and contamination from baryon resonances (~1%). The influence of additional $\cos \phi$ and $\cos 2\phi$ azimuthal modulations on the extracted $\sin \phi$ amplitude was also evaluated and found to be small (~4%). A detailed GEANT4 [37] based Monte Carlo simulation [38] was performed to study acceptance and bin-migration effects (~1%), which were both found to be negligible compared to the other contributions. The same simulation was also used to estimate the pion contamination in the kaon sample. On the basis of this information, the pion asymmetries were subtracted from the kaon asymmetries

in every kinematic bin. The differences after and before the correction were below 5% in most kinematic bins. The estimated contamination as well as the measured pion asymmetry and the uncorrected kaon asymmetry is listed in the Supplemental Material [36]. The same kinematic binning and cuts were used to extract these pion asymmetries as for the kaons. Every other part of the analysis procedure was identical to what is described in the pion paper [16]. The uncertainty of the contamination determined from the simulation was estimated by repeating the extraction procedure using two different PID (Particle Identification) methods: with and without using the neural network. This source of uncertainty was found to be the highest one, slightly below 15% in average. The total point-to-point systematic uncertainty of $F_{LU}^{\sin\phi}/F_{UU}$, defined as the square root of the quadratic sum of the uncertainties from all sources, is typically on the order of 22%, slightly below the average statistical uncertainty, which is around 29%. The ratio $F_{LU}^{\sin\phi}/F_{UU}$ was extracted and is listed in Supplemental Material [36] and in the CLAS physics database [39], alongside the mean value of the kinematic variables in each bin. Also the average of the systematic uncertainty in the kinematic bins coming from different sources is shown in the Supplemental Material [36].

Figures 3 and 4 show the dependence on $z(P_T)$ for the $P_T(z)$ bins in different bins of Q^2 and x_B for eK^+X . It can be observed that the general P_T and z behavior of the asymmetries is almost independent of Q^2 and x_B , and a rising trend in P_T can be observed in most z bins. For the z dependence, the ratio is rising or constant up to z = 0.4. For higher z it starts to fall rapidly in most bins.

The results are compared to theoretical predictions, calculated using the two models in Refs. [40, 41], adjusted to kaons and to the chosen kinematic binning. Both of these models describe the proton as an active quark and spectator scalar and axial-vector diquark. Both models include the eH_1^{\perp} and the $g^{\perp}D_1$ terms as the other terms are assumed to be negligible. According to both models, the $g^{\perp}D_1$ term is also found to be negligible for kaons. The first model uses a ratio for the axial-vector and scalar strengths fitted to data. In contrast to this, the second model uses a simpler propagator for the axialvector diquark and the ratio of axial-vector and scalar is fixed by SU(4) spin-flavor symmetry. The FFs used in both models are described in Ref. [42]. The models do not provide any uncertainties, only the mean value. The sign and the P_T behavior are reproduced by model 2 at low P_T in most $Q^2 - x_B$ -bins. It can be seen that model 2 provides a better description of the z-dependence for the low P_T region (< 0.45 GeV) while giving a poorer description of the data at higher P_T . The falling trend in z is also reproduced by model 2. The eH_1^{\perp} contribution of model 1 is uniformly negative, which is clearly incorrect.

These kinematic dependencies can provide valuable



FIG. 3. z dependence of $F_{LU}^{\sin\phi}/F_{UU}$ for K^+ for increasing P_T bins (left to right) and for increasing $Q^2 - x_B$ -bins (bin 1: $\langle Q^2 \rangle = 1.68 \text{ GeV}^2$, $\langle x_B \rangle = 0.15$, bin 2: $\langle Q^2 \rangle = 2.52 \text{ GeV}^2$, $\langle x_B \rangle = 0.26$, bin 3: $\langle Q^2 \rangle = 4.36 \text{ GeV}^2$, $\langle x_B \rangle = 0.37$ (The 3 rows correspond to the 3 $Q^2 - x_B$ -bins shown in Fig. 2.)). The systematic uncertainty is given by the black histogram. The predictions of the different theoretical models are shown by the bold lines (blue: model 1, red: model 2). More details about the models can be found in the text and in Refs. [40, 41]

insights into the kinematic dependence of the involved TMDs and FFs. Although this is the first time that an analysis binned in all relevant kinematic variables was made available for positively charged kaons in the valence quark regime, model 2 reproduces the sign and the tendencies in the data at small P_T without any of its parameters varied, with the exception of the adjustments to kaons and to the measured kinematics. This shows, that this approach is a good starting point for phenomenology. The fact that it is challenged at high P_T could mean that the parametrizations of the involved TMDs and FFs have to be improved or that additional terms from Eq. 3 besides the two that have been used, provide measurable contributions within those kinematic regions. Also higher-twist contributions, especially in the denominator of the structure function ratio could contribute in some kinematic regions. Another explanation is that the factorization that was assumed is not valid at higher P_T . According to Ref. [43], global fits of TMDs based on SIDIS and Drell-Yan data indicate that this may occur around $P_T \approx 0.3-0.4$ GeV, depending on the Q^2 and z kinematic domain. Such effects can only be studied in a high-precision multidimensional investigation of kaon



FIG. 4. P_T dependence of $F_{LU}^{\sin\phi}/F_{UU}$ for K^+ for increasing z bins (left to right) and for increasing $Q^2 - x_B$ -bins (see caption of Fig. 3). The systematic uncertainty is given by the black histogram. The predictions of the different theoretical models are shown by the bold and dashed lines (see caption of Fig. 3).

SIDIS, as it is available for the first time in the valence quark regime within this work.

In summary, the structure function ratio $F_{LU}^{\sin\phi}/F_{UU}$ corresponding to the polarized electron beam SSA in semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering has been measured for the first time in the valence quark regime over a wide range of kinematics binned in all the relevant kinematic variables at the same time using positively charged kaons. At higher z a strong drop in $F_{LU}^{\sin\phi}/F_{UU}$ can be observed for kaons in all bins. Comparison with theoretical predictions showed that the model describing the nucleon as an active quark and a spectator diquark, which has a single propagator, describes the sign and the tendencies in the data at low P_T in most cases including the falling behavior at high z. The data presented in this work will help to further constrain the TMDs and FFs in global fits. The fact that the currently available state of the art model can describe the new data very well at low P_T , but fails at higher values may be and indication for limitations of the TMD factorization for kaon SIDIS in the high P_T regime.

We acknowledge the outstanding efforts of the staff of the Accelerator and the Physics Divisions at Jefferson Lab in making this experiment possible. This work was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy, the National Science Foundation (NSF), the Italian Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN), the French Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), the French Commissariat pour l'Energie Atomique, the UK Science and Technology Facilities Council, the National Research Foundation (NRF) of Korea, the Helmholtz-Forschungsakademie Hessen für FAIR (HFHF), the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) and the Chilean Agency of Research and Development (ANID) and the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation program under Grant Agreement No. 824093 (STRONG2020). This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics under contract DE-AC05-06OR23177.

- * Current address:Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility, Newport News, Virginia 23606
- J. Gao, L. Harland-Lang, and J. Rojo, Physics Reports 742, 1 (2018), the Structure of the Proton in the LHC Precision Era.
- [2] J. J. Ethier and E. R. Nocera, Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science 70, 43 (2020).
- [3] K. Kovařík, P. M. Nadolsky, and D. E. Soper, Reviews of Modern Physics 92, 045003 (2020).
- [4] R. L. Jaffe, Spin, twist and hadron structure in deep inelastic processes (1996), arXiv:hep-ph/9602236 [hep-ph].
- [5] R. Ellis, W. Furmanski, and R. Petronzio, Nuclear Physics B 212, 29 (1983).
- [6] D. Sivers, Physical Review D 43, 261 (1991).
- [7] A. V. Efremov, K. Goeke, and P. Schweitzer, Physical Review D 67, 114014 (2003).
- [8] A. Bacchetta, U. D'Alesio, M. Diehl, and C. A. Miller, Physical Review D 70, 117504 (2004).
- [9] A. Metz and M. Schlegel, The European Physical Journal A - Hadrons and Nuclei 22, 489 (2004).
- [10] M. Anselmino, M. Boglione, U. D'Alesio, S. Melis, F. Murgia, and A. Prokudin, Physical Review D 87, 094019 (2013).
- [11] B. P. H. Avakian and A. Prokudin, La Rivista del Nuovo Cimento 42, 1 (2019).
- [12] M. Anselmino, A. Mukherjee, and A. Vossen, Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics 114, 103806 (2020).
- [13] A. Metz and A. Vossen, Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics 91, 136 (2016).
- [14] C. Adolph et al., Nuclear Physics B 886, 1046 (2014).
- [15] W. Gohn *et al.* (CLAS Collaboration), Physical Review D 89, 072011 (2014).
- [16] S. Diehl *et al.* (CLAS Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 128, 062005 (2022).
- [17] A. Airapetian *et al.* (HERMES Collaboration), Physical Review Letters 84, 4047 (2000).
- [18] A. Airapetian *et al.* (HERMES Collaboration), Physical Review D 64, 097101 (2001).
- [19] A. Airapetian et al., Physics Letters B 648, 164 (2007).
- [20] A. Airapetian *et al.*, Physics Letters B **797**, 134886 (2019).
- [21] S. Diehl, Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics 133,

104069 (2023).

- [22] A. Bacchetta, G. Bozzi, M. G. Echevarria, C. Pisano, A. Prokudin, and M. Radici, Physics Letters B 797, 134850 (2019).
- [23] A. Bacchetta, M. Diehl, K. Goeke, A. Metz, P. J. Mulders, and M. Schlegel, Journal of High Energy Physics 2007, 093 (2007).
- [24] J. Levelt and P. Mulders, Physics Letters B 338, 357 (1994).
- [25] D. Boer and P. J. Mulders, Physical Review D 57, 5780 (1998).
- [26] H. H. Matevosyan, W. Bentz, I. C. Cloët, and A. W. Thomas, Physical Review D 85, 014021 (2012).
- [27] P. Schweitzer, M. Strikman, and C. Weiss, Journal of High Energy Physics **2013**, 163 (2013).
- [28] S. Rodini and B. Pasquini (2019) p. 061.
- [29] P. Chiappetta and M. Le Bellac, Zeitschrift f
 ür Physik C Particles and Fields 32, 521 (1986).
- [30] A. V. Efremov and P. Schweitzer, Journal of High Energy Physics 2003, 006 (2003).
- [31] C. Cebulla, J. Ossmann, P. Schweitzer, and D. Urbano, The twist-3 parton distribution function e(x) in large-nc chiral theory (2007), arXiv:0710.3103 [hep-ph].
- [32] A. Accardi and A. Signori, The European Physical Journal C 80, 825 (2020).
- [33] H. Avakian, A. Bressan, and M. Contalbrigo, The European Physical Journal A 52, 150 (2016).
- [34] V. Burkert et al., Nuclear Instruments and Methods in

Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment **959**, 163419 (2020).

- [35] A. Airapetian *et al.*, Physics Letters B **797**, 134886 (2019).
- [36] See Supplemental Material [url] for result tables.
- [37] S. Agostinelli *et al.* (GEANT4), Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment **506**, 250 (2003).
- [38] M. Ungaro *et al.*, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment **959**, 163422 (2020).
- [39] CLAS physics database
 - https://clas.sinp.msu.ru/cgi-bin/jlab/db.cgi.
- [40] W. Mao and Z. Lu, The European Physical Journal C 73, 2557 (2013).
- [41] W. Mao and Z. Lu, The European Physical Journal C 74, 2910 (2014).
- [42] M. Anselmino, M. Boglione, U. D'Alesio, A. Kotzinian, F. Murgia, A. Prokudin, and S. Melis, Nuclear Physics B
 Proceedings Supplements 191, 98 (2009), proceedings of the Ringberg Workshop.
- [43] A. Bacchetta, V. Bertone, C. Bissolotti, G. Bozzi, M. Cerutti, F. Piacenza, M. Radici, A. Signori, and T. M. Collaboration, Journal of High Energy Physics **2022**, 127 (2022).