

# The varieties generated by 3-hypergraph semirings \*

Yuanfan Zhuo<sup>a</sup>, Xingliang Liang<sup>b</sup>, Yanan Wu<sup>a</sup>, Xianzhong Zhao<sup>a †</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Mathematics, Northwest University

Xi'an, Shaanxi, 710127, P. R. China

<sup>b</sup> School of Mathematics and Data Science,

Shaanxi University of Science and Technology

Xian, Shaanxi, 710021, P.R. China

**ABSTRACT** In this paper the 3-hypergraph semigroups and 3-hypergraph semirings from 3-hypergraphs  $\mathbb{H}$  are introduced and the varieties generated by them are studied. It is shown that all 3-hypergraph semirings  $S_{\mathbb{H}}$  are non-finitely based and subdirectly irreducible. Also, it is proved that each variety generated by 3-hypergraph semirings is equal to a variety generated by 3-uniform hypergraph semirings. It is well known that both variety  $\mathbf{V}(S_c(abc))$  (see, J. Algebra 611: 211–245, 2022 and J. Algebra 623: 64–85, 2023) and variety  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}})$  play key role in the theory of variety of ai-semirings, where 3-uniform hypergraph  $\mathbb{H}$  is a 3-cycle. They are shown that each variety generated by 2-robustly strong 3-colorable 3-uniform hypergraph semirings is equal to variety  $\mathbf{V}(S_c(abc))$ , and each variety generated by so-called beam-type hypergraph semirings or fan-type hypergraph semirings is equal to the variety  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}})$  generated by a 3-uniform 3-cycle hypergraph semiring  $S_{\mathbb{H}}$ . Finally, an infinite ascending chain is provided in the lattice of subvarieties of the variety generated by all 3-uniform hypergraph semirings. This implies that the variety generated by all 3-uniform hypergraph semirings has infinitely many subvarieties.

**Keywords:** Flat semiring; Hypergraph semiring; Variety; Lattice; Coloring

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classifications:** 08B15; 08B26; 16Y60; 20M07

## 1 Introduction

A *semiring* means an algebra with two associative binary operations  $+$ ,  $\cdot$ , in which  $+$  is commutative and  $\cdot$  distributes over  $+$  from the left and right. A semiring  $S$  is called

---

\*This paper is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (11971383)

†Corresponding author. E-mail: zhaoxz@nwu.edu.cn

*commutative* if  $ab = ba$  for all  $a, b \in S$ . An *additively idempotent semiring* (ai-semiring for short) is a semiring whose additive reduct is a commutative idempotent semigroup. Recall that a variety is said to be *finitely based* if it can be defined by finitely many identities; otherwise is said to be *nonfinitely based*. For any semiring  $S$ , we shall use  $\mathbf{V}(S)$  to denote the variety generated by  $S$ . A semiring  $S$  is said to be *finitely based* (resp., *nonfinitely based*) if the variety  $\mathbf{V}(S)$  is finitely based (resp., nonfinitely based). Ai-semiring varieties have been frequently studied in the literature (see, [6, 9–15, 17, 19–26]).

By a flat semiring we mean an ai-semiring  $S$  such that its multiplicative reduct has a zero element  $0$  and  $a + b = 0$  for all distinct elements  $a$  and  $b$  of  $S$ . Such operation is called the flat addition. In other words, a nontrivial ai-semiring is flat if and only if its additive reduct is a semilattice of height one and the top element is  $0$ . Some progress about flat algebras or flat semirings has been made by Jackson, Zhao, Ren, Wu and Kun (see, [5, 6, 8, 11, 20, 21, 25]). Recall that if  $S$  is a semigroup (or semiring) with  $0$ , we say that it satisfies the *0-cancellative laws* if for any  $a, b, c \in S$ ,

$$ab = ac \neq 0 \Rightarrow b = c, \text{ and } ba = ca \neq 0 \Rightarrow b = c.$$

It is well known that a semigroup with  $0$  is the multiplicative reduct of a flat semiring if and only if it satisfies the 0-cancellative laws (see, [6, Lemma 2.2]).

We know that the two classes of flat semirings play important roles in the theory of semiring. One class of flat semirings is  $S_c(W)$  (see, [6]). Let  $X_c^+$  denote the free commutative semigroup on some alphabet  $X$ , and let  $W$  be a nonempty subset of  $X_c^+$ . If  $S_c(W)$  denotes the set of all nonempty subwords of words in  $W$  with an additional symbol  $0$  and define an operation on  $S_c(W)$  by the rule ( $\forall u, v \in S_c(W)$ )

$$u \cdot v = \begin{cases} uv, & \text{if } uv \in S_c(W) \setminus \{0\}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $S_c(W)$  forms a semigroup with a zero element  $0$ . It is easy to see that the semigroup  $S_c(W)$  is 0-cancellative. So the semigroup  $S_c(W)$  becomes a flat semiring if the zero element is taken as the top element. If  $W$  consists of a single word  $w$ , then  $S_c(W)$  will be denoted by  $S_c(w)$ .

Another class of flat semirings are hypergraph semirings. Some basic background and related concepts on hypergraphs see [1, 3, 18]. Jackson, Zhao et al. in [6] constructed a flat semiring  $S_{\mathbb{H}}$  from a  $k$ -uniform hypergraph  $\mathbb{H}$  of girth  $m \geq 5$  ( $k \geq 3$ ), which is referred as *hypergraph semirings*. The generators of  $S_{\mathbb{H}}$  consist of the multiplicative and additive zero element  $0$  and the elements  $\mathbf{a}_v$  for each vertex  $v$  of  $\mathbb{H}$ . The multiplicative part of the semiring is subject to four rules (see, [6, p. 225]). The addition on  $S_{\mathbb{H}}$  is defined by the flat semiring addition. On the other hand, to study the varieties of semigroup, Jackson et al. in [7] also defined some 3-uniform hypergraph semigroups from 3-uniform hypergraphs of girth at least 4.

Different from [6] and [7], in this paper we shall introduce a hypergraph semigroup  $SG_{\mathbb{H}}$  from a 3-hypergraph  $\mathbb{H}$  of girth  $m \geq 3$  (which need not be either 3-uniform or of girth  $m \geq 4$ ). We shall prove that each such 3-hypergraph semigroup  $SG_{\mathbb{H}}$  is 0-cancellative. Thus  $SG_{\mathbb{H}}$  becomes a flat semiring by adding the flat semiring addition, which is also written  $S_{\mathbb{H}}$  and called *3-hypergraph semirings*. We shall show that all 3-hypergraph semirings  $S_{\mathbb{H}}$  are subdirectly irreducible and nonfinitely based in Section 2. Also, it will be proved that for a 3-hypergraph  $\mathbb{H}$ , there exists a 3-uniform hypergraph  $\mathbb{H}'$  such that  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}}) = \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}'})$ . That is to say, each variety generated by 3-hypergraph semirings is equal to a variety generated by 3-uniform hypergraph semirings. In Section 3, we shall introduce and study the 2-robustly strong 3-colorable 3-uniform hypergraph, such as 3-uniform  $n$ -cycle ( $n \geq 4$ ) and 3-uniform hyperforest, and hypergraph semirings defined from them. It will be shown that each variety generated by 2-robustly strong 3-colorable 3-uniform hypergraph semirings is equal to  $\mathbf{V}(S_c(abc))$ . Of course, both variety  $\mathbf{V}(S_c(abc))$  (see, [6, 11]) and variety  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}})$  play key role in the theory of variety of ai-semirings, where 3-uniform hypergraph  $\mathbb{H}$  is a 3-cycle. We shall show that each variety generated by so-called beam-type hypergraph semirings or fan-type hypergraph semirings is equal to the variety  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}})$  in Section 4. Also, we shall provide an infinite ascending chain in the lattice of subvarieties of the variety generated by all 3-uniform hypergraph semirings. This implies that the variety generated by all 3-uniform hypergraph semirings has infinitely many subvarieties.

Throughout this paper, 3-hypergraph mentioned is always finite, linear and contains no isolated vertices and loops, and every hyperedge of degree 2 can not be adjacent with other hyperedges. For other notations and terminologies on semigroup and equational theory not given in this paper, the reader is referred to the books [2], [4] and [16].

## 2 3-hypergraph semigroups and semirings

In this section we shall introduce and study 3-hypergraph semigroups and 3-hypergraph semirings. Some properties of them are given. For this, the following related concepts on hypergraphs are needed.

Let  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  be a finite set and  $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$  a family of subsets of  $V$ . The pair  $\mathbb{H} = (V, E)$  is called a *hypergraph* with the vertex set  $V$  and the hyperedge set  $E$  (see, [1, 3, 18]). The elements  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  are called *vertices* and the sets  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m$  are called *hyperedges*. In a hypergraph, two vertices are said to be *adjacent* if there is a hyperedge  $e \in E$  that contains both vertices. Two hyperedges are said to be *adjacent* if their intersection is not empty. A vertex is called *isolated* if it does not belong to any hyperedge. A hyperedge  $e \in E$  such that  $|e| = 1$  is a *loop*.

Some hyperedges may be the subsets of some other hyperedges; in this case they are called *included*. A hypergraph is called *simple* if it contains no included hyperedges. Two simple hypergraph  $\mathbb{H}_1$  and  $\mathbb{H}_2$  are called *isomorphic* if there exists a one-to-one

correspondence between their vertex sets such that any subset of vertices form a hyperedge in  $\mathbb{H}_1$  if and only if the corresponding subset of vertices forms a hyperedge in  $\mathbb{H}_2$ .

A subset of a hyperedge is called a *subhyperedge* of  $\mathbb{H}$ . Two subhyperedges are said to be *linked* if there is a single vertex that completes both of the subhyperedges to full hyperedges of  $\mathbb{H}$ . Any hypergraph  $\mathbb{H}' = (V', E')$  such that  $V' \subseteq V$  and  $E' \subseteq E$  is called a *subhypergraph* of  $\mathbb{H}$ . In such case, we write  $\mathbb{H}' \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ . A hypergraph  $\mathbb{H}' = (V', E')$  is called an *induced subhypergraph* of  $\mathbb{H}$  if  $V' \subseteq V$  and all hyperedges of  $\mathbb{H}$  completely contained in  $V'$  form the family  $E'$ . Sometimes we say that  $\mathbb{H}'$  is a subhypergraph induced by  $V'$ . A hypergraph  $\mathbb{H}' = (V', E')$  is called a *partial subhypergraph* of  $\mathbb{H}$  if  $E' \subseteq E$  and  $\cup\{e_i \mid e_i \in E'\} \subseteq V' \subseteq V$ . Notice that we may have  $V' = V$  or  $\cup\{e_i \mid e_i \in E'\}$ .

A *cycle* is a sequence  $v_0, e_0, v_1, e_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, e_{n-1}$  alternating between distinct vertices  $v_0, \dots, v_{n-1}$  and distinct hyperedges  $e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}$ , such that  $v_i \in e_i \cap e_{i+1}$  (with addition in the subscript taken modulo  $n$ ), and  $n$  is called the length of this cycle. We denote the cycle with the length being equal to  $n$  by  $n$ -cycle. The *girth* of a hypergraph  $\mathbb{H}$  is the length of the shortest cycle if there exists at least a cycle in  $\mathbb{H}$ , denoted by  $g(\mathbb{H})$ . A *hyperforest* means a hypergraph without cycles, which girth is regarded as infinite. A hypergraph is said to be *n-cycle hypergraph* if it is exactly a  $n$ -cycle.

The number  $|e_i|$  is called the *degree* of the hyperedge  $e_i$ . The rank of a hypergraph  $\mathbb{H}$  is  $r(\mathbb{H}) = \max\{|e_i| \mid e_i \in E\}$ . A hypergraph (resp., hyperforest) is called *r-hypergraph* (resp., *r-hyperforest*) if its rank is  $r$ . If  $|e| = k$  for all  $e \in E$ , then  $\mathbb{H}$  is a *k-uniform hypergraph*. A hypergraph is *linear* if any two of its hyperedges intersect in at most one vertex. Note that for a hypergraph without loops, we always have the following property.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\mathbb{H}$  be a hypergraph without loops. Then  $\mathbb{H}$  is linear if and only if  $g(\mathbb{H}) \geq 3$ .*

*Proof.* As  $g(\mathbb{H}) \geq 2$ , we only need to prove that  $\mathbb{H}$  is not linear if and only if  $g(\mathbb{H}) = 2$ . For necessity, suppose that  $\mathbb{H}$  is not linear. It follows that there exists two distinct hyperedges  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  in  $\mathbb{H}$  such that  $|e_1 \cap e_2| \geq 2$ . Assume that  $e_1 \cup e_2 = \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ ,  $e_1 = \{v_1, \dots, v_k, v_m\}$  and  $e_2 = \{v_1, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_{m-1}, v_m\}$ . It is clear that the sequence  $v_1, e_1, v_m, e_2$  is a 2-cycle. This implies that  $g(\mathbb{H}) = 2$ , since  $\mathbb{H}$  has no loops.

For sufficiency, suppose that  $g(\mathbb{H}) = 2$ . Then there exists a 2-cycle  $v_1, e_1, v_m, e_2$ , where  $e_1 \neq e_2$  and  $v_1 \neq v_m$ . Thus  $\{v_1, v_m\} \subseteq e_1 \cap e_2$ . This means that  $\mathbb{H}$  is not linear.  $\square$

In the following we shall introduce and study the 3-hypergraph semigroups. A *3-hypergraph semigroup*  $SG_{\mathbb{H}}$  defined from 3-hypergraph  $\mathbb{H} = (V, E)$  is expressed as  $\langle X \mid R \rangle$ , where the generator set  $X$  is equal to  $\{\mathbf{a}_u \mid u \in V\} \cup \{0\}$  and the relation  $R$  is equal to the union of the following subsets of  $X^+ \times X^+$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(\mathbf{a}_u \mathbf{a}_v, \mathbf{a}_v \mathbf{a}_u) \mid u, v \in V\}, & & \{(\mathbf{a}_{u_1} \dots \mathbf{a}_{u_k}, \mathbf{a}) \mid \{u_1, \dots, u_k\} \in E, \mathbf{a} \neq 0\}, \\ & \{(\mathbf{a}_u \mathbf{a}_v \mathbf{a}_h, 0) \mid \{u, v, h\} \notin E\}, & & \{(\mathbf{a}_u \mathbf{a}_v, 0) \mid u = v \text{ or } \{u, v\} \text{ is not a subhyperedge}\}, \\ & \{(0 \mathbf{a}_u, 0), (\mathbf{a}_u 0, 0), (00, 0) \mid u \in V\}, & & \{(\mathbf{a}_{u_i} \mathbf{a}_{u_j}, \mathbf{a}_{u_r} \mathbf{a}_{u_l}) \mid \{u_i, u_j\} \text{ and } \{u_r, u_l\} \text{ are linked}\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is well-known that the semigroup  $SG_{\mathbb{H}}$  is isomorphic to  $X^+/R^\#$ , where  $R^\#$  denotes the semigroup congruence on free semigroup  $X^+$  generated by  $R$ . To prove that the semigroup  $X^+/R^\#$  is 0-cancellative, the following lemma is needed for us.

**Lemma 2.2.** *In the semigroup  $X^+/R^\#$  the following are true:*

- (i)  $\{u_i, u_j\}$  is not a subhyperedge of  $\mathbb{H}$  if and only if  $\mathbf{a}_{u_i}\mathbf{a}_{u_j}/R^\# = 0/R^\#$ .
- (ii)  $\{u_i, u_j, u_l\}$  is not a hyperedge of  $\mathbb{H}$  if and only if  $\mathbf{a}_{u_i}\mathbf{a}_{u_j}\mathbf{a}_{u_l}/R^\# = 0/R^\#$ .
- (iii)  $\mathbf{a}_{u_1}\mathbf{a}_{u_2}\cdots\mathbf{a}_{u_l}/R^\# = 0/R^\#$  ( $l \geq 4$ ).
- (iv) Let  $\{u_1, \dots, u_i\}$  and  $\{v_1, \dots, v_j\}$  be distinct subhyperedges  $e'_1$  and  $e'_2$  of  $\mathbb{H}$ , respectively. Then  $\mathbf{a}_{u_1}\cdots\mathbf{a}_{u_i}/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{v_1}\cdots\mathbf{a}_{v_j}/R^\#$  if and only if both  $e'_1$  and  $e'_2$  are hyperedges, or they are linked.

*Proof.* Clearly, (i) and (ii) are valid.

(iii) We only need to show that there exists at least one 3-element subset  $A$  of  $\{u_1, u_2 \cdots u_l\}$  such that  $A$  is not a hyperedge ( $l \geq 4$ ). Suppose that all 3-element subsets of  $\{u_1, u_2 \cdots u_l\}$  are hyperedges. Thus  $\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$  and  $\{u_2, u_3, u_4\}$  are hyperedges, a contradiction.

(iv) Suppose  $\mathbf{a}_{u_1}\cdots\mathbf{a}_{u_i}/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{v_1}\cdots\mathbf{a}_{v_j}/R^\#$ . Since the degree of a hyperedge in  $\mathbb{H}$  is 2 or 3, without loss of generality, we have following six cases.

**Case 1.**  $e'_1 = \{u_1\}$  and  $e'_2 = \{v_1\}$ . Then  $\mathbf{a}_{u_1}/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{v_1}/R^\#$ , which is contrary to  $\mathbf{a}_{u_1}$  and  $\mathbf{a}_{v_1}$  being different. Hence the assumption does not hold in this case.

**Case 2.**  $e'_1 = \{u_1\}$  and  $e'_2 = \{v_1, v_2\}$ .

(1) If  $e'_2$  is a hyperedge, then  $\mathbf{a}_{v_1}\mathbf{a}_{v_2}/R^\# = \mathbf{a}/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{u_1}/R^\#$ . Thus  $\{u_1\}$  is a hyperedge, a contradiction. Hence the assumption does not hold at this point.

(2) If  $e'_2$  is a proper subhyperedge, then there exists a vertex  $v_3 \in V$  such that  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$  is a hyperedge. Since  $\mathbf{a}_{u_1}/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{v_1}\mathbf{a}_{v_2}/R^\#$ , we have that  $\mathbf{a}_{v_1}\mathbf{a}_{v_2}\mathbf{a}_{v_3}/R^\# = \mathbf{a}/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{u_1}\mathbf{a}_{v_3}/R^\#$ . Thus  $\{u_1, v_3\}$  is a hyperedge, a contradiction. Hence the assumption does not hold at this point.

**Case 3.**  $e'_1 = \{u_1\}$  and  $e'_2 = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ . Clearly,  $e'_2$  is a hyperedge. Then  $\mathbf{a}_{v_1}\mathbf{a}_{v_2}\mathbf{a}_{v_3}/R^\# = \mathbf{a}/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{u_1}/R^\#$ . Thus  $\{u_1\}$  is a hyperedge, a contradiction. Hence the assumption does not hold in this case.

**Case 4.**  $e'_1 = \{u_1, u_2\}$  and  $e'_2 = \{v_1, v_2\}$ .

(1) If  $e'_1$  is a hyperedge, then  $\mathbf{a}_{u_1}\mathbf{a}_{u_2}/R^\# = \mathbf{a}/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{v_1}\mathbf{a}_{v_2}/R^\#$ . Then  $e'_2$  is also a hyperedge.

(2) If  $e'_1$  is a proper subhyperedge, then there exists a vertex  $u_3 \in V$  such that  $\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$  is a hyperedge. Since  $\mathbf{a}_{u_1}\mathbf{a}_{u_2}/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{v_1}\mathbf{a}_{v_2}/R^\#$ , we have that  $\mathbf{a}_{u_1}\mathbf{a}_{u_2}\mathbf{a}_{u_3}/R^\# = \mathbf{a}/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{v_1}\mathbf{a}_{v_2}\mathbf{a}_{u_3}/R^\#$ . Thus  $\{v_1, v_2, u_3\}$  is a hyperedge. Suppose that  $|e'_1 \cap e'_2| = 1$ . Without loss of generality we assume that  $u_1 = v_1$ . Then  $\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$  and  $\{u_1, v_2, u_3\}$  are hyperedges, a contradiction. Hence  $e'_1$  and  $e'_2$  are linked.

**Case 5.**  $e'_1 = \{u_1, u_2\}$  and  $e'_2 = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ . Clearly,  $e'_2$  is a hyperedge. Then  $\mathbf{a}_{v_1}\mathbf{a}_{v_2}\mathbf{a}_{v_3}/R^\# = \mathbf{a}/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{u_1}\mathbf{a}_{u_2}/R^\#$ . Thus  $e'_1$  is also a hyperedge.

**Case 6.**  $e'_1 = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$  and  $e'_2 = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ . Clearly,  $e'_1$  and  $e'_2$  are hyperedges.

The converse half is clear.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.3.** *The semigroup  $X^+/R^\#$  is 0-cancellative.*

*Proof.* Let  $a, b, c \in X^+$ . Suppose that  $(a/R^\#)(b/R^\#) = (a/R^\#)(c/R^\#) \neq 0/R^\#$ . Since  $a/R^\# \neq 0/R^\#$  and  $(a/R^\#)(b/R^\#) \neq 0/R^\#$ , it follows from Lemma 2.2 (i – iii) that there exists a subhyperedge  $\{u_1, u_2\}$  such that  $a/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{u_1}\mathbf{a}_{u_2}/R^\#$  or there exists a vertex  $u_1 \in V$  such that  $a/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{u_1}/R^\#$ . Similarly, there exists a subhyperedge  $\{u_3, u_4\}$  such that  $b/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{u_3}\mathbf{a}_{u_4}/R^\#$  or there exists a vertex  $u_3 \in V$  such that  $b/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{u_3}/R^\#$ ; there exists a subhyperedge  $\{u_5, u_6\}$  such that  $c/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{u_5}\mathbf{a}_{u_6}/R^\#$  or there exists a vertex  $u_5 \in V$  such that  $c/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{u_5}/R^\#$ . Without loss of generality, we only consider the following six cases.

**Case 1.**  $a/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{u_1}/R^\#, b/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{u_3}/R^\#$  and  $c/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{u_5}/R^\#$ . If  $u_3 = u_5$ , then  $b = c$  and so  $b/R^\# = c/R^\#$  as required. Otherwise, since  $\mathbf{a}_{u_1}\mathbf{a}_{u_3}/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{u_1}\mathbf{a}_{u_5}/R^\# \neq 0/R^\#$ , it follows from Lemma 2.2 (i) and (iv) that subhyperedges  $\{u_1, u_3\}$  and  $\{u_1, u_5\}$  are hyperedges or linked, a contradiction.

**Case 2.**  $a/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{u_1}/R^\#, b/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{u_3}/R^\#$  and  $c/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{u_5}\mathbf{a}_{u_6}/R^\#$ . It follows from Lemma 2.2 (ii) that  $\{u_1, u_5, u_6\}$  is a hyperedge, since  $\mathbf{a}_{u_1}\mathbf{a}_{u_5}\mathbf{a}_{u_6}/R^\# \neq 0/R^\#$ . Thus  $\{u_1, u_3\}$  is also a hyperedge, since  $\mathbf{a}_{u_1}\mathbf{a}_{u_5}\mathbf{a}_{u_6}/R^\# = \mathbf{a}/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{u_1}\mathbf{a}_{u_3}/R^\#$ , a contradiction. Hence the assumption does not hold in this case.

**Case 3.**  $a/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{u_1}/R^\#, b/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{u_3}\mathbf{a}_{u_4}/R^\#$  and  $c/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{u_5}\mathbf{a}_{u_6}/R^\#$ . If  $\{u_3, u_4\} = \{u_5, u_6\}$ , then  $b = c$  and so  $b/R^\# = c/R^\#$  as required. Otherwise, since  $\mathbf{a}_{u_1}\mathbf{a}_{u_3}\mathbf{a}_{u_4}/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{u_1}\mathbf{a}_{u_5}\mathbf{a}_{u_6}/R^\# \neq 0/R^\#$ , it follows from Lemma 2.2 (ii) that  $\{u_1, u_3, u_4\}$  and  $\{u_1, u_5, u_6\}$  are hyperedges. Suppose that  $|\{u_3, u_4\} \cap \{u_5, u_6\}| = 1$ . Without loss of generality we assume that  $u_3 = u_5$ . Then  $\{u_1, u_3, u_4\}$  and  $\{u_1, u_3, u_6\}$  are hyperedges, a contradiction. Thus  $\{u_3, u_4\}$  and  $\{u_5, u_6\}$  are linked. Hence  $b/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{u_3}\mathbf{a}_{u_4}/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{u_5}\mathbf{a}_{u_6}/R^\# = c/R^\#$ .

**Case 4.**  $a/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{u_1}\mathbf{a}_{u_2}/R^\#, b/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{u_3}/R^\#$  and  $c/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{u_5}/R^\#$ . If  $u_3 = u_5$ , then  $b = c$  and so  $b/R^\# = c/R^\#$  as required. Otherwise, since  $\mathbf{a}_{u_1}\mathbf{a}_{u_2}\mathbf{a}_{u_3}/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{u_1}\mathbf{a}_{u_2}\mathbf{a}_{u_5}/R^\# \neq 0/R^\#$ , it follows from Lemma 2.2 (ii) that  $\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$  and  $\{u_1, u_2, u_5\}$  are hyperedges, a contradiction.

**Case 5.**  $a/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{u_1}\mathbf{a}_{u_2}/R^\#, b/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{u_3}/R^\#$  and  $c/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{u_5}\mathbf{a}_{u_6}/R^\#$ . Then the assumption does not hold in this case, since  $(a/R^\#)(c/R^\#) = 0/R^\#$ .

**Case 6.**  $a/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{u_1}\mathbf{a}_{u_2}/R^\#, b/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{u_3}\mathbf{a}_{u_4}/R^\#$  and  $c/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{u_5}\mathbf{a}_{u_6}/R^\#$ . Then assumption does not hold in this case, since  $(a/R^\#)(b/R^\#) = (a/R^\#)(c/R^\#) = 0/R^\#$ .

Dually, we can prove that  $b/R^\# = c/R^\#$  if  $(b/R^\#)(a/R^\#) = (c/R^\#)(a/R^\#) \neq 0/R^\#$ .  $\square$

Now we can see from [6, Lemma 2.2] that the semigroup  $X^+/R^\#$  equipped with the flat semiring addition becomes a flat semiring, which is written  $S_{\mathbb{H}}$  and called *3-hypergraph semiring*.

**Proposition 2.4.** *All 3-hypergraph semirings  $S_{\mathbb{H}}$  are nonfinitely based and subdirectly irreducible.*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $S_c(a_1a_2a_3) \in \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}})$ , since  $S_c(a_1a_2a_3)$  is, up to isomorphism, a subsemiring of  $S_{\mathbb{H}}$ . They are also clear that  $S_{\mathbb{H}}$  is a finite flat semiring with the index 2 and that all noncyclic elements in  $S_{\mathbb{H}}$  form an order ideal of  $S_{\mathbb{H}}$ . Thus by [6, Theorem 4.9], we have directly that  $S_{\mathbb{H}}$  is nonfinitely based.

From [21, Proposition 2.1], it follows that  $S_{\mathbb{H}}$  is subdirectly irreducible, since  $S_{\mathbb{H}}$  is 2-nil (i.e., its multiplicative reduct is a 2-nil semigroup) and has a unique non-zero annihilator  $\bar{\mathbf{a}}$ , where  $\bar{\mathbf{a}}$  denotes  $\mathbf{a}/R^\#$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** *For every 3-hypergraph  $\mathbb{H}$ , there exists a 3-uniform hypergraph  $\mathbb{H}'$  such that  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}}) = \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}'})$ .*

*Proof.* This statement is true when  $\mathbb{H}$  is a 3-uniform hypergraph. Otherwise, suppose that  $\mathbb{H} = (V, E)$  with  $V = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}\}$  is not 3-uniform and  $e_m = \{u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}\}$  is a hyperedge of  $\mathbb{H}$  with degree 2. Write  $\mathbb{H}_1$  to denote the subhypergraph of  $\mathbb{H}$  induced by the vertex set  $V \setminus e_m$ . In the following we shall show that  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}}) = \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}_1})$ . It is easy to see that  $S_{\mathbb{H}_1} \in \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}})$  and so  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}_1}) \subseteq \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}})$ .

On the other hand, it follows that  $\mathbb{H}_1$  must have a hyperedge of degree 3, say  $\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$  without loss of generality, since every hyperedge of degree 2 in  $\mathbb{H}$  can not be adjacent with a hyperedge of degree 2 or 3. Thus 3-hypergraph semiring  $S_{\mathbb{H}_1}$  is generated by  $\{\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}, \dots, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_n}\}$ , where  $\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_i}$  denotes  $\mathbf{a}_{u_i}/R^\#$ .

Let  $(S_{\mathbb{H}_1})^2$  denote the direct product of 2 copies of  $S_{\mathbb{H}_1}$ . Consider the subsemiring  $A$  of  $(S_{\mathbb{H}_1})^2$  generated by  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+2}\}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1}), \alpha_2 = (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}), \alpha_3 = (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_3}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_3}), \dots, \alpha_n = (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_n}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_n}), \\ \alpha_{n+1} &= (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1} \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_3}), \alpha_{n+2} = (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_3}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1} \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}). \end{aligned}$$

Take  $J = \{(x_1, x_2) \in A \mid (\exists t \in \{1, 2\}) x_t = 0\}$ . It is easy to verify that  $J$  is an ideal of  $A$  and  $A/J$  is isomorphic to  $S_{\mathbb{H}}$  under the obvious map defined on generators by  $\bar{\alpha}_i \mapsto \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_i}$ , where  $\bar{\alpha}_i$  denote  $\alpha_i/J$ . Thus  $S_{\mathbb{H}} \in \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}_1})$  and so  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}}) \subseteq \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}_1})$ . Now we have shown that  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}}) = \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}_1})$ . We may set  $\mathbb{H}' = \mathbb{H}_1$  if  $\mathbb{H}_1$  is 3-uniform. This completes our proof. Otherwise, suppose that  $\mathbb{H}_1 = (V_1, E_1)$  is not 3-uniform and  $e_{m-1}$  is a hyperedge of  $\mathbb{H}_1$  with degree 2. Write  $\mathbb{H}_2$  to denote the subhypergraph of  $\mathbb{H}_1$  induced by the vertex set  $V_1 \setminus e_{m-1}$ . Similarly, we can get that  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}_1}) = \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}_2})$  and so  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}}) = \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}_2})$ . We may set  $\mathbb{H}' = \mathbb{H}_2$  if  $\mathbb{H}_2$  is 3-uniform. This completes our proof. Otherwise, we

are going on the above procedure. At most steps, we can see that there exists a 3-uniform hypergraph  $\mathbb{H}_n = (V_n, E_n)$  (i.e., the subhypergraph of  $\mathbb{H}$  induced by the vertex set  $V_n = \cup\{e \mid (\exists e \in E) \mid e \mid = 3\}$ ) such that  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}}) = \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}_n})$ . Thus we may set  $\mathbb{H}' = \mathbb{H}_n$ . This completes our proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.6.** *Each variety generated by 3-hypergraph semirings is equal to a variety generated by 3-uniform hypergraph semirings.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.5 we have that for any given the family  $\{\mathbb{H}_i \mid i \in I\}$  of 3-hypergraphs, there exists a family  $\{\mathbb{H}'_i \mid i \in I\}$  of 3-uniform hypergraphs such that  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}_i}) = \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}'_i})$  for all  $i \in I$ . This implies that

$$\mathbf{V}\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} S_{\mathbb{H}_i}\right) = \bigvee_{i \in I} \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}_i}) = \bigvee_{i \in I} \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}'_i}) = \mathbf{V}\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} S_{\mathbb{H}'_i}\right). \quad \square$$

The above theorem tells us that we only need to consider the varieties generated by 3-uniform hypergraph semirings, to study the varieties generated by 3-hypergraph semirings. Thus the 3-hypergraphs mentioned in remainder of the paper are always 3-uniform.

### 3 Varieties generated by 2-robustly strong 3-colorable 3-hypergraph semirings

In this section we shall introduce and study the 2-robustly strong 3-colorable 3-hypergraph and 3-hypergraph semirings defined from such hypergraph. Some examples of 2-robustly strong 3-colorable 3-hypergraphs are given. We also show that each variety generated by such hypergraph semirings is equal to  $\mathbf{V}(S_c(abc))$ .

Recall that a *3-coloring* of a hypergraph  $\mathbb{H} = (V, E)$  is a mapping  $\varphi$  from the vertex set  $V$  to the color set  $\{0, 1, 2\}$  such that every vertex has just one color and no hyperedge with a cardinality more than 1 is monochromatic. A 3-coloring of  $\mathbb{H}$  is said to be *strong* if every hyperedge of  $\mathbb{H}$  is polychromatic (i.e., has all vertices colored differently). Also, a hypergraph  $\mathbb{H}$  is said to be *strong 3-colorable* if it has a strong 3-coloring (see, [1]).

We say that a hypergraph  $\mathbb{H}$  is *2-robustly strong 3-colorable* if for any given 2-element subset  $\{u, v\}$  of  $V$ , every valid partial assignment  $\varphi'$  from  $\{u, v\}$  to  $\{0, 1, 2\}$  (i.e.,  $\varphi'(u) \neq \varphi'(v)$  when  $\{u, v\}$  is a subhyperedge of  $\mathbb{H}$ ) can extend to a full strong 3-coloring. It is clear that a 2-robustly strong 3-colorable hypergraph is strong 3-colorable. The following example tells us that not every strong 3-colorable hypergraph is 2-robust.

**Example 3.1.** *A 3-cycle 3-hypergraph  $\mathbb{H}$  is strong 3-colorable but not 2-robustly strong 3-colorable.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\mathbb{H} = (V, E)$  is the sequence  $u_1, e_1, u_3, e_2, u_5, e_3$ , where

$$e_1 = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}, e_2 = \{u_3, u_4, u_5\}, e_3 = \{u_5, u_6, u_1\}.$$

Define  $\varphi : V \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2\}$  by

$$\varphi(u_1) = \varphi(u_4) = 1, \varphi(u_2) = \varphi(u_5) = 2, \varphi(u_3) = \varphi(u_6) = 0.$$

Then  $\varphi$  is a strong 3-coloring of  $\mathbb{H}$  and so  $\mathbb{H}$  is strong 3-colorable.

Given a valid partial assignment  $\varphi'$  from  $\{u_1, u_4\}$  to  $\{0, 1, 2\}$  by  $u_1 \mapsto 0, u_4 \mapsto 1$ , we assume that there exists a strong 3-coloring  $\varphi$  of  $\mathbb{H}$  which extends  $\varphi'$ . Then  $\varphi(u_3) = 2$  and so  $\varphi(u_5) = 0$ , a contradiction. Thus  $\mathbb{H}$  is not 2-robustly strong 3-colorable.  $\square$

Recall that a *leaf* in a hypergraph is a hyperedge that is has just at most one vertex in common with any other hyperedge. It is well-known that every finite hyperforest either contains no hyperedges at all, or contains a leaf (see, [6]). Also, it is easy to see that for a strong 3-colorable hypergraph  $\mathbb{H}$ , every partial assignment of any one vertex can extend to a full strong 3-coloring of  $\mathbb{H}$ . In fact, we have

**Example 3.2.** *A 3-hyperforest  $\mathbb{H}$  is 2-robustly strong 3-colorable.*

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi'$  be an arbitrary valid partial assignment from  $\{u, v\}$  to  $\{0, 1, 2\}$  for any 2-element subset  $\{u, v\}$  of the vertex set  $V$ . We shall prove by induction on the number of hyperedges of  $\mathbb{H} = (V, E)$ , denoted by  $m(\mathbb{H})$ , that  $\varphi'$  can extend to a strong 3-coloring of  $\mathbb{H}$ .

If  $m(\mathbb{H}) = 1$ , then the statement is obviously true. We assume that the statement is true when  $m(\mathbb{H}) = n$ , and consider the case of  $m(\mathbb{H}) = n + 1$ . Let  $e$  be a leaf of  $\mathbb{H}$ . Then  $e \cap e' = \emptyset$  for each  $e' \in E \setminus \{e\}$  or there exists a vertex  $w$  such that  $e \cap e' = \{w\}$  for each  $e' \in E \setminus \{e\}$  with  $e \cap e' \neq \emptyset$ .

(1) Suppose that  $e \cap e' = \emptyset$  for each  $e' \in E \setminus \{e\}$ . Let  $\mathbb{H}' = (V', E')$  denote the subhypergraph of  $\mathbb{H}$  induced by the vertex set  $V \setminus e$ . It is easy to see that if  $u, v \in e$ , then  $\varphi'$  can extend to a strong 3-coloring of  $\mathbb{H}$ , since  $\mathbb{H}'$  is strong 3-colorable. Otherwise,  $u, v \in V'$ , or  $u \in V'$  and  $v \in e$ . Assume that  $u, v \in V'$  ( $u \in V'$  and  $v \in e$ , respectively). Then there exists a strong 3-coloring  $\varphi^*$  of  $\mathbb{H}'$  such that  $\varphi^*(u) = \varphi'(u)$  and  $\varphi^*(v) = \varphi'(v)$  ( $\varphi^*(u) = \varphi'(u)$ , respectively), since it is clear by the induction hypothesis that  $\mathbb{H}'$  is 2-robustly strong 3-colorable. This implies that  $\varphi'$  can extend to a strong 3-coloring of  $\mathbb{H}$ .

(2) Suppose that  $e \cap e' = \{w\}$  for some  $e' \in E \setminus \{e\}$ . Let  $\mathbb{H}' = (V', E')$  denote the partial subhypergraph of  $\mathbb{H}$  where  $E' = E \setminus \{e\}$  and  $V' = \cup\{e_i \mid e_i \in E'\}$ . It is easy to see that if  $u, v \in e \setminus \{w\}$ , then  $\varphi'$  can extend to a strong 3-coloring of  $\mathbb{H}$ , since  $\mathbb{H}'$  is strong 3-colorable. Otherwise,  $u, v \in V'$ , or  $u \in V'$  and  $v \in e \setminus \{w\}$ . Assume that  $u, v \in V'$  ( $u \in V'$  and  $v \in e \setminus \{w\}$ , respectively). Then there exists a strong 3-coloring  $\varphi^*$  of  $\mathbb{H}'$  such that  $\varphi^*(u) = \varphi'(u)$  and  $\varphi^*(v) = \varphi'(v)$  ( $\varphi^*(u) = \varphi'(u)$ , respectively), since it is well known by the induction hypothesis that  $\mathbb{H}'$  is 2-robustly strong 3-colorable. This implies that  $\varphi'$  can extend to a strong 3-coloring of  $\mathbb{H}$ .  $\square$

Another example of 2-robustly strong 3-colorable hypergraph is as follows:

**Example 3.3.** A  $n$ -cycle 3-hypergraph  $\mathbb{H}_n$  ( $n \geq 4$ ) is 2-robustly strong 3-colorable.

*Proof.* (1) When  $n = 4$ , we may assume that 4-cycle  $\mathbb{H}_4 = (V_4, E_4)$  is a sequence  $u_1, e_1, u_3, e_2, u_5, e_3, u_7, e_4$ , where

$$e_1 = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}, e_2 = \{u_3, u_4, u_5\}, e_3 = \{u_5, u_6, u_7\}, e_4 = \{u_7, u_8, u_1\}.$$

It will be needed to prove that for any given 2-element subset  $\{u, v\}$  of  $V_4$ , every valid partial assignment  $\varphi'$  from  $\{u, v\}$  to  $\{0, 1, 2\}$  can extend to a full strong 3-coloring.

(i) If  $\{u, v\}$  is a subhyperedge, then we may assume without loss of generality that  $\{u, v\} \subseteq e_1$ . Note that the valid partial assignment  $\varphi'$  from  $\{u_1, u_2\}$  to  $\{0, 1, 2\}$  defined by  $\varphi'(u_1) = \varphi''(u_1)$  and  $\varphi'(u_2) = \{0, 1, 2\} \setminus \{\varphi''(u_1), \varphi''(u_3)\}$  and a valid partial assignment  $\varphi''$  from  $\{u_1, u_3\}$  to  $\{0, 1, 2\}$  defined by  $\varphi''(u_1) = \varphi'(u_1)$  and  $\varphi''(u_3) = \{0, 1, 2\} \setminus \{\varphi'(u_1), \varphi'(u_2)\}$  are determined by each other. So we only need to consider the case of  $\{u, v\} = \{u_1, u_2\}$  or  $\{u_2, u_3\}$ .

If  $\{u, v\} = \{u_1, u_2\}$ , then we suppose without loss of generality that  $\varphi'(u_1) = 0$  and  $\varphi'(u_2) = 1$ . Thus the full strong 3-coloring  $\varphi_1 : V_4 \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2\}$  defined by

$$\varphi_1(u_1) = \varphi_1(u_5) = 0, \varphi_1(u_2) = \varphi_1(u_4) = \varphi_1(u_6) = \varphi_1(u_8) = 1, \varphi_1(u_3) = \varphi_1(u_7) = 2$$

is an extension of  $\varphi'$ .

Dually, we can prove that every valid partial assignment  $\varphi'$  from  $\{u_2, u_3\}$  to  $\{0, 1, 2\}$  can extend to a full strong 3-coloring. Therefore, if  $\{u, v\}$  is a subhyperedge, then every valid partial assignment  $\varphi'$  from  $\{u, v\}$  to  $\{0, 1, 2\}$  can extend to a full strong 3-coloring.

(ii) If  $\{u, v\}$  is not a subhyperedge, then for  $u = u_1$ , we only need to consider that  $\{u, v\} = \{u_1, u_4\}, \{u_1, u_6\}, \{u_1, u_5\}$ , respectively.

**Case 1.**  $\{u, v\} = \{u_1, u_4\}$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $\varphi'(u_1) = 0$  and  $\varphi'(u_4) = 1$ , or  $\varphi'(u_1) = 0$  and  $\varphi'(u_4) = 0$ . If  $\varphi'(u_1) = 0$  and  $\varphi'(u_4) = 1$ , then the full strong 3-coloring  $\varphi_1$  is an extension of  $\varphi'$ . If  $\varphi'(u_1) = 0$  and  $\varphi'(u_4) = 0$ , then the full strong 3-coloring  $\varphi_2 : V_4 \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2\}$  by

$$\varphi_2(u_1) = \varphi_2(u_4) = \varphi_2(u_6) = 0, \varphi_2(u_2) = \varphi_2(u_5) = \varphi_2(u_8) = 1, \varphi_2(u_3) = \varphi_2(u_7) = 2$$

is an extension of  $\varphi'$ .

Dually, we can show that every valid partial assignment  $\varphi'$  from  $\{u_1, u_6\}$  to  $\{0, 1, 2\}$  can extend to a full strong 3-coloring.

**Case 2.**  $\{u, v\} = \{u_1, u_5\}$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $\varphi'(u_1) = 0$  and  $\varphi'(u_5) = 1$ , or  $\varphi'(u_1) = 0$  and  $\varphi'(u_5) = 0$ . If  $\varphi'(u_1) = 0$  and  $\varphi'(u_5) = 1$ , then the full strong 3-coloring  $\varphi_2$  is an extension of  $\varphi'$ . If  $\varphi'(u_1) = 0$  and  $\varphi'(u_5) = 0$ , then the full strong 3-coloring  $\varphi_1$  is an extension of  $\varphi'$ .

Thus if  $u = u_1$ , then every valid partial assignment  $\varphi'$  from  $\{u, v\}$  to  $\{0, 1, 2\}$  can extend to a full strong 3-coloring. Dually, if  $u \in \{u_3, u_5, u_7\}$ , then  $\varphi'$  can extend to a full strong 3-coloring.

If  $u = u_2$ , then we only need to consider that  $\{u, v\} = \{u_2, u_4\}, \{u_2, u_8\}, \{u_2, u_6\}$ , respectively.

**Case 1.**  $\{u, v\} = \{u_2, u_4\}$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $\varphi'(u_2) = 0$  and  $\varphi'(u_4) = 1$ , or  $\varphi'(u_2) = 0$  and  $\varphi'(u_4) = 0$ . If  $\varphi'(u_2) = 0$  and  $\varphi'(u_4) = 1$ , then the full strong 3-coloring  $\varphi_3 : V_4 \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2\}$  by

$$\varphi_3(u_1) = \varphi_3(u_4) = \varphi_3(u_6) = 1, \varphi_3(u_2) = \varphi_3(u_5) = \varphi_3(u_8) = 0, \varphi_3(u_3) = \varphi_3(u_7) = 2$$

is a extension of  $\varphi'$ . If  $\varphi'(u_2) = 0$  and  $\varphi'(u_4) = 0$ , then the full strong 3-coloring  $\varphi_4 : V_4 \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2\}$  by

$$\varphi_4(u_1) = \varphi_4(u_5) = 2, \varphi_4(u_2) = \varphi_4(u_4) = \varphi_4(u_6) = \varphi_4(u_8) = 0, \varphi_4(u_3) = \varphi_4(u_7) = 1$$

is a extension of  $\varphi'$ .

Dually, we can show that every valid partial assignment  $\varphi'$  from  $\{u_2, u_8\}$  to  $\{0, 1, 2\}$  can extend to a full strong 3-coloring.

**Case 2.**  $\{u, v\} = \{u_2, u_6\}$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $\varphi'(u_2) = 0$  and  $\varphi'(u_6) = 1$ , or  $\varphi'(u_2) = 0$  and  $\varphi'(u_6) = 0$ . If  $\varphi'(u_2) = 0$  and  $\varphi'(u_6) = 1$ , then the full strong 3-coloring  $\varphi_3$  is a extension of  $\varphi'$ . If  $\varphi'(u_2) = 0$  and  $\varphi'(u_6) = 0$ , then the full strong 3-coloring  $\varphi_4$  is a extension of  $\varphi'$ .

Thus if  $u = u_2$ , then every valid partial assignment  $\varphi'$  from  $\{u, v\}$  to  $\{0, 1, 2\}$  can extend to a full strong 3-coloring. Dually, if  $u \in \{u_4, u_6, u_8\}$ , then  $\varphi'$  can extend to a full strong 3-coloring. Therefore, If  $\{u, v\}$  is not a subhyperedge, then  $\varphi'$  can extend to a full strong 3-coloring.

Summarizing above cases we show that  $\mathbb{H}_4$  is 2-robustly strong 3-colorable.

(2) Suppose that  $\mathbb{H}_n = (V_n, E_n)$  is 2-robustly strong 3-colorable, where  $\mathbb{H}_n$  is a sequence  $u_1, e_1, u_3, e_2, \dots, u_{2n}, e_n$ , and

$$e_1 = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}, e_2 = \{u_3, u_4, u_5\}, e_3 = \{u_5, u_6, u_7\}, \dots, e_n = \{u_{2n-1}, u_{2n}, u_1\}.$$

We shall prove that  $\mathbb{H}_{n+1} = (V_{n+1}, E_{n+1})$  is 2-robustly strong 3-colorable, where  $\mathbb{H}_{n+1}$  is a sequence  $u_1, e_1, u_3, e_2, \dots, u_{2n+2}, e_{n+1}$ , and

$$e_1 = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}, e_2 = \{u_3, u_4, u_5\}, e_3 = \{u_5, u_6, u_7\}, \dots, e_{n+1} = \{u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}, u_1\}.$$

It will be needed to prove that for any given 2-element subset  $\{u, v\}$  of  $V_{n+1}$ , every valid partial assignment from  $\{u, v\}$  to  $\{0, 1, 2\}$  can extend to a full strong 3-coloring. Since  $\mathbb{H}_{n+1}$  is symmetric, without loss of generality we only need to prove that for any  $\{u, v\} \subseteq V_{n+1} \setminus \{u_{2n+2}, u_{2n+1}, u_{2n}, u_{2n-1}\}$ , every valid partial assignment  $\varphi'$  from  $\{u, v\}$  to  $\{0, 1, 2\}$  can extend to a strong 3-coloring of  $\mathbb{H}_{n+1}$ . Since  $\mathbb{H}_n$  is 2-robustly strong 3-colorable, there exists a strong 3-coloring  $\varphi_n$  of  $\mathbb{H}_n$  which extends  $\varphi'$ . Then the strong

3-coloring  $\varphi_{n+1} : V_{n+1} \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2\}$  of  $\mathbb{H}_{n+1}$  defined by

$$\varphi_{n+1}(u_i) = \begin{cases} \varphi_n(u_i) & \text{if } u_i \in V_{n+1} \setminus \{u_{2n+2}, u_{2n+1}, u_{2n}\}; \\ \varphi_n(u_1) & \text{if } u_i = u_{2n}; \\ \varphi_n(u_{2n}) & \text{if } u_i = u_{2n+1}; \\ \varphi_n(u_{2n-1}) & \text{if } u_i = u_{2n+2}, \end{cases}$$

is an extension of  $\varphi'$ , as required.  $\square$

From Example 3.1, we can see that any hypergraph  $\mathbb{H}$  with  $g(\mathbb{H}) = 3$  is not 2-robustly strong 3-colorable. Thus for a 2-robustly strong 3-colorable hypergraph, its girth is at least 4, since the girth of any hypergraph in this paper is always at least 3. Furthermore, we have the following consequence.

**Theorem 3.4.** *If 3-hypergraph  $\mathbb{H} = (V, E)$  is 2-robustly strong 3-colorable, then  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}}) = \mathbf{V}(S_c(abc))$ .*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}}) \supseteq \mathbf{V}(S_c(abc))$ , since  $S_c(abc)$  is a subsemiring of each 3-hypergraph semiring up to isomorphism. To show the converse inclusion, we need only to prove that  $S_{\mathbb{H}} \in \mathbf{V}(S_c(abc))$ .

Let  $E'$  denote the set of all subhyperedges of  $\mathbb{H}$ . Clearly,  $\mathbb{H}$  is strong 3-colorable and the set  $T$  of all strong 3-colorings of  $\mathbb{H}$  is finite. Take  $A$  to be the subsemiring of  $(S_c(abc))^T$  generated by

$$\{\alpha_u \in (S_c(abc))^T \mid u \in V\},$$

where for each  $\varphi \in T$ ,

$$\alpha_u(\varphi) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } \varphi(u) = 0; \\ b & \text{if } \varphi(u) = 1; \\ c & \text{if } \varphi(u) = 2. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $J = \{\alpha \in A \mid (\exists \varphi \in T) \alpha(\varphi) = 0\}$  is an ideal of the semiring  $A$ . Also, the quotient semiring  $A/J$  is flat. In fact, suppose that  $\alpha/J \neq \beta/J$  in  $A/J$ . Then  $\alpha \neq \beta$  and so there exists  $\varphi \in T$  such that  $\alpha(\varphi) \neq \beta(\varphi)$  in  $S_c(abc)$ . Thus,  $(\alpha + \beta)(\varphi) = \alpha(\varphi) + \beta(\varphi) = 0$ , since  $S_c(abc)$  is a flat semiring. This implies that  $\alpha + \beta \in J$  and so  $\alpha/J + \beta/J = (\alpha + \beta)/J = 0/J$  in  $A/J$ . This shows that  $A/J$  is flat, as required.

It is also clear that the flat semiring  $S_{\mathbb{H}}$  and  $A/J$  are isomorphic if and only if the multiplicative reducts of them are isomorphic. To show that the multiplicative reducts of flat semirings  $S_{\mathbb{H}}$  and  $A/J$  are isomorphic, we need only prove that the multiplicative reduct of  $A/J$  is isomorphic to the semigroup  $X^+/R^\#$ , since the multiplicative reduct of  $S_{\mathbb{H}}$  is isomorphic to  $X^+/R^\#$ .

It is enough to prove that the extension  $\psi$  of the mapping defined on generators by  $\mathbf{a}_u/R^\# \mapsto \alpha_u/J$  is an isomorphism from  $X^+/R^\#$  to the multiplicative reduct of  $A/J$ .

For this, the following claim will be needed for us.

**Claim 3.5.** *The following are true in the multiplicative reduct of  $A/J$ .*

- (i)  $\{u_i, u_j\}$  is not a subhyperedge of  $\mathbb{H}$  if and only if  $\alpha_{u_i}\alpha_{u_j}/J = 0/J$ .
- (ii)  $\{u_i, u_j, u_l\}$  is not a hyperedge of  $\mathbb{H}$  if and only if  $\alpha_{u_i}\alpha_{u_j}\alpha_{u_l}/J = 0/J$ .
- (iii)  $\alpha_{u_1}\alpha_{u_2}\cdots\alpha_{u_l}/J = 0/J$  ( $l \geq 4$ ).
- (iv) Let  $\{u_1, \dots, u_i\}$  and  $\{v_1, \dots, v_j\}$  be distinct subhyperedges  $e'_1$  and  $e'_2$  of  $\mathbb{H}$ , respectively. Then  $\alpha_{u_1}\cdots\alpha_{u_i}/J = \alpha_{v_1}\cdots\alpha_{v_j}/J$  if and only if  $e'_1$  and  $e'_2$  are hyperedges, or  $e'_1$  and  $e'_2$  are linked.

*Proof.* We only need to show that the multiplicative reduct of  $A/J$  satisfies the following five rules (1–5), and the rest proof is similar as that in Lemma 2.2.

(1)  $\alpha_u\alpha_v/J = 0/J$  in the multiplicative reduct of  $A/J$  if  $u = v$  or  $\{u, v\} \notin E'$ . In fact, if  $u = v$  or  $\{u, v\} \notin E'$ , then by 2-robustly strong 3-colorability, there exists a strong 3-coloring  $\varphi$  such that  $\varphi(u) = \varphi(v) = 0$ . Thus it follows immediately that

$$\alpha_u\alpha_v(\varphi) = \alpha_u(\varphi)\alpha_v(\varphi) = aa = 0,$$

and so  $\alpha_u\alpha_v \in J$ . This shows that  $\alpha_u\alpha_v/J = 0/J$ , as required.

(2)  $(\alpha_u/J)(\alpha_v/J) = (\alpha_v/J)(\alpha_u/J)$ . Indeed, it is clear that

$$(\alpha_u/J)(\alpha_v/J) = \alpha_u\alpha_v/J = \alpha_v\alpha_u/J = (\alpha_v/J)(\alpha_u/J),$$

since  $S_c(abc)$  is commutative.

(3)  $\alpha_{u_i}\alpha_{u_j}\alpha_{u_l}/J = 0/J$  if  $\{u_i, u_j, u_l\} \notin E$ . Indeed, by [6, Lemma 3.2 (III)], there exists a 2-element subset of  $\{u_i, u_j, u_l\}$ , without loss of generality denoted by  $\{u_i, u_j\}$ , such that  $\{u_i, u_j\} \notin E'$  since  $g(\mathbb{H}) \geq 4$ . Thus  $\alpha_{u_i}\alpha_{u_j} = 0/J$  and so  $\alpha_{u_i}\alpha_{u_j}\alpha_{u_l}/J = 0/J$ .

(4)  $\alpha_{u_i}\alpha_{u_j}\alpha_{u_l}/J = \alpha_{v_i}\alpha_{v_j}\alpha_{v_l}/J$  for any  $\{u_i, u_j, u_l\}, \{v_i, v_j, v_l\} \in E$ . In fact, we have

$$\alpha_{u_i}\alpha_{u_j}\alpha_{u_l}(\varphi) = \alpha_{u_i}(\varphi)\alpha_{u_j}(\varphi)\alpha_{u_l}(\varphi) = abc$$

for each  $\varphi \in T$ . Dually, we can see that  $\alpha_{v_i}\alpha_{v_j}\alpha_{v_l}(\varphi) = abc$ . That is to say,  $\alpha_{u_i}\alpha_{u_j}\alpha_{u_l} = \alpha_{v_i}\alpha_{v_j}\alpha_{v_l}$  and so  $\alpha_{u_i}\alpha_{u_j}\alpha_{u_l}/J = \alpha_{v_i}\alpha_{v_j}\alpha_{v_l}/J$ .

(5)  $\alpha_{u_i}\alpha_{u_j}/J = \alpha_{v_i}\alpha_{v_j}/J$  if  $\{u_i, u_j\}$  and  $\{v_i, v_j\}$  are linked. Indeed, if  $\{u_i, u_j\}$  and  $\{v_i, v_j\}$  are linked, then there exists  $w \in V$  such that  $\{u_i, u_j, w\}, \{v_i, v_j, w\} \in E$ . Thus  $\alpha_{u_i}\alpha_{u_j}\alpha_w/J = \alpha_{v_i}\alpha_{v_j}\alpha_w/J$  by (4). This implies that  $\alpha_{u_i}\alpha_{u_j}/J = \alpha_{v_i}\alpha_{v_j}/J$ , since the multiplicative reduct of  $A/J$  satisfies 0-cancellative laws.  $\square$

Now we may complete the main proof of this proposition. To show that  $\psi$  is well-defined and a monomorphism, we shall prove that

$$\alpha_{u_1}\cdots\alpha_{u_i}/J = \alpha_{v_1}\cdots\alpha_{v_j}/J \iff \mathbf{a}_{u_1}\cdots\mathbf{a}_{u_i}/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{v_1}\cdots\mathbf{a}_{v_j}/R^\#,$$

for any  $\alpha_{u_1} \cdots \alpha_{u_i}/J, \alpha_{v_1} \cdots \alpha_{v_j}/J \in A/J$  and  $\mathbf{a}_{u_1} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{u_i}/R^\#, \mathbf{a}_{v_1} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{v_j}/R^\# \in X^+/R^\#$ , where  $u_1, \dots, u_i, v_1, \dots, v_j \in V$ .

Firstly, it is clear that if  $\{u_1, \dots, u_i\} = \{v_1, \dots, v_j\}$ , then

$$\alpha_{u_1} \cdots \alpha_{u_i}/J = \alpha_{v_1} \cdots \alpha_{v_j}/J \iff \mathbf{a}_{u_1} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{u_i}/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{v_1} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{v_j}/R^\#.$$

We now need only to consider the case  $\{u_1, \dots, u_i\} \neq \{v_1, \dots, v_j\}$ . Suppose that  $\alpha_{u_1} \cdots \alpha_{u_i}/J = \alpha_{v_1} \cdots \alpha_{v_j}/J$ . If  $\alpha_{u_1} \cdots \alpha_{u_i}/J = 0/J$ , then  $\alpha_{v_1} \cdots \alpha_{v_j}/J = 0/J$ . Also, it is easy to see from Claim 3.5 that  $\{u_1, \dots, u_i\}, \{v_1, \dots, v_j\} \notin E'$ . Thus by Lemma 2.2 that  $\mathbf{a}_{u_1} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{u_i}/R^\# = 0/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{v_1} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{v_j}/R^\#$ , as required. Otherwise,  $\alpha_{u_1} \cdots \alpha_{u_i}/J \neq 0/J$ . Then  $\alpha_{v_1} \cdots \alpha_{v_j}/J \neq 0/J$ . Thus it follows immediately from Claim 3.5 that  $\{u_1, \dots, u_i\}, \{v_1, \dots, v_j\} \in E'$ , and so  $i = j = 3$ , or  $i = j = 2$  with  $\{u_1, u_2\}$  and  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  being linked. This implies by Lemma 2.2 that  $\mathbf{a}_{u_1} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{u_i}/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{v_1} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{v_j}/R^\#$ , as required.

Conversely, assume that  $\mathbf{a}_{u_1} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{u_i}/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{v_1} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{v_j}/R^\#$ . Then we can similarly get that  $\alpha_{u_1} \cdots \alpha_{u_i}/J = \alpha_{v_1} \cdots \alpha_{v_j}/J$ . Thus we have

$$\alpha_{u_1} \cdots \alpha_{u_i}/J = \alpha_{v_1} \cdots \alpha_{v_j}/J \iff \mathbf{a}_{u_1} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{u_i}/R^\# = \mathbf{a}_{v_1} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{v_j}/R^\#.$$

We have shown that  $\psi$  is well-defined and a monomorphism. It also is easy to see that  $\psi$  is also an epimorphism. Thus  $\psi$  is an isomorphism and so  $S_{\mathbb{H}} \in \mathbf{V}(S_c(abc))$ .  $\square$

As a consequence, we have immediately

**Corollary 3.6.** *If 3-hypergraph  $\mathbb{H}$  is a 3-hyperforest or  $n$ -cycle except 3-cycle, then  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}}) = \mathbf{V}(S_c(abc))$ .*

For a 3-cycle 3-hypergraph, we also have

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $\mathbb{H}$  be a 3-cycle 3-hypergraph. Then  $\mathbf{V}(S_c(abc)) \subset \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}}) \subset \mathbf{V}(S_c(abcd))$ .*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $S_c(abc) \in \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}})$  and so  $\mathbf{V}(S_c(abc)) \subseteq \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}})$ .

Also, for any substitution from  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_6\}$  to  $S_c(abc)$  we have

$$\varphi(x_1x_2x_3 + x_3x_4x_5 + x_5x_6x_1), \varphi((x_1 + x_4)(x_2 + x_5)(x_3 + x_6)) \in \{0, abc\}, \text{ and}$$

$$\varphi(x_1x_2x_3 + x_3x_4x_5 + x_5x_6x_1) = abc \iff \varphi((x_1 + x_4)(x_2 + x_5)(x_3 + x_6)) = abc.$$

This implies that  $S_c(abc)$  satisfies the identity

$$x_1x_2x_3 + x_3x_4x_5 + x_5x_6x_1 \approx (x_1 + x_4)(x_2 + x_5)(x_3 + x_6). \quad (3.1)$$

Assume that 3-cycle 3-hypergraph  $\mathbb{H}$  is a sequence  $u_1, e_1, u_3, e_2, u_5, e_3$ , where

$$e_1 = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}, e_2 = \{u_3, u_4, u_5\}, e_3 = \{u_5, u_6, u_1\}.$$

For the substitution  $\varphi$  from  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_6\}$  to  $S_{\mathbb{H}}$  defined by  $\varphi(x_i) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_i}$ , we have

$$\varphi(x_1x_2x_3 + x_3x_4x_5 + x_5x_6x_1) = \bar{\mathbf{a}} \text{ but } \varphi((x_1 + x_4)(x_2 + x_5)(x_3 + x_6)) = 0.$$

This implies that  $S_{\mathbb{H}}$  does not satisfy the identity (3.1). This shows that

$$\mathbf{V}(S_c(abc)) \subset \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}}).$$

Let  $(S_c(abcd))^2$  denote the direct product of 2 copies of  $S_c(abcd)$ . Consider the subsemiring  $A$  of  $(S_c(abcd))^2$  generated by  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_6\}$ , where

$$\alpha_1 = (a, bc), \alpha_2 = (bc, d), \alpha_3 = (d, a), \alpha_4 = (ab, bc), \alpha_5 = (c, d), \alpha_6 = (bd, a).$$

Take

$$J = \{(x_1, x_2) \in A \mid (\exists t \in \{1, 2\}) x_t = 0 \text{ or } (\exists t \in \{1, 2\}) x_t = abcd, x_t \neq x_j \text{ (} t \neq j)\}.$$

It is easy to verify that  $J$  is an ideal of  $A$  and  $A/J$  is isomorphic to  $S_{\mathbb{H}}$ , under the obvious map defined on generators by  $\bar{\alpha}_i \mapsto \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_i}$ . This implies that  $S_{\mathbb{H}} \in \mathbf{V}(S_c(abcd))$  and so  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}}) \subseteq \mathbf{V}(S_c(abcd))$ . Also,  $S_{\mathbb{H}}$  satisfies  $x_1x_2x_3x_4 \approx y_1y_2y_3y_4$  but  $S_c(abcd)$  does not. Thus  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}}) \subset \mathbf{V}(S_c(abcd))$ . Summarizing the above results we have

$$\mathbf{V}(S_c(abc)) \subset \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}}) \subset \mathbf{V}(S_c(abcd)),$$

as required. □

## 4 Varieties generated by 3-hypergraph semirings defined from some special 3-hypergraphs

In this section we shall introduce and study 3-hypergraphs of a few other types, such as beam-type hypergraph, fan-type hypergraph and nested-type hypergraph etc, and varieties generated by their corresponding hypergraph semirings.

The following Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.2 will tell us that in the case of girth being finite, it is only needed to study the varieties generated by the hypergraph semirings defined from 3-hypergraphs without leaf.

**Lemma 4.1.** *For any 3-hypergraph  $\mathbb{H}$  except 3-hyperforest, there exists a 3-hypergraph  $\mathbb{H}'$  without leaf such that  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}}) = \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}'})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbb{H} = (V, E)$  with  $V = \{u_1, \dots, u_n, u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}, u_{n+3}\}$  and  $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{m+1}\}$ . If  $\mathbb{H}$  has no leaf, then the statement holds. Otherwise, assume without loss of generality that  $e_{m+1} = \{u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}, u_{n+3}\}$  is a leaf in  $\mathbb{H}$ . Notice that  $\mathbb{H}$  has cycles, since it is not a hyperforest. Thus there exists a hyperedge different from  $e_{m+1}$  in  $\mathbb{H}$ . Write it as  $e_1 = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ .

If  $e_{m+1} \cap e_i = \emptyset$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , then  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}}) = \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}_1})$ , where  $\mathbb{H}_1$  denote the subhypergraph of  $\mathbb{H}$  induced by the vertex set  $V \setminus e_{m+1}$ . In fact, the hypergraph semiring  $S_{\mathbb{H}_1}$  is generated by  $\{\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}, \dots, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_n}\}$ . Clearly,  $S_{\mathbb{H}_1} \in \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}})$ . We shall show that  $S_{\mathbb{H}} \in \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}_1})$ . Let  $(S_{\mathbb{H}_1})^3$  denote the direct product of 3 copies of  $S_{\mathbb{H}_1}$ . Consider the subsemiring  $A$  of  $(S_{\mathbb{H}_1})^3$  generated by

$$\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+2}, \alpha_{n+3}\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1}), \alpha_2 = (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}), \alpha_3 = (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_3}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_3}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_3}), \dots, \alpha_n = (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_n}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_n}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_n}), \\ \alpha_{n+1} &= (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_3}), \alpha_{n+2} = (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_3}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1}), \alpha_{n+3} = (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_3}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}). \end{aligned}$$

Take

$$J = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in A \mid (\exists t \in \{1, 2, 3\}) x_t = 0\}.$$

It is easy to verify that  $J$  is an ideal of  $A$  and  $A/J$  is isomorphic to  $S_{\mathbb{H}}$  under the obvious map defined on generators by  $\bar{\alpha}_i \mapsto \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_i}$ . Thus  $S_{\mathbb{H}} \in \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}_1})$  and so  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}}) = \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}_1})$ .

If  $e_{m+1} \cap e_i \neq \emptyset$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , then  $|e_{m+1} \cap e_i| = 1$ , since  $\mathbb{H}$  is linear. Without loss of generality, we suppose that  $|e_{m+1} \cap e_1| = 1$  and  $u_1 = u_{n+3} \in e_{m+1} \cap e_1$ . Let  $\mathbb{H}_1 = (V_1, E_1)$  denote the partial subhypergraph of  $\mathbb{H}$  where  $E_1 = E \setminus \{e_{m+1}\}$  and  $V_1 = \cup\{e_i \mid e_i \in E_1\}$ . Thus we can construct a hypergraph semiring  $S_{\mathbb{H}_1}$ , which is generated by  $\{\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}, \dots, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_n}\}$ . Clearly,  $S_{\mathbb{H}_1} \in \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}})$ . We shall prove that  $S_{\mathbb{H}} \in \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}_1})$ . Let  $(S_{\mathbb{H}_1})^3$  denote the direct product of 3 copies of  $S_{\mathbb{H}_1}$ . Consider the subsemiring  $A$  of  $(S_{\mathbb{H}_1})^3$  generated by

$$\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+2}\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1}), \alpha_2 = (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}), \alpha_3 = (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_3}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_3}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_3}), \dots, \alpha_n = (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_n}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_n}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_n}), \\ \alpha_{n+1} &= (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_3}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}), \alpha_{n+2} = (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_3}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_3}). \end{aligned}$$

Take

$$J = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in A \mid (\exists t \in \{1, 2, 3\}) x_t = 0\}.$$

It is easy to verify that  $J$  is an ideal of  $A$  and  $A/J$  is isomorphic to  $S_{\mathbb{H}}$  under the obvious map defined on generators by  $\bar{\alpha}_i \mapsto \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_i}$ . Thus  $S_{\mathbb{H}} \in \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}_1})$  and so  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}}) = \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}_1})$ .

If  $\mathbb{H}_1$  has no leaf, then we may set  $\mathbb{H}' = \mathbb{H}_1$ , as required. Otherwise, suppose that  $\mathbb{H}_1 = (V_1, E_1)$  has a leaf  $e_m$ . Write  $\mathbb{H}_2 = (V_2, E_2)$  to denote the partial subhypergraph of  $\mathbb{H}_1$  induced by the hyperedge set  $E_2 = E_1 \setminus \{e_m\}$  and the vertex set  $V_2 = \cup\{e_i \mid e_i \in E_2\}$ . Similarly, we can get that  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}_1}) = \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}_2})$  and so  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}}) = \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}_2})$ . If  $\mathbb{H}_2$  has no leaf, then we may set  $\mathbb{H}' = \mathbb{H}_2$ , as required. Otherwise, we are going on the above procedure. At most steps, we can see that there exists a hypergraph  $\mathbb{H}_n = (V_n, E_n)$  without leaf (i.e., the partial subhypergraph of  $\mathbb{H}$  induced by  $E_n = \{e \in E \mid e \text{ is not a leaf}\}$  and  $V_n = \cup\{e \mid e \in E_n\}$ ) such that  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}}) = \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}_n})$ . Thus we may take  $\mathbb{H}' = \mathbb{H}_n$ . This completes our proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.2.** *Each variety generated by 3-hypergraph semirings except 3-hyperforest semirings is equal to a variety generated by 3-hypergraph semirings, which hypergraphs all have no leaf.*

*Proof.* It follows directly from Lemma 4.1 that for any given the family  $\{\mathbb{H}_i \mid i \in I\}$  of 3-hypergraphs, there exists a family  $\{\mathbb{H}'_i \mid i \in I\}$  of 3-hypergraphs without leaf such that  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}_i}) = \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}'_i})$  for all  $i \in I$ . This implies that

$$\mathbf{V}\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} S_{\mathbb{H}_i}\right) = \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}_i}) = \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{H}'_i}) = \mathbf{V}\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} S_{\mathbb{H}'_i}\right). \quad \square$$

In the following we investigate varieties generated by a 3-hypergraph semiring  $S_{\mathbb{B}_i}$ , where each 3-hypergraph  $\mathbb{B}_i$  is given in figure 1, and all hyperedges in each  $\mathbb{B}_i$  are only denoted by straight lines and all vertices are denoted by black dots.





Figure 1. Beam-type 3-hypergraph

We refer to  $\mathbb{B}_i$  as the  $i$ -th beam-type 3-hypergraph. In particular, We also refer to the 3-cycle 3-hypergraph shaped like  $\mathbb{B}_1$  as a triangle.

**Proposition 4.3.** *For all  $i \geq 1$ ,  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{B}_i}) = \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{B}_1})$ .*

*Proof.* We shall only prove that  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{B}_i}) = \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{B}_{i+1}})$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{B}_i}) \subseteq \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{B}_{i+1}})$ , since  $S_{\mathbb{B}_i}$  is a subsemiring of  $S_{\mathbb{B}_{i+1}}$  up to isomorphism.

To show that  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{B}_{i+1}}) \subseteq \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{B}_i})$ , We need only to prove that  $S_{\mathbb{B}_{i+1}} \in \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{B}_i})$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . We shall firstly prove that  $S_{\mathbb{B}_2} \in \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{B}_1})$ . If the vertices of  $\mathbb{B}_1$  and  $\mathbb{B}_2$  are labelled as follows



then it is well-known that hypergraph semiring  $S_{\mathbb{B}_1}$  is generated by  $\{\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}, \dots, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_6}\}$ . Write  $(S_{\mathbb{B}_1})^3$  to denote the direct product of 3 copies of  $S_{\mathbb{B}_1}$  and  $A_1$  to denote the subsemiring of  $(S_{\mathbb{B}_1})^3$  generated by  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_6, \beta_{11}, \beta_{12}, \beta_{13}\}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1}), \alpha_2 = (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_3}), \alpha_3 = (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_3}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_3}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}), \\ \alpha_4 &= (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_4}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_4}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1}), \alpha_5 = (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_5}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_5}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_3}), \alpha_6 = (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_6}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_6}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\beta_{11} = (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_4}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_4}), \beta_{12} = (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_3}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_6}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_5}), \beta_{13} = (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_5}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_6}).$$

Take

$$J_1 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in A_1 \mid (\exists t \in \{1, 2, 3\}) x_t = 0\}.$$

It is easy to see that  $J_1$  is an ideal of  $A_1$  and factor semiring  $A_1/J_1$  is generated by  $\{\bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_6, \bar{\beta}_{11}, \bar{\beta}_{12}, \bar{\beta}_{13}\}$ . Also, it is easy to verify that  $A_1/J_1$  is isomorphic to hypergraph semiring  $S_{\mathbb{B}_2}$ , where hypergraph  $\mathbb{B}_2 = (\bar{V}_2, \bar{E}_2)$  with  $\bar{V}_2 = \{\bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_6, \bar{\beta}_{11}, \bar{\beta}_{12}, \bar{\beta}_{13}\}$  and


$$\bar{E}_2 = \{\{\bar{\alpha}_1, \bar{\alpha}_2, \bar{\alpha}_3\}, \{\bar{\alpha}_3, \bar{\alpha}_4, \bar{\alpha}_5\}, \{\bar{\alpha}_5, \bar{\alpha}_6, \bar{\alpha}_1\}, \{\bar{\alpha}_5, \bar{\beta}_{11}, \bar{\beta}_{12}\}, \{\bar{\beta}_{12}, \bar{\beta}_{13}, \bar{\alpha}_1\}\}.$$

On the other hand, the map  $\varphi_2 : \bar{V}_2 \rightarrow V_2$  defined by

$$\varphi_2(\bar{\alpha}_i) = u_i \ (1 \leq i \leq 6), \ \varphi_2(\bar{\beta}_{11}) = u_7, \ \varphi_2(\bar{\beta}_{12}) = u_8 \ \text{and} \ \varphi_2(\bar{\beta}_{13}) = u_9$$

is a hypergraph isomorphism from  $\mathbb{B}_2$  to  $\mathbb{B}_2$  and so  $S_{\mathbb{B}_2} \cong S_{\mathbb{B}_2}$ . This implies that  $A_1/J_1 \cong S_{\mathbb{B}_2}$  and so  $S_{\mathbb{B}_2} \in \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{B}_1})$ , as required.

To prove that  $S_{\mathbb{B}_3} \in \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{B}_2})$ , we label the vertices of  $\mathbb{B}_2$  and  $\mathbb{B}_3$  as follows



Thus it is well-known that hypergraph semiring  $S_{\mathbb{B}_2}$  is generated by  $\{\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}, \dots, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_9}\}$ . Write  $(S_{\mathbb{B}_2})^3$  to denote the direct product of 3 copies of  $S_{\mathbb{B}_2}$  and  $A_2$  to denote the subsemiring of  $(S_{\mathbb{B}_2})^3$  generated by  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_6, \beta_{11}, \beta_{12}, \beta_{13}, \beta_{21}, \beta_{22}, \beta_{23}\}$ , where

$$\beta_{21} = (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_7}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_7}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}), \beta_{22} = (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_8}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_8}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_3}), \beta_{23} = (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_9}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_9}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1}).$$

Take

$$J_2 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in A_2 \mid (\exists t \in \{1, 2, 3\}) x_t = 0\}.$$

It is easy to verify that  $J_2$  is an ideal of  $A_2$  and factor semiring  $A_2/J_2$  is generated by  $\{\bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_6, \bar{\beta}_{11}, \bar{\beta}_{12}, \bar{\beta}_{13}, \bar{\beta}_{21}, \bar{\beta}_{22}, \bar{\beta}_{23}\}$ . Also, it is easy to verify that  $A_2/J_2$  is isomorphic to hypergraph semiring  $S_{\mathbb{B}_3}$ , where hypergraph  $\mathbb{B}_3 = (\bar{V}_3, \bar{E}_3)$  with


$$\bar{V}_3 = \bar{V}_2 \cup \{\bar{\beta}_{21}, \bar{\beta}_{22}, \bar{\beta}_{23}\} = \{\bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_6, \bar{\beta}_{11}, \bar{\beta}_{12}, \bar{\beta}_{13}, \bar{\beta}_{21}, \bar{\beta}_{22}, \bar{\beta}_{23}\}$$

and  $\bar{E}_3 = \bar{E}_2 \cup \{\{\bar{\alpha}_1, \bar{\beta}_{21}, \bar{\beta}_{22}\}, \{\bar{\beta}_{22}, \bar{\beta}_{23}, \bar{\alpha}_3\}\}$ . On the other hand, define the map  $\varphi_3 : \bar{V}_3 \rightarrow V_3$  by

$$\varphi_3(\bar{\alpha}_i) = \varphi_2(\bar{\alpha}_i) \ (1 \leq i \leq 6), \ \varphi_3(\bar{\beta}_{11}) = u_{10}, \ \varphi_3(\bar{\beta}_{12}) = u_{11}, \ \varphi_3(\bar{\beta}_{13}) = u_{12},$$

$\varphi_3(\bar{\beta}_{21}) = u_7, \ \varphi_3(\bar{\beta}_{22}) = u_8$  and  $\varphi_3(\bar{\beta}_{23}) = u_9$ . Then  $\varphi_3$  is a hypergraph isomorphism from  $\mathbb{B}_3$  to  $\mathbb{B}_3$  and so  $S_{\mathbb{B}_3} \cong S_{\mathbb{B}_3}$ . This implies that  $A_2/J_2 \cong S_{\mathbb{B}_3}$  and so  $S_{\mathbb{B}_3} \in \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{B}_2})$ , as required.

To prove that  $S_{\mathbb{B}_{i+1}} \in \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{B}_i})$  ( $i \geq 3$ ), we label the vertices of  $\mathbb{B}_i$  and  $\mathbb{B}_{i+1}$  counterclockwise as follows



It is well-known that hypergraph semiring  $S_{\mathbb{B}_i}$  is generated by  $\{\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}, \dots, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_{3i+3}}\}$ . Write  $(S_{\mathbb{B}_i})^3$  to denote the direct product of 3 copies of  $S_{\mathbb{B}_i}$  and  $A_i$  to denote the subsemiring of  $(S_{\mathbb{B}_i})^3$  generated by  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_6, \beta_{11}, \beta_{12}, \beta_{13}, \dots, \beta_{(i-1)1}, \beta_{(i-1)2}, \beta_{(i-1)3}\} \cup \{\beta_{i1}, \beta_{i2}, \beta_{i3}\}$ , where

$$\beta_{i1} = (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_{3i+1}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_{3i+1}}, x), \beta_{i2} = (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_{3i+2}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_{3i+2}}, y), \beta_{i3} = (\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_{3i+3}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_{3i+3}}, z),$$

$x, y$  and  $z$  are equal to the  $(1, k), (2, k), (3, k)$ -entries of matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_3} & \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2} & \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2} & \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1} & \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1} & \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_3} \\ \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2} & \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_3} & \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1} & \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2} & \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_3} & \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1} \\ \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1} & \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1} & \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_3} & \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_3} & \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2} & \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively if  $i \equiv k \pmod{6}$  for some  $1 \leq k \leq 6$ . Take

$$J_i = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in A_i \mid (\exists t \in \{1, 2, 3\}) x_t = 0\}.$$

It is easy to verify that  $J_i$  is an ideal of  $A_i$  and factor semiring  $A_i/J_i$  is generated by  $\{\bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_6, \bar{\beta}_{11}, \bar{\beta}_{12}, \bar{\beta}_{13}, \dots, \bar{\beta}_{(i-1)1}, \bar{\beta}_{(i-1)2}, \bar{\beta}_{(i-1)3}\} \cup \{\bar{\beta}_{i1}, \bar{\beta}_{i2}, \bar{\beta}_{i3}\}$ . Also, it is easy to verify that  $A_i/J_i$  is isomorphic to hypergraph semiring  $S_{\bar{\mathbb{B}}_{i+1}}$ , where hypergraph  $\bar{\mathbb{B}}_{i+1} = (\bar{V}_{i+1}, \bar{E}_{i+1})$  with  $\bar{V}_{i+1} = \bar{V}_i \cup \{\bar{\beta}_{i1}, \bar{\beta}_{i2}, \bar{\beta}_{i3}\}$  and

$$\bar{E}_{i+1} = \begin{cases} \bar{E}_3 \cup \{\{\bar{\beta}_{22}, \bar{\beta}_{31}, \bar{\beta}_{32}\}, \{\bar{\beta}_{32}, \bar{\beta}_{33}, \bar{\alpha}_3\}\} & \text{if } i = 3; \\ \bar{E}_i \cup \{\{\bar{\beta}_{(i-1)2}, \bar{\beta}_{i1}, \bar{\beta}_{i2}\}, \{\bar{\beta}_{i2}, \bar{\beta}_{i3}, \bar{\beta}_{(i-2)2}\}\} & \text{if } i \geq 4 \text{ and } i \text{ is odd}; \\ \bar{E}_i \cup \{\{\bar{\beta}_{(i-2)2}, \bar{\beta}_{i1}, \bar{\beta}_{i2}\}, \{\bar{\beta}_{i2}, \bar{\beta}_{i3}, \bar{\beta}_{(i-1)2}\}\} & \text{if } i \geq 4 \text{ and } i \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, define the map  $\varphi_{i+1} : \bar{V}_{i+1} \rightarrow V_{i+1}$  by  $(\forall x \in \bar{V}_i \setminus \{\bar{\beta}_{11}, \bar{\beta}_{12}, \bar{\beta}_{13}\}) \varphi_{i+1}(x) = \varphi_i(x)$ ,  $\varphi_{i+1}(\bar{\beta}_{11}) = u_{3i+4}$ ,  $\varphi_{i+1}(\bar{\beta}_{12}) = u_{3i+5}$ ,  $\varphi_{i+1}(\bar{\beta}_{13}) = u_{3i+6}$  and

$$\varphi_{i+1}(\bar{\beta}_{i1}) = u_{3i+1}, \varphi_{i+1}(\bar{\beta}_{i2}) = u_{3i+2}, \varphi_{i+1}(\bar{\beta}_{i3}) = u_{3i+3}.$$

Then  $\varphi_{i+1}$  is a hypergraph isomorphism from  $\bar{\mathbb{B}}_{i+1}$  to  $\mathbb{B}_{i+1}$  and so  $S_{\bar{\mathbb{B}}_{i+1}} \cong S_{\mathbb{B}_{i+1}}$ . This implies that  $A_i/J_i \cong S_{\mathbb{B}_{i+1}}$  and so  $S_{\mathbb{B}_{i+1}} \in \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{B}_i})$ . This completes our proof.  $\square$

Each 3-hypergraph  $\mathbb{F}_i$  ( $i \geq 1$ ) is given in following figure




Figure 2. Fan-type 3-hypergraph

where all hyperedges in each  $\mathbb{F}_i$  are only denoted by straight lines and all vertices are denoted by black dots. We refer to  $\mathbb{F}_i$  as the  $i$ -th fan-type 3-hypergraph.

Similarly to Proposition 4.3, we immediately have

**Proposition 4.4.** *For all  $i \geq 1$ ,  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{F}_i}) = \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{B}_1})$ .*

Each 3-hypergraph  $\mathbb{N}_i$  ( $i \geq 1$ ) is given in following figure




Figure 3. Nested-type 3-hypergraph

where all hyperedges in each  $\mathbb{N}_i$  are only denoted by straight lines and all vertices are denoted by black dots. We refer to  $\mathbb{N}_i$  as the  $i$ -th nested-type 3-hypergraph, and refer to the subhypergraph of  $\mathbb{N}_i$  induced by vertex set  $\{u_{3i+3}, u_{3i+2}, u_{3i+1}, u_{3i}, u_{3i-1}, u_{3i-2}\}$  as the  $i$ -th triangle. Notice that the hyperedge set of the  $i$ -th triangle is

$$\{\{u_{3i-1}, u_{3i+3}, u_{3i-2}\}, \{u_{3i-2}, u_{3i+2}, u_{3i}\}, \{u_{3i}, u_{3i+1}, u_{3i-1}\}\}.$$

In the remainder of this section we shall study the varieties  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{N}_i})$ . The following Proposition 4.5 will tell us that

$$\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{B}_1}) = \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{N}_1}) \subset \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{N}_2}) \subset \cdots \subset \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{N}_i}) \subset \cdots$$

That is to say, it is an infinite ascending chain in the lattice of subvarieties of the variety generated by all 3-hypergraph semirings. To prove that  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{N}_i}) \subset \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{N}_{i+1}})$  for all  $i \geq 1$ , the following identities are needed for us

$$p_1 \approx q_1 \tag{4.1}$$

$$p_1 + p_2 \approx q_1 + q_2 \tag{4.2}$$

$$p_1 + p_2 + p_3 \approx q_1 + q_2 + q_3 \tag{4.3}$$

⋮

where

$$\begin{aligned}
p_1 &= x_1x_6x_2 + x_2x_4x_3 + x_3x_5x_1 \\
p_2 &= x_4x_9x_5 + x_5x_7x_6 + x_6x_8x_4 \\
p_3 &= x_7x_{12}x_8 + x_8x_{10}x_9 + x_9x_{11}x_7 \\
&\vdots \\
q_1 &= (x_1 + x_4)(x_2 + x_5)(x_3 + x_6) \\
q_2 &= (x_4 + x_7)(x_5 + x_8)(x_6 + x_9) \\
q_3 &= (x_7 + x_{10})(x_8 + x_{11})(x_9 + x_{12}) \\
&\vdots
\end{aligned}$$

Note that in the above the  $i$ -th identity is  $\sum_{j=1}^i p_j \approx \sum_{j=1}^i q_j$ , where  $p_i = x_{3i-1}x_{3i+3}x_{3i-2} + x_{3i-2}x_{3i+2}x_{3i} + x_{3i}x_{3i+1}x_{3i-1}$  and  $q_i = (x_{3i-1} + x_{3i+2})(x_{3i-2} + x_{3i+1})(x_{3i} + x_{3i+3})$ .

**Proposition 4.5.** *For all  $i \geq 1$ ,  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{N}_i}) \subset \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{N}_{i+1}})$ .*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{N}_i}) \subseteq \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{N}_{i+1}})$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . We need only to prove that  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{N}_i}) \neq \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{N}_{i+1}})$ .

(1) If  $i = 1$ , then  $S_{\mathbb{N}_1}$  satisfies the identity (4.2) but  $S_{\mathbb{N}_2}$  does not. This means that  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{N}_1}) \neq \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{N}_2})$ . In fact, it is easy to verify that  $S_{\mathbb{N}_2}$  does not satisfy the identity (4.2). To show that  $S_{\mathbb{N}_1}$  satisfies the identity (4.2), we need only to prove that for any given substitution  $\varphi$  from  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_9\}$  to  $S_{\mathbb{N}_1}$ ,

$$\varphi(p_1 + p_2) = \bar{\mathbf{a}} \iff \varphi(q_1 + q_2) = \bar{\mathbf{a}},$$

since  $\varphi(p_1 + p_2), \varphi(q_1 + q_2) \in \{0, \bar{\mathbf{a}}\}$ .

(i) If  $\varphi(p_1 + p_2) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}$ , then  $\varphi(x_r x_s x_t) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}$  for each word  $x_r x_s x_t$  occurring in  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ . In particular,  $\varphi(x_1 x_6 x_2) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $\{\varphi(x_1), \varphi(x_6), \varphi(x_2)\} = \{\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_6}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}\}$ , since  $\bar{\mathbf{a}} = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1} \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_6} \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}$ .

It is enough to consider only the following two cases.

**Case 1.** If  $\varphi(x_1) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1}$ ,  $\varphi(x_6) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_6}$ ,  $\varphi(x_2) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}$ , then  $\varphi(x_5)\varphi(x_3) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2} \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_6}$ ,  $\varphi(x_4)\varphi(x_3) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1} \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_6}$ ,  $\varphi(x_8)\varphi(x_4) = \varphi(x_7)\varphi(x_5) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1} \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}$ . This implies that

$$\varphi(x_1) = \varphi(x_4) = \varphi(x_7) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1}, \quad \varphi(x_6) = \varphi(x_3) = \varphi(x_9) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_6},$$

and  $\varphi(x_2) = \varphi(x_5) = \varphi(x_8) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}$ . Thus  $\varphi(q_1 + q_2) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}$ , as required.

**Case 2.** If  $\varphi(x_1) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1}$ ,  $\varphi(x_6) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}$ ,  $\varphi(x_2) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_6}$ , then  $\varphi(x_5)\varphi(x_3) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2} \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_6}$ ,  $\varphi(x_4)\varphi(x_3) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1} \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}$ ,  $\varphi(x_8)\varphi(x_4) = \varphi(x_7)\varphi(x_5) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1} \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_6}$ . This implies that

$$\varphi(x_1) = \varphi(x_4) = \varphi(x_7) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1}, \quad \varphi(x_6) = \varphi(x_3) = \varphi(x_9) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2},$$

and  $\varphi(x_2) = \varphi(x_5) = \varphi(x_8) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_6}$ . Thus  $\varphi(q_1 + q_2) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}$ , as required.

(ii) If  $\varphi(q_1 + q_2) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}$ , then

$$\varphi(x_1) = \varphi(x_4) = \varphi(x_7), \varphi(x_6) = \varphi(x_3) = \varphi(x_9), \varphi(x_2) = \varphi(x_5) = \varphi(x_8),$$

and  $\varphi(x_1x_6x_2) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}$ . This implies that  $\varphi(p_1 + p_2) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}$ , as required.

Summarizing (i) and (ii) we have that  $\varphi(p_1 + p_2) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}$  if and only if  $\varphi(q_1 + q_2) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}$ . This shows that  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{N}_1}) \subset \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{N}_2})$ .

(2) If  $i = 2$ , then  $S_{\mathbb{N}_2}$  satisfies the identity (4.3) but  $S_{\mathbb{N}_3}$  does not. This means that  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{N}_2}) \neq \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{N}_3})$ . In fact, it is easy to verify that  $S_{\mathbb{N}_3}$  does not satisfy the identity (4.3). To show that  $S_{\mathbb{N}_2}$  satisfies the identity (4.3), we need only to prove that for any given substitution  $\varphi$  from  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{12}\}$  to  $S_{\mathbb{N}_2}$ ,

$$\varphi(p_1 + p_2 + p_3) = \bar{\mathbf{a}} \iff \varphi(q_1 + q_2 + q_3) = \bar{\mathbf{a}},$$

since  $\varphi(p_1 + p_2 + p_3), \varphi(q_1 + q_2 + q_3) \in \{0, \bar{\mathbf{a}}\}$ .

(i) If  $\varphi(p_1 + p_2 + p_3) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}$ , then  $\varphi(x_r x_s x_t) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}$  for each word  $x_r x_s x_t$  occurring in  $p_1, p_2$  and  $p_3$ . In particular,  $\varphi(x_1 x_6 x_2) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $\{\varphi(x_1), \varphi(x_6), \varphi(x_2)\} = \{\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_6}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}\}$ , since  $\bar{\mathbf{a}} = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1} \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_6} \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}$ .

It is enough to consider only the following two cases.

**Case 1.** If  $\varphi(x_1) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1}, \varphi(x_6) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_6}, \varphi(x_2) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}$ , then  $\varphi(x_5)\varphi(x_3) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2} \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_6}$ ,  $\varphi(x_4)\varphi(x_3) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1} \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_6}$ ,  $\varphi(x_8)\varphi(x_4) = \varphi(x_7)\varphi(x_5) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1} \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}$ . This implies that

$$\varphi(x_1) = \varphi(x_4) = \varphi(x_7) = \varphi(x_{10}) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1}, \varphi(x_6) = \varphi(x_3) = \varphi(x_9) = \varphi(x_{12}) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_6},$$

and  $\varphi(x_2) = \varphi(x_5) = \varphi(x_8) = \varphi(x_{11}) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}$ . Thus  $\varphi(q_1 + q_2 + q_3) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}$ , as required.

**Case 2.** If  $\varphi(x_1) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1}, \varphi(x_6) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}, \varphi(x_2) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_6}$ , then  $\varphi(x_5)\varphi(x_3) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2} \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_6}$ ,  $\varphi(x_4)\varphi(x_3) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1} \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2}$ ,  $\varphi(x_8)\varphi(x_4) = \varphi(x_7)\varphi(x_5) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1} \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_6}$ . This implies that

$$\varphi(x_1) = \varphi(x_4) = \varphi(x_7) = \varphi(x_{10}) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_1}, \varphi(x_6) = \varphi(x_3) = \varphi(x_9) = \varphi(x_{12}) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_2},$$

and  $\varphi(x_2) = \varphi(x_5) = \varphi(x_8) = \varphi(x_{11}) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{u_6}$ . Thus  $\varphi(q_1 + q_2 + q_3) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}$ , as required.

(ii) If  $\varphi(q_1 + q_2 + q_3) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}$ , then  $\varphi(x_1) = \varphi(x_4) = \varphi(x_7) = \varphi(x_{10})$ ,

$$\varphi(x_6) = \varphi(x_3) = \varphi(x_9) = \varphi(x_{12}), \varphi(x_2) = \varphi(x_5) = \varphi(x_8) = \varphi(x_{11}),$$

and  $\varphi(x_1x_6x_2) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}$ . This implies that  $\varphi(p_1 + p_2 + p_3) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}$ , as required.

Summarizing (i) and (ii) we have that  $\varphi(p_1 + p_2 + p_3) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}$  if and only if  $\varphi(q_1 + q_2 + q_3) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}$ . This shows that  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{N}_2}) \subset \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{N}_3})$ .

Similarly, we can prove that  $S_{\mathbb{N}_i}$  satisfies the identity  $\sum_{j=1}^{i+1} p_j \approx \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} q_j$  but  $S_{\mathbb{N}_{i+1}}$  does not. This shows that  $\mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{N}_i}) \subset \mathbf{V}(S_{\mathbb{N}_{i+1}})$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . This completes our proof.  $\square$

**Remark** The above proposition tells us that the variety generated by all 3-hypergraph semirings with girth being equal to 3 has infinitely many subvarieties.

From Proposition 3.7, we have that  $S_{\mathbb{N}_1} \in \mathbf{V}(S_c(abcd))$ . However, the following consequences show that  $S_{\mathbb{N}_i} \notin \mathbf{V}(S_c(abcd))$  for all  $i \geq 2$ . Recall from [6] that  $S_7$  is a 3-element ai-semiring  $\{1, a, 0\}$  with the Cayley tables

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} + & 1 & a & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c|ccc} \cdot & 1 & a & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & a & 0 \\ a & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} .$$

**Proposition 4.6.** *For all  $i \geq 2$ , neither  $S_{N_i}$  nor  $S_7$  lies in the variety generated by the other.*

*Proof.* It is easy to verify that  $S_7$  satisfies the identity (4.2), while  $S_{N_i}$  does not. Thus  $S_{N_i} \notin \mathbf{V}(S_7)$ . Conversely,  $S_{N_i}$  satisfies the following identity (4.4) but  $S_7$  does not,

$$x_1x_2x_3x_4 \approx y_1y_2y_3y_4. \quad (4.4)$$

This implies that  $S_7 \notin \mathbf{V}(S_{N_i})$ . □

From [6, Lemma 2.10], we know that  $S_c(abcd) \in \mathbf{V}(S_7)$ . Furthermore, by above proposition, we have that  $S_{N_i} \notin \mathbf{V}(S_c(abcd))$  for all  $i \geq 2$ . It is also easy to see that  $S_{N_i}$  satisfies the following identity (4.4) but  $S_c(abcd)$  does not. This implies that  $S_c(abcd) \notin \mathbf{V}(S_{N_i})$ . Thus we have the following corollary to Proposition 4.6.

**Corollary 4.7.** *For all  $i \geq 2$ , neither  $S_{N_i}$  nor  $S_c(abcd)$  lies in the variety generated by the other.*

**Remark** We feel that it is interesting to study the lattice of subvarieties of variety generated by all 3-hypergraph semirings and hard to give a characterization of it. This paper is only first step in the topic. In fact, in the next manuscript (as a sisters of this paper), we will give an infinite descending chain of varieties generated by 3-hypergraph semirings in the lattice, which also is in interval  $[\mathbf{V}(S_c(abc)), \mathbf{V}(S_c(abcd))]$ . This shows that different from  $\mathbf{V}(S_c(abc))$  (see, [12]), the lattice of subvarieties of  $\mathbf{V}(S_c(abcd))$  is infinite.

## References

- [1] A. Bretto, *Hypergraph Theory: An Introduction*, Springer, New York, 2013.
- [2] S. Burris, H.P. Sankappanavar, *A Course in Universal Algebra*, Springer, Berlin, 1981.
- [3] L. Ham, M. Jackson, Axiomatisability and hardness for universal Horn classes of hypergraphs, *Algebra Universalis* 79 (2018), 30.
- [4] J.M. Howie, *Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.
- [5] M. Jackson, Flat algebras and the translation of universal Horn logic to equational logic, *J. Symb. Logic* 73 (2008), 90–128.

- [6] M. Jackson, M.M. Ren, X.Z. Zhao, Nonfinitely based ai-semirings with finitely based semigroup reducts, *J. Algebra* 611 (2022), 211–245.
- [7] M. Jackson, W.T. Zhang, From A to B to Z, *Semigroup Forum* 103 (2021), 165–190.
- [8] G. Kun, V. Vértési, The membership problem in finite flat hypergraph algebras. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput* 17 (2007), 449–459.
- [9] F. Pastijn, Varieties generated by ordered bands II, *Order* 22 (2005), 129–143.
- [10] F. Pastijn, X.Z. Zhao, Varieties of idempotent semirings with commutative addition, *Algebra Universalis* 54 (2005), 301–321.
- [11] M.M. Ren, M. Jackson, X.Z. Zhao, D.L. Lei, Flat extensions of groups and limit varieties of additively idempotent semirings, *J. Algebra* 623 (2023), 64–85.
- [12] M.M. Ren, X.Z. Zhao, On free Burnside ai-semirings, *Semigroup Forum* 90 (2015), 174–183.
- [13] M.M. Ren, X.Z. Zhao, Y. Shao, On a variety of Burnside ai-semirings satisfying  $x^n \approx x$ , *Semigroup Forum* 93 (2016), 501–515.
- [14] M.M. Ren, X.Z. Zhao, Y. Shao, The lattice of ai-semiring varieties satisfying  $x^n \approx x$  and  $xy \approx yx$ , *Semigroup Forum* 100 (2020), 542–567.
- [15] M.M. Ren, X.Z. Zhao, A.F. Wang, On the varieties of ai-semirings satisfying  $x^3 \approx x$ , *Algebra Universalis* 77 (2017), 395–408.
- [16] M.V. Sapir, *Combinatorial algebra: syntax and semantics*, Springer, Switzerland, 2014.
- [17] Y. Shao, M.M. Ren, On the varieties generated by ai-semirings of order two, *Semigroup Forum* 91 (2015), 171–184.
- [18] V. Voloshin, *Introduction to Graph and Hypergraph Theory*, Nova Science Publishers, New York, 2009.
- [19] Y.N. Wu, M.M. Ren, X.Z. Zhao, The additively idempotent semiring  $S_7^0$  is nonfinitely based, *Semigroup Forum* 108 (2024), 479–487.
- [20] Y.N. Wu, X.Z. Zhao, Some nil-ai-semiring varieties, *Semigroup Forum* 108 (2024), 258–271.
- [21] Y.N. Wu, X.Z. Zhao, M.M. Ren, On varieties of flat nil-semirings, *Semigroup Forum* 106 (2023), 271–284.

- [22] X.Z. Zhao, Idempotent semirings with a commutative additive reduct, *Semigroup Forum* 64 (2002), 289–296.
- [23] X.Z. Zhao, Y.Q. Guo, K.P. Shum,  $\mathcal{D}$ -subvarieties of the variety of idempotent semirings, *Algebra Colloq.* 9 (2002), 15–28.
- [24] X.Z. Zhao, M.M. Ren, S. Crvenković, Y. Shao, P. Dapić, The variety generated by an AI-semiring of order three, *Ural Math. J.* 6 (2020), 117–132.
- [25] X.Z. Zhao, Y. Shao, M.M. Ren, A survey on the study of semiring theory in china, *Adv. Math. (China)* 51 (2022), 781–795.
- [26] X.Z. Zhao, K.P. Shum, Y.Q. Guo,  $\mathcal{L}$ -subvarieties of the variety of idempotent semirings, *Algebra Universalis* 46 (2001), 75–96.