Enhancing Person-to-Person Virtual Try-On with Multi-Garment Virtual Try-Off

Riza Velioglu[⊠], Petra Bevandic, Robin Chan, Barbara Hammer Machine Learning Group, CITEC, Bielefeld University, Germany

{rvelioglu, pbevandic, rchan, bhammer}@techfak.de



Figure 1. Virtual try-off results generated by multi-garment TryOffDiff. Rows display the input reference images, model predictions, and the ground truth. Conditioned on the person image and a specified garment class, TryOffDiff reconstructs: upper-body garments VITON-HD (cols 1-3), Dresscode (cols 4-6); lower-body garments (cols 7-9) and dresses (cols 10-12).

Abstract

Computer vision is transforming fashion through Virtual Try-On (VTON) and Virtual Try-Off (VTOFF). VTON generates images of a person in a specified garment using a target photo and a standardized garment image, while a more challenging variant, Person-to-Person Virtual Try-On (p2p-VTON), uses a photo of another person wearing the garment. VTOFF, on the other hand, extracts standardized garment images from clothed individuals. We introduce TryOffDiff, a diffusion-based VTOFF model. Built on a latent diffusion framework with SigLIP image conditioning, it effectively captures garment properties like texture, shape, and patterns. TryOffDiff achieves state-of-the-art results on VITON-HD and strong performance on DressCode dataset, covering upper-body, lower-body, and dresses. Enhanced with class-specific embeddings, it pioneers multi-garment VTOFF, the first of its kind. When paired with VTON models, it improves p2p-VTON by minimizing unwanted attribute transfer, such as skin color. Code is available at: https://rizavelioglu.github.io/tryoffdiff/

1. Introduction

Image-based virtual try-on (VTON) [19] is a key computer vision task aimed at generating images of a person wearing a specified garment. Traditionally, two input images are required: one showing the garment in a standardized form (often from an e-commerce catalog) and another of the target person that needs to be 'dressed' [8, 22]. Recent methods focus on a modified formulation where the catalog image is replaced with a photo of another person wearing the target garment, the so-called person-to-person try-on (p2p-VTON). This introduces additional processing complexity [38] as the model does not have access to full garment information.

From an application perspective, VTON offers an interactive shopping experience that helps users make betterinformed purchasing decisions. On the research side, it raises intriguing research questions, particularly around human pose detection as well as clothing shape, pattern, and texture analysis [15]. Best-performing models are usually guided generative models focused on creating specific, physically accurate outputs. Unlike general generative tasks that produce diverse outputs, reconstruction requires models to generate images that align with the correct appearance of the garment on a person. However, one major drawback of VTON is the lack of a clearly defined target output, often resulting in stylistic variations that complicate consistent evaluation. As an example, generated images may show garments tucked, untucked, or altered in fit, introducing plausible yet inconsistent visual variations and make it difficult to assess the true quality of the model.

A related task, Virtual Try-OFF (VTOFF) [34], focuses on the reverse goal: generating standardized garment images from photos of clothed persons. Despite sharing challenges with VTON—such as pose analysis, geometric transformations, and preserving textures, patterns, and logos—VTOFF offers a key advantage: its outputs have lower stylistic variability (*e.g.*, no tucked vs. untucked variations), making reconstruction quality easier to assess. However, this line of research remains scarce [32, 37]. From an application perspective, VTOFF can enhance e-commerce by providing consistent garment images for integration into VTON systems, such as OOTDiffusion [40], enabling practical p2p-VTON without ground truth garment images.

In this paper we introduce TryOffDiff, a diffusion-based model tailored to the specific challenges of VTOFF task. The base variant mirrors Stable Diffusion architecture and replaces text conditioning with image conditioning, using SigLIP features [43] processed by an adapter module to capture garment-specific properties such as texture, shape and patterns. Our approach achieves state-of-theart results on the VITON-HD dataset for upper-body garment reconstruction. To support multi-garment scenarios, we extend TryOffDiff by adding class-specific embeddings to timestep embeddings [24]. We demonstrate our results on the full DressCode dataset and show that the multi-garment TryOffDiff achieves comparable results to garment-specific variants. This makes TryOffDiff the first model to tackle multi-garment virtual try-off. Finally, we integrate TryOffDiff with state-of-the-art VTON models to enable person-to-person try-on. Our results show that this approach outperforms models capable of p2p-VTON by reducing unwanted attribute transfer, such as skin color, from the source person. To summarize, our primary contributions are:

- TryOffDiff: A diffusion model tuned for virtual try-off, excelling at garment reconstruction.
- Multi-Garment VTOFF: The first approach capable of handling multiple garment categories, and enabling simultaneous reconstruction of multiple garments, achieved through class-specific embeddings.
- Enhanced Person-to-Person VTON: Improved performance by feeding TryOffDiff output into VTON systems.



Figure 2. **Overview of various fashion image generation pipelines.** First row illustrates Virtual Try-On, which synthesizes an image of a person wearing a target garment given input images of person and garment. Second row depicts Virtual Try-Off, which generates an e-commerce-style image of a garment from a photo of a person wearing it. Third row shows Person-to-Person Virtual Try-On, where a garment is transferred from one person to another. Finally, fourth row demonstrates that P2P-VTON can be achieved by integrating VTON and VTOFF models.

2. Related Work

This section reviews prior research relevant to our TryOffDiff model, covering Virtual Try-Off, Image-based Virtual Try-On, and Conditional Diffusion Models. Virtual Try-Off generates standardized garment images from clothed individuals, complementing virtual fitting tasks. Image-based Virtual Try-On synthesizes personalized garment images using catalog or person-worn inputs, supporting advanced variants. Conditional Diffusion Models offer the generative backbone for our approach, enabling controlled synthesis through tailored conditioning mechanisms. These areas highlight the challenges and strategies shaping our work on multi-garment reconstruction.

Virtual Try-Off. Virtual Try-Off (VTOFF) generates standardized garment images from photos of clothed persons, a task with growing interest due to its applications in e-commerce. Early works, such as TileGAN [42], used a two-stage approach: a U-Net-inspired encoder-decoder to transfer features like color and shape for a coarse image, followed by a pix2pix-based refinement step. With the rise of

general-purpose text-to-image diffusion models like Stable Diffusion [28], later works shifted toward text-driven garment generation. ARMANI [47], DiffCloth [48], GarmentAligner [46], and MGD [2] explored finetuning latent diffusion models on garment-caption datasets. However, textbased approaches require highly detailed captions, which are difficult to produce, and often struggle to follow instructions precisely, leading to suboptimal outputs.

To address these limitations, recent approaches adopted image-based conditioning. IGR [31] finetuned Stable Diffusion v1.5 with a dual-tower architecture. Similarly, RAGDiffusion [32] employed SDXL [26] with a dualtower design and a coarse mask from a complex retrievalaugmented generation. TryOffAnyone [37] finetuned Stable Diffusion v1.5 for VTOFF, training only self-attention layers (similar to CatVTON [10]), without additional image encoders. This resulted in a lightweight yet powerful model, making it a strong baseline. Despite these advances, VTOFF research faces challenges: many studies are not publicly available, limiting open exploration, and most focus solely on upper-body garments, leaving broader garment categories underexplored.

Image-based Virtual Try-On. The objective of imagebased virtual try-on is to create composite images of a target person wearing a specific garment, preserving the person's identity, pose, and body shape while accurately rendering garment details. CAGAN [19] introduced this task with a cycle-GAN approach, while VITON [15] formalized it as a two-step, supervised framework: warping the garment through non-parametric geometric transformations [4], followed by blending it onto the person. CP-VTON [35] improved warping with a learnable thin-plate spline (TPS) transformation via a geometric matcher, later enhanced by dense flow [16] and appearance flow [14] for better pixellevel alignment of textures and folds. Despite these advanves, warping-based methods struggled with complex garment features like logos and intricate patterns.

Recent efforts shifted to GAN-based and diffusion-based models to overcome these limitations. FW-GAN [12] synthesized try-on videos, while PASTA-GAN [38] adapted StyleGAN2 for person-to-person try-on. However, GANs faced challenges with unstable training and mode collapse, prompting a move toward diffusion models, which offer greater stability. M&M-VTO [49] introduced a single-stage diffusion model for multi-garment try-on using one person image and multiple garment inputs. IDM-VTON [9] used two modules to encode garment semantics, extracting high- and low-level features with cross-attention and selfattention layers. OOTDiffusion [40] leveraged pretrained latent diffusion models, integrating garment features into a denoising UNet via outfitting fusion. In a lighter approach, CatVTON [10] avoided heavy feature extraction, proposing a compact model from a pretrained latent diffusion model with promising results using fewer parameters.

Adapting VTON models for VTOFF is challenging, as VTON often relies on additional inputs like text prompts, keypoints, or segmentation masks, requiring careful adjustment. Importantly, while both VTON and VTOFF tasks involve garment manipulation, they differ fundamentally. VTON models have access to complete garment details, allowing them to primarily focus on warping the item to fit a target pose. In contrast, VTOFF models must work with only partial garment information from a reference image, where occlusions and deformations are common, requiring them to reconstruct missing details from limited visual cues.

Conditional Diffusion Models. Latent Diffusion Models [28] (LDMs) achieved great success in recent years, providing control over generative process through cross-attention mechanisms [33]. These models support conditioning on various input modalities, including text [3, 5, 13] and images [25, 29, 30]. In text-guided synthesis, ControlNet [44] and T2I-Adapter [23] enhance pretrained models with additional layers for precise spatial control. IP-Adapter [41] further improves flexibility by decoupling cross-attention for text and image features, allowing image-guided generation with optional structural conditions. Prompt-Free Diffusion [39] eliminates text prompts entirely, relying on reference image and optional structural inputs to guide output.

Despite progress, applying these models to garment reconstruction poses challenges. Text-guided methods require highly detailed prompts for each sample to define product attributes, which is impractical. Similarly, existing imageguided models lack the ability to enforce the strict standards of fashion photography [7], limiting their suitability.

3. Methodology

This section formally defines the virtual try-off task and outlines TryOffDiff model, including SigLIP-based image conditioning and class-conditioning for multi-garment support.

3.1. Virtual Try-Off

Problem Formulation. Consider an RGB image $\mathbf{I} \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times 3}$ with height $H \in \mathbb{N}$ and width $W \in \mathbb{N}$, representing a person wearing garments. The VTOFF task is to produce a standardized product image $\mathbf{G} \in \{0, \ldots, 255\}^{H \times W \times 3}$, that meets commercial catalog standards. Formally, the goal is to train a generative model that learns the conditional distribution P(G|C), where G and C represent the variables corresponding to garment images and reference images (serving as condition), respectively. Suppose the model approximates this target distribution with Q(G|C). Then, given a specific reference image \mathbf{I} as conditioning input, the objective is for a sample



Figure 3. **Overview of TryOffDiff.** Given a reference image and a class label (*e.g.* 'dresses'), the SigLIP [43] image encoder extracts features, which are subsequently processed by a learnable adapter and embedded into the cross-attention layers of the Denoising U-Net. A learnable class label embedding conditions the generation to support multi-garment reconstruction. The model, with trainable Adapter, Embedding, and U-Net components, produces a standardized garment image, which is decoded by a frozen VAE.

 $\hat{\mathbf{G}} \sim Q(G|C = \mathbf{I})$ to resemble a true sample of a garment image $\mathbf{G} \sim P(G|C = \mathbf{I})$ as closely as possible.

3.2. TryOffDiff

The architecture of TryOffDiff mirrors Stable Diffusion [28] (v1.4), a latent diffusion model originally designed for text-to-image generation using CLIP's [27] text encoder. We replace text prompts for direct image-guided generation.

Image Conditioning. A core challenge in image-guided generation is effectively incorporating visual features into the conditioning mechanism of the generative model. CLIP's ViT [27] has become a popular choice for image feature extraction due to its general-purpose capabilities. Recently, SigLIP [43] introduced modifications that improve performance, particularly for tasks requiring more detailed and domain-specific visual representations. Therefore, we use the SigLIP model as image feature extractor and retain the full sequence of token representations in its final layer to preserve spatial information essential for accurate garment reconstruction. These features are processed by a trainable adapter module:

$$\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{I}) = (\mathrm{LN} \circ \mathrm{Linear} \circ \mathrm{SigLIP})(\mathbf{I}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$$
(1)

where SigLIP embeddings are projected by a linear layer and normalized (LN) [1], following a structure similar to IP-Adapter [41]. The adapted features C(I) are then incorporated into the denoising U-Net's cross-attention layers. Specifically, the key K and value V of the attention mechanism at each layer are derived from the image features through linear transformations:

$$\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{I}) \cdot \mathbf{W}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d_k}, \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{I}) \cdot \mathbf{W}_V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d_v}$$
(2)

where $\mathbf{W}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d_k}$ and $\mathbf{W}_v \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d_v}$. This mechanism allows the U-Net to use the reference image's visual details, improving the precision of the generated garment.

Garment Type Conditioning. Reference images often contain multiple garments, such as a shirt and pants, requiring the model to distinguish which garment to reconstruct. To resolve this ambiguity and enable multi-garment support, we condition the model on text embeddings corresponding to garment categories—"lower body", "upper body", or "dress"—aligned with the class labels of the Dress Code dataset. These text embeddings are passed through a linear layer and then combined with timestep embeddings [24] via element-wise addition before being fed into the residual blocks of the diffusion model. This conditioning mechanism guides the denoising process to generate the garment corresponding to specified category.

	Training Configuration				Structural Similarity↑			Perceptual Quality↓				
Method	Img. Encoder	Adapter	Cond. Shape	Pretrain	SSIM	MS-SSIM	CW-SSIM	LPIPS	FID	FID ^{CLIP}	KID	DISTS↓
TryOffAnyone* [37]	-	-	-	\checkmark	72.0	-	-	17.2	25.3	-	8.9	21.0
TryOffDiff-single	CLIP ViT-B/32	-	(257,1024)	-	77.9	69.2	46.4	35.3	16.8	7.7	5.2	23.7
	CLIP ViT-B/32	Linear+LN	(77,768)	-	78.8	70.5	46.5	34.3	16.3	7.4	4.7	23.5
	SigLIP-B/16	Linear+LN	(77,768)	-	78.4	69.8	47.3	33.1	16.4	6.6	5.1	22.1
	CLIP ViT-B/32	Linear+LN	(77,768)	\checkmark	79.8	71.5	47.8	32.0	15.2	5.8	4.1	21.5
	SigLIP-B/16	Linear+LN	(77,768)	\checkmark	80.3	72.5	49.2	30.1	14.5	5.7	3.9	20.3

Table 1. Training configurations and quantitative results of baseline and ablation studies on *VITON-HD-test*. The "Pretrain" check mark indicates whether the U-Net is initialized from Stable Diffusion v1.4 (\checkmark) or trained from scratch (-). All ablations mirror the model architecture of Stable Diffusion (v1.4). *Values for TryOffAnyone are taken from the original paper.

4. Experiments

Since VTOFF task is newly introduced, few open-source methods exist. We evaluate our proposed TryOffDiff model against the publicly available TryOffAnyone [37] to establish a baseline. To ensure reproducibility, we provide a detailed description of our experimental setup. We adopt DISTS [11] as the primary evaluation metric, supplemented by standard metrics for a comprehensive comparison. Qualitative results are also presented to showcase TryOffDiff's ability to handle diverse and challenging inputs.

4.1. Experimental Setup

Datasets. Our experiments are conducted on two publicly available datasets: VITON-HD [8] and Dress Code [22]. Originally designed for VTON, these datasets are also well-suited for VTOFF as they provide image pairs (I, G), where I is a clothed person and G is the corresponding garment.

VITON-HD includes 13,679 high-resolution (1024 \times 768) image pairs of frontal half-body models with upperbody garments. We preprocessed the dataset by removing duplicates and test set leaks from the training set, resulting in 11,552 unique pairs for training and 1,990 for testing.

Dress Code contains 53,792 high-resolution (1024×768) person-garment pairs, with 48,392 pairs for trainingcomprising dresses (27,678), upper-body (13,563), and lower-body (7,151)-and 5,400 pairs for testing, evenly split with 1,800 pairs per category.

For person-to-person try-on, where ground-truth images are unavailable, we randomly pair garments across individuals and provide a text file of input image filenames for reproducibility. For Dress Code, a label (upper-body, lowerbody, or dresses) indicates the garment to transfer; this is not needed for VITON-HD, which includes only upperbody garments.

Evaluation Metrics. To evaluate reconstruction quality, we use established full-reference metrics such as *Structural Similarity Index Measure* (SSIM) [36] and its *multi-scale* (MS-SSIM) as well as *complex-wavelet* (CW-SSIM) vari-

ants. To measure perceptual quality, we use common noreference metrics like *Learned Perceptual Image Patch Similarity* (LPIPS) [45], *Fréchet Inception Distance* (FID) [17] and *Kernel Inception Distance* (KID) [6] metric. To measure the perceptual similarity between images capturing both structural and textural information, we report the *Deep Image Structure and Texture Similarity* (DISTS) [11] metric. We prioritize the DISTS metric in evaluations because it balances structural and textural information, offering a comprehensive evaluation of image quality.

Implementation Details. Denoising U-Net weights are initialized from Stable Diffusion v1.4 [28] and subsequently fine-tuned. The Adapter module and class Embedding layer are trained from scratch. The SigLIP encoder, VAE encoder, and VAE decoder are kept frozen throughout the training, which is performed end-to-end. Input reference images are padded along the width to achieve a square aspect ratio and resized to 512×512 to match the pretrained SigLIP and VAE encoder's format. Garment images undergo the same preprocessing during training. We use SigLIP-B/16-512 as image feature extractor, yielding 1,024 token embeddings of dimension 768, which the adaptercomprising a linear layer and normalization-reduces to n =77 conditioning embeddings of dimension m = 768. For garment conditioning, we embed class labels (e.g. 'upperbody', 'lower-body', 'dress') using a trainable embedding layer. This layer has 3 classes and projects the labels into a 1,280-dimensional space, matching the dimension of the timestep embeddings. These class embeddings are then combined with the timestep embeddings via element-wise addition before being fed into the U-Net's residual blocks.

Training runs for 200 epochs (approximately 150k iterations) on a single node with four NVIDIA A40 GPUs, taking about 5 days with a batch size of 16. We use the AdamW optimizer [21], with an initial learning rate of 5e-5, which increases linearly from zero over the first 15,000 warmup steps (%10 of total iterations), then decays linearly to zero. A weight decay of 0.01 applies to all parameters except biases and normalization weights. Following [20], we use the



Figure 4. Impact of guidance scale (*s*) and inference steps (*n*) on DISTS and FID scores. Experiments are conducted on *DressCode-test* with TryOffDiff using the Euler scheduler [20].

Euler noise scheduler (Algorithm 2) with 1,000 steps. Optimization relies on the standard Mean Squared Error (MSE) loss, which measures the distance between the added and the predicted noise at each step [18].

During inference, TryOffDiff uses Euler scheduler with 20 timesteps and a guidance scale of 1.5. On a single NVIDIA A40 GPU, inference on Dress Code test set takes 2.8 seconds per image and requires 10.1GB of memory.

4.2. Ablation Studies

We perform ablation studies to assess key components of TryOffDiff, including the image encoder, adapter module, conditioning feature dimensions, and the impact of finetuning a pretrained U-Net versus training from scratch. The configurations for these experiments are summarized in Tab. 1. In addition, Fig. 4 illustrates the impact of guidance scale and inference steps on FID and DISTS scores, evaluated on the Dress Code-test set using the Euler noise scheduler. This experiment reveals how these hyperparameters influence reconstruction quality, providing insights into optimal inference setting for TryOffDiff.

4.3. Quantitative Results

We evaluate TryOffDiff's performance on the VITON-HD and Dress Code datasets, comparing it to baseline TryOffAnyone [37] and category-specific ablations. Results are presented in Tab. 1 (VITON-HD), Tab. 2 (Dress Code), and Tab. 3 (p2p-VTON).

The results in Tab. 1 reveal key insights into our design choices. First, finetuning a pretrained U-Net consistently outperforms training from scratch across all metrics, underscoring the advantage of leveraging pretrained weights for initializing the diffusion model. Second, the SigLIP encoder outperforms CLIP, especially in perceptual quality metrics like FID and DISTS, suggesting that SigLIP's visual representations are more effective for detailed garment reconstruction. Finally, incorporating the adapter module further improves performance by more effectively conditioning the diffusion process with image features.

On VITON-HD dataset (Tab. 1), our method outperforms the baseline across all metrics except LPIPS, where

Method	SSIM↑	$MS\text{-}\uparrow$	$CW-\uparrow$	LPIPS↓	FID↓	$\text{FID}^{\text{CLIP}}{\downarrow}$	$\text{KID}{\downarrow}$	DISTS↓		
Dresses										
TryOffDiff-single	81.6	76.1	55.6	26.1	18.4	8.2	4.4	20.8		
TryOffDiff-multi	81.6	76.1	55.5	26.4	18.9	8.0	4.6	21.2		
Upper-body										
TryOffDiff-single	80.8	73.8	47.8	31.6	17.1	5.2	4.7	21.6		
TryOffDiff-multi	80.2	73.2	48.1	32.3	17.8	5.3	5.5	22.2		
Lower-body										
TryOffDiff-single	81.1	76.8	55.5	30.0	22.6	9.4	5.9	21.1		
TryOffDiff-multi	80.4	75.6	54.1	30.8	22.4	9.0	6.8	21.7		
All										
TryOffDiff-merged	81.2	75.6	52.9	29.2	11.4	6.7	3.1	21.2		
TryOffDiff-multi	78.6	75.4	57.4	25.0	12.8	6.8	4.1	21.3		

Table 2. Quantitative comparison of multi-garment VTOFF on *DressCode-test*. We compare category-specific models (*TryOffDiff-single*) trained independently on dresses, upper-body, and lower-body garments against a class-conditioned model (*TryOffDiff-multi*) trained jointly across all garment types. Additionally, we report results for *TryOffDiff-merged*, which aggregates the outputs of the three category-specific models into a single set. While non-distributional metrics (*e.g.*, SSIM, LPIPS) for merged results reflect simple averages across categories, distributional metrics (*e.g.*, FID, KID) are computed over the merged output set, capturing holistic distributional properties.

	'	VITON-HI)	Dress Code			
Methods	FID↓	$\text{FID}^{\text{CLIP}}{\downarrow}$	KID↓	FID↓	$\text{FID}^{\text{CLIP}}{\downarrow}$	KID↓	
CatVTON	12.0	3.5	3.9	8.4	1.9	3.1	
OOTD + GT	10.8	2.8	2.0	7.5	3.4	2.5	
OOTD + TryOffDiff	<u>11.9</u>	<u>3.3</u>	<u>2.6</u>	<u>7.9</u>	3.4	<u>2.7</u>	

Table 3. **Quantitative results for p2p-VTON.** We evaluate OOTDiffusion (OOTD) with ground truth (GT) garments and TryOffDiff-predicted garments, alongside CatVTON, a specialized p2p-VTON model. Our TryOffDiff model, when integrated with a VTON model, achieves competitive performance, even though it is not explicitly trained for the p2p task.

TryOffAnyone achieves a better score, though TryOffDiff excels in global metrics like FID and DISTS which is our primary metric.

In our evaluation on the Dress Code dataset (Tab. 2), we consider: (i) category-specific TryOffDiff models trained individually on dresses, upper-body, and lower-body garments; (ii) a class-conditioned TryOffDiff model trained jointly on all categories using garment-type embeddings; and (iii) an aggregated result, denoted *TryOffDiff-merged*, where outputs from the three category-specific models are combined post hoc. The class-conditioned model remains competitive across all categories, demonstrating its robustness as a unified solution.

For person-to-person virtual try-on (Tab. 3), we integrate TryOffDiff with OOTDiffusion and compare it to CatV-TON, a specialized p2p-VTON model. On VITON-HD, OOTD+TryOffDiff performs slightly better than CatVTON. On Dress Code, TryOffDiff, when paired with OOTD,



Figure 5. **Qualitative comparison of garment-specific and multi-garment TryOffDiff.** First row displays the conditioning image. Second row shows dataset-specific reconstructions produced by TryOffDiff model trained on individual datasets: VITON-HD (cols 1-3), DressCode upper-body (cols 4-6), lower-body (cols 7-9), and dresses (cols 10-12). Last row shows predictions of multi-garment TryOffDiff trained on full DressCode with garment type conditioning.

delivers competitive performance, approaching specialized p2p-VTON models like CatVTON, especially in perceptual quality metrics.

These findings highlight TryOffDiff's versatility and effectiveness, enabling high-quality garment reconstruction for both VTOFF and p2p-VTON tasks. Its improvements in structural similarity and perceptual quality translate into practical benefits, such as more realistic garment rendering in both VTOFF and p2p-VTON applications.

4.4. Qualitative Analysis

Figure 5 illustrates the performance of TryOffDiff in garment reconstruction, comparing two variants: garmentspecific models (row 2) and multi-garment model (row 3). The conditioning images, displayed in first row, are sourced from VITON-HD (cols 1-3), DressCode upperbody (cols 4-6), lower-body (cols 7-9), and dresses (cols 10-12). Garment-specific models excel in precision, particularly for text and fine details (cols 2 and 5), likely due to the pretrained model initialization. In contrast, the multigarment model offers versatility, delivering strong performance across diverse garment types and datasets, albeit with slight trade-offs in detail compared to specialized models. Nevertheless, it reconstructs a high level of detail (column 6) and shows robust generalization to VITON-HD, which was unseen during training. In some cases (cols 2, 7 and 9), it even produces better fidelity of color.

Figure 6 illustrates the results of p2p-VTON. Overall, neither method stands out as a definitive winner. CatVTON excels in preserving texture and pattern details but occasionally introduces diffusion artifacts (row 2). It can also transfer unintended attributes, such as skin color, from target model to the source model (row 1)–a limitation not ob-

served in classical try-on models. OOTDiffusion combined with TryOffDiff avoids such attribute transfer issues but may struggle due to masking, resulting in residual source garment attributes in the output (rows 3 and 4).

These results highlight the potential of Virtual Try-Off task and the TryOffDiff model. Although TryOffDiff was not specifically trained for p2p-VTON, its integration with VTON models presents a promising approach, already demonstrating competitive performance compared to stateof-the-art person-to-person virtual try-on methods.

5. Conclusion

In this paper we propose TryOffDiff, a latent diffusion model tailored to Virtual Try-Off. We substitute text conditioning mechanism with adapted SigLIP features to steer the generative process, enabling effective garment reconstructions. TryOffDiff achieves state-of-the art results on VITON-HD. Further experiments were conducted on DressCode, which contains three types of garments: upper-body, lower-body and dresses. While the baseline architecture can be trained separately for each category, we enhance TryOffDiff by introducing class conditioning. This advancement allows a single model to perform multigarment reconstruction, successfully capturing all garment types with minimal loss in detail quality. To our knowledge, this is the first method to address multi-garment VTOFF in a unified framework.

Moreover, we demonstrate that integrating VTOFF with VTON models enables Person-to-Person Virtual Try-On, achieving performance comparable to specialized models. This pipeline offers practical advantages: it leverages existing VTON models for a wider applications and decouples garment reconstruction from other generative artifacts, sim-



Figure 6. **Qualitative comparison for Person-to-Person Virtual Try-On.** Columns show: (a) person to be dressed, (b) CatVTON output using a person image with target garment as condition for direct p2p-VTON, (c) OOTDiffusion output with ground truth target garment, and (d) OOTDiffusion output with multi-garment TryOffDiff prediction.

plifying the assessment of p2p-VTON outcomes.

Despite these promising results, challenges persist in preserving complex structures, such as logos and printed designs. Future work could explore advanced generative models, alternative visual conditioning methods and additional losses to enhance detail preservation.

Acknowledgment

This work has been funded by Horizon Europe program under grant agreement 101134447-ENFORCE, and by the German federal state of North Rhine-Westphalia as part of the research funding program KI-Starter. We would like to thank UniZG-FER for providing access to their hardware.

References

- [1] Jimmy Lei Ba, Jamie Ryan Kiros, and Geoffrey E Hinton. Layer normalization. *stat*, 1050:21, 2016. 4
- [2] Alberto Baldrati, Davide Morelli, Giuseppe Cartella, Marcella Cornia, Marco Bertini, and Rita Cucchiara. Multimodal garment designer: Human-centric latent diffusion models for fashion image editing. In *ICCV*, 2023. 3
- [3] Jason Baldridge, Jakob Bauer, Mukul Bhutani, Nicole Brichtova, Andrew Bunner, Kelvin Chan, et al. Imagen 3. arXiv, 2024. https://doi.org/ngr4.3
- [4] Serge Belongie, Jitendra Malik, and Jan Puzicha. Shape matching and object recognition using shape contexts. *IEEE TPAMI*, 2002. 3
- [5] James Betker, Gabriel Goh, Li Jing, Tim Brooks, Jianfeng Wang, Linjie Li, et al. Improving image generation with better captions. *preprint*, 2023. 3
- [6] Mikołaj Bińkowski, Danica J Sutherland, Michael Arbel, and Arthur Gretton. Demystifying mmd gans. In *ICLR*, 2018. 5
- [7] Soon Yau Cheong, Armin Mustafa, and Andrew Gilbert. Visconet: Bridging and harmonizing visual and textual conditioning for controlnet. In ECCVW, 2024. 3
- [8] Seunghwan Choi, Sunghyun Park, Minsoo Lee, and Jaegul Choo. Viton-hd: High-resolution virtual try-on via misalignment-aware normalization. In CVPR, 2021. 1, 5
- [9] Yisol Choi, Sangkyung Kwak, Kyungmin Lee, Hyungwon Choi, and Jinwoo Shin. Improving diffusion models for virtual try-on. arXiv, 2024. https://doi.org/np47.3
- [10] Zheng Chong, Xiao Dong, Haoxiang Li, Shiyue Zhang, Wenqing Zhang, Xujie Zhang, Hanqing Zhao, and Xiaodan Liang. Catvton: Concatenation is all you need for virtual try-on with diffusion models. In *ICLR*, 2025. 3
- [11] Keyan Ding, Kede Ma, Shiqi Wang, and Eero P Simoncelli. Image quality assessment: Unifying structure and texture similarity. *IEEE TPAMI*, 2020. 5
- [12] Haoye Dong, Xiaodan Liang, Xiaohui Shen, Bowen Wu, Bing-Cheng Chen, and Jian Yin. Fw-gan: Flow-navigated warping gan for video virtual try-on. In *ICCV*, 2019. 3
- [13] Patrick Esser, Sumith Kulal, Andreas Blattmann, Rahim Entezari, Jonas Müller, Harry Saini, et al. Scaling rectified flow transformers for high-resolution image synthesis. In *ICML*, 2024. 3

- [14] Yuying Ge, Yibing Song, Ruimao Zhang, Chongjian Ge, Wei Liu, and Ping Luo. Parser-free virtual try-on via distilling appearance flows. In *CVPR*, 2021. 3
- [15] Xintong Han, Zuxuan Wu, Zhe Wu, Ruichi Yu, and Larry S Davis. Viton: An image-based virtual try-on network. In *CVPR*, 2018. 1, 3
- [16] Xintong Han, Xiaojun Hu, Weilin Huang, and Matthew R Scott. Clothflow: A flow-based model for clothed person generation. In *CVPR*, 2019. 3
- [17] Martin Heusel, Hubert Ramsauer, Thomas Unterthiner, Bernhard Nessler, and Sepp Hochreiter. Gans trained by a two time-scale update rule converge to a local nash equilibrium. In *NeurIPS*, 2017. 5
- [18] Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. In *NeurIPS*, 2020. 6
- [19] Nikolay Jetchev and Urs Bergmann. The conditional analogy gan: Swapping fashion articles on people images. In *ICCVW*, 2017. 1, 3
- [20] Tero Karras, Miika Aittala, Timo Aila, and Samuli Laine. Elucidating the design space of diffusion-based generative models. In *NeurIPS*, 2022. 5, 6
- [21] Ilya Loshchilov and Frank Hutter. Decoupled weight decay regularization. In *ICLR*, 2019. 5
- [22] Davide Morelli, Matteo Fincato, Marcella Cornia, Federico Landi, Fabio Cesari, and Rita Cucchiara. Dress code: Highresolution multi-category virtual try-on. In *CVPR*, 2022. 1, 5
- [23] Chong Mou, Xintao Wang, Liangbin Xie, Yanze Wu, Jian Zhang, Zhongang Qi, and Ying Shan. T2i-adapter: Learning adapters to dig out more controllable ability for text-to-image diffusion models. In AAAI, 2024. 3
- [24] Alexander Quinn Nichol and Prafulla Dhariwal. Improved denoising diffusion probabilistic models. In *ICML*, 2021. 2, 4
- [25] Gaurav Parmar, Krishna Kumar Singh, Richard Zhang, Yijun Li, Jingwan Lu, and Jun-Yan Zhu. Zero-shot image-to-image translation. In *SIGGRAPH*, 2023. 3
- [26] Dustin Podell, Zion English, Kyle Lacey, Andreas Blattmann, Tim Dockhorn, Jonas Müller, Joe Penna, and Robin Rombach. Sdxl: Improving latent diffusion models for high-resolution image synthesis. arXiv, 2023. https: //doi.org/pg7n. 3
- [27] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *ICML*, 2021. 4
- [28] Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In CVPR, 2022. 3, 4, 5
- [29] Chitwan Saharia, William Chan, Huiwen Chang, Chris Lee, Jonathan Ho, Tim Salimans, et al. Palette: Image-to-image diffusion models. In *SIGGRAPH*, 2022. 3
- [30] Chitwan Saharia, Jonathan Ho, William Chan, Tim Salimans, David J Fleet, and Mohammad Norouzi. Image superresolution via iterative refinement. *IEEE TPAMI*, 2022. 3

- [31] Le Shen, Rong Huang, and Zhijie Wang. Igr: Improving diffusion model for garment restoration from person image. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.11513*, 2024. 3
- [32] Xianfeng Tan, Yuhan Li, Wenxiang Shang, Yubo Wu, Jian Wang, Xuanhong Chen, Yi Zhang, Ran Lin, and Bingbing Ni. Ragdiffusion: Faithful cloth generation via external knowledge assimilation. *arXiv*, 2024. https://doi.org/pg7m. 2, 3
- [33] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Lukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. In *NeurIPS*, 2017. 3
- [34] Riza Velioglu, Petra Bevandic, Robin Chan, and Barbara Hammer. Tryoffdiff: Virtual-try-off via high-fidelity garment reconstruction using diffusion models. arXiv, 2024. https://doi.org/nt3n.2
- [35] Bochao Wang, Huabin Zheng, Xiaodan Liang, Yimin Chen, Liang Lin, and Meng Yang. Toward characteristicpreserving image-based virtual try-on network. In ECCV, 2018. 3
- [36] Zhou Wang, A.C. Bovik, H.R. Sheikh, and E.P. Simoncelli. Image quality assessment: from error visibility to structural similarity. *IEEE Trans. Image Process.*, 2004. 5
- [37] Ioannis Xarchakos and Theodoros Koukopoulos. Tryoffanyone: Tiled cloth generation from a dressed person. arXiv, 2024. https://doi.org/n9bc. 2, 3, 5, 6
- [38] Zhenyu Xie, Zaiyu Huang, Fuwei Zhao, Haoye Dong, Michael Kampffmeyer, and Xiaodan Liang. Towards scalable unpaired virtual try-on via patch-routed spatiallyadaptive gan. In *NeurIPS*, 2021. 1, 3
- [39] Xingqian Xu, Jiayi Guo, Zhangyang Wang, Gao Huang, Irfan Essa, and Humphrey Shi. Prompt-free diffusion: Taking" text" out of text-to-image diffusion models. In *CVPR*, 2024. 3
- [40] Yuhao Xu, Tao Gu, Weifeng Chen, and Chengcai Chen. Ootdiffusion: Outfitting fusion based latent diffusion for controllable virtual try-on. In AAAI, 2025. 2, 3
- [41] Hu Ye, Jun Zhang, Sibo Liu, Xiao Han, and Wei Yang. Ipadapter: Text compatible image prompt adapter for text-toimage diffusion models. arXiv, 2023. https://doi. org/np3v. 3, 4
- [42] Wei Zeng, Mingbo Zhao, Yuan Gao, and Zhao Zhang. Tilegan: category-oriented attention-based high-quality tiled clothes generation from dressed person. *Neural Comput. Appl.*, 2020. 2
- [43] Xiaohua Zhai, Basil Mustafa, Alexander Kolesnikov, and Lucas Beyer. Sigmoid loss for language image pre-training. In *ICCV*, 2023. 2, 4
- [44] Lvmin Zhang, Anyi Rao, and Maneesh Agrawala. Adding conditional control to text-to-image diffusion models. In *ICCV*, 2023. 3
- [45] Richard Zhang, Phillip Isola, Alexei A Efros, Eli Shechtman, and Oliver Wang. The unreasonable effectiveness of deep features as a perceptual metric. In *CVPR*, 2018. 5
- [46] Shiyue Zhang, Zheng Chong, Xujie Zhang, Hanhui Li, Yuhao Cheng, Yiqiang Yan, and Xiaodan Liang. Garmentaligner: Text-to-garment generation via retrieval-augmented multi-level corrections. In *ECCV*, 2024. 3

- [47] Xujie Zhang, Yu Sha, Michael C Kampffmeyer, Zhenyu Xie, Zequn Jie, Chengwen Huang, Jianqing Peng, and Xiaodan Liang. Armani: Part-level garment-text alignment for unified cross-modal fashion design. In ACMM, 2022. 3
- [48] Xujie Zhang, Binbin Yang, Michael C Kampffmeyer, Wenqing Zhang, Shiyue Zhang, Guansong Lu, Liang Lin, Hang Xu, and Xiaodan Liang. Diffcloth: Diffusion based garment synthesis and manipulation via structural cross-modal semantic alignment. In *ICCV*, 2023. 3
- [49] Luyang Zhu, Yingwei Li, Nan Liu, Hao Peng, Dawei Yang, and Ira Kemelmacher-Shlizerman. M&m vto: Multigarment virtual try-on and editing. In *CVPR*, 2024. 3