

On Dimension-Free Transformer: An Application of STP to AI

Daizhan Cheng

Abstract—The matrix expressions for every parts of a transformer are firstly described. Based on semi-tensor product (STP) of matrices the hypervectors are reconsidered and the linear transformation over hypervectors is constructed by using projection. Its properties and calculating formulas are obtained. Using projection-based transformation of hypervector (PBTH), the framework of dimension-free transformer (DFT) is proposed by verifying each linear transformation in a transformer and replacing it by a proper PBTH, which allows the inputs and outputs being of arbitrary dimensions. Using balanced information about all entries, DFT must be more efficient in dealing with signals.

Index Terms—Transformer, attention, hypervector, semi-tensor product (STP), semi-tensor addition (STA), .

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years the development of AI is unbelievably fast, and its impact on human lives is amazingly increasing. Particularly, the ChartGPT, the DeepSeek etc., as excellent examples of connectionism in AI, are shaking the AI community as well as the human society. The key engine in ChartGPT and DeepSeek etc. is the transformer, which is an attention-based neural network [18].

Attentions are the key in a transformer. Fig. 1, redrawing from [19], describes it.

From mathematical point of view, an attention is a multi-linear mapping

$$\pi : x^1 \times \cdots \times x^s \rightarrow y^1 \times \cdots \times y^t. \quad (1)$$

- Case 1, Equal Length Sequences: $\dim(x_i) = \dim(y_j)$, $i \in [1, s]$, $j \in [1, t]$. Then the mappings π are commonly described by matrix multiplication (MatMul), or linear mapping (Linear) in component-wise.
- Case 2, Length-Varying Sequence: Say, $\dim x_i = m_i$, $i \in [1, s]$, $\dim(y_j) = n_j$, $j \in [1, t]$. In literature the most commonly used technique to deal with this case is using

This work is supported partly by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grants 62073315.

Key Laboratory of Systems and Control, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190; and Research Center of Semi-tensor Product of Matrices P. R. China (e-mail: dcheng@iss.ac.cn).




Fig. 1. Attenders in a Transformer

zero-padding. Than is, assume $m_0 = \max_{1 \leq i \leq s} m_i$ and $n_0 = \max_{1 \leq j \leq t} n_j$, then we pad x^i by

$$\tilde{x}^i = (x^i, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{m_0 - m_i})^T, \quad i \in [1, s].$$

Then the transformation defined in Case 1 can be used to deal with the padded data. After the transformation, we received \tilde{y}_j with length n_0 . Then the so-called Padding-Mask is used to truncate it back to $y_j \in \mathbb{R}^{n_j}$.

The zero-padding approach has several weaknesses. (a) The additional zero-padded 0 will cause some junk information into the resulting data. Then a padding mask is necessary to reduce the effects caused by such junk information. (b) The components of a vector are not used in a balance way. There are some biases. (c) The nominal m_0 and n_0 must be greater than or equal to the maximum m_i , $i \in [1, s]$ or maximum n_j , $j \in [1, t]$ respectively. Then the padded \tilde{x}^i , $i \in [1, s]$ (similarly, \tilde{y}^j , $j \in [1, t]$) together become a sparse matrix, which also waste some memories.

This paper investigates the process on length-varying sequences. Instead of zero-padding approach, a projection-based padding is proposed, which can overcome the above mentioned weaknesses of zero-padding. Based on projection-based padding, a new transformer, called the dimension-free




Fig. 2. A Transformer

transformer (DFT) is proposed. In DFT, all kinds of linear transformations in a transformer have been reconsidered and replaced by corresponding “dimension-free” ones, which are essentially the projection-based padding and un-padding. In the sequel, you will see that the projection-based padding is also available for causal mask.

Next, let us observe the whole structure of a transformer depicted by Fig. 2, which is redrawn from [18].

There are only few nonlinear functions, which are:

- $softmax$, (probabilization),
- $Norm$, (normalization),
- $ReLU$, (rectified linear Unit), etc.

All of them can be considered as “self-adjustments” of argument vectors/matrices without any dimension change, where




Fig. 3. Single Layer Neural Network

self-adjustment means no other data are necessary. Hence there is no dimension dispute.

All the other operators can be considered as compounded operators with

- $MaxMul$, (matrix product),
- $Linear$, (linear mapping),
- $+$, (addition, e.g., Feedforward),
- $Mask$, (padding-mask, casual-mask),
- PE , (positional encoding).

All these operators require certain dimension-matching conditions. The DFT is assumed to be a transformer with all linear operators, including $MatMul$, $Linear$, and $+$, are all dimension free.

A single-layer neural network (NN) is described in Fig. 3. It can be formulated as

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_m \end{bmatrix} = f \left(W \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_m \end{bmatrix} \right), \quad (2)$$

where $W \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}$, $f(x)$: activation function, e.g., $f(x) = ReLU(x) = \max(0, x)$.

From the structure of single-layer NN one sees easily that the key part in an NN consists mainly of $MatMul$ and matrix addition. When the dimensions of matrices or vectors are varying, the DFT needs dimension-varying product and addition.

The semi-tensor product (STP) and semi-tensor addition (STA) are the generalizations of conventional matrix product and vector addition, which remove the dimension restriction of conventional matrices and vectors[3]. Hence STP and STA provide a proper tool to construct DFT.

Recently, using STP and STA, a dimension-free matrix theory has been developed[3]. It has been used to many different fields. For instance, [10], [17] introduced its application to Boolean networks; [16] is a survey on its application to logical networks and finite valued systems; [13] surveyed its engineering applications; [5] surveyed its various applications

to finite games; [21] finite automata; and there are some works on cryptography [22], compressed sensing[20], etc.

The matrix-matrix (MM-) STP is a generalization of conventional matrix product, which allows the factor matrices of arbitrary dimensions; the matrix=vector (MV-) STP is a generalization of conventional matrix-vector product, which is also dimension-free; meanwhile, the STA, as a generalization of conventional vector addition, is an addition for vectors of different dimensions. These dimension-free operators from dimension-free matrix theory provide a set of suitable tools to construct DFT.

In a transformer, as well as in all AI based signal processes, it is commonly true that the data encountered are of higher order. Hence, describing them as hypermatrices is a proper way. In literature, the hypermatrices are also called the tensors. In this paper, we call them hypermatrices of order k . When the order of a set of data is 1 we have a vector; when the order is 2, we have a matrix; and as the order $k > 2$ we have k -th order hypermatrices. We refer to [14] for general hypermatrices, to [1] for some new applications of hypermatrices.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews some basic concepts/results from dimension-free matrix theory, which are used in the sequel. They are (i) STP and STA; (ii) Cross-dimensional projection; (iii) Hypermatrices and Hypervectors.

(to be completed!)

Before ending this section, a list of notations is provided as follows.

- \mathbb{R} : set of real numbers.
- \mathbb{Z}_+ : set of positive integers.
- $[a, b]$, $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $a \leq b$: set of integers $\{t \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \mid a \leq t \leq b\}$.
- $\mathcal{M}_{m \times n}$: set of $m \times n$ dimensional real matrices.
- $\text{Col}_i(A)$: i -th column of A .
- $\text{Row}_i(A)$: i -th row of A .
- I_n : $n \times n$ Identity matrix.
- δ_n^i : $\delta_n^i = \text{Col}_i(I_n)$.
- $\mathcal{M} := \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}$.
- $\mathbb{R}^{\infty} := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{R}^n$.
- $\mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \dots \times n_d}$: Set of order d and dimension $n_1 \times \dots \times n_d$ hypermatrices.
- $\mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \dots \times n_d}$: Set of order d and dimension $n_1 \times \dots \times n_d$ hypervectors.
- $\mathbf{1}_n$: $\underbrace{[1, \dots, 1]}_n^T$; $\mathbf{1}_{m \times n}$: $m \times n$ matrices with all entries being 1.
- \otimes : Kronecker product of matrices.
- $\text{lcm}(a, b)$: least common multiple of a and b .

- \otimes : (standard) semi-tensor product.
- \times : dimension-keeping semi-tensor product.

II. PRELIMINARIES

A. Semi-tensor Product and Semi-tensor Addition

Denote the dimension-free Euclidian space as

$$\mathbb{R}^{\infty} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{R}^n;$$

and the dimension-free matrices as

$$\mathcal{M} = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}.$$

Definition 2.1: Consider \mathcal{M} . A binary operator $\boxtimes : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is said to be a semi-tensor product (STP), if the followings are satisfied:

- (1) It is a generalization of conventional matrix product. That is, if $A \in \mathcal{M}_{* \times n}$ and $B \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times *}$, then

$$A \boxtimes B = AB. \quad (3)$$

- (2) (Associativity)

$$(A \boxtimes B) \boxtimes C = A \boxtimes (B \boxtimes C). \quad (4)$$

- (3) (Distributivity) Let $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}$ and $C \in \mathcal{M}$.

$$\begin{aligned} (A + B) \boxtimes C &= (A \boxtimes C) + (B \boxtimes C); \\ C \boxtimes (A + B) &= (C \boxtimes A) + (C \boxtimes B). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Definition 2.2: Consider \mathcal{M} . A binary operator $\oplus : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is said to be a semi-tensor addition (STA), where $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$, if the followings are satisfied:

- (1) (Commutativity)

$$A \oplus B = B \oplus A, \quad A, B \in \mathcal{D}. \quad (6)$$

- (2) (Associativity)

$$(A \oplus B) \oplus C = A \oplus (B \oplus C), \quad A, B, C \in \mathcal{D}. \quad (7)$$

Definition 2.3: Consider \mathbb{R}^{∞} . A binary operator $\oplus : \mathbb{R}^{\infty} \times \mathbb{R}^{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\infty}$ is said to be a semi-tensor addition (STA), if the followings are satisfied:

- (1) (Commutativity)

$$x \oplus y = y \oplus x, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{\infty}. \quad (8)$$

- (2) (Associativity)

$$(x \oplus y) \oplus z = x \oplus (y \oplus z), \quad x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^{\infty}. \quad (9)$$

Definition 2.4: Assume an STP \boxtimes over \mathcal{M} and an STA \oplus over \mathbb{R}^{∞} are given. A binary operator $\boxtimes : \mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{R}^{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\infty}$ is called an STP (of matrix with vector), if the followings are satisfied:

(1) (Semi-Group Property)

$$A \bowtie (B \bowtie x) = (A \bowtie B) \bowtie x, \quad A, B \in \mathcal{M}, x \in \mathbb{R}^\infty. \quad (10)$$

(2) (Distributivity)

$$A \bowtie (x \oplus y) = (A \bowtie x) \oplus (A \bowtie y), \quad A \in \mathcal{M}, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^\infty. \quad (11)$$

Next, we introduce some commonly used STPs and STA.

Definition 2.5: The following are two commonly used STP of matrices.

(i) Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}$, $B \in \mathcal{M}_{p \times q}$, and $t = \text{lcm}(n, p)$. A commonly used MM-STP of A and B is defined by [2]

$$A \times B := (A \otimes I_{t/n}) (B \otimes I_{t/p}). \quad (12)$$

(ii) Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}$, $B \in \mathcal{M}_{p \times q}$, and $t = \text{lcm}(n, p)$. The dimension-keeping (DK-) STP of A and B is defined by [7]

$$A \bowtie B := (A \otimes \mathbf{1}_{t/n}^T) (B \otimes \mathbf{1}_{t/p}). \quad (13)$$

Definition 2.6: Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $t = \text{lcm}(m, n)$. The STA of x and y is defined by [4]

$$x \pm y := (x \otimes \mathbf{1}_{t/m}) \pm (y \otimes \mathbf{1}_{t/n}), \quad (14)$$

where we use \pm to replace \oplus (\ominus) respectively.

Proposition 2.7: Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}$, $B \in \mathcal{M}_{p \times q}$, and $t = \text{lcm}(n, p)$. Consider the DK-STP defined by (13). There exists a matrix

$$\Psi_{n \times p} := (I \otimes \mathbf{1}_{t/n}^T) (I_m \otimes \mathbf{1}_{t/p}) \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times m}, \quad (15)$$

called the bridge matrix, such that

$$M \bowtie N = M \Psi_{n \times p} N. \quad (16)$$

B. Cross-Dimensional Projection

We refer to [4] for the projection over \mathbb{R}^∞ .

Definition 2.8: Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $t = \text{lcm}(m, n)$.

(i) The inner product of x and y is

$$\langle x, y \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} := \frac{1}{t} \langle x \otimes \mathbf{1}_{t/m}, (y \otimes \mathbf{1}_{t/n}) \rangle, \quad (17)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the conventional inner product on Euclidian space.

(ii) The norm of $x \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$ is

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{V}} := \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle_{\mathcal{V}}}. \quad (18)$$

(iii) The distance of $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, is

$$d_{\mathcal{V}}(x, y) := \|x - y\|_{\mathcal{V}}. \quad (19)$$

Definition 2.9: Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$. The projection of x to \mathbb{R}^n , denoted by $\pi_n^m(x)$, is defined as

$$\pi_n^m(x) = \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} d_{\mathcal{V}}(x, y). \quad (20)$$

Proposition 2.10: $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$. The projection of x to \mathbb{R}^n is

$$\pi_n^m(x) = \Pi_n^m x, \quad (21)$$

where

$$\Pi_n^m = \frac{n}{t} (I_n \otimes \mathbf{1}_{t/n}^T) (I_m \otimes \mathbf{1}_{t/m}). \quad (22)$$

Next, we define a new STA, called the nominal addition, on \mathbb{R}^∞ .

Definition 2.11: Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The r -nominal addition of x and y is defined by

$$x +_r y := \Pi_r^m x + \Pi_r^n y \in \mathbb{R}^r. \quad (23)$$

We have the following result.

Proposition 2.12:

Assume $t = \text{lcm}(m, n)$, then

$$x +_r y := \Pi_r^t(x + y) \in \mathbb{R}^r. \quad (24)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} RHS_{(24)} &= \Pi_r^t(x + y) \\ &= \Pi_r^t[(x \otimes \mathbf{1}_{t/m}) + (y \otimes \mathbf{1}_{t/n})] \\ &= \Pi_r^t(x \otimes \mathbf{1}_{t/m}) + \Pi_r^t(y \otimes \mathbf{1}_{t/n}). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Hence, it is enough to show that

$$\Pi_r^t(x \otimes \mathbf{1}_{t/m}) = \Pi_r^m x; \quad (26)$$

and

$$\Pi_r^t(y \otimes \mathbf{1}_{t/n}) = \Pi_r^n y. \quad (27)$$

We prove (26) first.

Assume $t = \text{lcm}(m, n) = \mu m$, $s = \text{lcm}(t, r)$, $\delta = \text{lcm}(r, m)$, $s = \lambda \delta$, $\xi = \text{lcm}(r, n)$, and $s = \eta \xi$. We calculate $\Pi_r^t(x \otimes \mathbf{1}_{t/m})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_r^t(x \otimes \mathbf{1}_{t/m}) &= \frac{1}{r} (I_r \otimes \mathbf{1}_{s/r}^T) (I_t \otimes \mathbf{1}_{s/t}) (x \otimes \mathbf{1}_{t/m}) \\ &= \frac{r}{s} (I_r \otimes \mathbf{1}_{s/r}^T) [I_m \otimes (I_\mu \otimes \mathbf{1}_{s/t})] (x \otimes \mathbf{1}_{t/m}) \\ &= \frac{r}{s} (I_r \otimes \mathbf{1}_{s/r}^T) [x \otimes (I_\mu \otimes \mathbf{1}_{s/t}) (\mathbf{1}_\mu)] \\ &= \frac{r}{s} (I_r \otimes \mathbf{1}_{s/r}^T) [x \otimes (I_\mu \otimes \mathbf{1}_{s/t}) (\mathbf{1}_\mu \otimes \mathbf{1})] \\ &= \frac{r}{s} (I_r \otimes \mathbf{1}_{s/r}^T) [x \otimes (\mathbf{1}_\mu \otimes \mathbf{1}_{s/t})] \\ &= \frac{r}{s} (I_r \otimes \mathbf{1}_{s/r}^T) (x \otimes \mathbf{1}_{s/m}) \\ &= \frac{r}{s} (I_r \otimes \mathbf{1}_{s/r}^T) (I_m \otimes \mathbf{1}_{s/m}) (x \otimes \mathbf{1}) \\ &= \frac{r}{s} (I_r \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\delta/r}^T \otimes \mathbf{1}_\lambda^T) (I_m \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\delta/m} \otimes \mathbf{1}_\lambda) x \\ &= \frac{r\lambda}{s} (I_r \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\delta/r}^T) (I_m \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\delta/m}) x \\ &= \frac{r}{\delta} (I_r \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\delta/r}^T) (I_m \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\delta/m}) x \\ &= \Pi_r^m x. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove (27). The (25) follows. \square

C. Hypermatrix and Hypervector

Definition 2.13: [14] Given $i_s \in [1, n_s]$, $s \in [1, d]$, a mapping $F : [1, n_1] \times \cdots \times [1, n_d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a hypermatrix of order d and dimension $n_1 \times \cdots \times n_d$.

The set of hypermatrices of order d and dimension $n_1 \times \cdots \times n_d$ is denoted by

$$\mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2 \times \cdots \times n_d}.$$

Equivalently, A hypermatrix $\mathcal{H} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2 \times \cdots \times n_d}$ can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{H} = \{h_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_d} \mid i_s \in [1, n_s], s \in [1, d]\}. \quad (28)$$

Remark 2.14: It is clear from definition that a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a hypermatrix of order 1, expressed as

$$\mathcal{X} = \{x_i \mid i \in [1, n]\}.$$

A matrix $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}$ is a hypermatrix of order 2, expressed as

$$\mathcal{A} = \{a_{i,j} \mid i \in [1, m], j \in [1, n]\}.$$

Next, we consider a matrix expression of a hypermatrix with order $d > 2$. It is of fundamental importance in analysis and manipulating hypermatrices.

An order d hyper-matrix can be arranged into various different matrices as follows: Let $\mathbf{k} = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_d\}$ be a set of d indexes, which are used to label the elements of a hyper-matrix $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \cdots \times n_d}$. $\mathbf{i} = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r\}$ and $\mathbf{j} = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_s\}$, $r + s = d$ are two disjoint subset of \mathbf{k} , such that,

$$\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{i} \cup \mathbf{j}$$

becomes a partition. Then the matrix expression of \mathcal{A} with respect to this partition is

$$A^{\mathbf{i} \times \mathbf{j}} \in \mathcal{M}_{n^{\mathbf{i}} \times n^{\mathbf{j}}}, \quad (29)$$

where $n^{\mathbf{i}} = \prod_{i \in \mathbf{i}} n_i$ and $n^{\mathbf{j}} = \prod_{j \in \mathbf{j}} n_j$. Precisely speaking, the elements of \mathcal{A} are arranged into a matrix $A^{\mathbf{i} \times \mathbf{j}}$ in such a way: the rows of this matrix is labeled by the index set \mathbf{i} and its columns are labeled by the index set \mathbf{j} in alphabetic order.

Note that each matrix expression uniquely determines \mathcal{A} . Hence each matrix expression is equivalent to \mathcal{A} . We refer to [6] for the conversion from one matrix form to another.

Definition 2.15: [8] A vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $n = \prod_{i=1}^d n_i$ is called a hypervector of order d and dimension $n_1 \times \cdots \times n_d$, if there exist $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$, $i \in [1, d]$ such that

$$x = \times_{i=1}^d x_i. \quad (30)$$

The set of hypervectors of order d and dimension $n_1 \times \cdots \times n_d$ is denoted by

$$\mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \cdots \times n_d}.$$




Fig. 4. Input

Note that the components of x can then be expressed as

$$\{x_{i_1}^1 x_{i_2}^2 \cdots x_{i_d}^d \mid i_s \in [1, n_s], s \in [1, d]\},$$

where $x_{i_s}^s$ is the i_s -th component of x_s . Then we may consider it as an element in $\mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \cdots \times n_d}$. Hence we have

$$\mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \cdots \times n_d} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \cdots \times n_d}. \quad (31)$$

III. MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION OF A TRANSFORMER

The model architecture of a transformer is depicted by Fig. 2.

This section gives each part of transformer a rigorous mathematical description part-by-part.

A. Input Embedding & Positional Encoding

First, we consider the Input Embedding.

Assume the input of a transformer is described in Fig. 4.

In Fig 4, after a NLP the signal is converted into a sequence of vectors, denoted by x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s , where s is the batch size.

- Case 1, Fixed Dimension: When $\dim(x^i) = n_0$, $i \in [1, s]$, The vectors can be arranged into a matrix row-by-row as

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^1 & x_2^1 & \cdots & x_{n_0}^1 \\ x_1^2 & x_2^2 & \cdots & x_{n_0}^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_1^s & x_2^s & \cdots & x_{n_0}^s \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{s \times n_0}. \quad (32)$$

We call (32) the nominal form.

- Case 2, Varying Dimension: Assume $n := \max_{1 \leq i \leq s} n_i \leq n_0$, where n_0 is preassigned, called the nominal dimension. Then we have

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^1 & x_2^1 & \cdots & x_{n_1}^1 & & \\ x_1^2 & x_2^2 & \cdots & & x_{n_2}^2 & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & & \\ x_1^s & x_2^s & \cdots & 0 & 0 & x_{n_s}^s \end{bmatrix}, \quad (33)$$

which is not a matrix, we call it a pseudo-matrix.

The existing method to deal with this situation is padding X with zeros to make X a matrix of dimension $s \times n_0$, called the zero-(token)-padding. Then the padded matrix becomes

$$\tilde{X} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^1 & x_2^1 & \cdots & x_{n_1}^1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_1^2 & x_2^2 & \cdots & & x_{n_2}^2 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & & & \\ x_1^s & x_2^s & \cdots & 0 & 0 & x_{n_s}^s & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{s \times n_0}. \quad (34)$$

Remark 3.1: It is also natural to define

$$X = [x^1 \quad x^2 \quad \cdots \quad x^s]. \quad (35)$$

But its transposed form (32) or (33) is commonly used in literature. We follow this convention.

Next, we consider the generalization of queries (Q), keys (K), and values (V).

Hereafter in this section we consider only the nominal case. Under the nominal assumption we have X , Q , K , $V \in \mathcal{M}_{s \times n_0}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} Q^T &= W^q X^T, \\ K^T &= W^k X^T, \\ V^T &= W^v X^T, \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

where $W^q, W^k, W^v \in \mathcal{M}_{n_0 \times n_0}$.

Next, we consider the Positional Encoding. The fundamental formula is

$$\begin{aligned} PE(pos, 2i) &= \sin(pos/10000^{2i/d_{model}}), \\ PE(pos, 2i+1) &= \cos(pos/10000^{2i/d_{model}}). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

For notational ease, assume $d := n_0 = 2r$. Then the position encoding is performed by

$$PE(X) = X + P, \quad (38)$$

where the position matrix P is

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} \sin(0/10000^{0/d}) & \cos(0/10000^{0/d}) & & & & & \\ \sin(1/10000^{0/d}) & \cos(1/10000^{0/d}) & & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & & & \\ \sin((s-1)/10000^{0/d}) & \cos((s-1)/10000^{0/d}) & & & & & \\ \sin(0/10000^{1/d}) & \cos(0/10000^{1/d}) & \cdots & & & & \\ \sin(1/10000^{1/d}) & \cos(1/10000^{1/d}) & \cdots & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & & & \\ \sin((s-1)/10000^{1/d}) & \cos((s-1)/10000^{1/d}) & \cdots & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ \sin(0/10000^{r/d}) & \cos(0/10000^{r/d}) & & & & & \\ \sin(1/10000^{r/d}) & \cos(1/10000^{r/d}) & & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & & & \\ \sin((s-1)/10000^{r/d}) & \cos((s-1)/10000^{r/d}) & & & & & \end{bmatrix} \quad (39)$$

After positional encoding (PE), we have ds new vectors. Say, original x^j , $j \in [1, s]$ represent words, and p_k , $k \in [1, d]$ represent positions. Then after PE, we have compounded vectors

$$x_j - p_k, \quad j \in [1, s], k \in [1, d],$$

which represent word-positions. When the training data are large enough ($> ds$), the machine can learn all of them.

Remark 3.2: The Output Embedding & Positional Encoding is the same as the Input Embedding & Positional Encoding. Say in a translation learning, on the left hand side of the transformer (See Fig. 4), the input might be the English sentences and for the right hand side of the transformer, the input might be Chinese.

B. Scaled Dot-Product Attention

As pointed by [18], in a transformer, the attention is ‘‘all you need’’. That is, attentions are the key parts in a transformer. This subsection is devoted to build a dimension-free attention. A scaled dot-product attention is depicted as in Fig. 5, which is also redrawn from [18].

In nominal case let $\dim(x_i) = n_0$, $i \in [1, s]$ This is the classical case, which has been discussed in literature so far. We briefly describe it as follows:

The input matrix X is described as (32), and the corresponding Q , K , and V are obtained by (36).

Then, the dot-product algorithm of the attention, depicted in Fig. 5, is expressed as

$$V' = Attention(Q, K, V) = AV, \quad (40)$$




Fig. 5. Scaled Dot-Product Attention




Fig. 6. Dot-Product of Attention

where

$$A = \text{softmax}\left(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{n}}\right). \quad (41)$$

Fig. 6 shows this.

Remark 3.3: It is well known that [12] if $x = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$,

$$\text{softmax}(x) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^k e^{x_i}} [e^{x_1}, e^{x_2}, \dots, e^{x_k}] \in \mathbb{R}^k. \quad (42)$$

Now for a matrix $E := \frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{s}}$,

$$\text{softmax}(E) := \begin{bmatrix} \text{softmax}(\text{Row}_1(E)) \\ \text{softmax}(\text{Row}_2(E)) \\ \vdots \\ \text{softmax}(\text{Row}_s(E)) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (43)$$

C. Multi-Head Attention

A multi-head attention is depicted in Fig. 7. Assume the head number is n . Then its function can be described in four steps.




Fig. 7. Multi-Head Attention

- Step 1, Linear (Transformation): Through different linear transforms the inputs V , K , Q can be converted into ℓ different forms.

$$\begin{aligned} V^i &= T_v^i V, \\ K^i &= T_k^i K, \\ Q^i &= T_q^i Q, \quad i \in [1, \ell]. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Here we do not need to require $\dim(v^i)$, $v^i \in V^i$ being of the same for different i . These linear transformations are described in Fig. 8.

- Step 2, Scaled Dot-Product Attention: All heads do it separately. This process has been discussed before. The outputs of this step are V'_i , $i \in [1, \ell]$. We assume

$$\dim(v'_i) = r_i, \quad v'_i \in V'_i, \quad i \in [1, \ell]. \quad (45)$$

- Step 3, Concat: For concatenation, we propose

$$\text{Col}_j(V') := \times_{i=1}^{\ell} \text{Col}_j(V'_i) \in \mathbb{R}^r, \quad j \in [1, s], \quad (46)$$

where s is the batch size, and $r = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} r_i$.

- Step 4, Linear (Transformation): Assume the output vectors are of dimension r_0 and the batch size is also s . Then we need a mapping

$$W : \mathbb{R}^{r_1 \times \dots \times r_{\ell} \times s} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{r_0 \times s}.$$

Hence, $W \in \mathbb{R}^{r_0 \times s \times r_1 \times \dots \times r_{\ell} \times s}$ is a hypermatrix of the order $\ell + 3$. It can be expressed as a matrix $M_W \in \mathbb{M}_{m \times n}$, where $m = r_0 s$ and $n = r_1 \dots r_{\ell} s$. Then this linear transformation can be described as in Fig. 9




Fig. 8. Input Linear Mapping




Fig. 9. Concat & Linear Mapping

D. Mask

There are two kinds of Masks in literature.

- (i) **Padding mask:** Padding mask is used for eliminating the affection of padded zeros. In DFT, since there is no padded zero, there is no demand for Padding mask.
- (ii) **Causal Mask (or Look-ahead Mask):** Causal Mask is used to prevent the system to use future information. The Padding Matrix is

$$PM = (p_{i,j}) \in \mathcal{M}_{s \times s},$$




Fig. 10. Residual Add

where

$$p_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 0, & j > i, \\ -\infty, & j \leq i. \end{cases} \quad (47)$$

Then in classical case we have

$$Attention(Q, K, V) = softmax\left(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{s}} + PM\right)V. \quad (48)$$

E. Add & Norm

There are two steps for Add & Norm.

- **Step 1, Add:** Add is completed by residual network, which is depicted in Fig. 10.

In nominal case we assume

$$X, F(X) \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1 \times \dots \times m_s}.$$

Then the addition is defined as

$$X + F(X) = (x_j^i + f_j^i), \quad (49)$$

where f_j^i is the j -th element of f^i , which is the i -th component of $F(X)$.

Then we have

$$X' = ReLU(X + F(X)) \quad (50)$$

Note that ReLU is called the “rectified linear unit”, which is defined by

$$ReLU(x) = \max(0, x). \quad (51)$$

- **Step 2, Normalization:** Normalization can be realized either vector-wise or layer-wise. The formula is

$$y = \frac{x - E(x)}{\sqrt{Var(x) + \epsilon}} * \gamma + \beta, \quad (52)$$




Fig. 11. Feed Forward

where $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ is a small positive number (say, $1E-3$) to avoid zero denominator, γ and β are adjustable parameters.

Let

$$X = (x_{i,j}) \in \mathcal{M}_{d \times s},$$

where $d = \dim(x)$, $x \in X$ and s is the band size. When the vector-wise normalization is performed, then for column j :

$x = \text{Col}_j(X)$. $y = \text{Col}_j(Y)$, $j \in [1, s]$, and

$$E_j(x) = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^d x_{i,j}$$

$$\text{Var}(x) = \frac{1}{d} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^d (x_{i,j} - E_j(x))^2}.$$

When the layer-wise normalization is performed:

$x = X$. $y = Y$, and

$$E(x) = \frac{1}{ds} \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^s x_{i,j}$$

$$\text{Var}(x) = \frac{1}{ds} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^s (x_{i,j} - E(x))^2}.$$

F. Feed Forward

The feed-forward network considers of two linear transformations (LinTra) with a ReLU activation in between, which is depicted in Fig. 11.

The Feed Forward is formulated as

$$FFN(X) = W_2 \text{ReLU}(W_1 X + \alpha B_1) + \beta B_2, \quad (53)$$

where $X \in \mathcal{M}_{s \times n_0}$, $W_1 \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha \times s}$, and $W_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{\beta \times \alpha}$.

IV. GENERALIZED HYPERVECTORS

A. Expressions of Hypervectors

To describe the objects through the attention-based mappings, as well as some other operators in a transformer,

we need a more general hypervectors, which are defined as follows.

Definition 4.1: A hypervector is an ordered finite set of finite dimensional vectors. Say,

$$X = \{x^1, \dots, x^s\}, \quad x^i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}, \quad i \in [1, s]. \quad (54)$$

The set of hypervectors X , satisfying (54), is denoted by $\mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \dots \times n_s}$.

Assume $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \dots \times n_s}$. It has three representation forms.

(i) Pseudo-Matrix Form:

$$M_X := \begin{pmatrix} x_1^1 & x_2^1 & \dots & x_{n_1}^1 & & \\ x_1^2 & x_2^2 & \dots & \dots & \dots & x_{n_2}^1 \\ \vdots & & & & & \\ x_1^s & x_2^s & \dots & \dots & x_{n_s}^s & \end{pmatrix} \quad (55)$$

where the row number of M_X is s and the column numbers of M_X are n_i , $i \in [1, s]$. That is, $\dim(\text{Row}_i(X)) = n_i$.

It is obvious that when $n_i = n_0$, $i \in [1, s]$, the pseudo-matrix form becomes a matrix. We call such X homogeneous sequence.

(ii) Addition Form:

$$V_X = \begin{bmatrix} x^1 \\ x^2 \\ \vdots \\ x^s \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_a}, \quad (56)$$

where $n_a = \sum_{i=1}^s n_i$.

(iii) Product Form:

$$x = \times_{i=1}^s x_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_p}, \quad (57)$$

where $n_p = \prod_{i=1}^s n_i$.

Remark 4.2:

(i) (57) is the classical definition in Definition 2.15.

(ii) It is easy to see that the expressions (54), (55), and (56) are equivalent. As for (57), it is easy to see that (54) (or (55) or (56)) implies (57). But from (57) there might be many different hypervectors of the form of (54) (or (55) or (56)), which have the same product form as (57). The following proposition reveals their relationship. Moreover, we also refer to [9] for solving x^i , $i \in [1, s]$ from x .

Proposition 4.3: [9] Assume $0 \neq x = \times_{i=1}^s x_i = \times_{i=1}^s y_i$, where $x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$, $i \in [1, s]$. Then

$$y_i = \lambda_i x_i, \quad i \in [1, s], \quad (58)$$

with

$$\prod_{i=1}^s \lambda_i = 1.$$

Moreover, if all x^i , $i \in [1, s]$ are normalized, that is, $x_j^i \geq 0$, and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n_i} x_j^i = 1, \quad i \in [1, s],$$

then x^i are unique.

When X is a homogeneous sequence with $n_i = n_0$. Then its matrix form M_X and its addition form V_X satisfy

$$V_X = V_r(M_X), \quad (59)$$

where $V_r(A)$ is the row stacking form of a matrix A . *

B. Normalized Matrices and Vectors

Definition 4.4:

- (i) $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is called a stochastic vector if $x_i \geq 0$, $i \in [1, k]$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^k x_i = 1.$$

The set of k -dimensional stochastic vectors is denoted by Υ^k .

- (ii) $X \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}$ is called a stochastic matrix if

$$\text{Col}_j(X) \in \Upsilon^m, \quad j \in [1, n].$$

The set of $m \times n$ -dimensional stochastic matrices is denoted by $\Upsilon_{m \times n}$.

Example 4.5:

- (i) Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then

$$\text{softmax}(x) \in \Upsilon^n$$

is a stochastic vector.

- (ii) Let $X \in \mathcal{M}_{s \times n_0}$. Then

$$\text{softmax}(X)^T \in \Upsilon_{n_0 \times s}.$$

The following result is obvious.

Proposition 4.6: If $A \in \Upsilon_{m \times n}$ and $x \in \Upsilon^n$, then

$$Ax \in \Upsilon^m. \quad (60)$$

(60) shows that for a layer of MaxMul, if the transformation is a stochastic matrix and the input is a stochastic vector, then the output is also stochastic. No more softmax is necessary.

Define a weighted DK-STP as

Definition 4.7: [7] Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}$, $B \in \mathcal{M}_{p \times q}$, and $t = \text{lcm}(n, p)$. Define

$$A \times_w B := \left(A \otimes \mathbf{1}_{t/n}^T \right) \left(B \otimes \frac{1}{t/p} \mathbf{1}_{t/p} \right). \quad (61)$$

*Assume $A = (a_{i,j}) \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}$, then its row stacking form is

$$V_r(A) = (a_{1,1}, a_{1,2}, \dots, a_{1,n}, \dots, a_{m,1}, \dots, a_{m,n})^T \in \mathbb{R}^{mn}.$$

Similarly to DK-STP, we have the following result [7]

Proposition 4.8:

$$A \times_w B = A \Psi_{n \times p}^w B, \quad (62)$$

where

$$\Psi_{n \times p}^w = \frac{1}{t/p} \left(I_n \otimes \mathbf{1}_{t/n}^T \right) \left(I_p \otimes \mathbf{1}_{t/p} \right). \quad (63)$$

Then it is easy to verify the following result.

Proposition 4.9:

- (i) Assume both A and B are stochastic matrices. Then $A \times_w B$ is also a stochastic matrix.
(ii) Assume A is a stochastic matrix and x is a stochastic vector. Then $A \times_w x$ is a stochastic vector.

Proposition 4.9 is helpful for reducing the normalizing times.

C. Operators over Hypervectors

Consider $Q^T = W^q X^T$ (similarly, for K and V). Then it is easy to verify the following result [3]

Proposition 4.10: Assume $X \in \mathcal{M}_{s \times n_0}$, then

$$V_{Q^T} = (W^q \otimes I_{n_0}) V_{X^T}. \quad (64)$$

In the following we define some operators over hypervectors. First, we define the addition of hypervectors.

Definition 4.11:

- (i) Assume $X \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1 \times \dots \times m_s}$, $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \dots \times n_t}$. Then

$$X +_r Y = \begin{bmatrix} (x^1 +_r y^1)^T \\ (x^2 +_r y^2)^T \\ \vdots \\ (x^s +_r y^t)^T \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{s \times r}. \quad (65)$$

- (ii) Assume $X \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1 \times \dots \times m_s}$, $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \dots \times n_t}$, and $k = \text{lcm}(s, t)$. Then

$$X +_r Y = (X \otimes \mathbf{1}_{k/s}) +_r (Y \otimes \mathbf{1}_{k/t}) \in \mathcal{M}_{k \times r}. \quad (66)$$

Secondly, we define the inner-product of two hypermatrices.

Definition 4.12: Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1 \times \dots \times m_s}$, $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \dots \times n_t}$.

Then

- (i) The inner-product of X and Y is defined by

$$X \odot Y := \begin{bmatrix} \langle x^1, y^1 \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} & \langle x^1, y^2 \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} & \dots & \langle x^1, y^t \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} \\ \langle x^2, y^1 \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} & \langle x^2, y^2 \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} & \dots & \langle x^2, y^t \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} \\ \vdots & & & \\ \langle x^s, y^1 \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} & \langle x^s, y^2 \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} & \dots & \langle x^s, y^t \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{s \times t}. \quad (67)$$

(ii) Assume $w_{i,j} = \text{lcm}(m_i, n_j)$, $i \in [1, s]$, $j \in [1, t]$. Define a weighted matrix as

$$W := \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{w_{1,1}} & \sqrt{w_{1,2}} & \cdots & \sqrt{w_{1,t}} \\ \sqrt{w_{2,1}} & \sqrt{w_{2,2}} & \cdots & \sqrt{w_{2,t}} \\ \vdots & & & \\ \sqrt{w_{s,1}} & \sqrt{w_{s,2}} & \cdots & \sqrt{w_{s,t}} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{s \times t}. \quad (68)$$

The weighted inner-product of X and Y is defined by

$$X \odot_w Y := (X \otimes Y) \circ W \in \mathcal{M}_{s \times t}. \quad (69)$$

Note that if $m_i = n_j = d$, $i \in [1, s]$, $j \in [1, t]$, it is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} X \odot Y &= \frac{XY^T}{d} \\ X \odot_w Y &= \frac{XY^T}{\sqrt{d}}. \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

Thirdly, we define a linear mapping over hypervectors.

Definition 4.13: Assume $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \cdots \times n_s}$, $A \in \mathcal{M}_{s \times s}$. The linear mapping $\pi_A : \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \cdots \times n_s} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \cdots \times n_s}$, denoted by $A \diamond X$ is defined as follows:

- Step 1: project-padding: Replace x^i by

$$\tilde{x}^i = \Pi_{n_0}^{n_i} x^i, \quad i \in [1, s], \quad (71)$$

where $n_0 = \max\{n_i \mid i \in [1, s]\}$.

Then we have

$$\tilde{X} = \begin{bmatrix} (\tilde{x}^1)^T \\ (\tilde{x}^2)^T \\ \vdots \\ (\tilde{x}^s)^T \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{s \times n_0}.$$

- Step 2:

$$\tilde{\Xi} := A\tilde{X}. \quad (72)$$

- Step 3:

Let

$$\tilde{\xi}^i := (\text{Row}_i(\tilde{\Xi}))^T, \quad i \in [1, s].$$

Define

$$\xi^i := \Pi_{n_i}^{n_0} \tilde{\xi}^i, \quad i \in [1, s]. \quad (73)$$

Then

$$A \diamond X := \{\xi^1, \xi^2, \dots, \xi^s\} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \cdots \times n_s}. \quad (74)$$

Since \diamond is a linear mapping, we seek a matrix expression of the mapping π_A . It is convenient to express the related hypermatrices into their vector forms.

For nominal case, assume $A \in \mathcal{M}_{s \times s}$ and $X \in \mathcal{M}_{s \times n_0}$. Then it is easy verify the following result [3]

Proposition 4.14: Assume $X \in \mathcal{M}_{s \times n_0}$, then $A \diamond X = AX$, and

$$V_{AX} = (A \otimes I_s) V_X. \quad (75)$$

Now, assume $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \cdots \times n_s}$. It is easy to verify that the Step 1 in Definition 4.13 can be expressed as

$$V_{\tilde{X}} = T_{\tilde{X}} V_X, \quad (76)$$

where

$$T_{\tilde{X}} = \begin{bmatrix} \Pi_{n_0}^{n_1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \Pi_{n_0}^{n_2} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \Pi_{n_0}^{n_s} \end{bmatrix} \quad (77)$$

Then the Step 2 in Definition 4.13 can be realized by

$$V_{\tilde{\Xi}} = (A \otimes I_s) V_{\tilde{X}}. \quad (78)$$

Finally, Step 3 in Definition 4.13 is performed by

$$V_{A \diamond X} = T_{\Xi} V_{\tilde{\Xi}}, \quad (79)$$

where

$$T_{\Xi} = \begin{bmatrix} \Pi_{n_1}^{n_0} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \Pi_{n_2}^{n_0} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \Pi_{n_s}^{n_0} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (80)$$

Summarizing the above three steps the whole process of the mapping π_A can be described as follows.

$$V_X \xrightarrow{T_{\tilde{X}}} V_{\tilde{X}} \xrightarrow{A \otimes I_s} V_{\tilde{\Xi}} \xrightarrow{T_{\Xi}} V_{A \diamond X}. \quad (81)$$

Alternatively, it is formulated by

$$V_{A \diamond X} = T_{\Xi}(A \otimes I_s) T_{\tilde{X}} V_X. \quad (82)$$

Example 4.15: Assume

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^1 & x_2^1 \\ x_1^2 & z_2^2 & x_3^2 \end{bmatrix}; \quad W = \begin{bmatrix} w_{1,1} & w_{1,2} \\ w_{2,1} & w_{2,2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We calculate $W \diamond X$ as follows.

- Step 1:

$$V_X = (x_1^1, x_2^1, x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2)^T.$$

$$T_{\tilde{X}} = \begin{bmatrix} \Pi_3^2 & 0 \\ 0 & I_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$V_{\tilde{X}} = T_{\tilde{X}} V_X = (x_1^1, \frac{x_1^1 + x_2^1}{2}, x_2^1, x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2)^T.$$

- Step 2:

$$W \otimes I_3 = \begin{bmatrix} w_{1,1} & 0 & 0 & w_{1,2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & w_{1,1} & 0 & 0 & w_{1,2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & w_{1,1} & 0 & 0 & w_{1,2} \\ w_{2,1} & 0 & 0 & w_{2,2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & w_{2,1} & 0 & 0 & w_{2,2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & w_{2,1} & 0 & 0 & w_{2,2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$V_{\Xi} = (W \otimes I_3)V_{\tilde{X}} = \begin{bmatrix} w_{1,1}x_1^1 + w_{1,2}x_1^2, \frac{1}{2}w_{1,1}(x_1^1 + x_2^1) + w_{1,2}x_2^2, \\ w_{1,1}x_2^1 + w_{1,2}x_3^2, w_{2,1}x_1^1 + w_{2,2}x_1^2, \\ \frac{1}{2}w_{2,1}(x_1^1 + x_2^1) + w_{2,2}x_2^2, w_{2,1}x_2^1 + w_{2,2}x_3^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- Step 3:

$$T_{\Xi} = \begin{bmatrix} \Pi_2^3 & 0 \\ 0 & I_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2/3 & 1/3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/3 & 2/3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$V_{W \diamond X} = T_{\Xi}V_{\Xi} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{3}(w_{1,1}x_1^1 + w_{1,2}x_2^1) + \frac{1}{6}(w_{1,1}(x_1^1 + x_2^1) + 2w_{1,2}x_2^2), \\ \frac{1}{6}(w_{1,1}(x_1^1 + x_2^1) + 2w_{1,2}x_2^2) + \frac{2}{3}(w_{1,1}x_2^1 + w_{1,2}x_3^2), \\ w_{2,1}x_1^1 + w_{2,2}x_1^2, \frac{1}{2}w_{2,1}(x_1^1 + x_2^1) + w_{2,2}x_2^2, \\ w_{2,1}x_2^1 + w_{2,2}x_3^2 \end{bmatrix}^T.$$

That is,

$$\Xi := W \diamond X = \begin{bmatrix} q_1^1 & q_2^1 \\ q_1^2 & q_2^2 & q_3^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} q_1^1 &= \frac{2}{3}(w_{1,1}x_1^1 + w_{1,2}x_2^1) + \frac{1}{6}(w_{1,1}(x_1^1 + x_2^1) + 2w_{1,2}x_2^2), \\ q_2^1 &= \frac{1}{6}(w_{1,1}(x_1^1 + x_2^1) + 2w_{1,2}x_2^2) + \frac{2}{3}(w_{1,1}x_2^1 + w_{1,2}x_3^2), \\ q_1^2 &= w_{2,1}x_1^1 + w_{2,2}x_1^2 \\ q_2^2 &= \frac{1}{2}w_{2,1}(x_1^1 + x_2^1) + w_{2,2}x_2^2, \\ q_3^2 &= w_{2,1}x_2^1 + w_{2,2}x_3^2. \end{aligned}$$

V. DIMENSION-FREE TRANSFORMER

This section deals with hypervectors with varying dimensions. We will construct each building bricks for dimension-varying case.

A. Input/Output Embeddion

In general case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} X &\in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \dots \times n_s}, \\ Q &\in \mathbb{R}^{m_1 \times \dots \times m_s}, \\ K &\in \mathbb{R}^{a_1 \times \dots \times a_s}, \\ V &\in \mathbb{R}^{b_1 \times \dots \times b_s}. \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

- Nominal Case:

Assume

$$n_{\alpha} = m_{\beta} = a_{\gamma} = b_{\mu} := d, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \mu.$$

Then $X \in \mathcal{M}_{s_0 \times d}$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q^T &= W^q X^T, \quad W^q \in \mathcal{M}_{s \times d}, \\ K^T &= W^k X^T, \quad W^k \in \mathcal{M}_{s \times d}, \\ V^T &= W^v X^T, \quad W^v \in \mathcal{M}_{s \times d}, \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

where $W^q, W^k, W^v \in \mathcal{M}_{s \times s}$.

Note that the values of W^q, W^k , and W^v are time-varying through learning, but the size of these matrices is fixed.

- General Case:

Next, we deal with general case where $x^{\alpha}, q^{\beta}, k^{\gamma}$, and v^{μ} are all of different dimensions. We consider Q only. K and V can be treated in exactly the same way.

First, we consider zero-padding, which is commonly used nowadays. The following algorithm shows this.

Algorithm 5.1: Consider $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \dots \times n_{s_0}}$, and $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1 \times \dots \times m_{s_q}}$.

- Step 1. Assume $d = \max\{n_{\alpha}, m_{\beta} \mid \alpha, \beta \in [1, s]\}$. Define the zero-padded X as

$$\tilde{X} := \{\tilde{x}_{i,j} \mid i \in [1, s], j \in [1, d]\}, \quad (85)$$

where

$$\tilde{x}_{i,j} = \begin{cases} x_{i,j}, & j \leq n_i, \\ 0, & j > n_i. \end{cases}$$

- Step 2. Calculate padded Q , denoted by \tilde{Q} , as

$$\tilde{Q}^T = W^q \tilde{X}^T. \quad (86)$$

- Step 3. Mask \tilde{Q} to get Q as

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} (q^1)^T \\ (q^2)^T \\ \vdots \\ (q^{s_q})^T \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1 \times \dots \times m_s}, \quad (87)$$

where

$$q_j^i = \tilde{q}_{i,j}, \quad j \leq m_i, \quad i \in [1, s].$$

Second, we propose a new technique, called the project-padding, which is a building brick of DFT. The following algorithm shows this.

Algorithm 5.2: Consider $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \dots \times n_s}$, and $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1 \times \dots \times m_s}$.

- Step 1. Assume the nominal dimension is d . Define the project-padded X as

$$\tilde{x}^i = \Pi_d^{n_i} x^i, \quad i \in [1, s], \quad (88)$$

and

$$\tilde{X} := \begin{bmatrix} (\tilde{x}^1)^T \\ (\tilde{x}^2)^T \\ \vdots \\ (\tilde{x}^s)^T \end{bmatrix} \quad (89)$$

- Step 2, Calculate padded \tilde{Q} , as

$$\tilde{Q} = W^q \tilde{X}. \quad (90)$$

- Step 3, Mask \tilde{Q} to get Q as

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} (q^1)^T \\ (q^2)^T \\ \vdots \\ (q^{s_q})^T \end{bmatrix} \quad (91)$$

$\in \mathbb{R}^{m_1 \times \dots \times m_s},$

where

$$q^i = \Pi_{m_i}^d \tilde{q}^i, \quad i \in [1, s].$$

We use an example to describe these padding/un-padding processes.

Example 5.3: Consider a sequence of batch size $s = 4$ with $\dim(x^1) = \dim(x^4) = 3$, $\dim(x^2) = 4$, and $\dim(x^3) = 5$. Assume the nominal dimension $n_0 = 6$, and

$$W^q = (w_{i,j}) \in \mathcal{M}_{n_0 \times n_0}.$$

Then we calculate Q :

- Zero-padding:
- Step 1:

$$\tilde{X} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^1 & x_2^1 & x_3^1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_1^2 & x_2^2 & x_3^2 & x_4^2 & 0 & 0 \\ x_1^3 & x_2^3 & x_3^3 & x_4^3 & x_5^3 & 0 \\ x_1^4 & x_2^4 & x_3^4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Step 2:

$$\tilde{Q}^T = W^q \tilde{X}^T := [\tilde{q}^1, \tilde{q}^2, \tilde{q}^3, \tilde{q}^4].$$

where

$$\tilde{q}^i = W^q x^i, \quad i \in [1, 4].$$

- Step 3:

$$Q = (q_{i,j}) \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 4 \times 5 \times 3},$$

where

$$q_{i,j} = \tilde{q}_{i,j}, \quad j \in [1, n_i], i \in [1, s].$$

That is,

$$q^1 = \begin{bmatrix} w_{1,1}x_1^1 + w_{1,2}x_2^1 + w_{1,3}x_3^1 \\ w_{2,1}x_1^1 + w_{2,2}x_2^1 + w_{2,3}x_3^1 \\ w_{3,1}x_1^1 + w_{3,2}x_2^1 + w_{3,3}x_3^1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$q^2 = \begin{bmatrix} w_{1,1}x_1^2 + w_{1,2}x_2^2 + w_{1,3}x_3^2 + w_{1,4}x_4^2 \\ w_{2,1}x_1^2 + w_{2,2}x_2^2 + w_{2,3}x_3^2 + w_{2,4}x_4^2 \\ w_{3,1}x_1^2 + w_{3,2}x_2^2 + w_{3,3}x_3^2 + w_{3,4}x_4^2 \\ w_{4,1}x_1^2 + w_{4,2}x_2^2 + w_{4,3}x_3^2 + w_{4,4}x_4^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$q^3 = \begin{bmatrix} w_{1,1}x_1^3 + w_{1,2}x_2^3 + w_{1,3}x_3^3 + w_{1,4}x_4^3 + w_{1,5}x_5^3 \\ w_{2,1}x_1^3 + w_{2,2}x_2^3 + w_{2,3}x_3^3 + w_{2,4}x_4^3 + w_{2,5}x_5^3 \\ w_{3,1}x_1^3 + w_{3,2}x_2^3 + w_{3,3}x_3^3 + w_{3,4}x_4^3 + w_{3,5}x_5^3 \\ w_{4,1}x_1^3 + w_{4,2}x_2^3 + w_{4,3}x_3^3 + w_{4,4}x_4^3 + w_{4,5}x_5^3 \\ w_{5,1}x_1^3 + w_{5,2}x_2^3 + w_{5,3}x_3^3 + w_{5,4}x_4^3 + w_{5,5}x_5^3 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$q^4 = \begin{bmatrix} w_{1,1}x_1^4 + w_{1,2}x_2^4 + w_{1,3}x_3^4 \\ w_{2,1}x_1^4 + w_{2,2}x_2^4 + w_{2,3}x_3^4 \\ w_{3,1}x_1^4 + w_{3,2}x_2^4 + w_{3,3}x_3^4 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Projection-padding:

- Step 1:

Since

$$\Pi_6^3 = I_6(I_3 \otimes \mathbf{1}_2)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So

$$\Pi_6^3(x^1) = (x_1^1, x_1^1, x_2^1, x_2^1, x_3^1, x_3^1),$$

$$\Pi_6^3(x^4) = (x_1^4, x_1^4, x_2^4, x_2^4, x_3^4, x_3^4).$$

Similarly,

$$\Pi_6^4 = \frac{6}{12}(I_6 \otimes \mathbf{1}_2^T)(I_4 \otimes \mathbf{1}_3)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So

$$\Pi_6^4(x^2) = (x_1^2, \frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{2}, x_2^2, x_3^2, \frac{x_3^2 + x_4^2}{2}, x_4^2).$$

$$\Pi_6^5 = \frac{6}{30}(I_6 \otimes \mathbf{1}_5^T)(I_5 \otimes \mathbf{1}_6)$$

$$= \frac{1}{5} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So

$$\Pi_6^4(x^3) = \left(x_1^3, \frac{x_1^3+4x_2^3}{5}, \frac{2x_2^3+3x_3^3}{5}, \frac{3x_3^3+2x_4^3}{5}, \frac{4x_4^3+x_5^3}{5}, x_5^3\right).$$

Finally, we have

$$\tilde{X} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^1 & x_1^1 & x_2^1 & x_2^1 & x_3^1 & x_3^1 \\ x_1^2 & \frac{x_1^2+x_2^2}{2} & x_2^2 & x_2^2 & \frac{x_3^2+x_4^2}{2} & x_4^2 \\ x_1^3 & \frac{x_1^3+4x_2^3}{5} & \frac{2x_2^3+3x_3^3}{5} & \frac{3x_3^3+2x_4^3}{5} & \frac{4x_4^3+x_5^3}{5} & x_5^3 \\ x_1^4 & x_1^4 & x_2^4 & x_2^4 & x_3^4 & x_3^4 \end{bmatrix}$$

• Step 2:

$$\tilde{Q}^T = W^q \tilde{X}^T := [\tilde{q}^1, \tilde{q}^2, \tilde{q}^3, \tilde{q}^4]^T \in \mathcal{M}_{4 \times 6},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q}_i^1 &= \sum_{k=1}^6 w_{i,k} \tilde{x}_k^1 = (w_{i,1} + w_{i,2})x_1^1 \\ &\quad + (w_{i,3} + w_{i,4})x_2^1 + (w_{i,5} + w_{i,6})x_3^1, \\ \tilde{q}_i^2 &= \sum_{k=1}^6 w_{i,k} \tilde{x}_k^2 = (w_{i,1} + \frac{1}{2}w_{i,2})x_1^2 + (\frac{1}{2}w_{i,2} \\ &\quad + w_{i,3})x_2^2 + (w_{i,4} + \frac{1}{2}w_{i,5})x_3^2 + (\frac{1}{2}w_{i,5} + w_{i,6})x_4^2, \\ \tilde{q}_i^3 &= \sum_{k=1}^6 w_{i,k} \tilde{x}_k^3 = (w_{i,1} + \frac{1}{5}w_{i,2})x_1^3 \\ &\quad + (\frac{4}{5}w_{i,2} + \frac{2}{5}w_{i,3})x_2^3 + (\frac{3}{5}w_{i,3} + \frac{3}{5}w_{i,4})x_3^3 \\ &\quad + (\frac{1}{5}w_{i,5} + w_{i,6})x_5^3, \\ \tilde{q}_i^4 &= \sum_{k=1}^6 w_{i,k} \tilde{x}_k^4 = (w_{i,1} + w_{i,2})x_1^4 \\ &\quad + (w_{i,3} + w_{i,4})x_2^4 + (w_{i,5} + w_{i,6})x_3^4. \end{aligned}$$

• Step 3:

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} [(q^1)^T \\ (q^2)^T \\ (q^3)^T \\ (q^4)^T]^T \end{bmatrix},$$

Then

$$q^i = \Pi_{n_i}^6 \tilde{q}^i, \quad i \in [1, 4].$$

Now,

$$\Pi_3^6 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

then

$$q^1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{1,1} & \mu_{1,2} & \mu_{1,3} \\ \mu_{2,1} & \mu_{2,2} & \mu_{2,3} \\ \mu_{3,1} & \mu_{3,2} & \mu_{3,3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1^1 \\ x_2^1 \\ x_3^1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$q^4 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{1,1} & \mu_{1,2} & \mu_{1,3} \\ \mu_{2,1} & \mu_{2,2} & \mu_{2,3} \\ \mu_{3,1} & \mu_{3,2} & \mu_{3,3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1^4 \\ x_2^4 \\ x_3^4 \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{1,1} &= w_{1,1} + w_{1,2} + w_{2,1} + w_{2,2} \\ \mu_{1,2} &= w_{1,3} + w_{1,4} + w_{2,3} + w_{2,4} \\ \mu_{1,3} &= w_{1,5} + w_{1,6} + w_{2,5} + w_{2,6} \\ \mu_{2,1} &= w_{3,1} + w_{3,2} + w_{4,1} + w_{4,2} \\ \mu_{2,2} &= w_{3,3} + w_{3,4} + w_{4,3} + w_{4,4} \\ \mu_{2,3} &= w_{3,5} + w_{3,6} + w_{4,5} + w_{4,6} \\ \mu_{3,1} &= w_{5,1} + w_{5,2} + w_{6,1} + w_{6,2} \\ \mu_{3,2} &= w_{5,3} + w_{5,4} + w_{6,3} + w_{6,4} \\ \mu_{3,3} &= w_{5,5} + w_{5,6} + w_{6,5} + w_{6,6}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\Pi_4^6 = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

then

$$q^2 = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{1,1} & \lambda_{1,2} & \lambda_{1,3} & \lambda_{1,4} \\ \lambda_{2,1} & \lambda_{2,2} & \lambda_{2,3} & \lambda_{2,4} \\ \lambda_{3,1} & \lambda_{3,2} & \lambda_{3,3} & \lambda_{3,4} \\ \lambda_{4,1} & \lambda_{4,2} & \lambda_{4,3} & \lambda_{4,4} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1^2 \\ x_2^2 \\ x_3^2 \\ x_4^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{1,1} &= 2w_{1,1} + w_{1,2} + w_{2,1} + \frac{1}{2}w_{2,2} \\ \lambda_{1,2} &= w_{1,2} + 2w_{1,3} + \frac{1}{2}w_{2,2} + w_{2,3} \\ \lambda_{1,3} &= 2w_{1,4} + w_{1,5} + w_{2,4} + \frac{1}{2}w_{2,5} \\ \lambda_{1,4} &= w_{1,5} + 2w_{1,6} + \frac{1}{2}w_{2,5} + w_{2,6} \\ \lambda_{2,1} &= w_{2,1} + \frac{1}{2}w_{2,2} + 2w_{3,1} + w_{3,2} \\ \lambda_{2,2} &= \frac{1}{2}w_{2,2} + w_{2,3} + w_{3,2} + 2w_{3,3} \\ \lambda_{2,3} &= w_{2,4} + \frac{1}{2}w_{2,5} + 2w_{3,4} + w_{3,5} \\ \lambda_{2,4} &= \frac{1}{2}w_{2,5} + w_{2,6} + w_{3,5} + 2w_{3,6} \\ \lambda_{3,1} &= 2w_{4,1} + w_{4,2} + w_{5,1} + \frac{1}{2}w_{5,2} \\ \lambda_{3,2} &= w_{4,2} + 2w_{4,3} + \frac{1}{2}w_{5,2} + w_{5,3} \\ \lambda_{3,3} &= 2w_{4,4} + w_{4,5} + w_{5,4} + \frac{1}{2}w_{5,5} \\ \lambda_{3,4} &= w_{4,5} + 2w_{4,6} + \frac{1}{2}w_{5,5} + w_{5,6} \\ \lambda_{4,1} &= w_{5,1} + \frac{1}{2}w_{5,2} + 2w_{6,1} + w_{6,2} \\ \lambda_{4,2} &= \frac{1}{2}w_{5,2} + w_{5,3} + w_{6,2} + 2w_{6,3} \\ \lambda_{4,3} &= w_{5,4} + \frac{1}{2}w_{5,5} + 2w_{6,4} + w_{6,5} \\ \lambda_{4,4} &= \frac{1}{2}w_{5,5} + w_{5,6} + w_{6,5} + 2w_{6,6} \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\Pi_5^6 = \frac{1}{6} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix},$$

then

$$q^3 = \frac{1}{30} \begin{bmatrix} \eta_{1,1} & \eta_{1,2} & \eta_{1,3} & \eta_{1,4} & \eta_{1,5} \\ \eta_{2,1} & \eta_{2,2} & \eta_{2,3} & \eta_{2,4} & \eta_{2,5} \\ \eta_{3,1} & \eta_{3,2} & \eta_{3,3} & \eta_{3,4} & \eta_{3,5} \\ \eta_{4,1} & \eta_{4,2} & \eta_{4,3} & \eta_{4,4} & \eta_{4,5} \\ \eta_{5,1} & \eta_{5,2} & \eta_{5,3} & \eta_{5,4} & \eta_{5,5} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1^3 \\ x_2^3 \\ x_3^3 \\ x_4^3 \\ x_5^3 \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{1,1} &= 25w_{1,1} + 5w_{1,2} + 5w_{2,1} + w_{2,2} \\ \eta_{1,2} &= 20w_{1,2} + 10w_{1,3} + 4w_{2,2} + 2w_{2,3} \\ \eta_{1,3} &= 15w_{1,3} + 15w_{1,4} + 3w_{2,3} + 3w_{2,4} \\ \eta_{1,4} &= 10w_{1,4} + 20w_{1,5} + 2w_{2,4} + 4w_{2,5} \\ \eta_{1,5} &= 5w_{1,5} + 25w_{1,6} + w_{2,5} + 5w_{2,3} \\ \eta_{2,1} &= 20w_{2,1} + 4w_{2,2} + 10w_{3,1} + 2w_{3,2} \\ \eta_{2,2} &= 16w_{2,2} + 8w_{2,3} + 8w_{3,2} + 4w_{3,3} \\ \eta_{2,3} &= 12w_{2,3} + 12w_{2,4} + 6w_{3,3} + 6w_{3,4} \\ \eta_{2,4} &= 8w_{2,4} + 16w_{2,5} + 4w_{3,4} + 8w_{3,5} \\ \eta_{2,5} &= 4w_{2,5} + 20w_{2,6} + 2w_{3,5} + 10w_{3,6} \\ \eta_{3,1} &= 15w_{3,1} + 3w_{3,2} + 15w_{4,1} + 3w_{4,2} \\ \eta_{3,2} &= 12w_{3,2} + 6w_{3,3} + 12w_{4,2} + 6w_{4,3} \\ \eta_{3,3} &= 9w_{3,3} + 9w_{3,4} + 9w_{4,3} + 9w_{4,4} \\ \eta_{3,4} &= 6w_{3,4} + 12w_{3,5} + 4w_{4,4} + 12w_{4,5} \\ \eta_{3,5} &= 3w_{3,5} + 15w_{5,6} + 3w_{6,5} + 3w_{6,6} \\ \eta_{4,1} &= 10w_{4,1} + 2w_{4,2} + 20w_{5,1} + 4w_{5,2} \\ \eta_{4,2} &= 8w_{4,2} + 4w_{4,3} + 16w_{5,2} + 8w_{5,3} \\ \eta_{4,3} &= 6w_{4,3} + 6w_{4,4} + 12w_{5,3} + 12w_{5,4} \\ \eta_{4,4} &= 4w_{4,4} + 8w_{4,5} + 8w_{5,4} + 16w_{5,5} \\ \eta_{4,5} &= 2w_{4,5} + 10w_{4,6} + 4w_{5,5} + 20w_{5,6} \\ \eta_{5,1} &= 5w_{5,1} + w_{5,2} + 25w_{6,1} + 5w_{6,2} \\ \eta_{5,2} &= 4w_{5,2} + 2w_{5,3} + 20w_{6,2} + 10w_{6,3} \\ \eta_{5,3} &= 3w_{5,3} + 3w_{5,4} + 15w_{6,3} + 15w_{6,4} \\ \eta_{5,4} &= 2w_{5,4} + 4w_{5,5} + 10w_{6,4} + 20w_{6,5} \\ \eta_{5,5} &= w_{5,5} + 5w_{5,6} + 5w_{6,5} + 25w_{6,6} \end{aligned}$$

Remark 5.4: Comparing with zero-padding, projection-padding has the following advantages:

- (i) No additional junk information caused by padded zero. Hence, the Padding Mask, commonly used to eliminate the junk information can be omitted.
- (ii) Even if the sequences which are longer than the nominated length, that is, $n_i > n_0$, projection-padding can still handle it by a standard projection and without losing information.
- (iii) Finally, the output sequences (i.e., vectors) can have the same sizes as the input sequences if we wish.

B. Dimension-Varying Attention

This subsection reconsiders the scaled dot-product attention for dimension-varying case. Assume

$$\begin{aligned} Q &\in \mathbb{R}^{m_1 \times \dots \times m_s}, \\ K &\in \mathbb{R}^{a_1 \times \dots \times a_s}, \\ V &\in \mathbb{R}^{b_1 \times \dots \times b_s}. \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

Recall (41), since the Q , K , V are only hypervectors, the matrix product is not applicable for them. We propose the following revision.

$$A = \text{softmax}(Q \odot_w K) \in \mathcal{M}_{s \times s}. \quad (93)$$

Remark 5.5:

- (i) When $n_i = a_j = b_k := n$, $\forall i, j, k \in [1, s]$, the A in (93) is exactly the same as the A in (41). Hence, (93) is a generalization of (41).
- (ii) If we use

$$A = \text{softmax}(Q \odot K), \quad (94)$$

then even if in unified dimension case, we have

$$A = \text{softmax}\left(\frac{QK^T}{n}\right), \quad (95)$$

which is different from (41) by a scaling. A conjecture is, (95) might be better than (41).

Next, we consider (40). Assume $V \in \mathbb{R}^{b_1 \times \dots \times b_s}$, (40) is replaced by

$$\text{Attention}(Q, K, V) = A \diamond V. \quad (96)$$

Remark 5.6: In most general case, we assume

$$\begin{aligned} Q &\in \mathbb{R}^{m_1 \times \dots \times m_p}, \\ K &\in \mathbb{R}^{a_1 \times \dots \times a_q}, \\ V &\in \mathbb{R}^{b_1 \times \dots \times b_r}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$A := Q \odot K \text{ (or } Q \odot_w K) \in \mathcal{M}_{p \times q}.$$

Assume $t = \text{lcm}(q, r)$, then we can define

$$\text{Attention}(Q, K, V) := (A \otimes \mathbf{1}_{t/q}^T) \diamond (\mathbf{1}_{t/r} \otimes V). \quad (97)$$

It is worth noting that when (73) is used to perform (97), (73) should be read as

$$\xi^i := \Pi_{n_i}^{(t/d)n_0} \tilde{\xi}^i, \quad i \in [1, s]. \quad (98)$$

C. Dimension-Varying Multi-Head Attention

We need only to consider the Concat & Linear part, which is depicted in Fig.9.

Assume $V'_i \in \mathbb{R}^{b_1^i \times \dots \times b_s^i}$, $i \in [1, \ell]$, where ℓ is the head number, and $V'_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{b_1^0 \times \dots \times b_s^0}$

Denote the component-wise expression of V'_i by

$$V'_i = \{v_i^1, \dots, v_i^k\}, \quad i \in [1, \ell],$$

and

$$V'_0 = \{v_0^1, \dots, v_0^k\}.$$

Then the Concat can be performed by setting

$$v_0^k = v_1^k + b_1^0 v_2^k + b_1^0 + \dots + b_1^0 v_\ell^k, \quad k \in [1, s], \quad (99)$$

here the nominal addition is define by (23).

Alternatively, expressing V'_i in vector form as $V_{V'_i}$, then we define

$$V_c^i := \begin{bmatrix} \Pi_{b_1^0}^{b_1^i} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \Pi_{b_2^0}^{b_2^i} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \Pi_{b_s^0}^{b_s^i} \end{bmatrix} V_{V'_i} \in \mathbb{R}^{b^0}, \quad (100)$$

where $b^0 = \sum_{k=1}^s b_k^0$.

Then we have

$$V_{V'_c} = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} V_c^i. \quad (101)$$

Finally, the ‘‘Linear’’ part can be realized by a set of linear mappings

$$\pi_j : v_c^j \rightarrow v_d^j, \quad j \in [1, s], \quad (102)$$

where v_c^j is the j -th component of V'_c , and v_d^j is the j -th component of the output V' .

Remark 5.7: In fact (101) can be replaced by a weighted sum. Let a set of weights $w_i \geq 0$, $i \in [1, \ell]$ are given, (say, $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} w_i = 1$). Then (101) can be replaced by

$$V_{V'_c} = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} w_i V_c^i. \quad (103)$$

D. Dimension-Free Add & Norm

Assume $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \dots \times n_s}$ and $F(X) \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1 \times \dots \times m_s}$. Then

$$X + F(X) := Z \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1 \times \dots \times m_s}, \quad (104)$$

where

$$z^i = x^i + m_i f^i = \Pi_{m_i}^{n_i} x^i + f^i, \quad i \in [1, s].$$




Fig. 12. Feed Forward

E. Dimension-Free Feed-Forward

We need a generalized nominal addition for hypervectors.

Definition 5.8: Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \dots \times n_s}$, $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1 \times \dots \times m_s}$, and $\mathbf{r} := \{r_1, \dots, r_s\}$, the nominal addition of X and Y is defined as

$$X +_{\mathbf{r}} Y := \{z^1, \dots, z^s\}, \quad (105)$$

where

$$z^i = x^i +_{r_i} y^i, \quad i \in [1, s].$$

Definition 5.9: Assume $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \dots \times n_s}$, $B_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{\alpha_1 \times \dots \times \alpha_s}$, $B_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{\beta_1 \times \dots \times \beta_s}$, $W_i \in \mathcal{M}_{s \times s}$, $i = 1, 2$. Instead of (53), the dimension-free feed forward can be described as

$$FFN(X) = W_2 \diamond ReLU(W_1 \diamond X +_{\mathbf{n}} B_1) +_{\mathbf{n}} B_2, \quad (106)$$

where $\mathbf{n} = \{n_1, \dots, n_s\}$

VI. ASSEMBLED ATTENTION

To avoid complexity, we first assume the regular case, that is, $X, Q, K, V \in \mathcal{M}_{s \times n}$. Then a (self-) attention can be described into a black-box shown in Fig. 12.

In Fig. 12 the attention is described as a mapping $\Sigma : \mathcal{M}_{s \times n} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{s \times n}$, which is realized by

- Step 1:

$$\begin{cases} Q^T = W^q X^T, \\ K^T = W^k X^T, \\ V^T = W^v X^T. \end{cases}$$

- Step 2:

$$Attention(Q, K, V) = \frac{(QK^T)}{\sqrt{n}} V,$$

- Step 3:

$$V' = softmax(Attention(Q, K, V)).$$

The system parameters W^q , W^k , and W^v can be determined through ‘‘machine learning’’ using input-output data $\{(X(i), V'(i)) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$. Now a natural question is: Can we use enough data to get unique set of parameters W^q , W^k , and W^v ? The answer is ‘‘No!’’. Putting three steps together, we have

$$V' = softmax[X(W^q)^T W^k X^T X(W^v)^T]. \quad (107)$$

Now it is clear that

(i) If

$$W^{qk} := (W^q)^T W^k$$

remains the same for different W^q and W^k , the mapping Σ remains the same.

(ii) If W^{qk} and W^v are replaced simultaneously by λW^{qk} and $\frac{1}{\lambda} W^v$, where $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, the mapping Σ remains the same.

VII. CONCLUSION

Transformer is an attention flow based neural network. Nowadays, it is the key of some mostly attractive AI systems such as ChartGPT, DeepSeek, etc. Mathematically, it consists of different matrix (including vector) products and additions with some nonlinear activation functions. The objects treated by AI are commonly of different dimensions. Then the padding and masking are used to overcome the trouble caused by dimension unmatching situation.

position Padding might cause some junk information, which is not completely deleted by masking. STP and STA are dimension-free matrix/vector operators. They can be used naturally for dimension unmatching case without padding and masking. Using STP and STA, this paper has proposed a dimension-free transformer. Each building bricks in a transformer have been reconstructed by STP/STA. Certain properties and algorithms have been developed. The STP/STA based AI system might be more efficient in various applications.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Chen, *Tensor-Based Dynamical Systems- Theory and Applications*, Springer, Switzerland, 2024.
- [2] D. Cheng, H. Qi, Z. Li, *Analysis and Control of Boolean Networks, A Semi-tensor Product Approach*, Springer, London, 2011.
- [3] D. Cheng, H. Qi, Y. Zhao, *An Introduction to Semi-tensor Product of Matrices and Its Applications*, World Scientific, Singapo, 2012.
- [4] D. Cheng, *From Dimension-Free Matrix Theory to Cross-Dimensional Dynamic Systems*, Elsevier, London, 2019.
- [5] D. Cheng, Y. Wu, G. Zhao, S. Fu, A comprehensive survey on STP approach to finite games, *J. Sys. Sci. Compl.*, Vol. 34, No. 5, 1666-1680, 2021.
- [6] D. Cheng, M. Meng, X. Zhang, Z. Ji, Contracted product of hypermatrices via STP of matrices, *Control Theory Appl.*, Vol. 21, No. 3, 265-280, 2023.
- [7] D. Cheng, From semi-tensor product of matrices to non-square general linear algebra and general linear group, *Comm. Inform. Sys.*, Vol. 24, No. 1, 1-60, 2024.
- [8] D. Cheng, Z. Ji, From t-product to t-STP of cubic matrices with application to hyper-networked systems, (preprint: arXiv 2412.07153v2).
- [9] D. Cheng, Z. Ji, On universal eigenvalues and eigenvectors of hypermatrices, (preprint: arXiv ?, submitted for pub.).
- [10] E. Fornasini, M.E. Valcher, Recent developments in Boolean networks control, *J. Contr. Dec.*, Vol. 3, No. 1, 1-18, 2016.
- [11] B. Geshkovski, C. Letrouit, Y. Polyanskiy, et al., A mathematical perspective on transformers, arXiv:2312.10794v4, 2024.

- [12] I. Goodfellow, Y. Bengio, A. Courville, *Deep Learning*, MIT Press, Massachusetts, 2016.
- [13] H. Li, G. Zhao, M. Meng, J. Feng, A survey on applications of semi-tensor product method in engineering, *Science China*, Vol. 61, 010202:1-010202:17, 2018.
- [14] L. Lim, Tensors and Hypermatrices, in L. Hogben (Ed.) *Handbook of Linear Algebra* (2nd ed.), Chapter 15, Chapman and Hall/CRC. <https://doi.org/10.1201/b16113>, 2013.
- [15] L. Ljung, T. Söderström, *Theory and Practice of Recursive Identification*, MIT Press, New York, 1982.
- [16] J. Lu, H. Li, Y. Liu, F. Li, Survey on semi-tensor product method with its applications in logical networks and other finite-valued systems, *IET Contr. Thm& Appl.*, Vol. 11, No. 13, 2040-2047, 2017.
- [17] A. Muhammad, A. Rushdi, F.A. M. Ghaleb, A tutorial exposition of semi-tensor products of matrices with a stress on their representation of Boolean function, *JKAU Comp. Sci.*, Vol. 5, 3-30, 2016.
- [18] A. Vaswani, M. Shazeer, N. Parmar, et al., Attention is all you need, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 30, 2017.
- [19] Q. Wang, Y. Yang, J. Jiang, *LEEDL Tutorial*, People's Post Pub., Beijing, 2024, (in Chinese).
- [20] D. Xie, H. Peng, L. Li, Y. Yang, Semi-tensor compressed sensing, *Dig. Sign. Proc.*, Vol. 58, 85-92, 2016.
- [21] Y. Yan, D. Cheng, J. Feng, H. Li, J. Yue, Survey on applications of algebraic state space theory of logical systems to finite state machines, *Sci. China Inf. Sci.*, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11432-22-3538-4>, 2022.
- [22] J. Zhong, D. Lin, On minimum period of nonlinear feedback shift registers in Grain-like structure, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, Vol. 64, No. 9, 6429-6442, 2018.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank Dr. Tiarong Zhang for fruitful discussions and suggestions which stimulated the paper.