# Leveraging Agency in Virtual Reality to Enable Situated Learning

Eileen McGivney Northeastern University Boston, Massachuetts, USA e.mcgivney@northeastern.edu

## Abstract

Learning is an active process that is deeply tied to physical and social contexts. Yet schools traditionally place learners in a passive role and focus on decontextualizing knowledge. Situating learning in more authentic tasks and contexts typically requires taking it outside the classroom via field trips and apprenticeships, but virtual reality (VR) is a promising tool to bring more authentically situated learning experiences into classrooms. In this position paper, I discuss how one of VR's primary affordances for learning is heightening agency, and how such heightened agency can facilitate more authentically situated learning by allowing learners legitimate participation.

## **CCS** Concepts

• Human-centered computing → Interaction design theory, concepts and paradigms; • Applied computing → Interactive learning environments.

## Keywords

Virtual Reality, Education, Learning, Agency

#### **ACM Reference Format:**

Eileen McGivney. 2025. Leveraging Agency in Virtual Reality to Enable Situated Learning. In *Proceedings of Purposeful XR Workshop. Presented at CHI 2025 (arXiv:2504.07475). Yokohama, Japan.* ACM, New York, NY, USA, 3 pages. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2504.07475

# 1 Situated Learning and the Failure of Traditional Schooling

It has long been recognized that cognition is not something entirely "in the head," but is deeply connected to context, community, and identity [1]. For the same reason, it has long been argued that learning cannot mean only passively absorbing content knowledge, but must be an active and participatory process of moving from novice to expert [13]. Situated and situative learning theories explain how learning is sociocultural, embedded in the context in which occurs, with community, and requiring the learner's whole self [7, 13]. Yet traditional schooling is devoid of the rich contexts and tasks that help learners meaningfully connect to knowledge and engage in the kind of active participation that will be required of them outside the classroom [6]. The decontextualized schooling model contributes to young people's disengagement in education as they lose motivation to learn throughout secondary school, and also makes education less effective by failing to prepare learners to transfer what they learn throughout their future lives [3, 23]. On the other hand, authentically situated learning opportunities have been shown to address this important challenge, supporting motivation and learning outcomes [12, 13].

Typically, better situating learning in authentic tasks and contexts has required taking it out of the classroom via apprenticeships and field trips [5, 13]. However, immersive technologies are promising tools to bring situated learning opportunities into classrooms, making these experiences a more frequent part of school-based education and accessible to learners everywhere [4]. In recent years, virtual reality (VR) has become more affordable and accessible, and studies illustrate its affordances for bringing field trips into classrooms to learn about complex phenomena such as the impact climate change has on the ocean [15]. The promise of VR to promote situated learning in the classroom lies in its ability to make learners feel a sense of presence in a different place than the classroom, but also by giving them agency over their learning to take actions that are difficult or impossible in the real world [14]. While immersion and presence have been the focus of most research on learning with VR [8, 9], its novel forms of interactivity and firstperson perspective may be more powerful affordances for situating learning.

# 2 Agency in Virtual Reality Learning Environments

Presence and agency have been identified as the two primary affordances of VR for learning, each contributing to learning outcomes by allowing learners to feel transported to far-off places, visualizing phenomena that they cannot see in real life, heightening their engagement and intrinsic motivation to learn, ultimately increasing a variety of learning outcomes [10, 14]. Agency is one's capacity for acting and exerting control, which studies have shown is heightened in interactive VR systems. For example, studies have compared using an interactive learning environment with passively watching the content in VR and found interactivity heightens learners' sense of agency and enhances some of their learning outcomes [11, 19]. Immersive learning models and VR designers have equated the ability to interact with the environment and objects as the equivalent of agency. For example, the Cognitive Affective Model of Immersive Learning (CAMIL) posits that the control factors in a VR experience are its technical feature that directly leads to agency [14].

However, my research highlights the need to focus on more than just the ability to move in the environment and interact with objects to give learners the type of agency needed for authentically situated learning opportunities. Situated learning explicitly requires

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Purposeful XR Workshop. Presented at CHI 2025 (arXiv:2504.07475). Yokohama, Japan, © 2025 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM. ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-x/YYYY/MM https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2504.07475

legitimate participation, which goes beyond using your body but requires the ability to take meaningful actions that progressively move you into an expert role [13]. Similarly, other educational models of agency focus on supporting learners' autonomy to set goals and make decisions about what and how to learn [22], which requires a broader definition of control and interaction than moving objects [16]. In my research, I find that defining agency as interactivity is problematic because they have a complex association. For one, agency has multiple dimensions, and using less-interactive media like 360-degree videos still gives learners agency over what they focus their attention on and feeling in control over their learning despite not being able to move and interact with the environment [16]. Further, giving learners more opportunity to interact in a VR field trip does not necessarily enhance their sense of agency [18].

# 2.1 Transforming Learning through Agentic Experiences in Virtual Reality

These exploratory studies of agency in relation to varied levels of interactivity suggest more thought needs to be given to designing VR experiences for the types of agency that are beneficial for actively engaging learners in situated learning opportunities. While technological advances are making highly interactive VR experiences more feasible and accessible, it is going to be crucial to embed a learning framework that leverages interactivity in a productive way. My current research is investigating the application of different types of media and experiences within VR such as the balance between guided instruction and unguided discovery in VR, how 360-degree videos can be combined with more interactive activities, and narratives that highlight consequences of their actions over broader storylines. For example, my lab is currently developing a VR field trip that situates physics learning in the context of automotive manufacturing. Learners will not only be able to run car crash simulations and move around the environment, they will also be given control over a day in the life of an automotive engineers, giving them legitimate participation in real-world tasks.

One potential risk of not taking a thoughtful approach to designing for agency is that VR learning experiences will become highly controlled environments. Much research on learning with VR to date has focused on its shortcomings as a medium for didactic instruction, finding that heightened cognitive load makes it more difficult for learners to remember content than if they used a slideshow or video [17]. My own research finds that increased sense agency does not lead to increased content learning gains [18]. One response to this body of research would be to make VR a less stimulating environment, including controlling learners' focus to enhance their content retention. Given advances in biometric data collection and sensing, VR systems could force learners to direct their gaze and attention at specific aspects of the environment. This would make VR a tool well suited to the traditional schooling model that restricts learner agency.

However, this approach ignores the more transformative benefits VR can bring to classrooms. Doing so requires us to focus on learning outcomes beyond content knowledge gains. For example, heightened agency in VR learning environments increases learners' self-efficacy and intrinsic motivation to learn, and the heightened arousal that may distract learners is also responsible for making them feel a sense of awe and wonder for the material [2, 17, 20, 21].

Ultimately, VR's potential to make transformative contributions to education rests on how well it is designed for agency. Bringing more authentically situated learning opportunities to classrooms will help make learning more meaningful, effective, and motivating. But doing so will require focusing on the design of the experiences beyond making them increasingly immersive and interactive, and rather focusing on giving learners opportunities for legitimate participation.

## References

- Barbara Rogoff and Jean Lave (Eds.). 1984. Everyday cognition: Its development in social context (Cambridge, MA, US, 1984). Harvard University Press.
- [2] Alice Chirico, Pietro Cipresso, David B. Yaden, Federica Biassoni, Giuseppe Riva, and Andrea Gaggioli. 2017. Effectiveness of Immersive Videos in Inducing Awe: An Experimental Study. 7, 1 (2017), 1218. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-01242-0 Number: 1 Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.
- [3] Samuel B. Day and Robert L. Goldstone. 2012. The Import of Knowledge Export: Connecting Findings and Theories of Transfer of Learning. 47, 3 (2012), 153–176. doi:10.1080/00461520.2012.696438 Publisher: Routledge \_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2012.696438.
- [4] Chris Dede. 2009. Immersive Interfaces for Engagement and Learning. 323, 5910 (2009), 66–69. doi:10.1126/science.1167311
- [5] Jennifer DeWitt and Martin Storksdieck. 2008. A Short Review of School Field Trips: Key Findings from the Past and Implications for the Future. 11, 2 (2008), 181–197. doi:10.1080/10645570802355562
- [6] James Paul Gee. 2004. Situated Language and Learning: A Critique of Traditional Schooling. Routledge. https://www.amazon.com/Situated-Language-Learning-Traditional-Literacies/dp/0415317762
- [7] James G. Greeno. 2015. Commentary: Some Prospects for Connecting Concepts and Methods of Individual Cognition and of Situativity. 50, 3 (2015), 248–251. doi:10.1080/00461520.2015.1077708
- [8] D. Hamilton, J. McKechnie, E. Edgerton, and C. Wilson. 2021. Immersive virtual reality as a pedagogical tool in education: a systematic literature review of quantitative learning outcomes and experimental design. 8, 1 (2021), 1–32. doi:10. 1007/s40692-020-00169-2
- [9] Lasse Jensen and Flemming Konradsen. 2018. A review of the use of virtual reality head-mounted displays in education and training. 23, 4 (2018), 1515–1529. doi:10.1007/s10639-017-9676-0
- [10] Mina C. Johnson-Glenberg. 2018. Immersive VR and Education: Embodied Design Principles That Include Gesture and Hand Controls. 5 (2018). https://www. frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/frobt.2018.00081
- [11] Mina C. Johnson-Glenberg, Hannah Bartolomea, and Elena Kalina. 2021. Platform is not destiny: Embodied learning effects comparing 2D desktop to 3D virtual reality STEM experiences. 37, 5 (2021), 1263–1284. doi:10.1111/jcal.12567 \_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/jcal.12567.
- [12] Todd R. Kelley and J. Geoff Knowles. 2016. A conceptual framework for integrated STEM education. 3, 1 (2016), 11. doi:10.1186/s40594-016-0046-z
- [13] Jean Lave and Etienne Wenger. 1991. Situated Learning: Legitimate Peripheral Participation (1st edition ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- [14] Guido Makransky and Gustav B. Petersen. 2021. The Cognitive Affective Model of Immersive Learning (CAMIL): a Theoretical Research-Based Model of Learning in Immersive Virtual Reality. (2021). doi:10.1007/s10648-020-09586-2
- [15] David M. Markowitz, Rob Laha, Brian P. Perone, Roy D. Pea, and Jeremy N. Bailenson. 2018. Immersive Virtual Reality Field Trips Facilitate Learning About Climate Change. 9 (2018). doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2018.02364
- [16] Eileen McGivney. 2025. Interactivity and identity impact learners' sense of agency in virtual reality field trips. 56, 1 (2025), 410–434. doi:10.1111/bjet.13513 \_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/bjet.13513.
- [17] Eileen McGivney. 2023. Promoting Learning, Agency, and Motivation in STEM Classrooms with Virtual Reality Field Trips.
- [18] Eileen McGivney, Anna C. M. Queiroz, Mark Roman Miller, Sunny Liu, Brian Beams, Eugy Han, Erika S. Woolsey, Kai Frazier, Xander Petersen, Jeff Hancock, and Jeremy Bailenson. 2025. Complexity of Agency in VR Learning Environments: Exploring Associations with Interactivity, Learning Outcomes, and Affect. In *Immersive Learning Research Network* (Cham, 2025), Jule M. Krüger, Daniela Pedrosa, Dennis Beck, Marie-Luce Bourguet, Andreas Dengel, Rami Ghannam, Alan Miller, Anasol Peña-Rios, and Jonathon Richter (Eds.), Vol. 2271. Springer Nature Switzerland, 65–79. doi:10.1007/978-3-031-80475-5\_5 Series Title: Communications in Computer and Information Science.
- [19] Gustav Bøg Petersen, Giorgos Petkakis, and Guido Makransky. 2022. A study of how immersion and interactivity drive VR learning. 179 (2022), 104429. doi:10.

Purposeful XR Workshop. Presented at CHI 2025 (arXiv:2504.07475). Yokohama, Japan,

Leveraging Agency in Virtual Reality to Enable Situated Learning

1016/j.compedu.2021.104429

- [20] Anna C. M. Queiroz, Géraldine Fauville, Adina T. Abeles, Aaron Levett, and Jeremy N. Bailenson. 2023. The Efficacy of Virtual Reality in Climate Change Education Increases with Amount of Body Movement and Message Specificity. 15, 7 (2023), 5814. doi:10.3390/su15075814 Number: 7 Publisher: Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute.
- [21] Anna Carolina M. Queiroz, Géraldine Fauville, Fernanda Herrera, Maria Isabel da S. Leme, and Jeremy N. Bailenson. 2022. Do Students Learn Better With

Immersive Virtual Reality Videos Than Conventional Videos? A Comparison of Media Effects With Middle School Girls. 3, 3 (2022), 1–17. doi:10.1037/tmb000082
[22] Richard M Ryan and Edward L Deci. 2000. Self-Determination Theory and the

- [22] Richard M Ryan and Edward L Deci. 2000. Self-Determination Theory and the Facilitation of Intrinsic Motivation, Social Development, and Well-Being. (2000), 11.
- [23] Dana Vedder-Weiss and David Fortus. 2011. Adolescents' Declining Motivation to Learn Science: Inevitable or Not? 48, 2 (2011), 199–216. doi:10.1002/tea.20398 \_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/tea.20398.