# On the existence of parameterized noetherian rings

Xiaolei Zhang

## Abstract

A ring R is called left strictly  $(\langle\aleph_{\alpha}\rangle)$ -noetherian if  $\aleph_{\alpha}$  is the minimum cardinal such that every ideal of R is  $(\langle\aleph_{\alpha}\rangle)$ -generated. In this note, we show that for every singular (resp., regular) cardinal  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ , there is a valuation domain D, which is strictly  $(\langle\aleph_{\alpha}\rangle)$ -noetherian (resp., strictly  $(\langle\aleph_{\alpha}^{+}\rangle)$ -noetherian), positively answering a problem proposed in [4] under some set theory assumption.

Key Words: strictly ( $\langle \aleph_{\alpha} \rangle$ -noetherian ring, valuation domain, weakly inaccessible cardinal.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16P40.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this note, all rings are associative with unity, and all ideals and modules are left. Let  $\{r_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$  be a set of elements of R, we denote by  $\langle \{r_i \mid i \in \Lambda\} \rangle$  be the ideal generated by these elements.

Recall that a ring R is said to be left *noetherian* if every ideal is finitely generated. It is interesting to consider the minimum cardinal  $\gamma(R)$  such that every ideal of R is  $(<\gamma(R))$ -generated. So noetherian rings have exactly  $\gamma(R) \leq \aleph_0$ . Trivially, PIRs have exactly  $\gamma(R) = 2$ , and Dedekind domains which is not PIDs have  $\gamma(R) = 3$ . Furthermore, Matson [3] give an example of a ring R with  $\gamma(R) = n$  for each  $n \geq 2$ .

Recently, Marcos [4] parametrized rings by the cardinality of generators of all ideals. Actually, he introduced the notion of  $(\langle\aleph_{\alpha}\rangle)$ -noetherian rings for any cardinal  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ . A ring R is left  $(\langle\kappa\rangle)$ -noetherian if every ideal of R is  $(\langle\kappa\rangle)$ -generated, that is,  $\gamma(R) \leq \kappa$ . When  $\aleph_{\alpha}$  is regular, Marcos [4] characterized  $(\langle\aleph_{\alpha}\rangle)$ -noetherian rings in terms of limit models and  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ -injective modules.

We say a ring R is left strictly  $(\langle \kappa \rangle)$ -noetherian if  $\gamma(R) = \kappa$ . The existence of strictly  $(\langle \aleph_{\alpha} \rangle)$ -noetherian rings is key to their studies. When  $\aleph_{\alpha+1}$  is a successor cardinal, Marcos [4] give an example of strictly  $(\langle \aleph_{\alpha+1} \rangle)$ -noetherian ring by  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ -variables polynomial extensions of the field  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Subsequently, he [4] proposed a problem on the existence of strictly  $(\langle \aleph_{\alpha} \rangle)$ -noetherian rings when  $\aleph_{\alpha}$  is a limit cardinal:

**Problem 2.6.** [4, Problem 2.9] Show that for every (some) limit ordinal  $\alpha$  there

is a ring which is left  $(\langle \aleph_{\alpha} \rangle)$ -noetherian, but not left  $(\langle \aleph_{\beta} \rangle)$ -noetherian for every  $\beta < \alpha$ .

The main motivation of this note is to investigate this problem. Actually, we study the cardinal of generators of ideals of a valuation domain D with valuation group  $G = \bigoplus_{i \in \aleph_{\alpha}} \mathbb{Q}$ . We obtain that if  $\aleph_{\alpha}$  is a regular cardinal, then D is a strictly  $(\langle \aleph_{\alpha}^+)$ -noetherian domain; if  $\aleph_{\alpha}$  is a singular cardinal, then D is a strictly  $(\langle \aleph_{\alpha})$ -noetherian domain (see Theorem 2.7). Hence, we positively answer the Problem 2.6 under the set theory assumption: the weakly inaccessible cardinals do not exist.

## 2. MAIN RESULT

We first recall some basic knowledge on set theory (see [2] for more details). Let  $\kappa$  (resp.,  $\alpha$ ) be a cardinal (resp., an ordinal). We denote by  $\kappa^+$  (resp.,  $\alpha^+$ ) the least cardinal (resp., an ordinal) greater than  $\kappa$ . Let  $\Lambda$  be a set, we denote by  $|\Lambda|$  its cardinal.

Let  $\alpha$  be an ordinal, and  $u \in \alpha$ , then  $\{x \in \alpha \mid x < u\}$  is called an *initial segment* of  $\alpha$ . Let  $\alpha$  be an ordinal. If  $\alpha = \beta^+$  for some ordinal  $\beta$  (which is denoted by  $\alpha^-$ ), then  $\alpha$  is called a *successor ordinal*, otherwise  $\alpha$  is called a *limit ordinal*. A cardinal  $\aleph_{\alpha}$  is called a *successor cardinal* provided that  $\alpha$  is a successor ordinal, otherwise  $\aleph_{\alpha}$  is called a *limit cardinal*.

Let  $\alpha > 0$  be a limit ordinal. the *cofinality* of  $\alpha$ , denoted by  $cf(\alpha)$ , is the least limit ordinal  $\beta$  such that there is an increasing sequence  $\{\alpha_{\xi} \mid \xi < \beta\}$  with  $\lim_{\xi \to \beta} \alpha_{\xi} = \alpha$ . An infinite cardinal  $\kappa$  is said to be *regular* (resp., *singular*) if  $cf(\kappa) = \kappa$  (resp.,  $cf(\kappa) < \kappa$ ). An uncountable cardinal  $\kappa$  is said to be *weakly inaccessible* if it is a limit cardinal and is regular. It is well-known that the existence of weakly inaccessible cardinals is consistent with ZFC.

**Definition 2.1.** [4, Definition 2.1] Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal. An ideal I of a ring R is  $(< \kappa)$ -generated if there is  $\mu < \kappa$  and  $\{a_i \mid i < \mu\} \subseteq I$  such that  $I = \langle \{a_i \mid i < \mu\} \rangle$ . I is strictly  $\kappa$ -generated if it is  $(< \kappa^+)$ -generated but not  $(< \kappa)$ -generated.

The following statement shows that for every for every regular cardinal  $\mu < \kappa$ , every strictly  $\kappa$ -generated ideal contain a strictly  $\mu$ -generated sub-ideal.

**Proposition 2.2.** [4, Proposition 2.2] Let R be a ring, I an ideal, and  $\kappa$  an infinite cardinal. If I is a strictly  $\kappa$ -generated ideal, then for every regular cardinal  $\mu < \kappa$  there is J a strictly  $\mu$ -generated ideal such that  $J \subseteq I$ .

Given a ring R. We denote by  $\gamma(R)$  be the minimum infinite cardinal such that every ideal of R is  $(\langle \gamma(R) \rangle)$ -generated. The author in [4] parametrized noetherian rings using the cardinality of generators of all ideals.

**Definition 2.3.** [4, Definition 2.5] Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal. A ring R is left  $(<\kappa)$ -noetherian if every ideal of R is  $(<\kappa)$ -generated, i.e.,  $\gamma(R) \leq \kappa$ .

Trivially, a left ( $< \aleph_0$ )-noetherian ring is precisely a left noetherian ring. For convenience, we introduce the notion of strictly ( $< \kappa$ )-noetherian rings.

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal. A ring R is called left strictly  $(< \kappa)$ noetherian if  $\gamma(R) = \kappa$ , that is, every ideal of R is  $(< \kappa)$ -generated, and

- (1) if  $\kappa$  is a limit cardinal, then for every cardinal  $\mu < \kappa$  there is an ideal of R which is not  $(<\mu^+)$ -generated;
- (2) if  $\kappa$  is a successor cardinal, then there is a strictly  $\kappa^{-}$ -generated ideal of R.

Note that  $\mathbb{F}[x, y]$  is an example of strictly ( $\langle \aleph_0 \rangle$ -noetherian ring (see [3, Example 2.3]). For every successor cardinal  $\alpha + 1$ , Marcos [4] provided an example of strictly ( $\langle \aleph_{\alpha+1} \rangle$ -noetherian ring.

**Example 2.5.** [4, Example 2.6] Let  $\alpha$  be an ordinal. Let  $R = \mathbb{Q}[x_i \mid i < \aleph_{\alpha}]$ , the polynomial ring over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with commuting variables  $\{x_i \mid i < \aleph_{\alpha}\}$ . R is  $(< \aleph_{\alpha+1})$ -noetherian as  $|R| = \aleph_{\alpha}$  and R is not  $(< \aleph_{\alpha})$ -noetherian as  $\langle \{x_i \mid i < \aleph_{\alpha}\} \rangle$  is not  $(< \aleph_{\alpha})$ -generated.

Subsequently, he [4] asked the following problem:

**Problem 2.6.** [4, Problem 2.9] Show that for every (some) limit ordinal  $\alpha$  there is a ring which is left  $(\langle \aleph_{\alpha} \rangle$ -noetherian, but not left  $(\langle \aleph_{\beta} \rangle$ -noetherian for every  $\beta < \alpha$ , that is, a left strictly  $(\langle \aleph_{\alpha} \rangle$ -noetherian ring.

The main result of this paper is to resolve this problem when  $\aleph_{\alpha}$  is a singular cardinal. To move on, we recall some basic notions on valuation domains (see [1, Chapter II] for more details).

Let  $(G, +, \leq)$  be a totally ordered group and Q a field. A map  $v : Q \to G \cup \{\infty\}$ , where  $\infty$  is a symbol not in G satisfying that  $x < \infty$  and  $x + \infty = \infty$  for all  $x \in G$ , is called a *valuation map* if the following conditions hold:

- (1)  $v(0) = \infty$ ,
- (2) v(xy) = v(x) + v(y) for all  $x, y \in Q$ , and
- (3)  $v(x+y) \ge \min\{v(x), v(y)\}$  for all  $x, y \in Q$ .

Let D be an integral domain with its quotient field Q. Then D is called a *valuation* domain if either x|y or y|x for any  $x, y \in D$ . It is well-known that an integral domain

D is a valuation domain if and only if there is a valuation map  $v: Q \to G \cup \{\infty\}$ such that  $D = \{r \in Q \mid v(r) \ge 0\}$ . In this case, G is called the *valuation group* of D. Note that  $\mathfrak{m} = \{r \in D \mid v(r) > 0\}$  is the maximal ideal of D. So if v(x) = v(y), then x = uy for some unit u of D. A well-known result introduced by Krull states that every total abelian group can be seen as a valuation group of a valuation domain D(see [1, Chapter II, Theorem 3.8]).

Let  $\alpha$  be an ordinal. Let D be a valuation domain, where its valuation group  $G = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}_{\alpha}} \mathbb{Q}$  the direct sum of  $\mathbb{N}_{\alpha}$ -copies of rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$ . It becomes a total ordered abelian group if one defines  $r = (\cdots, r_i, \cdots) > 0$  whenever for the first element j in supp(r), one haves  $r_j > 0$  in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** Let D be the valuation domain as above. Then the following statements hold.

- (1) If  $\aleph_{\alpha}$  is a regular cardinal, then D is a strictly  $(\langle \aleph_{\alpha}^{+})$ -noetherian domain.
- (2) If  $\aleph_{\alpha}$  is a singular cardinal, then D is a strictly  $(\langle \aleph_{\alpha} \rangle)$ -noetherian domain.

Proof. (1) Suppose  $\aleph_{\alpha}$  is a regular cardinal, that is,  $cf(\aleph_{\alpha}) = \aleph_{\alpha}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the maximal ideal of D. Then  $\mathfrak{m}$  is generated by  $\{x_i \in D \mid v(x_i) = e_i, i \in \aleph_{\alpha}\}$ , where  $e_i$  is an  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ -sequence with the *i*-th component 1 and others 0. So  $\mathfrak{m}$  is  $(<\aleph_{\alpha}^+)$ -generated. We claim that  $\mathfrak{m}$  is not  $(<\aleph_{\alpha})$ -generated. Indeed, otherwise  $\mathfrak{m}$  can be generated by  $\{m_j \mid j \in \aleph_{\beta}\}$  elements with  $\beta < \alpha$ . Since  $cf(\aleph_{\alpha}) = \aleph_{\alpha}$ , there is  $i_0 \in \aleph_{\alpha}$  such that  $e_{i_0} < v(m_j)$  for any  $j \in \aleph_{\beta}$ . Assume that  $v(m) = e_{i_0}$ . Then each  $m_j$  is divided by m, but m can not divided by  $m_j$  for any  $j \in \aleph_{\beta}$ . Hence  $m \notin \mathfrak{m}$ , which is a contradiction.

Next, we will show every ideal I of D is  $(\langle \aleph_{\alpha}^{+})$ -generated. Indeed, suppose  $I = \langle \{m_i \mid i \in \Gamma\} \rangle$ . Let  $\Gamma'$  be a subset of  $\Gamma$  satisfying that  $\{v(m_i) \mid i \in \Gamma\} = \{v(m_i) \mid i \in \Gamma'\}$  and  $v(m_i) \neq v(m_j)$  for every elements  $i \neq j$  in  $\Gamma'$ . It is easy to verify that  $I = \langle \{m_i \mid i \in \Gamma'\} \rangle$ . Since the cardinal of  $\Gamma'$  is not greater that of  $G = \bigoplus_{i \in \aleph_{\alpha}} \mathbb{Q}$ . As the latter is equal to  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ , we have  $|\Gamma'| \leq \aleph_{\alpha}$ . Hence I is  $(\langle \aleph_{\alpha}^{+})$ -generated.

Consequently, D is a  $(<\aleph_{\alpha}^{+})$ -noetherian domain but not  $(<\aleph_{\alpha})$ -noetherian, that is, D is a strictly  $(<\aleph_{\alpha}^{+})$ -noetherian domain.

(2) Now suppose  $\aleph_{\alpha}$  is a singular cardinal. Let I be an ideal of D. As the proof of the above, we can show that I of D is  $(\langle \aleph_{\alpha}^{+})$ -generated. Next, we will show that I is actually  $(\langle \aleph_{\alpha} \rangle)$ -generated. Indeed, assume that  $I = \langle \{r_i \mid i \in \aleph_{\alpha}\} \rangle$  with the property that  $r_i | r_j$  when i > j in  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ . Since  $\aleph_{\alpha}$  is singular,  $cf(\aleph_{\alpha}) < \aleph_{\alpha}$ . Then there is a subset  $\Gamma$  of  $\aleph_{\alpha}$  with  $|\Gamma| = cf(\aleph_{\alpha})$  such that  $I = \langle \{r_i \mid i \in \Gamma\} \rangle$ . Consequently, Iis actually  $(\langle \aleph_{\alpha} \rangle)$ -generated. Next, we will show for any  $\beta < \alpha$ , there is an ideal I of D such that I is not  $(<\aleph_{\beta})$ generated. Since  $\aleph_{\alpha}$  is a singular cardinal,  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal. Hence  $\beta^+ < \alpha$ . Since  $\aleph_{\beta^+} < \aleph_{\alpha}$ , there is an initial segment  $\Lambda$  of  $\aleph_{\alpha}$  with  $|\Lambda| = \aleph_{\beta^+}$ . Let I be an ideal of Dgenerated by  $\{r \in D \mid v(r) = e_i, i \in \Lambda\}$ . Then I can be generated by  $\aleph_{\beta^+}$  elements. We claim that I is not  $(<\aleph_{\beta^+})$ -generated. Indeed, assume that I is generated by  $\aleph$  elements  $\{r_j \mid j \in \aleph\}$  with  $\aleph < \aleph_{\beta^+}$ . So  $\aleph$  can be seen as a proper well-ordering subset of  $\Lambda$ . Since  $\aleph_{\beta^+}$  is regular, there is  $i_0 \in \Lambda - \aleph$  such that  $e_{i_0} < v(r_j)$  for any  $j \in \aleph$  as in (1). Assume that  $v(r) = e_{i_0}$ . Then each  $r_j$  is divided by r, but r can not divided by  $r_j$  for any  $j \in \aleph$ . Hence  $r \notin I$ , which is a contradiction.

Consequently, D is a  $(<\aleph_{\alpha})$ -noetherian domain but not  $(<\aleph_{\beta})$ -noetherian for any  $\beta < \alpha$ , that is, D is a strictly  $(<\aleph_{\alpha})$ -noetherian domain.

Remark 2.8. Suppose  $\aleph_{\alpha}$  is a singular cardinal, then  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal and D is the required strictly  $(<\aleph_{\alpha})$ -noetherian ring in Problem 2.6. Since the non-existence of weakly inaccessible cardinals is consistent with ZFC, the existence of strictly  $(<\aleph_{\alpha})$ -noetherian ring for all limit ordinal  $\alpha$  is consistent with ZFC.

#### References

- L. Fuchs and L. Salce, Modules over Non-noetherian Domains. American Mathematical Society, 2001.
- J. Thomas, Set theory. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003. The third millennium edition, revised and expanded.
- [3] A. Matson, Rings of finite rank and finitely generated ideals. J. Commut. Algebra 1, No. 3, 537-546 (2009).
- [4] M. Mazari-Armida, On limit models and parametrized noetherian rings. J. Algebra 669, 58-74 (2025).